Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			

## Results of LMSC Ballot on Draft Standard 802.11 D5.0

## **Resolutions for Comments on Clause 9**

Seq	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
.#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
1	9.1.1	TLP	T		When two alternatives are supposed to cover the span of	Change 9.1.1 to read "If the medium is	Accepted.
					possibilities, they must be logical complements.	not sensed busy, the transmission may	
						proceed."	
2	9.1.1	TLP	e		Parallel headings should have parallel structure and shoul	d Add "(DCF)" to first heading.	Accepted.
	9.1.2				assist the reader.	Add "(PCF)" to second heading.	
3	9.1.2	AS	t	y	The third sentence in the second paragraph states	Delete the third sentence in the	Accepted.
					that "all frame transmissions under the point	second paragraph.	Changed "shall" to "may".
					coordination function shall use an IFS that is smaller	•	
					than the IFS for frames transmitted via the		
					distributed coordination function.		
					This contradicts the description in clause 9.3.3.1		
					which states that "the PC may send its next pending		
					transmission as soon as a PIFS after the end of its las	t	
					transmission."		

Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
4	9.1.2	AS	t	y	The resolution of comment 101The members of a point-coordinated BSS won't even attempt to gain access to the medium out of turn (theirNAVs are set), so using PIFS to give the AP priority is wacky. It really is only to allow the AP to grab the medium away from another overlapping BSS.jz) for the ballot on D4.0, was Editorial / Clarification  Text change in section 9.1.2 without changing the meaning.  ACCEPTED		Accepted. Merged last two sentences of the paragraph with some words deleted
					However, the current text still implies that a shorter IFS is used to give the PC priority access to the medium.		
5	9.1.2	DLP	e		The last paragraph of this section contains the following typo: "controlthe"	Change the text to read: "controls the"	Accepted.
6	9.1.2	JMZ	e		Туро	Need space between "controls" and "the" in last sentence.	Accepted.
7	9.1.2	TLP	e		Second paragraph has an undefined forward referent. Use "a", not "the", when referring to a not-yet-defined concep		Accepted.
8	9.1.4	AS	E	y	This section only describes fragmentation describes.	Change references to MSDU to MSDU or MMPDU.	Accepted.
9	9.1.4	AS	t	y	The last sentence in the last paragraph indicates that all fragments of a single MSDU are sent as a burst using a single invocation of the PCF medium access procedure. This is not true according to the allowed frame exchange sequences in clause 9.7. An STA other the PC can only transfer one MPDU per poll from the PC.		Accepted. 'or PCF' removed. In addition, for clarity, added 'during the DCF' in order to indicate that a 'burst' was not necessarily applicable during the PCF. Added another sentence (new paragraph) that indicates that PCF fragment transmission follows general PCF rules.
10	9.1.4 fig 37	SD	e		Figure has to be improved.	Realign lines andrecenter « CRC » labels.	Defered to Editors
11	9.1.4	TLP	t		Transmission is virtually 100% reliable; reception is not. The text incorrectly associates a reception-related problen with transmission.		Accepted.

Seq.	Clause	vour	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			<b>^</b>
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T. t	vote			
	I		, , ,			1	
12	9.1.5	KC	T	Y	"The translations are given in the MAC Data Servic		Defered to full MAC group
					State Machine defined in the annex."	diagrams, and make them normative.	Accepted
					There are no such state diagrams in the		
					annex.		
					This standard is very complex. It is not going to be		
					easy for most implementers to understand all the		
					interactions of the parts presented. It is vital to		
					supply the state diagrams and make them normative		
					It is some indication of ponderous nature of this draw	<b>f</b> t	
					that although these diagrams have been promised,		
					they have not been delivered. A good look at clause		
					14 will show that the production of state diagrams for	le <sup>.</sup>	
					that PHY layer added needed clarity. The		
					specification of the MAC layer must match this		
					clarity.		
					Furthermore, I suspect that the framers of clause 14		
					found a few inconsistencies when they produced thes	e	
					diagrams, and that the same thing will happen in the		
					MAC case.		
13	9.2	DLP	e		The fifth paragraph of this section contains the	Change the text to read:	Accepted.
					following typo: frame <newline>s.</newline>	"frames."	
14	9.2	JMZ	e		Туро	Change "frame s" to "frames"	Accepted.
15	9.2	KC	t	Y	"For this reason the RTS and CTS frames shall be	Clarify statement.	Accepted.
					transmitted at one of these mandatory rates."		Added pointer tomultirate
							section, where algorithm for
					Which one? Does this mean the same rate shall be		selection of rate for response
					picked for both RTS and CTS? Is it not the case that		frame is explicit.
					CTS is always set by the RTS? What does this mean	<u> </u>	

Seq. #	Clause number	your voter' s ID code	Cmnt type E, e, T, t	Part of NO vote	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
16	9.2	JD	e		typo	Another means of distributing the medium reservation information is the duration field in directed frames. This field gives the time that the medium is reserved, either to the end of the immediately following ACK, or in the case of a fragment sequence, to the end of the ACK following the next fragment.	Accepted.
17	9.2 2nd ¶	TLP	e		The English of this paragraph is very poor — it is colloquial, judgmental, contains forward referents to asyet-unspecified concepts, and contains ambiguous pronot back-referents.		Accepted.
18	9.2 4th ¶	TLP	E, t		The last sentence describes the inverse of the real relationship. It is the transmitting station that is "hidden' to the non-receiving station, not vice versa. Hiding is no symmetric, and no information is known about the inverse relationship.	Change to read "Thus a station can be unable to receive the originating station, yet still know"	Accepted.
19	9.2 5th ¶	TLP	e, T		In general, collisions (that is, concurrent interfering transmissions) on the wireless medium are not detectable as they are in IEEE 802.3 LANs, but their side-effects ma be observed. The procedure described make a collision inference.	V	Accepted.

