P802.1AS-Rev/D6.0 Editor's Report

Proposed Comment Resolution for WG Ballot

Geoffrey M. Garner Huawei (Consultant)

gmgarner@alum.mit.edu

IEEE 802.1 TSN TG 2018.01.22

Ballot Statistics

Thanks to all who reviewed the document and commented	Category	All respondents	
		Total	Percent
	Yes (voters)	16	69.57
	No (voters)	7	30.43
	Voting Yes or No	24	100
	Abstain Time	6	
	Abstain Expertise	10	
	Abstain Total	16	41.03
	Respondents	39	69.64
	Voting Members	56	
	Non-voting commenters	0	
	Number of Commenters	10	
	Number of Comments	262	
	TR	96	
	т	10	
	ER	129	
	E	27	

Comments by Category - 1

- □In what follows, grouped comments are shown together in parentheses
- Editorial Comments (Category E or ER) that are, in the judgement of the editor, trivial (104 comments)
 - Several exceptions included comments that were marked T or TR that, in the opinion of the editor, should have been E or ER
 - •All the following comments will be accepted or accepted in principle, and will not be discussed unless someone indicates a desire to discuss them
 - •5, (12 (TR),118), 14 (TR), 15 (TR), 24, 25, 26, 32, 33, 34, 36, 40, 41, 42, 47, 48, 51, 52, 53, 56, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 65, 66, 67, 71, 73, 75, (78, 223), 79, 80, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 91, 92, 93, 94, 96, 98, 100, 103, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 114, 115, 116, 121, 123, 124, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 133, 134, 136, 138, 139, 140, 141, 143, 144, 147, 150, 151, 152, 156, (160, 184) 163, 164, 169, 180, 189, 190, 193, 194, 215, 226, 239, 244 (TR), 245, 247, 252, 257, 259

Comments related to Framemaker issues (Category E or ER) (9 comments)

- Some of these may need discussion, e.g., proposals that, if implemented, would greatly increase the amount of white space in the document
- •However, these will have the lowest priority in terms of discussion time
- The editor will likely need assistance in addressing some of these
- **1**6, 27, 30, 39, 46, 90, (97, 146, 159)

Comments by Category - 2

Other Editorial Comments, to be discussed but with lower priority in terms of discussion time (47 comments)

(7, 102), 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 17, 19, 20, 21, 31, 37, 44, 45, 49, 50, 54, 57, 68, 70, 72, 74, 95, 99, 101, 117, (132, 158, 224), 137, 145, 148, (154, 229), 170, 185, 187, 188, 205, 206, 207, 209, 213, 226, 238, 249

Comments by Category - 3

Technical (T) and Technical Required (TR) Comments; will be discussed

•frontmatter items (2 comments): (1,2)

- •References (5 comments): 3, 4, (29, 37), 35
- Other (62 comments): (6, 230), 28, 43, 55, 61, 69, (76 (ER), 200), (135, 228), 142, 149, 153, 155, 157, 166, 171, 172, 174,176, 177, 178, 182, 183, 186, 191, 195, (196, 210), 197, 198, 201, 202, 203, 210, 212, 214, (38 (ER), 216), 217, 219, 220, 231, 232, 235, 236, 240, 242, 243, 246, 248, 250, 251, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 261, 262
- External port state configuration (5 comments): (22, 63, 64, 199), 23
- ■gPTP Capable TLV (3 comments): 81, (222, 260)
- ■802.11 transport (5 comments): 104, 113, 119, 120, 122,
- •Managed objects (3 comments): (125, 227), 225,
- •MIB (3 comments): (18, 161 (ER), 162)
- ClockMaster, ClockSlave, and related entities (7 comments): 165, 167, 168, 173, 175, 233, 241,
- Aspects related to scope of variables (per PTP instance, per port per PTP instance, etc.) (8 comments): 179, 181, 192, 204, 208, 218, 221, 234