IEEE 802.1 Minutes, November 2006

Opening Plenary, Monday, November 13, 2006

Agenda – Tony Jeffree

Voting rules and what it consists of - Tony Jeffree

Patent Policy - Tony Jeffree

Tony discussed the IEEE patent policy and showed the required two slides and insured everyone in the room was aware of the IEEE patent policy

Use of AV devices – Tony Jeffree

Can not record meeting – this is part of IEEE rules

Members of the press must announce their presence

If you make statements to the press and represent yourself as speaking for 802 or 802.1 you must have the approval of IEEE 802 and IEEE 802.1

Cell phones in off or vibrate

Presentation Material - Tony Jeffree

Keep the size down and no copyrights!

Tony does not want to impose a standard template of presenters but it depends upon the thoughtful use of the presenters

Future Meetings – Tony Jeffree

January, Monterey, CA week of 22 Jan hosted by Michael Johas

Teener/Broadcom, planned to be a five day meeting

May joint interim with 802.3 and ITUT in Geneva 28-31 May Following week

ITUT SG 15 meeting and workshop (31 May – 1 June)

Glen Parsons presentation about May interim

Carrier Ethernet workshop the week after the May interim

Will be in the ITU headquarters

3 rooms for 802.1

3 rooms for 802.3

Will need photo badge to get into the building – will get it when you show up for the meeting

Hotel block for ITU block

Hotels -110 - 220 US see the presentations on the 802.1 web site

September – some discussion about Seoul or Stockholm

Executive Committee report – Tony Jeffree

Brief update on 802.20

Appointed chairman, Arnie Greenspan

Participants will undergo a "background" check to declare who they work for etc; the rest of 802 will undergo the same thing in 2007

802 task force

Get802

Falling short of revenue goals, people are waiting the six months to get a copy; so next year it will be one year before appearing on the free list and 802 will change its contribution to get802

Online attendance system

May have one around 2008

Tutorial

Low power Ethernet call for interest; this may require some signaling between lower layers and upper this may affect 802.1 Wireless mesh

SEC₆

802.11i and WAPI

The cooperate agreement between SC6 and IEEE 802 needs to do some work. Robin Tasker is developing responses to comments

There is now an IEEE office in Beijing

They want to hold a plenary in Beijing

AUDCOM

Auditing entity of IEEE do not approve of IEEE 802 policies and procedures

<u>Discussion about copyright on presentation material – Tony Jeffree</u>

Actually, don't need a copyright on the material to have a right

The assumption has been that presentation material has been released; this is not a valid assumption

Need a "cover page" that releases the presentation material

Each presentation can have a link to the rules that release the material

The presentation about this will be on the web site; everyone should take a look Balloting process – Tony Jeffree

Wanted to move current paper based process into a database model; this is how the ballots are done at sponsor ballot

802.3 has an existing database tool that we can have access to; it takes CSV or Excel and produce the required reports and format the comments

Tony will use the 802.3 tool to learn how it works and how to incorporate it The 802.3 tool can be run on the voter's machine and it will produce the ballot response

O & A – Tony Jeffree

Reaffirmation ballot

12 no votes -8% - lots of comments asking for changes to the standard The reaffirmation may fail and we are busy so do we have the time to revise this standard?

Need to go through comments and decide what needs to be done Most likely will need to do a revision PAR

802.1AE MIB – Tony Jeffree

There is a minor error; an incorrect data type and use

A corrected MIB has been posted on the web site

An interpretation request has been submitted

Need a formal response to the interpretation request and possible raise a corrigendum

Just need a response to the interpretation request

Use the "new" IEEE OID arc

Things already generated should stay where they are Even when revising leave the MIB where it is

Presentation of Editor Awards – Tony Jeffree

Task Group Agenda for the week – Mick Seaman Tuesday and Wednesday for security af and ar 802.1 Congestion Management Agenda Tuesday 1330-1345 Agenda update Thaler 1345-1400 Report from IETF transport area meeting Thaler 802.3Qau simulation and proposals 1400-1430 Simulation Ad hoc report Wedakar Sensitivity Analysis of BCN with ZRL Congestion Benchmark Gusat 1430-1500 Break 1500-1515 1515-1600 Case study: InfiniBand CM Parameter Tuning Gusat Overview of simulation and theory work Prabhakar 1600-1630 1630-1700 Stability and Fairness Lu Wednesday Flow-level analysis of BCN, and its interaction with TCP Lakshmikantha 0900-1000 1000-1200 A simple and efficient BCN Jain 1200-1330 lunch Simulation results Kwan 1330-1400 30 min Editor's update and discussion Finn 30 min 802.3Qau schedule Thaler

Future work

30 min Priority Pause Hazarika

30 min The Need for an Improved PAUSE Gusat

With late breaking presentations and discussion, the work is expected to extend into Thursday morning.

