Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			

Results of LMSC Ballot on Draft Standard 802.11 D5.0 -

Comment Resolutions on Comments in Clause 5

1	5	VZ	E		Figure quality (in clause 5) is not acceptable for publication purposes.	Some figures will need to be redrawn (e.g., figures 1, 2, 3, 5, etc.) Each figure should the be saved in EPS in a file separate from the text	Editor to do
2	5.1.1.2 (c) 5.2.4.1 5.4 9.2.1 12.all 14.all 15.some 16.all	TLP	e	Yes	The wireless medium is definitely singular (unless there is an alternate universe with multiple "ethers"), or unless P802.11 is extending its charter to acoustic modes of transmission.	change "edia" to "edium" everywhere except when referring to wired media.	OK, clause 5 changed - there are those who consider different PHY bands to be logically different ethers - and those who don't. We made the change in clause 5 to resolve the No portion of this comment.
3	5.1.1.4, 5.2, 5.4.2.1, etc. 1.2,	RS	T	Y	The fact that high-layer applications may desire the ability to move within or among wireless LANs does NOT imply the requirement, as stated in 5.1.1.4, that this mobility must be provided within the MAC sublayer. In fact, 802.11 does not currently provide this mobility service (see discussion of DS and ESS below). Mobility is best relegated to higher-layer protocols (such as Network). 802.11 should provide the appropriate service interfaces (e.g., allowing a MAC client or management entity to determine the current associations of an AP) that allow higher-layer protocols to implement mobility, but not to attempt to implement it within the MAC. There is no need to "reinvent" the entire ISO protocol stack within the MAC, just because it's wireless.	Eliminate mobility as a requirement of, and function provided by 802.11. Include a paragraph in the Scope section identifying mobility as a higher-layer function that can be provided among 802.11 LANs.	Request is respectfully declined. We believe the commenter misunderstood the architecture. As data flows from higher layers into the top of the MAC, this data must be delivered as a Stations moves. Hence, mobility is inherently a primary aspect of the functionality provided by 802.11. Note that it is the mobile STA that decides when to reassociate. While layers higher than layer 2 may well be involved in the implementation of mobility as provided by the MAC (via

	Mai Cii		002.11-90/130-2/ K 2				
Seq. #	Clause number	your voter'	Cmnt	Part of	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
		s ID code	E, e, T, t	NO vote			
<u> </u>		coue	Ι, ι	vote	1	1	
							invocation of a DS service), mobility is not a service which can be removed from the 802.11 MAC layer. primary purpose of 802.11 is to provide the mobility services requested - this is what the functions of association, reassociation etc accomplish.
4	5.2, 1.2, 5.1.1.4, 5.4.2.1, etc.	RS	T	Y	The fact that high-layer applications may desire the ability to move within or among wireless LANs does NOT imply the requirement, as stated in 5.1.1.4, that this mobility must be provided within the MAC sublayer. In fact, 802.11 does not currently provide this mobility service (see discussion of DS and ESS below). Mobility is best relegated to higher-layer protocols (such as Network). 802.11 should provide the appropriate service interfaces (e.g., allowing a MAC client or management entity to determine the current associations of an AP) that allow higher-layer protocols to implement mobility, but not to attempt to implement it within the MAC. There is no need to "reinvent" the entire ISO protocol stack within the MAC, just because it's wireless.	Eliminate mobility as a requirement of, and function provided by 802.11. Include a paragraph in the Scope section identifying mobility as a higher-layer function that can be provided among 802.11 LANs.	Respectfully declined. Please refer to resolution of comment 5 in this clause.
5	5.2.3 fig 4	SD	t		The Figure should be accompaigned with some technical data as: the location of the source, its power, the frequency and so on	Add at least the location, the power and the frequency.	The figure is ment to be qualitatively typical and not quantiative. The primary purpose is to illustrate that the actual environment is not uniform as many assume. Because the information provided is not quantitative, we declined to specify the power and frequency used in the example.

	March	1997				uoc.: IEEE I	7802.11-90/150-2/R2
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
		an-			7.1.1.000		
6	5.2.3	SD	e		Labels of STAs are out of their frames.	Recenter them.	The Sta albels are ok in the
	fig5						printed version of the document
							we have - we suspect that this is
							an artifact of how the document is
							printed - we will endevor to make
							sure this does not occur in the
							final printed versions of 802.11.
7	5.2.4	DSM	t		I would assume that a portal could provide entrance	Add a clause "or a Wide Area	Clairified.
					to an 802.11 LAN from a WAN such as the Internet	Network"	The previous sentence refers to a
							"non-802" LAN - the group
							believes this to be inclusive of
							"Wide Area Network". We did
							change a sentence to clairfy that
							the figure is an example and not
							the only case possible.

Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of	Comment Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Reductar
π	Humber	s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
		code	Ι, ι	vote	1		
8	5.2.4	apu		y	Although the PAR does not specifically state this, I	At a minimum, the standard must	The draft does address how to
		-		·	believe that 803.11 must	define a set of requirements for a	interconnect between the 802.11
					address the issues of interoperability with existing	bridge or	architectue and other 802.X LANs
					(wired) 802.3 LANs.	a portal between an 802.11 wireless	- the method is the Portal. As a
						LAN and an 802 wired LAN. It would	portal connects to the DSM, it
					In particular, this draft standard (5.0) is ambiguous	be	may or may not include 802.X
					regarding the issue of	preferable to go further that this by	bridge functions. This is
					bridging. Section 5.2.4 incompletely describes a Portal,	unambiguously describing such a	dependent upon the
					and, in fact, poses	bridge,	implementation choosen for a
					a question without giving any guidance to the	including resolving the issues resulting	specific DS since a DS is not
					implementor as to how to	from multiple bridges attached to a	constrained to be an 802.X layer
					resolve the issue. I refer to the sentence:	large ESS at different points, such as	2 mechanism - it may be an IP
						spanning tree convergence and	based layer 3 or higher system, in
					"Bridgin to the 802.11 architecture raises the	stability.	which case the subject of
					question of which		bridgeing is not relevant.
					logical medium to gridge to; the DSM or the		DS implementation is considered
					WM?"		outside the scope of 802 as it
							required to be a layer 2 issue.
							Pleas note that 802.11 specifies a
							MAC and PHY for the WM - ir is
							not intended to be a complete
							reference for eveything that might
							be required to implement a
							WLAN installation that includes
							802.11 links.
9	5.2.4.1	TLP	e	Yes	The wireless medium is definitely singular (unless there is	change "edia" to "edium" everywhere	Changed.
	5.1.1.2				an alternate universe with multiple "ethers"), or unless	except when referring to wired media.	
	(c)				P802.11 is extending its charter to acoustic modes of		
	_				transmission.		
	5.4						
	9.2.1						
	12.all						
	14.all						
	15.some 16.all						
10	5.3	RS	E	Y	The statement, "The generality allows 802.11 to	Eliminate the statement.	The statement was deleted.
			-	-	satisfy the diverse interests" is a clear statement		(though not for the reasons
					sacros are arrested interests in the ereal statement	1	(

	March 1997 aoc.: 1EEE P802.11-90/150-2/R2										
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal				
#	number	voter'	type	of							
		s ID	E, e,	NO							
		code	T, t	vote							
				1							
					that "We couldn't agree on how to standardize this,		asserted by the reviewer).				
					so we left it up in the air." While this may be true,		In fact the group does feel				
					it: (1) indicates the importance of the previous		that multiple interests are				
					comment on a lack of DS and ESS requirements,		well served by the generality,				
					and (2) looks like dirty laundry hanging out to dry.		not that we did not know how				
							to accomplish our task.				
11	5.3,	RS	T	Y	There is no specification provided for the DS;	Eliminate the concept of DS and	Declined.				
	5.4.2.2,				neither a specific implementation nor a set of	ESS from the standard at this time,	802.11 has gone to a lot of				
	etc.				service interfaces and invariants that ensure proper	and note that this is "under study"	effort to handle the				
					MAC operation across the ESS. Since 802.11	or "work-in-progress". When	problems unique to				
					depends on the DS to provide mobility and ESS	specifications are available that	mobile stations using a				
					coverage, it is clear that this standard currently	allow interoperable, conformant	WM. In order to do this is				
					does not provide sufficient information to build an	implementations to be built, revise	had to explain the				
					interoperable, conformant ESS. Without	the standard to include these new	architectural context				
					conformance requirements, DS's and ESS's become	specifications. Eliminate all	within which the 802.11				
					proprietary entities.	discussion of mobility as an 802.11-	MAC and PHYs operate.				
						provided service.	This information is crucial				
					In addition, the inclusion of an "unspecified" DS		to understanding 802.11.				
					makes the delay as seen at the LLC service interface		Also, refer to resolution of				
					unbounded and uncontrolled. LAN MAC clients		comment 3 in this clause.				
					expect a low delay; the inclusion of an arbitrary		The 802.11 draft does what is				
					internetwork (including possible WAN links)		required and appropriate for				
					invalidates any assumptions about delay that are		a MAC layer. I.e. media access to the Wireless				
					typically made by LAN clients. IEEE 802.1G allows		Media. DS internals are				
					WAN links for Remote Bridges, but it puts an		outside the scope of 802 (not				
					upper bound on their number and delay, and		just 802.11). The reviewer is				
					makes this information available to a management		asked to consider that the				
					entity.		draft is a MAC/PHY std and				
							not a complete reference fo				
							everything required to create				
							any type of netowork which				
							includes 802.11 links.				
12	5.3.3	GC			see 7.1.3.3.1 G		misunderstanding from input.				
							Disregarded				
13	5.4	DLP	e		Clause xx.xx needs to be specified.	Replace xx.xx with appropriate	corrected				
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

