IEEE P802.11 Wireless LANs

Bit Error Rate vs. Frame Error Rate in Wireless LANs

Date:

July 10, 1995

Author:

Nathan Silberman Nortel Corp. 685A East Middlefield Road Mountain View Ca 94039-7277 Phone: (415) 940 - 2283 Fax: (415) 940 - 2308

e-Mail: snathan @ ix.netcom.com

Abstract

This paper presents a practical method for translating frame errors into bit errors while taking into account the frame size and other factors such as the Inter Frame Spacing. A method previously presented in May 95 PHY meetings, takes into account only frames of 112 octets for the purpose of Bit Error calculation from Frame Error Rate.

1. Introduction

One of the objectives of the IEEE 802.11 Medium Access unit is to provide a mean bit error rate at the MAC layer of less than one part in 10 8. As we all know, bits are grouped in frames, but unfortunately 802.11 does not specify a maximum frame error rate. From the MAC stand point FER is the measurement that makes sense, being the only thing it can measure. From the PHY standpoint BER makes more sense because the PHY deals with a bit stream and it is easier to measure.

The wireless LAN environment provides a number of additional challenges and differences from versus models developed for the wired environment that usually assume Gaussian noise distribution. In the wireless environment the channel is interference limited with bursty characterisitics, and the error rate depends on a complex combination of factors. The issue is what performance are we trying to quantify. Are we looking at the performance of the radio only, the radio and the post detection processing, or the whole chain from the antenna to the output of the MAC. As defined today 802.11 has only two points of access: the antenna and the top of the MAC. If we make the optimistic assumption that all nodes in the network have equal receiver sensitivity some of these factors are:

- 1. Number of active users on the same LAN, their transmitted power, their access statistics and distance.
- 2. Number of similar LANs in the same area, number of active users on each LAN, their transmitted power, their access statistics and distance.
- 3. Number of dissimilar devices transmitting in the same area and their transmission characteristics and statistics.

Unfortunately, in 802.11 we have not defined yet a channel model to offer a standardised method for performance measurement equal for all wireless LAN devices, and this adds another dimension to the complexity of the problem.

Availability of a PHY - MAC exposed (or reachable) interface would resolve the problem by allowing each part to measure errors utilising the most convenient

method and then translate the effective error rate utilising one of the standard methods. However we don't have an agreed exposed MAC-PHY interface.

For the purpose of this presentation it is assumed that errors detected in the MAC are not a result of factors other than PHY or channel performance. (For those interested in the PHY performance with an ideal channel -i.e Gaussian noise only- an isolated test environment will be required) In addition to factors outlined above, the bit or frame error rates are definitely a function of: obort V

- the length of the frame

- the spacing between frames

- the disturbance's characterisitics.

(note: in the following, I'll use the term disturbance for any factor that disturbes the received bit stream, such as noise, interference, colisions etc.)

Providing a system that meets any particular bit error rate in absolute terms is next to impossible because it would require transmission of an infinite number of bits. For that purpose (less accurate (but acceptable) statistical methods based on finite experimental measurements have been developed. This document presents a techniques for calculating bit error rates based on measured frame error rates and frame length: I hope this will alleviate the controversy.

Noise Models

Though the wireless environments have multiple complex models I will try to classify the error models to only two by the effects produced by disturbances. emperior de la como de

Single Bit Errors (Model 1) & Art a Character

The first model to be considered is based on short disturbances that cause single bits to be received incorrectly, i.e a logic zero is read as one and a logic one is read as zero. In this case we can assume a uniform distribution model. This model does not preclude multiple errors (i.e multiple consecutive single bit errors).

Single bit errors during (air) collisions with any signal (similar or dissignilar signals) seem not to be a problem. A single error per frame allows for a simple calculation of the BER. If frequent interference or collisions occur, the frame rate can be lowered to a level that will minimise

interference effects (or collisions).

2.2 Multiple Bit Errors (Model 2)

When noise or collisions occur in long disturbances, the result will be totally different than the single bit model, depending on the length and position of the disturbance in relationship with the interframe gap. (In 802.11 we have to deal with several values of interframe gaps IFS, DIFS, SIFS.)

