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G.8031 Questions (Q9/15)

• **Hold-Off in Do Not Revert state**
  – Agreed that hold-off should apply in DNR
  – Proposed to use hold-off before asserting any SF

• **MS from Do Not Revert state**
  – Agreed that MS from DNR is needed
  – Add state or request (some prefer adding state)
  – Will update G.8031 in next revision
Q9/15 on PBB-TE Protection

• Discussion noted that IEEE will express PBB-TE protection state machine according to 802.1 practice
• Contributions proposing to extend the APS in Rec. G.8031 to support PBB-TE (IEEE 802.1Qay).
• Added a new study point to extend G.8031 to support PBB-TE protection switching.
Complex predicates:
- attempt to capture request precedence in predicates
- state split between states and variables
- state variables used in predicates
- Revertive/Non-Revertive configuration captured in expression $WTRTime \neq 0$

Result is hard to analyze and compare with G.8031 behavior
Ways to Reduce Complexity

- Separate request precedence logic from state diagram
  - One input request to state machine (Rin)
- Eliminate state variables
- Separate diagrams for revertive and non-revertive cases
- Roughly match states to G.8031
Simplified State Diagram
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State Machine Incomplete

- Requirement that TESIs are bi-directional and symmetrically routed is not maintained by protection switching state machine
- Unnecessary reliance on external entity
- Specification can be completed by adding remote request/state input
- Completes specification and informs implementers of required coordination
- Management can provide remote input or APS protocol can be used – state machine remains the same
Protection w/ Remote Input

protection state machine (revertive)

BEGIN || LO || SF-P

WORKING (A,C,F)
mapDataToWorking()

NO_REQUEST (B)
mapDataToProtection()

SIGNAL_FAIL (E)
mapDataToProtection()

FORCED_SWITCH (D)
mapDataToProtection()

WAIT_TO_RESTORE (H)
WTRwhile = WTRtime

NR

R-FS || R-SF-W || R-MS

L-SF-W

L-FS

L-MS

MANUAL_SWITCH (G)
mapDataToProtection()

mapDataToProtection()
Protection w/ Remote Input
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1:1 Protection w/ Load Sharing

- Some comments have suggested that load sharing departs from the 1:1 model
- There are models of protection with load sharing which preserve the 1:1 model
- Following the 1:1 model
  - Keeps the work within the scope of the PAR
  - Maintains the simplicity of the protection scheme
  - Enables one state machine to cover all cases
1:1 Protection

- State machine scope: protection group
- Request scope: TE service instance
  - FS & LO: unconditionally move traffic off of TESI
  - MS: move traffic off TESI, only if not needed for protection
  - SF: switch traffic to protection (TESI failed)
- Switching action scope: TE service instance
  - mapDataToWorking() and mapDataToProtection()
- Group protects all traffic in case of a single TESI failure
- Management model: various
  - Specification should provide only basic model
  - Simple and flexible
- BSI provisioning includes TESI to which it is assigned
  - With or without protection group
1:1 Protection w/ Load Sharing
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1:1 Protection w/ Load Sharing

Mapping Traffic to the Protection Entity
1:1 Protection w/ Load Sharing

A Combined Protection Group
1:1 Protection w/ Load Sharing

- Provisioning of BSI’s protection TESI
- Bandwidth benefit of shared protection (N>2)
- Management benefits related to managing one protection group vs. managing many more TESIs and protection groups
- Same control model as original 1:1 protection
- Extensions work equally well for two or more TESIs in the protection group
Protection w/ Load Sharing
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Protection w/ Load Sharing
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Protection w/ Load Sharing
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Protection w/ Load Sharing

protection member state machine (non-revertive )