-	wiai Cii	1 ///	002.11-90/130-2/ K 2				
Seq. #	Clause number	your voter' s ID code	Cmnt type E, e, T, t	Part of NO vote	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
1	1	Т	1				
						clause number.	
14	5.4	JMZ	e		Typos	Fill in reference marked "xx.xx" and change "DATA SERVICE" to "Data Service"	corrected
15	5.4	KC	e		''clause xx.xx''	specify what xx.xx is	corrected
16	5.4	MT	e		find and fill in clause xx.xx reference		corrected
17	5.4	JD	e		reference not done	Each of the services is supported by one or more MAC frame types. Some of the services are supported by MAC Management messages and some by MAC Data messages. All of the messages gain access to the WM via the 802.11 MAC layer media access methods specified in clause 2xx . Of the standard.	corrected
18	5.4.2.1, 1.2, 5.1.1.4, 5.2, etc.	RS	H	Y	The fact that high-layer applications may desire the ability to move within or among wireless LANs does NOT imply the requirement, as stated in 5.1.1.4, that this mobility must be provided within the MAC sublayer. In fact, 802.11 does not currently provide this mobility service (see discussion of DS and ESS below). Mobility is best relegated to higher-layer protocols (such as Network). 802.11 should provide the appropriate service interfaces (e.g., allowing a MAC client or management entity to determine the current associations of an AP) that allow higher-layer protocols to implement mobility, but not to attempt to implement it within the MAC. There is no need to "reinvent" the entire ISO protocol stack within the MAC, just because it's wireless.	Eliminate mobility as a requirement of, and function provided by 802.11. Include a paragraph in the Scope section identifying mobility as a higher-layer function that can be provided among 802.11 LANs.	Respectfully declined. Please refer to resolution of comment 5 in this clause.
19	5.4.2.2	JMZ	e		Туро	"System" should not be in Courier font	corrected
20	5.4.2.2	MT			ref: MT_1	Specify a minimum number of	respectfully declined.

~		1	~	_			
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
	5.4.3.1					authentications which must be	Author ok -
					Clause 7.3.1.9 references status codes for reporting	supported by an access point and a	Any limits on the number of
					'too many stations'.	member of an IBSS (not necessarily	associations supported is a
					The standard should specify a minimum number of	the same value).	limitation of a specific AP
					stations to be supported by an access point.	·	implementation and/or the DS
						Specify a method which allows a new	the AP is an interface to. Since
					The standard should also specify a minimum number	station an opportunity to join the	DS implementations are outside
					of stations so be supported by an IBSS node.	network. One method would be to	the scope of 802.11, this can not
						deauthenticate the station which has	be specified by 802.11.
					Refer to MT_2 for related partial solution/problem.	not transferred data for the longest	,
					_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	interval. Another would be to	
					By adding this number (along with the number of	deauthenticate the station which has	
					currently associated stations) within the	transferred the least amount of data	
					ASSOCIATION, PROBE and BEACON frames, a	during the last sample interval.	
					mobile station can use this information in		
					determining which BSS is best to join – this provides	The 'best' solution is to avoid the	
					the starting means for automatic load balancing (the	problem by adding to the standard	
					main ingredient, current load, is missing but a more	the requirement that access points	
					intelligent decision can be made).	and IBSS stations must support a	
					go avv.s.o va svuv),	sufficiently large number of	
						authenticated stations (eg., 1000 and	
						100 respectively)	
21	5.4.2.2	MT	Т		ref: MT_2	The ASSOCIATION staleout time	Respectfully declined.
	6111212	1,11	•		1011 1111 <u>-</u>	should be a setable MIB variable to	Author ok -
					An AUTHENTICATION staleout time should be	allow for changes in system	The group feel that there is not
					specified such that if no data is transferred between	performance due to fluctuations in	need for additional functionality
					stations for the corresponding staleout period, the	the number of associated stations for	along the lines suggested.
					authentication (and if appropriate, association) is	example.	Should any specific STA desire
					dropped. This feature is needed in order to	In order to simplify implementation,	not to maintina authentication
					guarantee network security as well as to prevent the	this parameter can be added to the	after some time, then it may
					"too many stations" situation detailed in MT_1.	ASSOCIATION, BEACON and	simply cause a deauthentication.
					oo many bandons sicuation deanied in 1911_1.	PROBE frames. The longest time	Ther is no need to specify a time
					Authentication is common among infrastructure and	specified should be used by all	at which this would be required
					IBSS networks and should therefore be used (as	stations in the BSS cell (or IBSS). If	to be done - in fact there are
					opposed to association staleout).	a particular station finds that it is	cases where this would be
					opposed to association stateouts.	spending too much time maintaining	undesireable. Hence we belive
						an association because the network is	that the current draft is the most
						an absociation occause the network is	mat the current trait is the most

