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### Ballot Statistics

Thanks to all who reviewed the document and commented.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes (voters)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>69.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (voters)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting Yes or No</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstain Time</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstain Expertise</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstain Total</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>41.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>69.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting Members</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-voting commenters</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Commenters</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Comments</td>
<td>262</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments by Category - 4

- Legend for following slides

  - Comments highlighted in yellow have been done

- 78 comments still must be resolved

  - 32 technical
  - 46 editorial
Comments by Category - 1

- In what follows, grouped comments are shown together in parentheses.

- Editorial Comments (Category E or ER) that are, in the judgement of the editor, trivial (104 comments):
  - Several exceptions included – comments that were marked T or TR that, in the opinion of the editor, should have been E or ER.
  - All the following comments will be accepted or accepted in principle, and will not be discussed unless someone indicates a desire to discuss them:

- Comments related to Framemaker issues (Category E or ER) (9 comments):
  - Some of these may need discussion, e.g., proposals that, if implemented, would greatly increase the amount of white space in the document.
  - However, these will have the lowest priority in terms of discussion time.
  - The editor will likely need assistance in addressing some of these:
    - 16, 27, 30, 39, 46, 90, (97, 146, 159)
Other Editorial Comments, to be discussed but with lower priority in terms of discussion time (46 comments)

(7, 102), 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 17, 19, 20, 21, 31, 44, 45, 49, 50, 54, 57, 68, 70, 72, 74, 95, 99, 101, 117, 131, (132, 158, 224), 137, 145, 148, (154, 229), 170, 185, 187, 188, 205, 206, 207, 209, 213, 238, 249
Comments by Category - 3

- Technical (T) and Technical Required (TR) Comments; will be discussed
  - frontmatter items (2 comments): (1, 2)
  - References (5 comments): 3, 4, (29, 37), 35
  - External port state configuration (5 comments): (22, 63, 64, 199), 23
  - gPTP Capable TLV (3 comments): 81, (222, 260)
  - 802.11 transport (5 comments): 104, 113, 119, 120, 122,
  - Managed objects (3 comments): (125, 227), 225,
  - MIB (3 comments): (18, 161 (ER), 162)
  - ClockMaster, ClockSlave, and related entities (7 comments): 165, 167, 168, 173, 175, 233, 241,
  - Aspects related to scope of variables (per PTP instance, per port per PTP instance, etc.) (8 comments): 179, 181, 192, 204, 208, 218, 221, 234