Internetworking

Monday

9.30-11.30: P802.1ah Provider Backbone Bridges (Bottorff)

Editors' review of current draft

1.00- 2.30: 802.1 WG Opening Plenary

Chair's opening remarks.

Agenda setting and confirmation for week.

Plan for responses to comments on DDCFM and PARs

Interim meeting proposals.

2.30- 2.45 : 802 Architecture meeting report/discussion (Jeffree)

3.00- 3.15 : ITU-T SG13/SG15 Liaison (Ohta, Mohan)

3.30- 3.45 : IETF liaison (Wijnen)

3.45-4.15: Outstanding liaisons (Seaman)

4.15-4.45: PBT PAR proposal (Bottorff)

4.45-5.15: HVLAN proposal - for information (Porat)

Tuesday 9.00-10.00: P802.1ag, Editor's review (Finn) [Interworking: Reunion H] (this session will include security discussions considerations) 9.00-11.00 : P802.1af DevID, Editor's review (Borza) [Security : Cumberland F] 10.00-12.30: P802.1ak Sponsor ballot resolution (Jeffree) [Interworking: Reunion H] 11.00-12.30: P802.1af KeySec, Editor's review (Seaman) [Security: Cumberland F] 1.30-2.30: RBridges - IETF liaison (Gray)) [Interworking: Reunion H] 1.30-5.00: P802.1af DevID, Editor's review (Borza) [Security: Cumberland F] 2.30- 5.00 : P802.1ah, Editor's review (Bottorff) PBB & CFM (Vissers) Wednesday 9.00-10.00: 802.3 liaison re extended power support in LLDP? 9.00-10.00 : [Security :] 10.30-12.30 : P802.1af KeySec (Seaman) [Security:] 10.30-12.30 : [Interworking:] 1.30-5.00: P802.1AR DevID, Editor's discussion (Borza) [Security:] 1.30-2.30: P802.1aq, Editor's discussion (Seaman) [Interworking:] 2.30- 5.00: [Interworking:] Thursday 9.00-12.30: P802.1ag, Editor's Review (Finn)[Interworking:] 10.00-12.00 : t.b.a ()[Interworking :] 1:30- 4.00 : 802.1 WG Closing Plenary [] Finalize text of proposed PARs; review draft text and enabling resolutions for draft PARs; IETF and ITU liaisons (as required); document progression (enabling resolution for editors and ballots); interim meeting consideration etc. Liaisons reports ITU-T SG13/SG15 – Hiroshi Ohta & Dinesh Mohan Y.1731 and 802.1ag have differences with link trace. There needs to be some discussion of this issue at this plenary IETF Liaisons – Bert Wijnen <u>IETF CCAMP – Mick Seaman</u> Translation of VLAN tags and other MAC information Lots of discussion Mick will put together an email and send it around so it can be discussed Thursday ITU SG15 – Glen Parsons Ethernet rings and Ethernet ring protection Previously, we sent liaison letter on this subject If doing protection implies pre-assigned protection path MEF - Mick Seaman

Reserved addresses

MEF – Mick Seaman

UNI Type 2

Norm will sort through this

DSL Forum – Mick Seaman

CFM question – see our MIB

Proposal for future work

PBT Par – Paul Bottorff

Provider Backbone Transport

This is a proposal for a PAR

There will be a motion Thursday to allow work to begin on this project Allowed traffic engineered paths to be created in an ah backbone More time will scheduled this week for discussion of this project

Tuesday AM, November 14, 2006

The security track reviewed 802.1ar/D0.7 – Mike Borza

Review the draft

Are we going to ECC?

What are the issues with using ECC?

Amount of RSA deployed

Protocols are defined using RSA but few that use ECC How does AR setup and support the transition to AF?

