

P802.1AS-Rev/D8.0 Editor's Report

Proposed Comment Resolution for Sponsor Ballot V09

Geoffrey M. Garner
Huawei (Consultant)

gmgarner@alum.mit.edu

IEEE 802.1 TSN TG
2019.06.04

Ballot Statistics

☐ Thanks to all who reviewed the document and commented

Category	All respondents	
	Total	Percent
Yes (voters)	102	87 (87.93)
No (voters)	14	12.07
Voting Yes or No	116	100
Abstain Time	5	
Abstain Expertise	2	
Abstain Total	7	5.69
Respondents	123	75.93
Voting Members	162	
Non-voting commenters	0	
Number of Commenters	25 (11 voted No)	
Number of Comments	421	
TR	108	
T	16	
ER	22	
E	57	
GR	196	
G	22	

Comments Done So Far and Grouped Comments

❑ **Comments not listed below or on slides that follow will be discussed (in addition, there could be duplicate listings)**

❑ **Comments resolved in 2019-03-04 TSN call (22 comments):**

- 421, 1, 363, 364, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 338, 341, 349, 8

❑ **Grouped comments (222 comments):**

- Referencing 802.1 presentations: 3, 4
- Definitions of precision and accuracy: 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 266, 370, 371
- Hyperlinking list items: 345, 347, 348, 359
- Superscript for 2^{-16} : 355, 356, 357, 358
- Put definitions from 1588 in a separate subclause: 387, 388, 389, 393
- PTP Link and PTP Port: 264, 391
- PTP Instance definition: 247, 390
- Font sizes in figures: 340 (and possibly others)
- Acronyms MA-L, MA-S, MA-M: 51, 279
- Replace the word “slave” with an equivalent word: 31 – 47, 52 – 226 (192 comments)

Grouped Comments - 2

□ Grouped comments (37 comments):

- syncLocked and +/- 1 s accuracy for originTimestamp and preciseOriginTimestamp fields, in 1588: 282, 283, 284
- Figures not in sections where referenced: 402, 403 (note that 2 comments done in 2019-03-04 call also were on this topic)
- “Detection, Correction, Action” in discussion of redundancy: 401, 244, 245
- Wrong use of “may”: 15, 336, 337, 231, 17, 18
- Add new figures to Clause 7: 404, 405
- Referencing 1588 (both 2011 and Rev): 5, 375, 376, 377
- ISS Priority for PTP messages: 30, 317, 318, 29
- Several distinct topics that are referenced by related comments:
 - tlVType should be ORGANIZATION_EXTENSION_DO_NOT_PROPAGATE: 257, 406
 - Making Table 10-6 consistent with IEEE 1588-Rev: 250, 266
 - Domain 0; TLV forwarding: 265, 233, 407
- EPON referencing construction of sourcePortIdentity incorrectly: 48, 277
- RAC comments and related comments: 49, 280

Grouped Comments - 2

□ Grouped comments (55 comments):

- RAC comments and related comments: 19, 50, 279
- Remove subclause 14.15.3 on sdold: 241, 309
- Logic in state machines that set rates on receiving a Signaling Message with a TLV: 409, 412, 410, 411, 413
- How to refer to 1588-Rev: 25, 316, 374
- Domains: 399, 400
- Rewording certain subclauses of Clause 14 to make it clear that the respective managed objects are RW and not R: 303, 304, 306, 307, 308, 312, 313, 314, 315
- Wrong data types in Table 14-10: 234, 242, 298, 299, 301, 302, 305
- Other comments related to managed objects and MIB (24 comments): 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 243, 262, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 300, 310, 311, 418

Other comments (42 comments, not grouped)

□ 6, 7, 24, 27, 28, 227, 228, 230, 232, 238, 246, 248, 249, 251, 252, 256, 258, 261, 263, 267, 268, 274, 275, 276, 278, 281, 324, 326, 339, 353, 354, 360, 361, 362, 366, 367, 368, 372, 378, 381, 384, 392, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398, 408, 417

- Need to confirm that 268 was withdrawn; it was marked withdrawn in MS Access DB, but was listed as “Proposed Reject” (editor has changed this to final mode, but must confirm that this was discussed)
- A few corrections have been made to the editor’s report, relative to previous version:
 - Missing comment numbers were added
 - Duplicate comment numbers were eliminated
 - Comments with final resolution in the MS Access DB that were mistakenly not marked off in the report, were marked off
 - With these corrections, the numbers of remaining comments in the MS Access DB and editor’s report agree (at present, 49, assuming comment 268 is completed)

Editorial Comments

- The following editorial comments are considered to be straightforward and can be accepted (or, in one case (comment 26), accepted in principle); it is planned to accept them, and discuss them only if there is a request to discuss them (24 comments)
 - Delete strikethroughs: 16, 259, 235, 260
 - Typo (missing space): 383, 21; 373, 229 (2 different places)
 - Others: 20, 23, 26, 253, 254, 255, 269, 270, 271, 342, 343, 350, 351, 352, 365, 385
- Other editorial comments (17 comments; these will be discussed):
 - 2, 22, 272, 273, 325, 344, 346, 369, 379, 380, 382, 386, 414, 415, 416, 419, 420