If the disturbance burst is short, relative to the interframe gap, the effect will be identical to the single bit errors and the frame will be rejected. If the burst is longer, it may cause not only multiple errors in a frame, but errors in multiple frames. The probability of multiframe destruction depends on the following factors: " the and the first and the factors are the factors are the factors and the factors are the 1. Disturbance length
2. Interframe spacing

and a great last

- 3. Frame length
- 4. Statistics of the disturbance

When the interframe spacing increases or frame size increases, the probability of a burst to affecting more than one frame decreases. On heavily loaded networks, the average interframe spacing will be small. In the average, the length of the disturbance is expected to be more than the average interframe gap, therefore the frame error rate will increase to reflect this situation. The increase can be approximated by: The state of the work of the state of

traji saso jih il

ध्य प्रशासिकान ने न स्थानको । एक एका द्वारिका स्था TO THE STATE OF THE STATE OF THE SAME

(Avg. disturbance length) - (Avg./Interframe spacing) - Statistics A-OEM BOLDERS & "(Avg. frame length) : 47 H Janier

As with single bit error model the frame error rate should be reduced by a factor to account for the collision rate. The collision rate and the probability that long disturbances will affect more than one frame will be both positively proportional to the network load.

3. Error Calculation Methods

3.1 Direct Measurement

At the Physical layer the bit error rate can be measured by directly comparing the received bits (after demodulation, data and clock recovery) with the bits transmitted. The easiest way to implement this type of test is without any framing. By transmitting a sufficiently large enough number of bits, the bit error rate can be proven with avery high probability. This method is very common in testing radio equipment on production lines and suitable for "non-bursty" evenly The design of the department are configured to the distributed errors....

However, this method does not represent the real life situation for the radio PHYs, the PLCP part is not accurately represented in this type of measurement and the environment is mostly bursty.

Therefore, this method represents just a raw bit error rate measurement. Therefore, this method represents just a raw bit error rate measurement.

For a more realistic picture which will represent receiver's ability to synchronise and recover bits, a frame based test would seem to be more appropriate. In order to represent real life, various frame lengths and various interframe spaces (i.e frames with various spacing) have to be transmitted for long enough to provide a good statistical probability.

At the output of the MAC the relevant real data bits will then be compared with the transmitted bits.

The number of received frames will be counted. Frames with errors in the PLCP or in the body of the frame will be rejected. Tel im til tige tå tilbeski.

If the disturbance bursts are very short, such as in the noise Model 1, then the continuos measurement method will provide a good indication of the effects of the disturbance on the network throughput. Each bit error will cause in average one frame loss and vice versa.

If the disturbances are long, such as in Model 2, then direct measurement will (exaggerate) amplify the effects of disturbance on the network throughput. If a noise burst for example, causes 50 consecutive bit errors (50 microseconds burst), it might destroy one frame but would be counted 50 times under the direct measurement. The distribution of the bit errors should be recorded and the equivalent frame error rate reduced accordingly. For bursts, less than the interframe spacing, only one error should be counted. For disturbances longer than one interframe spacing, but less

than an average frame size, n frame errors should be counted.

There are several potential problems with the direct measurement method: first, standard MAC controllers can not be used, second, any means (such as jabbers) that limit the length of t transmissions would interfere with the measurement and need to be disabled (if possible), Most MAC designs would also have maximum frame size limitations. Another problem would be creating a "quiet" environment where no other devices utilise the medium. All these are hard to M. 344 10 implement in an open "wireless" environment.

The only way the direct method can be utilised, is in an isolated environment and with the PHY separated from the MAC in a special test fixture providing all the necessary MAC controls and interfaces. This would be a challenge for the compliance testers.

3.2 Indirect Measurement
On the assumption that many of the MAC controllers will be similar with existing LAN controllers, they will be capable to record the number of received frames that contain errors. These errors usually fall in one of the following categories:

Fee. 2

The same

10-21

CRC errors: one or more bits have been received incorrectly.

Alignment Errors: One or more bits have been received incorrectly and the number of bits received does not correspond to an integer number of octets. Interference can cause extra bits to be received on create extra transitions in the signal atteams and July 30 11 6.

Short Frame Errors: less than a minimum length frame was received. Although this typically is a result of a collision, noise or interference it can also wipe out enough bits to prevent reception of a minimum length frame.

