

DELAYS AND DELAY VARIATION IN AN ETHERNET FRONTHAUL NETWORK

János Farkas and Balázs Varga
janos.farkas@ericsson.com balazs.a.varga@ericsson.com

January 20, 2016

This presentation updates
<http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2015/cm-farkas-delay-pdv-1215-v01.pdf>

GOAL



› Assumptions

- Only frame preemption is used out of the TSN tools (no 802.1Qbv)
 - › Express: CPRI traffic
 - › Preemptable: all the rest of the traffic
- CPRI flows are allowed to race each other at every hop
- Playout buffer is used for outbound traffic at the edge bridge in order to cope with Frame Delay Variation (FDV)
 - › Note: It is FLR (Frame Delay Variation) for a switched Ethernet transport network. Packet Delay Variation (PDV) is a generic term for packet networks. CPRI also has a (TDM-)frame structure, which is referred to as “CPRI frame”

› This presentation investigates the calculation possibilities of delay and FDV in the Ethernet transport network for frames of CPRI flows

EFFECTS OF FRAME PREEMPTION



- › Worst case delay: 124 Bytes
 - The serving time of 124 Bytes is the worst case delay that an express frame carrying CPRI traffic can suffer in a bridge due to preempting background traffic
 - It is 114.4 ns for 10 Gbps outbound link, it is 11.44 ns for 100 Gbps link
 - Details: <http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2015/cm-farkas-applicability-of-bu-and-bv-1115-v02.pdf>
 - › Best case is 0, if no need to preempt
 - › Therefore, frame preemption delay causes FDV
 - › The per hop frame preemption delays are accumulated
 - › FDV due to frame preemption can be calculated
- $$FDV_{preemption} = \sum_j t_j^{124B} \quad \text{i.e. by summing the service time of 124 Bytes for the outbound link of each hop } j$$
- › Frame preemption may cause 572 ns FDV in a 5-hop diameter network comprising 10 Gbps links

DELAY



› Delay calculation per CPRI flow:

- Propagation delay: on passed link (inc. serialization); depends on link length (5usec/km)
- Bridging delay: on passed bridge; depends on bridge implementation (non-blocking!)
Note: no queuing delay for CPRI
- Racing delay: racing event may occur at an egress port; depends on relative arrival time of racing frames and their size
Note: racing can be treated as a special queuing delay.
- Playout buffer delay: re-shaper/de-FDV buffer; depends on configuration (T_{buffer})

$$Delay_{tr} = \sum_i d_{link_i} + \sum_j d_{sw_j} + \sum_r d_r + T_{buffer}$$

propagation bridging racing playout

Assumptions:

- › Symmetric up/down
- › Static value
- › Change only
 - if network topology or nodes changed
 - rerouting (skew)

- › # of racing may differ up/down

FRAME DELAY VARIATION (FDV)



› FDV calculation per CPRI flow:

- Propagation: no FDV caused by a point-to-point link
- Bridging: implementation specific (non-blocking bridge is assumed)
Note: no queuing FDV for time critical traffic. If TSN function(s) cause FDV it should be added for a given solution.
- Racing: depends on solution characteristics
Note: Racing can be treated as a factor causing special queuing FDV.
- Playout: can eliminate FDV partly / entirely

$$FDV_{tr}^{max} = \underbrace{0}_{\text{propagation}} + \underbrace{\sum_j FDV_{sw_j}^{max}}_{\text{bridging}} + \underbrace{\sum_j t_j^{124B}}_{\text{racing}} + \underbrace{\sum_r FDV_r^{max}}_{\text{playout}} - T_{de-FDV}$$

› depends on bridge implementation



ERICSSON