Lots of discussion about the crypto and the TLS exchanges Need lots of off-line discussion to sort through how ECC would be used in a credential and how it affects EAP-TLS

> A presentation will be developed to be provided to the entire group so everyone can be up to speed and a consensus can be developed

References

Should be things that are required to implement the specification and the bibliography can have marginal things

How to validate a credential and/or how does CA sign a credential

What specification to use for identifying the signing scheme P1363 or PKCS

Discussion

Use PKCS-1 -> RSA RFC 4492 - ECC

Definitions

Looking at DevID definition

Clause 6

<meta – change bars coming in 0.8 and will reflect the changes from 0.7 only>

Short discussion about definition of device

802.1AF Draft Review - Mick Seaman

Tuesday PM, November 14, 2006

Section 6.2.3 - Max

Lots of discussion about how to represent and what functions a certificate representation will require. The resource requirements needed to support three to four levels deep is not onerous. A footnote will be added to explain and define this discussion for the purpose of soliciting comments on the next ballot.

802.1ar draft 0.7 review – Mike Borza

Lifetime of certificates Figure 6-3

Wednesday AM, November 15, 2006

Security Discussion - Mick Seaman

Discussion about TLS and its crypto requirements

TLS with ECDLS

RFC 4492

802.1AF – Mick Seaman

Clause 5 review

Wednesday PM, November 15, 2006

P802.1AR draft review – Mike Borza

Possible certificate signature technologies

	Sign	Verify
RSA-1024-SHA1 (L)		X
RSA-2048-SHA1 (L)		X
RSA-2048-SHA256 (A)	X	X
RSA-3072-SHA1		
RSA-3072-SHA256		
ECC-P256R1-SHA256 (D)	X	X

Discussion

Lots of discussion about the ramifications of ECC only versus ECC mandatory and RSA optional

So is it time to make ECC mandatory?

Is RSA support necessary?

Text will be swapped to adopt ECC

It was decided that requiring RSA was not necessary

The RSA stuff will be moved into Z this way we can get feedback from others in the group

Review of text for time definitions in 7.3.5

RFC 3280 validity versus AR

The difference is because AR allows the DevID to be used after the use after time

AR allows the vendor/CA tree to disappear

Closing Plenary, Thursday, November 16, 2006

<u>Upload area on web site – Tony Jeffree</u>

Need sftp suggest you use Winscp (free) http://winscp.net/eng/index.php

Gouper.ieee.org

Voting membership rules – Tony Jeffree

Patent Policy - Tony Jeffree

The two required slides where shown to the group and Tony insured that everyone is aware of the IEEE patent policy

AV Techno Toy rules – Tony Jeffree

Presentation Material - Tony Jeffree

Make it small

<u>Future Meetings – Tony Jeffree</u>

Jan. 22, 2007 (5 days) – Michael/Broadcom, Monterey

May 28 – 31, Geneva, joint meeting with ITU

September – Korea - Seoul or island off the south coast

Stockholm? Any week in September would work, more details to follow

We will decide in March

Straw Poll: Stockholm – 34

Korea – 36

Details on Monterey Interim

Hilton Garden Inn, Room Rate 129/night + tax, \$60 dollars per day, free parking, free Internet

Proposal that we include an explicit copyright release on all presentations

Balloting Process – Tony Jeffree

Tony is looking at the tools used by 802.3 to see if those tools can be used in 802.1

802 O & A – Tony Jeffree

Need to raise a revision PAR

Should go ahead with reaffirmation process because a revision will take some time, so the reaffirmation is needed

Link Aggregation – Tony Jeffree

802.3 is putting it into a standalone standard 802.3.1, then 802.1 can revise that as a 802.1 standard. Then 802.3.1 can be administratively withdrawn. This is the ideal path because it has minimal impact on 802.1

802.1AE MIB - Tony Jeffree

Corrected MIB and explanation has been posted on website

Approve interpretation response

Norm's interpretation request on use of reserved addresses – Norm Finn

The web site will have a correct and a response to the interpretation request will be sent through the IEEE channels

Mick has a table of reserved addresses and what entities filter/forward them in 802.1af that can be generalized for each of the standards; a table like this one should be put in 802.1Q

Old interpretation request that we did not answer – Tony Jeffree

Mick will take a look at it to draft a response

Use of "new" IEEE OID arc – Tony Jeffree

When O & A is revised this can be fixed

TG reports – Tony Jeffree

Interworking
Trill presentation
Security
AV
CM

Sanity Check – current workload – Tony Jeffree

Table was correct to show the end dates that RevCom will use

Motions

802.1 approves the July 2006 minutes.