The advantages of using the indirect measurement method are as following:

- 1. Standard MAC controllers can be used. Since they are part of the entire chain, the overall bit error rate of the system may be estimated.
- 2. Other activity on the network can continue and may even be encouraged to create realistic test environments, name round to large or Alle of the other state or the language of
- 3. The real Frame Error rate is measured.

The disadvantages of this method:

The calculated bit error rate is not as accurate as the direct continuous bit stream measurement.

3.2.1 Calculating Bit Error Rate under Noise Model 1

Assumptions:

1. For the simplification of this presentation it is assumed that bit errors are uniformly distributed throughout the frame (If errors distribution is significantly different, then the results can be multiplied with the function representing the distribution).

The bit error rate is much less than 1.0.

Definitions:

stance of October of at m8 bitself emouses recommons and attracting part. The profession of 64 to 1500 Octets Frame Business a said Bioerfor rate of a fair of section and said Frame error rate Number of bits per frame Number of frames transmitted Probability of exactly i errors in n frames P(n.i) Cumulative probability of from 0 to i errors in a frames S(n,i)

The gross frame error rate will be

During the test of in frames, the number of frame errors fil" is recorded. The value for i is in the range of 0 to n. For large "n" the probability of exactly i errors in n frames follows a Poisson distribution of the form:

$$v = n*f$$
 [3-3]

Note: "*" denotes multiplication.

Then the cumulative probability is calculated as:

ct a m ningam it grib in ale.

all the real states of the second of the real second of the real second of the second the state of the properties of the second prop [3-4] त्या कर होते वीर एक तथा है। जा है जा तो विवास विद्याप करेंद्र के दिए हैं। अपने कर के पर

Long of the system and be estimated.

The cumulative probability can then be used with the test results to prove Bit Error Rate to the desired accuracy. Tables for S(n,i) for various values of n,i and b cam be compiled and used during testing during testing. to a metric file and the control of interest that all a sine out of

Example:

This example is used to demonstrate the approach:

Number of transmitted frames = 10^{60} | Section 20 | Section 20 | Number of transmitted frames = 10^{60} | Section 20 | Section 20 | Number of transmitted frames = 10^{60} | Section 20 | Sectio

No of Frame errors= 85

Frame size 1500 potets. The talker a trade and the way the way the man and the same of the

In order to calculate the probability that the BER is equal or better than $10^{-8...TC_{\rm order}}$ was A man som at carriom of order () as carrio a 8

 $b = 10^{-8}$

m = 1500 * 8 = 12000

i = 85

 $f = 1 - (1 - b)^{m} = 1 - (1 - 10^{-8})^{12000} = 1.19928 * 10^{-4}$ $v = n * f = (1.19928 * 10^{-4}) * (1 * 10^{-6}) = 1.9928 * 10^{-2}$

 $P(n,0) = e^{-v} * v^i/i! = e^{-119.928} * v^0/0! = 8.24 * 10^{-53}$ The same calculations are repeated for P(n,1), P(n,2)... P(n,85)

The probability that the bit error rate is less than or equal to 10 sis provided by

Lodies of the secons acrossion edf

Calculation of S(n,i)

In order to calculate the cumulative probabilities a computer routine based on the following formula outer Diffe outer can be used.

Hall, high has altheir month and he have by a configuration that had being the soft.

Due to overflow limitation of computing machines it is highly desirable to utilise a natural 1967 ROS 1. 3 APR (1) logarithm formula:

$$\ln (Pn,i) = \ln (e^{-v} * v^{i}/i!) = \ln (e^{-v}) + \ln (v^{i}) \ln (i!)$$
 [3-6]

Note: "In" denotes natural logarithm after mathematical manipulations the formula becomes:

$$P(n,i)=\exp\left[-v+(i*ln(v))-(ln(1)+ln(2)+...+ln(i))\right]$$
 [3-7]

Frame size considerations: as frame sizes vary, there is a small window in the PLCP preamble where a corruption of a few bits can be tolerated. Since this window is relatively small in relationship with the frame size, it can be neglected for the purpose of calculations at the size

SUMMARY

A practical method for translating the Frame Error Rate to Bit Error Rates has been shown. A similar method has been used for estimation of BER in wired LANs with good results. Any improvements on the method are welcomed,

F1 72

ered white a singular

on the state of the second

Then the result of the action of the color

PERM Sign.