Seq. #	Clause number	your voter' s ID code	Cmnt type E, e, T, t	Part of NO vote	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
20	9.2 5th ¶	TLP	e		Poor English	Change "start the process over" to read "repeat the process".	Accepted.
21	9.2 6th ¶	TLP	e		Poor English — "hearing" is a process of living beings, not inanimate objects.	Change "can hear the AP, but not all other STAs" to read "can receive the AP, but cannot receive all otherSTAs".	Accepted.
22	9.2 7th ¶	TLP	e		Inadequate rationale and poor English.	Change first sentence and beginning of second sentence to read "The RTS/CTS mechanism cannot be used for broadcas and multicast frames because there are multiple destinations for the RTS, and thus potentially multiple concurrent senders of the CTS. The RTS/CTS mechanism".	Accepted.
23	9.2 8th ¶	TLP	e		The normative text does not specify which processors of RTS and CTS frames are to perform the specified action.		Accepted.
24	9.2 last ¶ 9.2.4	TLP	е		Other portions of this standard refer to the MIB variable name. This portion should be consistent and also do so, rather than use the circumlocutory way of reference which was presented.		Accepted.
25	9.2.1	TLP	e		Specify both aspects of the determination that is to be made.	Change sentence to read "When the counter is zero, the virtual carrier sense indication is that the medium is idle; when non-zero, that it is busy.".	Accepted.
26	9.2.1 5.1.1.2 (c) 5.2.4.1 5.4 12.all 14.all 15.some 16.all	TLP	e	Yes	The wireless medium is definitely singular (unless there is an alternate universe with multiple "ethers"), or unless P802.11 is extending its charter to acoustic modes of transmission.	s change "edia" to "edium" everywhere except when referring to wired media.	Accepted

	Novem	<i>D</i> <b>L</b> <i>D</i>	LE F 002.11-90/130-0				
Seq.	Clause number	your voter'	Cmnt	Part of	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	s ID	type	NO			
			E, e, T, t				
<u> </u>		code	Ι, ι	vote			
27	9.2.2	TLP	e		The error did not occur in the frame, but in the reception	Change second sentence to end "receive	d Accepted
21	last ¶	11/1			process. Correct the language to reflect the reality.	the frame correctly, and that the error	Accepted
	last $\eta$				process. Correct the language to reflect the reality.	occurred in the reception of the ACK	
						frame."	
28	9.2.3	TLP	e		The paragraph omits references and descriptive	Change to read 'Four different IFSs are	Accepted.
20	1st ¶	11/1			information which would be useful to the reader.	defined to provide priority levels for	Accepteu.
	15ւ դ				information which would be useful to the reader.	access to the wireless media; they are	
						listed in order, from the shortest to the	
						longest. Figure 38 shows some of these	
						relationships."	
29	9.2.3	TLP	e		Change Figure 38's title to be correct.	Change to read "Figure 38, Some IFS	Accepted
	<b>712.</b> 0				change rigate to a time to be control.	Relationships".	riccopicu
30	9.2.3.1	KC	t	Y	"The SIFS shall be the time from the end of the last	Define the physical events that can	Accepted.
					symbol of the previous frame to the beginning of the		Changed "shall" to "is".
					first symbol of the preamble of the subsequent frame		This changes the problem, since
					as seen at the air interface"	event on which to base SIFS.	the SIFS is the summation of
							delay components that span the
					Symbol times are not defined. No test is specified for	•	medium, PHY and MAC, only
					finding the beginning or end of a symbol in the air.		the MAC contribution to SIFS is
					How will this checked?		important in the MAC clauses,
							and NOT the entire SIFS,
							therefore, only the
							MAC_PRC_DELAY needs to be
							specified for the MAC using the
							command "shall." With "is"
							instead, the text becomes
							informative in this section.

	Novem	L P802.11-90/150-0					
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
21	0.2.2.2	TID	Г	Vac	The medium is both time coming and commentation	Character and contains of 0.2.2.2.4	A4- J
31	9.2.3.2	TLP	Е	Yes	The medium is both time-varying and asymmetric.	Change the second sentence of 9.2.3.2 to	Accepted.
	9.2.3.3				"Detection" that the medium is "free" is not possible.	read "A STA using the PCF shall be allowed to transmit contention-free	
	9.2.5.1				Inference that the medium in not in use (i.e., idle) can be		
	9.2.5.2				made based on lack of detection that the medium is in use		
					But such inference of being not-in-use is much less reliab		
					than the detection of being in-use. The language chosen		
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Change the second and third sentences of	
					sensing process.	9.2.3.3 to read "A STA using the DCF shall be allowed to transmit if it senses	
					A1 (1		
					Also, the medium is "free" only if there are no usage fees		
					That aspect has nothing to do with whether the medium i		
					currently in use. Words with the proper connotations, suc		
					as "idle" and "busy", should be used.	time has expired. A STA using the DCF	
						shall not transmit within an EIFS after it	
						senses the medium to be idle following	
						reception of a frame"	
						Change the second paragraph of 9.2.5.1	
						to read "when the STA senses the	
						medium to be idle for greater ".	
						Change first paragraph to read 'when a	
						transmitting STA infers a failed	
						transmission". Change second	
						paragraph to read "a DIFS period during	
						which the medium is sensed inactive for	
						the duration of the DIFS period, or	
						following an EIFS period during which	
						the medium is sensed inactive for the	
						duration of the EIFS period".	