	March	1///	uoc ieee i	802.11-90/150-2/R2			
Seq.	Clause number	your voter'	Cmnt type	Part of	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
,,,	namoer	s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
	l		1 / -			1	
						busy enough that it is not getting air time, it can reassociate with a longer staleout time. This information can be interpreted and conveyed to all other stations in the BSS or IBSS in the ASSOCIATION.response or from following BEACON and PROBE frames.	general mechanism.
22	5.4.2.2	MT	E/t		ref: MT_3 text should be adjusted / added to show that in the wireless distribution system, a wireless AP (acting as a repeater and connection to a distribution system) must itself be associated before both accepting authentications/associations requests and before allowing or forwarding any traffic to and from the distribution system.	Adjust the text as suggested to reflect the ASSOCIATION procedure of wireless AP repeater operation.	Respectfully declined. Author ok - There is not such thing as a repeater in the 802.11 architecture. The data flow is from a STA into an AP, into the DS. The DS then determines at what AP the traffic should be delivered by using association information, then the destination AP is given the traffic. Note that a DS which retransmits all incoming traffic to all Aps would be a poor DS implementation. In the case of a WDS, an AP is an interface between two different logical media, even though the two media are the same phyically. In the case of DS traffic being transferred between two Wireless Aps, they are logically in an IBSS that links them together, this is not the same BSS as the one which contains the mobile STA and it's associated AP.
23	5.4.2.2	MT	t		ref: MT_4		No change made as none
							requested.
					In the case of a single cell which has no backbone		Author ok -