Proposed: wright Seconded: messenger For 31 Against 0 Abstain 1

802.1 resolves to hold a pre-meeting on the Monday morning of the March 2007

plenary session.

802.1 Proposed: seaman

Second: congdon

For: 34 Against: 0 Abstain: 1

802.1 resolves to hold an interim session in Monterey, CA, week of 22 Jan 2007, hosted

by Michael Johas Teener/Broadcom

Proposed: Teener Second: Wright

For: 39 Against: 0 Abstain: 2

802.1 resolves to hold an interim

session in Geneva, 28-31 May 2007,

hosted by ITU-T Proposed: Parsons Second: Wright

For: 39 Against: 0 Abstain: 1

802.1 approves the following text as resolution of

Norm Finn's interpretation request on reserved MAC

addresses in 802.1ad:

"802.1 intends to amend IEEE Std. 802.1ad-2005, adding address 01-80-C2-00-00-0E to Table 8-2, so that frames with that destination address do not pass through an S-VLAN component. IEEE 802.1 does not intend to define any device that relays address 01-80-C2-00-00-0E."

Proposed: Finn Seconded: Congdon For 37 Against 0 Abstain 2

802.1 approves the following text as resolution of

Tony Jeffree's interpretation request on the MIB error in 802.1AE:

"The 802.1 Working Group agrees that proposal made in the interpretation request, namely that the appropriate solution is to change the definition of the secyCipherSuiteProtectionOffset data type on page 100 of the standard from INTEGER to Integer32, is correct. A corrected version of the MIB text, with this change made, has been posted on the 802.1 website at:

http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/MIBs/802-1ae-2006-mibcorrected. txt "

Proposed: wijnen Seconded: wright

For 35 Against 0 Abstain 1

802.1 requests EC approval to forward the draft PAR/5C for P802.1av, Forwarding and Queuing for Time - Sensitive Streams, to NesCom.

Proposed: teener Second:

wright For: 34 Against: 0 Abstain: 3

802.1 requests EC approval to forward the draft PAR/5C for P802.1aw, Data

dependent CFM, to NesCom.

Proposed: seaman Second: messenger

For: 36 Against: 0 Abstain: 3

802.1 requests EC approval to forward the draft PAR for revision of 802.1AB

to NesCom.

Proposed: congdon Second: wright For: 34 Against: 0 Abstain: 3

802.1 requests EC approval to forward the draft PAR for revision of 802

Overview and Architecture to NesCom

Proposed: wright Second: patton

For: 38 Against: 1 Abstain: 2

802.1 requests conditional approval from the EC to submit the IEEE Std

802, Overview and Architecture, reaffirmation to RevCom.

Proposed: wright Second: patton For: 33 Against: 0 Abstain:1

802.1 instructs the editor of P802.1ag (CFM), Norm Finn, to prepare a further draft taking into account the discussions during the Nov 2006 meeting. The Chair is authorised to issue the draft for Working Group recirculation ballotting.

Proposed: finn Second: mohan

For: 39 Against: 0 Abstain: 1

802.1 authorizes the Chair to request an EC email ballot to approve forwarding P802.1ag to Sponsor ballot should WG balloting be completed prior to the

March Plenary.

Proposed: finn Seconded: mohan For 36 Against 0 Abstain 2

802.1 instructs the editor of P802.1ak (MRP), Tony Jeffree, to prepare a further draft taking into account the resolutions of the Sponsor ballot agreed during the November 2006 meeting. The Chair is authorized to issue the draft for further Sponsor recirculation balloting.

Proposed: wright Second: sultan For: 33 Against: 0 Abstain: 2

802.1 requests EC conditional approval to forward P802.1ak to

RevCom.

Proposed: wright Second: sultan

For: 32 Against: 0 Abstain: 2

802.1 requests its Chair to make a formal request to IEEE 1588 to use the 4-bit TransportID as the subtype for IEEE 1588 over layer 2 ethernet, and to specify a unique value of that field for use in 802.1AS.

Proposed: teener Second: wright

For: 32 Against: 0 Abstain: 8

802.1 authorizes its January interim meeting to continue developing a draft PAR and 5C for "PBB traffic engineering" and authorizes the Chair to circulate it to the EC to meet the 30-day precirculation requirement for the March meeting.