	Novem	DC1 17	E P802.11-90/150-0				
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
32	9.2.3.3	TLP	Е	Yes	The medium is both time-varying and asymmetric.	Change the second sentence of 9.2.3.2 to	Sames as 31
	9.2.3.2				"Detection" that the medium is "free" is not possible.	read "A STA using the PCF shall be	
	9.2.5.1				Inference that the medium in not in use (i.e., idle) can be		
	9.2.5.2				made based on lack of detection that the medium is in use	traffic after it senses the medium idle at	
					But such inference of being not-in-use is much less reliable	e the TxPIFS slot boundary"	
					than the detection of being in-use. The language chosen	-	
						Change the second and third sentences of	
					sensing process.	9.2.3.3 to read "A STA using the DCF	
					C 1	shall be allowed to transmit if it senses	
					Also, the medium is "free" only if there are no usage fees		
					That aspect has nothing to do with whether the medium is		
					currently in use. Words with the proper connotations, suc		
					as "idle" and "busy", should be used.	time has expired. A STA using the DCF	
					as fale and busy, should be used.	shall not transmit within an EIFS after it	
						senses the medium to be idle following	
						reception of a frame"	
						reception of a frame	
						Change the second paragraph of 9.2.5.1	
						to read "when the STA senses the	
						medium to be idle for greater".	
						Change first paragraph to read 'when a	
						transmitting STA infers a failed	
						transmission". Change second	
						paragraph to read "a DIFS period during	
						which the medium is sensed inactive for	
						the duration of the DIFS period, or	
						following an EIFS period during which	
						the medium is sensed inactive for the	
						duration of the EIFS period".	
33	9.2.4	JMZ	t		The paragraph beginning "The Contention Window" is	Insert "Once it reaches aCWmax,"	Accepted.
					poorly worded with respect to remaining at CW max.	before "the CW shall remain at the"	

Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Reductar
π	number	s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
<u> </u>		code	Ι, ι	vote			
34	9.2.4	KC	Т	Y	Given the definition of EIFS in 9.2.3.4, one would	Change to only one delay time for	Comment withdrawn by author.
34	7.2.4	<b>K</b> C		•	expect that allSTAs that try to receive any frames that are transmitted at a data rate that is not one of those supported by the STA will generate CRC error and then use EIFS instead of DIFS fobackoff, and therefore be at a disadvantage resulting in unfair access.	both cases, or think of something else that is fair.	· ·
35	9.2.4	RM	t	N	Definition of CW = An integer between the values of MIB attributesaCWmin andaCWmax,  For consistency across implementations, the endpoints should explicitly included or excluded.	CW = An intege within the range of between the values of MIB attributes aCWmin and aCWmax,	Accepted.

	Novem	DCI 17	70		uoc 1E1	UUC IEEE F 002.11-90/150-0		
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal	
#	number	voter'	type	of				
		s ID	E, e,	NO				
		code	T, t	vote				
36	9.2.4	TLP	e		Specify both aspects of the determination that is to be	Change to read "after a DIFS is detected	Accepted.	
					made.	with the medium idle when the last	_	
						frame detected on the medium was		
						received correctly, or an EIFSis detected		
						with the medium idle when the last		
						frame detected on the medium was not		
						received correctly."		
37	9.2.4	TLP	Е	Yes	"The CW shall take the next valuein the series (or a	Please rewrite to be unambiguous.	Accepted.	
	3rd ¶				higher value) every time an unsuccessful attempt to	_	-	
					transmit an MPDU causes either Station Retry Counter to	<b>,</b>	Deleted (or higher value).	
					increment." This portion of the sentence is very unclear			
					What series? Which series, since there are apparently			
					two? Does "next value" imply preincrementation as it			
					seems to, or post-incrementation as described in the prior			
					two sentences?			

Sog	Clause		Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale		Dignosition/Pobuttol
Seq.	number	your voter'	type	of	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
π	number	s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
<u> </u>		coue	Ι, ι	vote			
38	9.2.5.1	TLP	Е	Yes	The medium is both time-varying and asymmetric.	Change the second sentence of 9.2.3.2 to	Same as 31
30	9.2.3.1	11/1	ь	108	"Detection" that the medium is "free" is not possible.	read "A STA using the PCF shall be	Same as 31
	9.2.3.3				Inference that the medium in not in use (i.e., idle) can be		
	9.2.5.2				made based on lack of detection that the medium is in use		
	7.2.3.2				But such inference of being not-in-use is much less reliab		
					than the detection of being in-use. The language chosen		
						Change the second and third sentences of	•
					sensing process.	9.2.3.3 to read "A STA using the DCF	
					sensing process.	shall be allowed to transmit if it senses	
					Also, the medium is "free" only if there are no usage fees		
					That aspect has nothing to do with whether the medium is		
					currently in use. Words with the proper connotations, suc		
					as "idle" and "busy", should be used.	time has expired. A STA using the DCF	
					as luic and busy, should be used.	shall not transmit within an EIFS after it	
						senses the medium to be idle following	
						reception of a frame"	
						reception of a frame	
						Change the second paragraph of 9.2.5.1	
						to read "when the STA senses the	
						medium to be idle for greater ".	
						inediani to be idie for greater.	
						Change first paragraph to read 'when a	
						transmitting STA infers a failed	
						transmission". Change second	
						paragraph to read "a DIFS period during	
						which the medium is sensed inactive for	
						the duration of the DIFS period, or	
						following an EIFS period during which	
						the medium is sensed inactive for the	
						duration of the EIFS period".	
39	9.2.5.2	DLP	e		The last paragraph of this section contains the	Change the text to read:	Accepted.
33	9.4.3.4	DLI	E		following typo: "e xpiration"	"expiration"	Accepteu.
40	9.2.5.2	SB	t	N	The following statement in 9.2.5.2:	Remove two sentences from 9.2.5.2	Declined.
40	7.2.3.2	ம	ι	1.4	The following statement in 7.2.3.2.	Remove two sentences from 3.2.3.2	Rationale is that in previous
[ [					In an IBSS, the backoff time shall not decrement in the	<del>In an IBSS, the backoff time shall no</del> t	contention interval, (i.e. the
					period from TBTT until the expiration of the ATIM	decrement in the period from TBTT	previous non-ATIM window
					window. Beacon and ATIM frames may be transmitted	until the expiration of the ATIM	period) the STA of the IBSS
					window. Deacon and ATIM frames may be transmitted	until the expiration of the ATTIVI	periou) tile 51A of tile 1555