# number voter's ID	sition/Rebuttal
distribution system and where a wireless AP is used to transfer information among mobile stations (is the sole piece of the distribution system), the wireless AP will begin by sending BEACONS until other stations join the BSS. Only traffic with the TO_DS bit set and with a corresponding final destination address of another currently associated station will be forwarded (with the FROM_DS bit set). ie., no directed data will be transferred until at least two stations are associated to the wireless AP. BY We ask the recommended the case stips to be poss wireless AP in an ESS as the DSM be at least two stations are associated to the wireless AP. BY WE ask ther the case stips to be poss wireless AP in an ESS as the DSM be at least in an ESS as the DSM be at least two stations are associated to the wireless AP.	
distribution system and where a wireless AP is used to transfer information among mobile stations (is the sole piece of the distribution system), the wireless AP will begin by sending BEACONS until other stations join the BSS. Only traffic with the TO_DS bit set and with a corresponding final destination address of another currently associated station will be forwarded (with the FROM_DS bit set). i.e., no directed data will be transferred until at least two stations are associated to the wireless AP. Ve ask the rather the case stips to be possowireless AP in an ESS - in the case stips to be possowireless AP in an ESS - in the possible that the possible that the possible that the possible transferred until at least two stations are associated to the wireless AP.	
distribution system and where a wireless AP is used to transfer information among mobile stations (is the sole piece of the distribution system), the wireless AP will begin by sending BEACONS until other stations join the BSS. Only traffic with the TO_DS bit set and with a corresponding final destination address of another currently associated station will be forwarded (with the FROM_DS bit set). ie., no directed data will be transferred until at least two stations are associated to the wireless AP. We ask the represented to be poss wireless AP will be good. We ask the represented to be poss wireless AP. We ask the represented to be poss wireless AP. We ask the represented to be poss wireless AP. ESS in an ESS - as the DSM be at least to be at least two stations are associated to the wireless AP. ESS without traffici flow in the resolute the only divided ingoing transfer option for	
to transfer information among mobile stations (is the sole piece of the distribution system), the wireless AP will begin by sending BEACONS until other stations join the BSS. Only traffic with the TO_DS bit set and with a corresponding final destination address of another currently associated station will be forwarded (with the FROM_DS bit set). ie., no directed data will be transferred until at least two stations are associated to the wireless AP. It is possible to be at least two stations are associated to the wireless AP. ESS without traffic flow in the resolution in the resolution option for	
to transfer information among mobile stations (is the sole piece of the distribution system), the wireless AP will begin by sending BEACONS until other stations join the BSS. Only traffic with the TO_DS bit set and with a corresponding final destination address of another currently associated station will be forwarded (with the FROM_DS bit set). ie., no directed data will be transferred until at least two stations are associated to the wireless AP. the case stip to be poss wireless AP in an ESS - as the DSM as the DSM as the DSM as the DSM be at least It is possible to the stations are associated to the wireless AP. ESS - in to stations are associated to the wireless AP.	
sole piece of the distribution system), the wireless AP will begin by sending BEACONS until other stations join the BSS. Only traffic with the TO_DS bit set and with a corresponding final destination address of another currently associated station will be forwarded (with the FROM_DS bit set). ie., no directed data will be transferred until at least two stations are associated to the wireless AP. stations are associated to the wireless AP. to be poss wireless AP in an ESS as the DSM be at least It is possible ESS - in t stations are associated to the wireless AP.	reviewer to note that
will begin by sending BEACONS until other stations join the BSS. Only traffic with the TO_DS bit set and with a corresponding final destination address of as the DSM another currently associated station will be forwarded (with the FROM_DS bit set). ie., no directed data will be transferred until at least two stations are associated to the wireless AP. ESS - in to stations are associated to the wireless AP. ESS without traffci flow in the resolution option for	ulated does not seem
join the BSS. Only traffic with the TO_DS bit set and with a corresponding final destination address of another currently associated station will be forwarded (with the FROM_DS bit set). ie., no directed data will be transferred until at least two stations are associated to the wireless AP. ESS - in t logically pre ESS without traffici flow in the resolut the only di ingoing tr option for	ible - how could a
and with a corresponding final destination address of another currently associated station will be forwarded (with the FROM_DS bit set). ie., no directed data will be transferred until at least two stations are associated to the wireless AP. ESS - in t logically pre ESS without traffci flow in the resolut the only di ingoing tr option for	exist as the only AP
another currently associated station will be forwarded (with the FROM_DS bit set). ie., no directed data will be transferred until at least two stations are associated to the wireless AP. ESS without traffci flow in the resolut the only di ingoing tr option for	to be using the WM
forwarded (with the FROM_DS bit set). ie., no directed data will be transferred until at least two stations are associated to the wireless AP. ESS without traffci flow in the resolut the only di ingoing tr option for	there would have to
directed data will be transferred until at least two stations are associated to the wireless AP. ESS - in t logically pro ESS without traffci flow in the resolution of the only distinguing transfer option for	two Wireless APs.
stations are associated to the wireless AP. ESS without traffci flow in the resoluting only displayed in the only displayed to the option for	le to have a one AP
ESS without traffci flow in the resolute the only distinguing transpoing transpoing transpoing transpoing transpoint to the option for	this case the DS is
traffci flow in the resolution the only distinguing transpoint to option for	esent (can't have an
in the resolute the only distinguing transfer option for	a DS) - but then the
the only di ingoing tr	is still as described
ingoing tr option for	tion to comment 22 -
option for	ifference is that all
<u> </u>	affic has only one
	the DS exit point -
note that no	ot all traffic ingoing
will also be	outgoing from that
AP - only t	hose frames with a
DA for a S	TA associated with
that AP - ho	ence this is different
from a bline	d repeater function.
24 5.4.2.2 MT t/E ref: MT_5 Author ok/w	ithdrawn - declined.
Multica Multica	ast operation is
access point operation should be clarified to state that independ	dent of # stations
multicast frames are allowed to be forwarded in all	ssociated.
cases (to and from the distribution system) in the case	
of an access point connected to the backbone, a	
wireless access point operating as the sole piece of the	
distribution system, and after a wireless repeater has	
itself established an association.	
Multicast retransmission should be allowed as long as	
at least one station is associated with the access point.	
25 5.4.2.2 MT t/e ref: MT_7 Add text which explicitly disallows	Corect -
membership to multiple concurrent A sta may or	n.y be a member of a
This section states that a STA may be associated with ESS's and IBSS's (a STA can only be single BSS a	t any instant, it does
only one AP at a time. The implication here is that a member of an ESS or IBSS at any not matter	

