P802.1Qcz
Congestion Isolation

Resolution of Comments on Project Authorization Request (PAR) and Criteria for Standards. Development (CSD)
802.3 Comment on PAR 5.5

Comment:
5.5, Need – line 8 change to read “existing IEEE 802 higher-layer congestion management.

Response:
Accept in Principal
- The sentence was trying to be inclusive of both IEEE 802 and IETF (aka higher-layer) existing congestion management schemes, however the 'and' made the sentence hard to parse. The proposal is to eliminate the “IEEE 802 and higher-layer” portion of the sentence.
- The original sentence was, “The amendment will interoperate with existing IEEE 802 and higher-layer congestion management.”
- Propose to change to, “The amendment will interoperate with existing congestion management.”
802.11 Comment on PAR 2.1

› Comment:
  2.1 the title seems to be incorrect. “Approved” “Draft” “Standard” which is it? The name is probably mangled.

› Response:
  Accept
  – The mangle was caused by 802.1Q’s status at the time the PAR was created.
  – A new PAR form has been created in myProject.
802.11 Comment on PAR 6.1.b

Comment:
6.1.b the sentence does not make sense. Suggested replacement: “The YANG Data Model will be assigned a Uniform Resource Name (URN) based on the Registration Authority (RA) URN tutorial and IEEE Std 802d.”

Response:
Accept
- Use suggested text
802.1 Comment on PAR 5.2.b

Comment:
Add the sentence, “This amendment also addresses errors and omissions in the description of existing functionality.” to the end of the section. This makes the PAR consistent with other PARs amending 802.1Q-2018

Response:
Accept
– Add suggested text
802.3 Comment on CSD 1.2.1

Comment:
1.2.1, a), 7th line, Broad Market – grammar, “congesting spreading”
-> “congestion spreading”.

Response:
Accept
– Use suggested text
Comment:
1.2.3, 2nd paragraph, 1st line, Distinct Identity – Change “specification for VLAN-aware” -> “specification for standards based VLAN-aware”.

Response:
Accept
– Use suggested text
802.3 Comment on CSD 1.2.5

› Comment:
  1.2.5, a), 4th line, Economic Feasibility – grammar, “that size” -> “the size”.

› Response:
  Accept
    – Use suggested text