	Novem	Del 19	LE P802.11-96/156-6				
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			•
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
	•		. /				
					during this same period.	window. Beacon and ATIM frames	network have been colliding and
						may be transmitted during this same	backing off in order to create a
					Seems to be in conflict with 11.2.2.4 which says:	<del>period.</del>	free space on the medium in
							which to get traffic sent. The
					All STAs shall use thebackoff procedure defined in	One might conclude that some text is	contention resolution process
					clause 9.2.5.2 for transmission of the first ATIM	required about MSDUs in back-off at	takes an average time which is
					following the Beacon. All remainingATIMs shall be	the start of the ATIM window in	longer and longer as the number
					transmitted using the conventional DCF access	11.2.2.4 as well for clarity.	of nodes in the IBSS increase, and
					procedure.		hence, it is possible that just as the
							IBSS is getting some traffic
					If STAs are using the back-off procedure within the		through, following a long round of
					ATIM window as in 11.2.2.4, then the back-off time		contention resolution, the next
					must decrement else nothing would ever be transmitted.		ATIM window arrives and if all
							STA draw random numbers fresh
					I think that the attempt here is to try and define what		from CWmin, then after each
					happens to a data/management frames that is in back-off		ATIM, the traffic pattern will
					and had not been sent by the start of the next ATIM		once again degenerate to one of
					window at the TBTT. This seems to be undefined in the		litttle traffic sent and much
					standard - it is not clear whether a frame that has been		contention. It is preferred to save
					announced and is not sent due to a busy medium (and		the state of the network which is
					hence back-off) should:		the result of the previous
					a) be re-announced and retried in the next beacon		contention resolution andsoavoid
					interval with the original back-off time held over the		the problem of having to start the
					ATIM window, or		resolution process over, when the
					b) it should be retried afresh (given that the first frame		probasbility of collision will be
					transmitted will have back-off applied anyway).		high.
					I seem to remember that we previously discussed and		
					settled on the latter as the proper case -ie the frame (or		
					partial frame if fragmented) is re-announced afresh.		
41	9.2.5.2	SD	E		This figure should be made moreeadible.	Redraw it.	Defer to Editors.
	fig 41		-			<del> </del>	
	0				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

~	1	DCI 17	ı	_			E 1 002.11-70/150-0
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
42	9.2.5.2	TLP	Е	Yes	The medium is both time-varying and asymmetric.	Change the second sentence of 9.2.3.2 to	Same as 31
	9.2.3.2				"Detection" that the medium is "free" is not possible.	read "A STA using the PCF shall be	
	9.2.3.3				Inference that the medium in not in use (i.e., idle) can be	allowed to transmit contention-free	
	9.2.5.1				made based on lack of detection that the medium is in use	traffic after it senses the medium idle at	
					But such inference of being not-in-use is much less reliable		
					than the detection of being in-use. The language chosen		
						Change the second and third sentences o	•
					sensing process.	9.2.3.3 to read "A STA using the DCF	
					sensing process.	shall be allowed to transmit if it senses	
					Also, the medium is "free" only if there are no usage fees		
					That aspect has nothing to do with whether the medium is		
					currently in use. Words with the proper connotations, suc		
					as "idle" and "busy", should be used.	time has expired. A STA using the DCF	
						shall not transmit within an EIFS after it	
						senses the medium to be idle following	
						reception of a frame"	
						Change the second paragraph of 9.2.5.1	
						to read "when the STA senses the	
						medium to be idle for greater ".	
						Change first paragraph to read 'when a	
						transmitting STA infers a failed	
						transmission". Change second	
						paragraph to read "a DIFS period during	
						which the medium is sensed inactive for	
						the duration of the DIFS period, or	
						following an EIFS period during which	
						the medium is sensed inactive for the	
						duration of the EIFS period".	
43	9.2.5.2	WD	t		The last paragraph of this section explains that	In an IBSS, the backoff timefor a	Accepted.
3	7.2.3.2	,,,,,	•		normal backoff decrements should be deferred during	pending non-Beacon or non-ATIM	Accepted.
					an ATIM window. However the same procedure is	transmission shall not decrement in the	
'					used prior to transmissions of the Beacon or ATIM	period from TBTT until the expiration	
					frames. So the rule as stated should only apply to a	of the ATIM window. Beacon and	
					pending frame that is pending to be transmitted	ATIM frames may be transmitted	
					outside the ATIM window.		

Seq. #	Clause number	your voter' s ID code	Cmnt type E, e, T, t	Part of NO vote	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
						during this same period.	
44	9.2.5.2 last ¶	TLP	Е	Yes	TBTT is an acronym not used until this point; it deserves to be spelled out so that the reader stands a chance of understanding the concepts being exposed here.  It is not clear that TBTT is an explicit moment in time; most such acronyms stand for intervals. A good deal mor work on explaining this concept is needed.	Rewrite to clarify.	Accepted. Expand acronym. Added pointer to Clause 11
45	9.2.5.3	DLP	e		The second paragraph of this section contains the following typo: Independ ently	Change the text to read: "independently"	Accepted.
46	9.2.5.3	TLP	e		Interference occurs "in" the logical channel; "on" would require a physical channel (such as a wire), but the electromagnetic wireless channel has no physical essence — the "ether" does not really exist.	in".	Accepted.
47	9.2.5.3	TLP	e		Humans "believe". Possibly animals "believe". Compute programs do not "believe".	r Change to read 'which the initiating station infers have failed."	Accepted.
48	9.2.5.3 6th ¶	TLP	е		The station doing the filtering is not identified. The type of filtering is not identified by its proper name.	Change fourth sentence to read "This duplicate MSDUshall be filtered at the receiving station using the normal duplicate frame filtering mechanism."	Accepted.
49	9.2.5.4	KC	t	Y	1 microsecond of what?	State what it is and how it is measured.	Accepted. Sentence deleted.
50	9.2.5.4 fig 42	SD	T		The period of duration (2:SIFSTime) + CTS_Time) + (2x aSlotTime) during which a STA has to wait until it sets its NAV should be represented.	Modify the figure	Declined. Text provides enough clarity.
51	9.2.5.4 2nd ¶	TLP	e		An "estimate" is being discussed, not "state" information Single-digit numerals should be written out.  The condition is anticipated, not known.  The inverse of busy is "idle", nor "free".	shall consist of an internal estimate accurate to one microsecond, of the anticipated busy/idle condition of the medium.".	Accepted.
52	9.2.5.4 last ¶	TLP	t		The receiver can only infer the data rate of transmission, but it can directly detect the data rate of reception. So referencing the receiving process eliminates the need to g into the inferential aspects that would otherwise arise.	Change end of paragraph to read 'most recent NAV update wasreceived."	Accepted.