	wiai cii	1///	1	1			002.11-90/130-2/ K 2
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
	•	•		•			
					one AP at a time per ESS. There are no restrictions	one time).	an Ess or an IBSS.
					on being a member of two ESS's at the same time.	,	We can not do > 1 BSs as there
					g	Recognizing that it is not practical	is no whay to specify the BSs the
					Further, there is no restriction placed on being a	for a single station to be members of	traffic is for at the 802.2
					member of an IBSS and an ESS at the same time.	multiple xSS's because packet	inerface.
					member of an abot and an abot at the same time.	filtering cannot be properly	mer ruce.
					These situations can have an impact on performance,	accomplished and NAV will be	
					(see comment below) when considering how	difficult to maintain.	
					multicasts are handled.	unificuit to maintain.	
26	5.4.2.2	MT	4				Declined.
20	5.4.2.2	IVI I	t		The ESSID is not part of many management frames		
					(RTS/CTS) - which will/could cause great difficulty in		Comment withdrawn by
					the case of collocated ESS's as well as BSS's.		commenter.
					The description of the descripti		
					Text should be added to clarify operation in these		
					collocated situations. Such as the NAV or TSF will only		
					be updated when a value is received which is greater		
					than the local value but within a specified tolerance. ie.,		
					don't update the TSF if it greater than 10 µsec from the		
					current local value.		
27	5.4.2.2,	RS	T	Y	There is no specification provided for the DS;	Eliminate the concept of DS and	Declined.
	5.3,				neither a specific implementation nor a set of	ESS from the standard at this time,	Please refer to resolution of
	etc.				service interfaces and invariants that ensure proper	and note that this is "under study"	comment 11 this clause.
					MAC operation across the ESS. Since 802.11	or "work-in-progress". When	
					depends on the DS to provide mobility and ESS	specifications are available that	
					coverage, it is clear that this standard currently	allow interoperable, conformant	
					does not provide sufficient information to build an	implementations to be built, revise	
					interoperable, conformant ESS. Without	the standard to include these new	
					-	specifications. Eliminate all	
					conformance requirements, DS's and ESS's become		
					proprietary entities.	discussion of mobility as an 802.11-	
					T 1111 1 1 1 0 " 10 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	provided service.	
					In addition, the inclusion of an "unspecified" DS		
					makes the delay as seen at the LLC service interface		
					unbounded and uncontrolled. LAN MAC clients		
					expect a low delay; the inclusion of an arbitrary		
					internetwork (including possible WAN links)		
i					invalidates any assumptions about delay that are		
					J		

	March	7802.11-90/150-2/R2					
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
					typically made by LAN clients. IEEE 802.1G allows		
					WAN links for Remote Bridges, but it puts an		
					upper bound on their number and delay, and		
					makes this information available to a management		
					entity.		
28	5.4.3	MT	E/t		ref: MT_6	Distribution system services can only	changes declined tihe consent of
	8.x.x.x					be invoked in the case that similar	author.
					In the case of an access point with two associated	authentication methods (or by	
					stations. The access point is aware of (at least) two	established management rules in the	
					authentication methods. STA A associates using	AP).	
					method A and STA B associates using method B.	In the case that the final destination	
					STA A and STA B cannot associate directly and can	is not within the current BSS, the	
					therefore, not transfer data. The AP is not aware	frame should be forwarded with	
					(unless internal rules are established) that it may not	appended information identifying	
					be allowable for it transfer data between these two	the authentication method used by	
					stations.	the initiating station. The	
						responsibility of checking is placed	
					According to the PICS, open authentication must be	on the AP providing service to the	
					supported, and WEP is optional. Therefore, clarity	final destination STA.	
					ought to be provided such in the case that WEP is		
					enabled. Should a station authenticating using the	-or-	
					open method be allowed to join a BSS which has	Recommend a mandatory	
					WEP enabled? According to the current wording, it	authentication method within 802.11	
					seems that the answer is yes or the system is in	so that this breach of security and	
					danger of non-compliance. However, this opens a can	accompanying overhead as described above can be averted.	
					of security worms. (MT_8,9,10,11)	above can be averted.	
						-or-	
						Remove all references to	
						authentication from the standard	
						and allow a user to chose a vendor	
						which supplies appropriate security	
						vs. overhead/protection tradeoff	
29	5.4.3.1	JMZ	t		The standard does not explicitly define procedures for	Reword 5.4.3.1 and 8.1.1 to make it	Accepted
			,		implementing Access-Control Lists. Since an IBSS does	clear that Open System Authentiction	Daft changed as suggested.
					not have an Association function, the only way for a unit	does not <i>have</i> to succeed just because	
					to refuse to communicate with another unit that is not on	Shared Key is not supported.	
						1	

