

P802d

PAR & CSD comment disposition

November 2015

Glenn Parsons

802.3 comments

P802d URN Namespace

- PAR
 - 5.3: Change answer to no, remove explanation. If this is to be mirrored on OID, the IEEE-SA requested the assignment and will hold the root.
 - Disagree
 - We are effectively mirroring what was done with OID. Except that the root registration is different. For OID it was a letter to ISO to get “iso (1) iso-identified-organization (3) ieee (111)”. For URN it is an IETF RFC to get “urn:ieee”. The note refers to this.
 - Perhaps the comment is alluding that an IETF document (either the root definition RFC or another) could define hierarchy under the root. We decided to not do that and define the hierarchy in IEEE.
 - 6.1b: The RA doesn't develop tutorials but the RAC will work with WGs prior to posting a tutorial on the RA pages. IEEE 802.1 has the primary expertise in IEEE-SA for the development of a tutorial on this topic. Recommend: The Registration Authority Committee is requested to review and refine a tutorial for the generalized version of the IEEE 802 URN namespace definition.
 - Agree
- CSD
 - No comments.

1.1 Correct Project number – P802d

Agree

2.1 Expand first use of Abbreviation – Uniform Resource Names (URN)

Agree

5.2.b Change “bridges and end stations” to “802 Network elements”.

This is to avoid the need to list all types of devices, e.g. Access Points (AP), switches etc.

Agree

8.1 #5.5 delete second sentence, “YANG” does not appear in the title.

Agree

8.1 #7.3A delete “with communication with JTC1 through existing channels”, or remove the entire comment as 7.3 does not appear on the PDF of the PAR.

Agree. 7.3 exists and does not appear due to staff choice...
so this note is not necessary

802d CSD

**1.1.1 – This project may be apply to more than 802.1Q,
change “802.1Q to “802”.**

Agree

802.16 PAR comments

- PAR 1.1 (Project Number)
 - change as follows: “P802802d”
 - reason: obvious
 - Agree
- PAR 2.1 (Title)
 - change as follows: “URN Namespace for YANG Models”
 - reason: As stated in 8.1, “It is vital that 'YANG' appear in the project title to inform potential participants and the target readership of the amendment.”
 - Note: update CSD accordingly.
 - Disagree. Do not change title (URN can be used for non-YANG) but delete the sentence in 8.1

802.16 CSD comments

- CSD 1.1.1 (Managed objects)
 - change as follows: “802.1Q”
 - reason: The proposed amendment is to IEEE Std 802 and would apply to all IEEE 802 implementations.
 - Agree

James Gilb comments

- Editorial: The title in the PAR has P802802d, which is likely a typo. It appears correctly in the NesCom agenda.
 - Agree
- 5.2.b While the acronym is spelled out in 8.1, my preference would be better to expand URN in the scope as it is a key term for this standard.
 - Agree
- 1.2.4 What is the meaning of "~1997"? Delete "~1997" as the RFC number is sufficient to identify the document.
 - Agree