November 1996 doc.: IEEE P802.11-96/156-6

Clause your Count Part Comment/Patiengle Recommended change Disposition/Rebuttel

Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
-							
53	9.2.5.5	DLP	e		The third to last bullet point of this section contains	Change the text to read:	Accepted.
					the following typo: "than a n initial"	"than an initial"	
54	9.2.5.5	SD	$\mathbf{E}$		This figure should be made moreeadible.	Redraw it.	Defered to Editors
	fig 43				-		
55	9.2.5.6	DLP	e		The last sentence of the last paragraph of this section	Change the text to read:	Accepted.
					refers to Frame 1, when it should be Fragment 1.	"from Fragment 1 has expired."	-
56	9.2.5.6	DLP	t		Should Figure 45 use Fragment 0 or is this an	No change may be necessary.	Accepted.
					example of a retransmission? If so, should the text	Ç Ç	Removed number designation so
					clarify this example?		that fragment number is not
							identified in the diagram.
57	9.2.5.6	SB	Е	N	This clause seems to be somewhat misleading.	Suggested text:	Accpeted.
							•
					Also may's and shall's got a bit misleading in this	In the case where an acknowledgment	
					clause. In some caseswill is the correct term since the	is sent but not received by the source	
					action arises as default - not out of choice eg frame	station, stations that heard the	
					simply wasn't received. Also some clarification required	Fragment, or ACK willmark the	
					as to when STAs only able to hear the destination will be	channel as busy for the next frame	
					access the channel.	exchange due to the NAV having been	
						updated from these framesthe NAV	
					Since the second part of the clause does not really relate	shall be marked busy for the next	
					to figure 45 delete the references to CTS and frame 1	frame exchange. This is the worst case	
					and make them more general.	situation and. This is shown in Figure	
					<del></del>	45. If anthe acknowledgment is not	
1						sent by the destination station, stations	
Ī						that canmay only hear the destination	
						station willshall not update their NAV	
						and may attemptwill be free to access	
						the channel when their NAV updated	
						from the previously received frame	
						reaches zero. All stations that hear the	
]						source will be free to access the	
						channel after their NAV updated from	
						the transmitted fragmentFrame 1 has	
] ']						expired.	
58	9.2.5.6	SD	E		This figure should be made moreeadible.	Redraw it.	Defer to Editors.
	fig 44		_		8		
	8 1						

November 1996

Seg. Clause vour Cmnt Part Comment/Rationale Recommended change Disposition/Rebuttal

Seq. #	Clause number	your voter' s ID code	Cmnt type E, e, T, t	Part of NO vote	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
59	9.2.5.6 fig 45	SD	e		NAV (Fragment 1) should not overlap NAV (RTS) and should be on the line.	Shrink and move it.	Declined. The NAV of fragment 1 starts at the end of fragment 1, which is why it overlaps the NAV of RTS.
60	9.2.5.6 3rd ¶	TLP	e		As before, use "will" in predictive statements, "shall" in legislative ones.	Change to " stations that may only hea the destination station will not update their NAV"	Accepted.
61	9.2.5.7	KC	e		The heading "Directed MPDU Transfer Procedure" has no subsection marking.	"9.2.5.7.1 Directed MPDU Transfer Procedure"	Accepted.  Heading changed to 9.2.6
62	9.2.5.7 last two ¶s	TLP	e	Yes	These paragraphs contain inappropriate language, including references to "payload" frames and other concepts not employed elsewhere in this draft.	Change these two paragraphs to read "When an RTS/CTS exchange is used, the asynchronous Data frame shall be transmitted after the end of the CTS frame and a SIFS period. No regard shall be given to the busy or free status of the medium when transmitting this Data frame.  When an RTS/CTS exchangeis not used, the asynchronous Data frameshall be transmitted following thesuccess of the basic accessprocedure. With or without the use of the RTS/CTSexchange procedure, the STA which is the destination of an asynchronousData frame shall follow the ACK procedure."	Accepted.
63	9.2.5.8	SB	e	N	Heading 'Directed MPDU Transfer Procedure' in normal text style	Change to heading for clause 9.2.5.8	Accepted.
64	9.2.6 1st ¶	TLP	e		Incorrect language used.	Change "mechanism" to "procedure" twice.	Accepted.
65	9.2.6 2nd ¶	TLP	t	Yes	The time-varying property of the channel, which may be the most important problem forimplementors, is omitted.	Change to read "due to the increased probability of lost frames from interference or collisions or time-varying channel properties."	Accepted.