	March	802.11-90/150-2/R2					
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
					its ACL is through the Authentication mechanism.		
					The most sensible way would seem to be to allow Open	Adding a clarification to this effect	
					System Authentication to fail for unspecified reasons.	would be good, too.	
					This would allow arbitrary STA-address based		
					discrimination.		
30	5.4.3.1	MT	t		ref: MT_1	Specify a minimum number of	sams as comment # 20
	5.4.2.2					authentications which must be	Please see resolution of that
					Clause 7.3.1.9 references status codes for reporting	supported by an access point and a	comment.
					'too many stations'.	member of an IBSS (not necessarily	
					The standard should specify a minimum number of	the same value).	
					stations to be supported by an access point.		
						Specify a method which allows a new	
					The standard should also specify a minimum number	station an opportunity to join the	
					of stations so be supported by an IBSS node.	network. One method would be to	
						deauthenticate the station which has	
					Refer to MT_2 for related partial solution/problem.	not transferred data for the longest	
						interval. Another would be to	
					By adding this number (along with the number of	deauthenticate the station which has	
					currently associated stations) within the	transferred the least amount of data	
					ASSOCIATION, PROBE and BEACON frames, a	during the last sample interval.	
					mobile station can use this information in		
					determining which BSS is best to join – this provides	The 'best' solution is to avoid the	
					the starting means for automatic load balancing (the	problem by adding to the standard	
					main ingredient, current load, is missing but a more	the requirement that access points	
					intelligent decision can be made).	and IBSS stations must support a	
						sufficiently large number of	
						authenticated stations (eg., 1000 and	
						100 respectively)	
31	5.4.3.1	GMG	T	Y	Authentication is considered useless in an	Following text need to change in	Respectfully declined.
	5.5				environment which does not provide confidentiality,	section 5.4.3.1 to explain the implicit	The group does not share the
					because without confidentiality, a station can always	authentication as follows:	opinion that authentication is
					pretend to be an other station by using its address as		useless w/o encryption. IT is true
					a false identity source address.	An equivalent ability to control LAN	that authentication is more useful
I					Authentication should only be needed to use the DS	access is provided via the	when encryption is also used.
					Services, because this is the point where a wired	Authentication service. This service is	While 802.11 authentication does
					network is entered that otherwise assumes the closed	used by all stations to establish their	not provide full protection against
					physical nature of a wire, which is no longer true	identity to stations with which they	impostor attacks, it is also true

