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Summary of 5th TG Ballot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vote</th>
<th>Number of Voters</th>
<th>With Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstain: Time</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expertise</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment only</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>91 comments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comment Resolution for D1.1 TG Ballot

- Details
- Terminology and usage
- CFM for ECMP
- Mostly editorial or otherwise
Details

- Changing an I-SID’s B-VID and multicast tree [11, 13]
- New counter in MIB [17]
- I-SID multicast tree assignment consistency [22, 33]
- How to determine F-TAG use [37, 65]
- Shared tree group addresses [46, 62]
- Shared tree selection [47]
- Hash functions [64]
Terminology and usage

- I-SID endpoint [1]
- MEPs [48, 66]
- VLAN [68, 87]
CFM for ECMP

- LBM reverse flow hash \([18, 43]\)
  - Does LBR first hop depend on Relay Entity?
  - Format for carrying Reverse Flow Hash? [85]
- LBM/LTM destination address \([39, 40, 41, 72, 73, 80, 83]\)
- Multiple LTRs from each MIP [44]
- MAs [42, 51, 54]
- CFM solution approach [67, 77]
- MA identifiers [70]
- RDI reporting [74]
- ECMP path MA CCM behavior [76]
- Modifications to CFM for SPBM [89]
Mostly Editorial or Otherwise

• Clarity [2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 15, 16, 20, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 36, 38, 45, 49, 50, 53, 55, 56, 57, 59, 60, 75, 79]

• Text location [23, 25, 58]

• Editorial [4, 7, 10, 12, 14, 19, 21, 34, 35, 52, 61, 63, 71, 82, 86, 88]
Open Questions

• Define new service type [69]
• TBD (depending on contributions)
  – Text on untagged operation
  – CN clauses (30-33)
  – SRP (35)