Comment/Rationale

Recommended change

Disposition/Rebuttal

#	number	voter' s ID code	type E, e, T, t	of NO vote			·
66	9.2.7	DLP	e		The last paragraph of this section contains the following typo: PHYRXEND.indicateand"	Change the text to read: "PHYRXEND.indicate and"	Accepted.
67	9.2.7	JMZ	e		Туро	Change "PHYRXEND.indicateand" to "PHYREXEND.indicate and"	Accepted.
68	9.2.7 2nd ¶	TLP	e		"Always" applies to every use of "shall", and thus is always redundant.	Delete the word "always".	Accepted.
69	9.2.8 6th ¶	TLP	e	Yes	If you are going to reference a specific LAN protocol, at least reference an IEEE standard, which Ethernet is not.	Change to read "(similar to an FCS error in other LAN protocols)."	Accepted
70	9.2.9	KC	t	Y	See 9.2.3.2 and 9.2.3.3 above. Given that symbol tim is not defined one might assume that it is the sampling point in the center of the symbol for GFSK or in a DSP system, it is the point when enough samples have been processed so as to be 90% sure of the symbol value. Neither of these is "in the air."	measured.	Accepted, but deferred to PHY groups to define the boundary of a symbol. PHY MIB variables aRXRFDelay andzTXRFDelay to be changed to reference end of symbol on air and beginning of symbol on air, respectively. This now allows a chain of relationships beginning and ending with medium symbol events, and linking through service primitives.
71	9.2.9 1st ¶	TLP	e		The use of the word "per" in this context is inappropriate inverse units are not implied.	Change to read " are provided by the specific PHY."	Accepted.
72	9.2.9 2nd ¶ last ¶	TLP	t		Since symbols have duration, the measurement must specify which point in the symbol timing is being used. Later text in this area indicates that it is the end of the symbol that is intended.	Change 2nd ¶ to read "All timings that are referenced from the end of the transmission are referenced from the end of the last symbol of a frame on the medium."  Change last ¶ to read "The starting reference of these slot boundaries is again"	Accepted.
73	9.3	AS	t	y	A CF-Pollable station can only transmit one MPDU when polled by the PC (the frame exchange table in 9.7), in contrast to what it says in the eighth sentence of the first paragraph.	Change MSDU to MPDU.	Accepted.

Seq. Clause your Cmnt Part

Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			-
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
1			Г				
74	9.3	AS	t	y	The second last sentence in the second paragraph	Remove the last part of the sentence,	Accepted.
					says that the PC retains control of the medium by	"by waiting the PIFS duration before	
					using PIFS. This is untrue. The PC retains control of	resuming CF transfers".	
					the medium because everyone's NAV is set.		
75	9.3	AS	t	$\mathbf{y}$	The first sentence in the second paragraph states tha	t Change the shall to a may.	Accepted, except that it is "shall
					the PC shall not perform abackoff on retransmission		not" that shall be changed to
					of an unacknowledged frame during the CFP.		"may".
					My understanding from clause 9.3.3.1 is that the PC		
					may resume transmission after a PIFS but is not		
					required to. In 9.3.3.3 the PC is specifically allowed		
					to use abackoff prior to retransmission.		
76	9.3.1	SD	E		This figure should be made morreadible.	Redraw it.	Defered to Editors.
	fig 48						
77	9.3.1	SD	$\mathbf{E}$		This figure should be made morecadible.	Redraw it.	Defered to Editors.
	fig 50						
<b>78</b>	9.3.2.1	TLP	E		The first sentence makes little sense. The meaning of the	Rewrite this sentence.	Accepted.
					words "as is used" is extremely unclear. Also, does this		Acutally this is 9.2.3.1. Reworde
					apply to the last fragment/segment as well? Does it apply	/	sentence.
					whether an ACK is required or not?		
79	9.3.2.1	TLP	e		The term "free" is inappropriate; use "idle".	Change to read "When the medium is	Accepted.
80	9.3.2.2	JMZ	e		Туро	sensed to beidle for one PIFSperiod,". Change "ofany" to "of any"	Accepted.
81	9.3.2.2	TLP	-		An unnecessary constraint should be removed, since it is	·	
81	9.3.2.2	ILP	e		redundant 100% of the time.		Accepted.
82	9.3.2.3	TLP	e		The term "free" is inappropriate; use "idle".	Change to read "medium besensed as being idle".	Accepted.
83	9.3.3	AS	t	y	The second last sentence is inconsistent with the	Change ACK or CF-ACK to CF-	Accepted.
					frame exchange table in clause 9.7. The only valid	ACK or Null.	-
					responses for a CFPollable station in thissenario are		
					CF-ACK(no data) or Null(no data)		
84	9.3.3	AS	t	y	The last paragraph allows and ACK to be a valid	Change ACK or CF-ACK to CF-	Accepted.
					response to a CF-Poll. This is not allowed in the	ACK or Null.	-
					frame exchange table in 9.7.		
85	9.3.3	SD	E		This figure should be made morreadible.	Redraw it.	Defered to Editors.
	fig 51						
	11g J1						

	TIOVCIII		70			worm 121	212 1 002.11-70/150-0
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	<b>E</b> , <b>e</b> ,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
86	9.3.3.1	AS	f	*7	The second last sentence in the first paragraph says	Delete sentence.	Accepted.
00	7.3.3.1	AS	ı	y	that the PC retains control of the medium by using	Delete sentence.	Accepted.
					PIFS. This is untrue. The PC retains control of the		
					medium because everyone's NAV is set.		
87	9.3.3.1	AS	t	y	In the paragraph starting with "For frames that"	Delete the sentence "This shall only	Accepted.
					the fifth sentence states that only the last fragment of	occur if the"	
					a burst from an STA may be acknowledged with a		
					CF-ACK.		
					This is not true since CFP operation as defined in the		
					frame sequences in 9.7 does not require a PC to		
					transfer all fragments of a MSDU or MMPDU before	e	
					polling the next station.		
88	9.3.3.1	<b>JMZ</b>	t		The fact that the new sentence starting "Non-CF-	Change "frame shall" to "frame during	Accepted. Also chopped out DCF
					Pollable stations" only applies during the CFP needs to	the Contention-Free Period shall"	modifier from 'DCF ACK', since
					be made explicit (otherwise is breaks NAV totally)		all other references to non-CF-
		~-	_				Ack are listed as just 'ACK'.
89	9.3.3.2 fig 52	SD	E		This figure should be made morreadible.	Redraw it.	Defered to Editors
90	9.3.3.2	SD	t		The StS frame does not represent anything.	Remove theStS frame and the	Declined.
	fig 52					followingAck frame by a unique	Comment is incorrect.
						U1-ack frame.	
91	9.3.3.3	SB	Е	N	Clarify use of optional protocol function by stronger language than simply the use of may.	Suggested text:	Accepted.
						The PC may optionally also use this	
					The PC may also use this backoff during the CFP prior	backoff during the CFP prior to	
					to retransmitting an unacknowledged, directed data or	retransmitting an unacknowledged,	
					management frame.	directed data or management frame.	
92	9.3.3.4	SD	T		A figure should represent the CFPMaxDuration.	Draw the figure.	Declined.
	last						Text provides enough clarity.
	paragr						
	aph						

