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Thanks to all who reviewed the document and commented.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>All respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (voters)</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (voters)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting Yes or No</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstain Time</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstain Expertise</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstain Total</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting Members</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-voting commenters</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Commenters</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Comments</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In what follows, grouped comments are shown together in parentheses.

Editorial Comments (Category E or ER) that are, in the judgement of the editor, trivial (41 comments):  
- All the following comments will be accepted or accepted in principle, and will not be discussed unless someone indicates a desire to discuss them:  
  - 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 53, 54, 55, 57, 58, 59, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 70, 74, 77, 79

The comments on the following pages will be discussed.
- Note that many of the ones marked E or ER either are nontrivial or require decisions, and must be discussed in detail (even though they are marked E or ER).
- Note that the listed topics are not necessarily full descriptions of the comments; they are mainly to aid the editor in the comment resolution.
Other Editorial Comments, to be discussed (13 comments):

- Counting TM or FTM frames for managed object: 60
- Making cross reference format consistent across the document: 2
- Listing 1588 new optional features: 76
- Removal of track changes in next draft (and providing track changes in a separate document), cleanup of draft status on cover page: 19, 27
- Table of contents does not handle track changes well: 23, 71, 72
- Format for function name with list, and for other instances of a word followed by an open parenthesis: 37
- Making a NOTE a table NOTE: 56
- Referring separately to “messages” and “protocol”: 69
- Referring to the BMCA and the synchronization spanning tree: 78, 80
Comments by Category - 3

- Technical (T) and Technical Required (TR) Comments, plus a few grouped E and ER comments; will be discussed (26 comments)
  - Handling TLVs that are forwardable in 1588-Rev: 3, 4, 21, 26
  - Referencing 1588-20xx and handling editor’s notes that relate to 1588-20xx; general handling of the removal of non-essential editor’s notes: 9, 18, 25, 73
  - Cleaning up inconsistent terminology: 6
  - Removal of bibliography reference [B3]: 1
  - Incorrect use of asCapableAcrossDomains when CMLDS is not used: 5
  - Whether TM and FTM should be mandatory or optional: 8
  - Making editor’s note on pdelayTruncatedTimestampsArray a note: 7
  - Adding cross-references in subclause that describes syncSlowdown, to respective subclauses of clauses 11, 12, and 13: 10
  - Making data types for lastAnnouncePort, numberPorts, and thisPort consistent: 11
  - Addressing an editor’s note that asks in item should be added to maintenance database: 12
  - Range and default value for gPtpCapableReceiptTimeout: 13
  - Data type for nframesSent (for state machines relating to WiFi transport): 14
  - Informative annex or reference for computation of FTM parameter values: 15
Comments by Category - 4

Technical (T) and Technical Required (TR) Comments; will be discussed (cont.)

- Use of the term “system time”: 16
- MTIE mask in Annex B for femtocell application: 17
- Addition of 802.1AS-Cor2 to draft status paragraph: 20
- Completion of work on MIB and addition of MIB to draft: 22
- Duration of the second in the ARB timescale: 24
- Conversion of an Editor’s Note to a Note in subclauses of clause 14 that describe the ‘useMgtSettable’ variables: 52