Proposed: bottorff Second: wright For: 32 Against: 0 Abstain: 3

Discussion of liaison statement to IETF and CCAMP

Mick presented his response

Nurit presented a counter proposal

Straw Poll (802.1 Voters only) – Which of these two proposals should we use?

Nurit's -5Mick's -15

802.1 authorizes its Chair to forward the text of the liaison statement to IETF CCAMP as agreed during the closing plenary.

Proposed: seaman Second: wright For: 19 Against: 5 Abstain: 8

802.1 authorizes its Chair to forward the joint 802.1/802.17 liaison response on protection and restoration to the ITU, as agreed during the closing plenary.

Proposed: seaman Second: Parsons

For: 22 Against: 0 Abstain: 3

802.1 authorizes its Chair to forward the text of the liaison statement to the MEF re their 10038 – implementers' agreements, as agreed during the closing plenary.

Proposed: finn Second: wright For: 13 Against: 0 Abstain:2

802.1 authorizes its Chair to forward the text of the liaison statement to the MEF re their 10036 - protocol filtering at UNIs, as agreed during the closing plenary.

Proposed: Finn Second: haddock For: 12 Against: 0 Abstain: 2

802.1 authorizes its Chair to forward the text of the liaison statement to IETF

CCAMP as agreed during the closing plenary.

Proposed: seaman Second: wright For: 19 Against: 5 Abstain: 8

802.1 authorizes its Chair to respond to the NGN Management Focus Group as

agreed during the closing plenary. Proposed: Finn Second: wright For: 11 Against: 0 Abstain:2

802.1 authorizes its Chair to respond to ITU-T regarding linktrace as agreed

during the closing plenary. Proposed: Finn Second: wright For: 14 Against: 0 Abstain: 0

Motion to Adjourn Proposed Wright Second David Martin Unanimous

Attendees:

<u>NAME</u>	SURNAME
Osama	Aboul-Magid
Manfred	Arndt
Davide	Bergamasco
Jan	Bialkowski
Jean-Michel	Bonnamy
Mike	Borza
Paul	Bottorff
Rudolf	Brandner
Robert	Brunner

Frank Chao Paul Congdon Alex Conta Diego Crupnicoff William Dai Claudio Desanti Linda Dunbar Eastlake Donald Ehlers Kristian Hesham Elbakoury Fedyk Don Felix Feifei Feng Norm Finn John Fuller Sridhara Gangula Edna Ganon Geoffrey Garner Ghanwani Anoop Goetz Mark Gravel Eric Gray Grewal Ken Tanmay Gupta Mitch Gusat Steve Haddock Takafumi Hamano Brian Hassink Brian Hausauer Asif Hazarika Qingyi He Swngchwl Heo Guy Hutchison Romain Insler Jain Raj Jeffree Tony Michael Johas Teener Kilcrease Keti Doyem Kim Tae-eun Kim Yongbum Kim Marc Kimpe David Koenen Raghu Kondapalli Kwok Kong Bruce Kwan Kari Laihonen Tung Le Yannick Le Goff Marcus Leech John Lemon

Loh Zhi-Hern Anthony Magee Maltbie Dan David Martin Marco Mascitto Mathey Tom Alan McGuire Menucher Menuchery John Messenger Dinesh Mohan Bob Moskowitz Don O'Connor Hiroshi Ohta Shlomo Ovadia Tim Ozugur Don Pannell Glenn Parsons Patton Ken Peers Pelissier Joe Haim Porat Max Pritikin Charles Qi Ananda Rajagopal Randall Karen Martin Rhodes Robert Roden Roeck Guenter Allyn Romanow Dan

Romascanu Jessy V Rouyer Ali Sajassi Salowey Panagiotis Saltsidis John Sauer Mick Seaman Seto Koichiro Himanshu Shah Smith Brian Nurit Sprecher Matt Squire Kevin B Stanton Bob Sultan Richard Sun Muneyoshi Suzuki Francois Tallet Pat Thaler Sashisekaran Thiagarajan Oliver Thorp Maarten Vissers

Dennis Volpano Manoj Wadekar Brian Weis Bert Wijnen Winkel Ludwig Winter Robert Jeff Wise David Wong Michael D. Wright Chien-Hsien Wu Yongji Wu Zong Liang Wu Hideo Yosimi Suping Zhai