- C	- CI		α .	ъ.			Di 100 0 0
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt		Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
93	9.3.3.5	AS	t	y	The second sentence in the first paragraph states "	Replace the last part of the sentence	Accepted.
				٠	and shall acknowledge the receipt of all other Data	", sent after a SIFS period" with	•
					and Management frames using ACK control frames	•	Except text was reworded to
					"	"sent after a SIFS period. During the	_
						CFP, CF-Pollable stations shall	response to each of the possible
					According to the frame sequences in 9.7 table 20, a	acknowledge the receipt of a Data	frames that may be received.
					CF-Pollable station may only respond with an ACK	frame (without the CFAck or CF-	frames that may be received.
					control frame if it is sent a directed data frame	Poll bits) or a management frame	
					without a CF-Poll.		
					without a CF-Poil.	using an ACK control frame sent	
						after a SIFS period."	
94	9.3.4.1	$\mathbf{AS}$	t	y	The last sentence in paragraph 1 indicates that	Remove the last sentence, or put in a	Accepted.
					polling of power saving stations is done before pollin		
					of non-power saving stations. This seems to introduc		New wording proposed by
					an unfairness in the polling mechanism in that if the		Michael Fischer and accepted
					power saving stations have sufficient traffic they		by commentor. PC may send to
					could indefinitely delay the traffic to non-power save		any subset of STA, but
					stations.		increasing SID restriction
							remains in place. Requirement
							that power save STA frames
							shall be delivered first has been
							removed.
95	9.4	AS	e	y	The last sentence in the third paragraph states that	Change "shall be fixed" to "shall be	Accepted.
)3	J. <del>4</del>	Ab	C	y	the contents of a fragment shall be fixed after its	fixed, with the exception of the retry	Only the Frame-body is fixed,
					initial transmission until it is successfully delivered.	bit,"	since retry bit and subtype and
					initial transmission until it is successivily derivered.	DIL,	
							CRC may change.
0.5	0.1	. ~			This does not take into account the retry bit.	(1)	
96	9.4	AS	t	$\mathbf{y}$	This section only describes fragmentation of SDUs.	Change occurrences "MSDU" to	Accepted.
					I believe the intent of the standard is to allow	"MSDU or MMPDU".	
					fragmentation ofMMPDUs.		
97	9.4	KC	t	$\mathbf{Y}$	"The timer starts on the attempt to transmit the first	State what it is and how it is	Accepted.
					fragment"	measured.	TXLifetime timer should start
							with LLC-MAC service
					When does it start? Is it at the "attempt" to transmi	t	primitive
					(delayed because ofbackoff or medium busy etc.) or		MAUNITDATA.request.
					the first Tx energy above the background noise, or		
					what?		
			l		11 AASS 0		

Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
98	9.5	AS	t	y	This section only describes eassembly of MSDUs. I	Change occurrences "MSDU" to	Accepted.
					believe the intent of the standard is to allow	"MSDU or MMPDU".	
					fragmentation of MMPDUs.		
99	9.5	DLP	e		The xx.xx place marker needs to be removed.	Replace xx.xx with the section in	Accepted.
					-	parentheses.	-
100	9.5	JMZ	e		Editing	Fill in reference marked "xx.xx"	Accepted.
101	9.5	KC	E		"All stations shall support the simultaneous reception	The fragments of at least 3 MSDU	Accepted.
					of a minimum of 3MSDUs."	shall be able to be supported for	
						reconstruction at any given time.	
					I know that it means that the fragments of at least 3		
					MSDU are to be supported for reconstruction at any		
					given time, but what it says is impossible.		
102	9.5	KC	E		" to receive additional simultaneouMSDUs."	to receive additional	Accepted.
						contemporaneousMSDUs.	See 105
103	9.5	KC	e		"described inxx.xx"	replace "xx.xx" with reference	Accepted
104	9.5	SD	e		typo	« xx.xx(9.2.8duplicate» should be	Accepted.
	last				·	changed in «9.2.8 (duplicate»	·
	paragr					_	
	aph						

Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
105	0.5	TI D	Б		TI	Cl (6. : 14	
105	9.5	TLP	E		The word "simultaneous" means exactly contemporaneou		Accepted.
	3rd & 4th				It is highly unlikely that any STA commences transmission	1 0 1	
	un-				or reception of twoMPDUs or twoMSDUs simultaneously		
	indented				on the single instance of a wireless LAN being described		
	$\P \mathbf{s}$				by this standard. Even at the internal software level, the		
	0.0				CPU is servicing only one MSDU on any given machine		
	9.8				cycle.		
	1st two ¶s				The mond "community" many consideration in time which		
					The word "concurrent" means overlapping in time, which		
					is the sense intended here. At the lowest level, the		
					servicing of the MSDUs is interleaved by the STA's CPU.		
					Even at this level the correct description is "concurrent",		
					not "simultaneous". In contrast, multiple wireless LANs		
					can be operating simultaneously, and not just concurrently	<b>/</b> ,	
					on non-overlapping channels.		
					In summary, "simultaneous" is a much stronger term,		
					implying much more than temporal overlap. "Concurrent	,,	
					is the proper term for this situation.		
106	9.6	AS	t	y	The last paragraph refers to PHY mandatory rates.	Change "PHY mandatory rates" to	Accepted.
			-	J	believe this is a remnant which was supposed to have		<b>F</b>
					been fixed due to previous comment resolutions.		

Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
<u> </u>		code	T, t	vote			
107	9.7	AS	t	y	Frame sequences 2 and 3 in table 20 imply that to transmit a management frame during a CFP, the PC must transmit a CFAck a SIFS period before starting to transmit theMgmt frame. This doesn't make sense.  Frame sequences 2 and 3 in table 20 are also the only sequences where both frames are initiated by the PC	Mgmt(bc)  Mgmt(dir) - ACK	Accpted.
108	9.7	JMZ	t		The revised CF sequences no longer make it clear that some kind of CF-End <i>must</i> be transmitted to mark the end of the CFP. I understand that it can be broken up for various reasons, but we should clarify that there must be exactly one (square-brackets was wrong, since you cannot send more than one) CF-End per CFP.	Add a sentence clarifying this requirement.	Accepted frame table correction - changed square brackets to curly braces. However, it was felt that this is the inappropriate location to describe the fact that there should be only one CFP-End per CFP period.
109	9.7	WD	E		The Table 19 does not show the relevant ATIM related sequences.	Add to the table:  ATIM - Ack 2	Declined, Exchange is already covered by Last - ACK.
110	9.7	MAF	E	{na}	Table 19 does not show the ATIM equence.	Add to Table 19: ATIM - Ack 2	Declined, Exchange is already covered by Last - ACK.

~	~	1					D4 44 /D 1 11
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
111	9.7	TLP	e		A multicast is listed as permitted in a management frame	Delete "or multicast" from the second	Accepted.
	table 19				where it cannot occur	non-heading row of the table.	•
112	9.8	GMG	Т	Y	The MSDU ordering provisions have been included i		Accepted.
	6.1.3	GMG	•	•	this standard to provide an optional alternative for	in Annex. A.	We fixed only section 9.8.
					those applications that do require strictly ordering	OR	We fixed only section 7.0.
	Annex					_	
	A.4.4.1				service, for those cases where the type of frame	Mark this functionality as optional.	
	PC8.2				reordering introduced by the Power Management		
					buffering provisions will cause a problem.		
					The intent of this provision was to have an alternativ	e	
					available, but it would be an option that would not		
					affect the normal implementation.		
					However the PICS does not list this provision as		
					optional.		
					Therefore these sections should be deleted, or it		
					should be made clear in the text that this is optional		
					and not mandatory functionality.		
113	9.8	MAF	Т	Y	The strictly ordered service class wasneluded in this	Change PC8.2 from status "M" to	Accepted, see comment112
113	6.1.3	WIZE	•	•	standard to provide an alternative methotb handle	status "O". Add a sentence to 6.1.3	from GMG.
					_	and 9.8 to indicate the strictly	nom Gwig.
	Annex				those cases where the type of frame reordering	•	
	A.4.4.1				possible when usingPower Management buffering	ordered service is optional.	
					might causea problemfor a higher layer protocol		
						Note that, in 6.2.1.3, the transmission	
					The intent of this provision was toprovide a strictly	status of "unavailable service class"	
					ordered alternative for the applications which may	is already specified to be returned if	
					require one, but not to make this facility mandatory	strictly ordered service is requested	
					for all implementations. Unfortunately, he cited	but is not available.	
					sections and the PICSdo not list this facility as		
					optional.		
					1		
114	9.8	AS	e	y	The first sentence in the third paragraph is a hard	Replace "sent using" to "of".	Accepted.
	<b>, 10</b>	110		3	read.	inspired some using to or t	11000poods
115	9.8	JMZ	e		Editing	Delete spurious copy of "Individual	Accepted.
113	7.0	01/12/			20111115	frames" sentence at the end.	recepted.
116	9.8	MT	T		ref: MT_15	rames sentence at the end.	Comment request declined -
110		IVI I	1		rei: Wii_13	<b> </b>	
	6.1.3						authro isok with thhis
	7.1.3.1.				strictly order frames can be supported by having the		resolution.

position/Rebuttal
A 1
Accepted.
ted, see comment112
from GMG.

Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal			
#	number	voter'	type	of						
		s ID	E, e,	NO						
		code	T, t	vote						
119	9.8	TLP	E		The word "simultaneous" means exactly contemporaneou		Accepted.			
	1st two				It is highly unlikely that any STA commences transmissio					
	¶9.5				or reception of twoMPDUs or twoMSDUs simultaneously					
	3rd & 4th				on the single instance of a wireless LAN being described					
	un-				by this standard. Even at the internal software level, the					
	indented				CPU is servicing only one MSDU on any given machine					
	$\P \mathbf{s}$				cycle.					
	S				The word "concurrent" means overlapping in time, which	1				
					is the sense intended here. At the lowest level, the					
					servicing of the MSDUs is interleaved by the STA's CPU.					
					Even at this level the correct description is "concurrent",					
					not "simultaneous". In contrast, multiple wireless LANs					
					can be operating simultaneously, and not just concurrently	/,				
					on non-overlapping channels.					
					In summary, "simultaneous" is a much stronger term,					
					implying much more than temporal overlap. "Concurrent	,,				
					is the proper term for this situation.					
120	A4.5	JMZ	t		The FH PHY PICSProforma does not make it clear that	Correct the PICS to indicate that	Accepted.			
					support for any given regulatory domain is optional. The	support for any given regulatory				
					implication is that all N of them must be implemented in	domain is optional.	Being fixed by MAF			
					any conformant device. This is a ridiculous requirement.					