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## Ballot Results

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disapprove</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstain</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commenters</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Comments Submitted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Not Required</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technical</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editorial</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Going Forward

• Expect to issue D1.0 in time for completion of working group ballot before November meeting;

• D1.0 will reflect comments made against D0.4 but should otherwise be unchanged;
Comment Review Plan

- Commenters (and others) had opportunity to review proposed remedies before review session;
- It is proposed that all comments be accepted except for comments 4 (Panos), 62 (Zhemin) and 34 (Ting) which are proposed accept in principle;
- In the editor’s opinion, only comment 62 raises a significant issue (see next slide) and requires working group discussion;
  - but if you think others require discussion, speak up!
- Other comments are related to editorial and technical errors rather than concepts or issues;
Comment Summary

• Clause 12 clarification that candidate protection segments are specified by priority;
• Suggestions to improve newly added figure 8-8; and to move IPS Control outside (above) the MAC Relay entity;
• Comment that the TESI List associated with an IPG is not defined and suggestion to replace this with 2-tuple list;
  – We agreed at last meeting that we would associate TESI List rather than 2-tuple list with IPG;
  – Current draft does not provide Clause 3 definition of TESI list and does not consistently call this the TESI List; this will be corrected;
• Improvements/corrections to state machines;
• Corrections to wording, references, figure numbers, etc.
Comment #62

• Zhemin observes that the Protection Segment Selection state machine does not work properly when 1:1 protection is configured to be in non-revertive mode;
• Panos and Zhemin suggest that a fix would be complex and would impact the 1:1 protection state machine;
• It is proposed that 1:1 protection is always revertive when M:1 protection is configured.
  – Text would be added to describe this.