~	wiai cii		~		1	1	002.11-70/130-2/ K 2
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
					when extended with a wireless network.	wish to communicate. This is true for	that does provide some protection.
					In an IBSS explicit authentication should not be	all stations in an both ESS and IBSS	To significantly increase the
					needed. Instead implicit authentication can be	networks. If a mutually acceptable	protection against impostor
					assumed when the stations do use the confidentiality	level of authentication has not been	attacks, it would be necessary to
					provisions, by the fact that all stations in the IBSS use	established between two stations, an	encrypt MAC headers - this we
					the same WEP key.	Association shall not be established.	can not do because it would
					Only when all stations use the same WEP key, they	Authentication is a Station Service.	require all implementations to do
					are able to communicate at all. The fact that such a		encryption which the group was
					secret key (which has a separate distribution	For direct communication between	unwilling to mandate due to the
					mechanism outside this standard) is available to the	stations in an IBSS (so without	product impact of U.S. export
					participants is makes authentication implicit, and a	invocation of DS Services), implicit	regulations for encryption.
					useless extra complexity.	authentication is assumed when the	The review comment makes the
					Please note that this complexity is much larger then	station is using the same key for the	assumption that an encryption key
					in the ESS case, where a station in general only needs	WEP.	is always shared by a set of
					to maintain knowledge of the authentication state	Section 5.5 changes.	stations. In that senario, one could
					with the AP.	Data frames with the FC control bits	do what was called implicit
					In an IBSS, stations need to maintain the	"To DS and From DS" both false	authentication, however, limiting
					authentication state for each of the participating	should be Class 1 frames (instead of	system operation to only implicit
					stations it may send data to in the IBSS.	Class 2 as currently specified).	authentication has not been
					The Authentication requirement implies for an ad-	In addition an ATIM should be Class	acceptable to the group. There is a
					hoc network that it has to maintain a Service State	1. Both are currently defined as	need to be able to handle
					variable for each station it is communicating with.	Type-2 frames, and must be moved	situations where potentially every
					Again this is an unnecessary extra complexity, since	to the Type-1 frame definitions.	pair of communicating stations
					authentication is only relevant in combination with		may have a different encryption
					privacy. If privacy is used, then the plain fact that the		key. This requires that we have
					other station has the same key is sufficient to		support for the general
					authenticate that station for ad-hoc communication.		authentication mechanism - this
							same mechanism is also required
							as some members anticipate
							extending the standard eventually
							to support public key
							authentication and dynamic
							session encryption keys - the
							authentication mechanism is
							necessary to provide that upgrade
							path.
							OF
	<u> </u>						<u> </u>

	Warch 1997 doc.: IEEE P802.11-90/150-2/K2									
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal			
#	number	voter'	type	of						
		s ID code	E, e, T, t	NO vote						
<u> </u>		code	Ι, ι	vote	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
							In the IBSS case, if authentication were removed entirely, then it would only be possible to run either an unsecured LAN or a shared key LAN where every member used the same shared key. The group feels that there are clearly many situations where not all Stations in an IBSS want all other stations to hear every frame			
22	5 4 2 2	JMZ	4		It isn't algor to mo why Driveau is a sorrige rather than	Clarify how they interest	and so finds that restriction undesirable.			
32	5.4.3.3	JMZ	t		It isn't clear to me why Privacy is a service, rather than just a parameter to the MSDU delivery service. The relationship between the two services (since one modifies the activity of the other) should be clearer.	Clarify how they interact.	accepted. Interaction between the services has been clarified.			
33	5.4.3.3 6.1.2 8.x.x.x	MT	t		ref: MT_8 Clarification should be added to state what happens in the case of an access point which supports both 'clear mode' and WEP mode. Specifically: Can both modes be simultaneously supported? How are multicasts handled - sent twice once in the clear and again encrypted with WEP?	Both methods must be able to be simultaneously supported since WEP is optional and compliance criteria is in the clear. Therefore, in order to reduce overhead, the standard ought to state that all multicasts will be sent in the clear and that WEP stations must also receive and not reject these broadcasts based on WEP bit.	Author ok. This operation has been calirified as the result of toher comments. It is required that all STAs implement Open sys auth, but not all instances of open stat auth must be successful.			
34	5.4.3.3 6.1.2 8.x.x.x	MT	Т		ref: MT_9 A potential security problem exists in the case where a station can support both/several authentication methods. Consider the 'obvious' case of a wireless access point operating as a repeater. In this situation, the repeater associates to an access point connected to the distribution system using the	It seems there should be a strong line formed which allows only a single authentication method allowed by the standard. -or- At the very least (referring back to the previous comment) the user ought to be informed whether the standard allows for authentication	Comment withdrawn by author after discussion.			

	March	20U2.11-90/150-2/K2					
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
					WEP authentication method. A mobile station	method translation and the standard	
					associates to the repeater using the 'clear' method. If	should provide the hooks for	
					the repeater forwards the packets from the mobile	enabling or disabling this translation	
					station using the WEP encryption, then a possible	via a MIB variable.	
					network infringement exists.		
					A similar scenario is two stations associated to the	-or-	
					same ESS. One station uses 'clear' and the other uses	remove authentication from the	
					WEP. If both associated to the same AP, the AP must	standard.	
					perform the clear-WEP or WEP-clear translation		
					providing a potential breach. The same situation		
					exists when they are associated to different APs.		
35	5.45.1.1.	TLP	e	Yes	The wireless medium is definitely singular (unless there is	change "edia" to "edium" everywhere	Corrected in clause 5
	2 (c)				an alternate universe with multiple "ethers"), or unless	except when referring to wired media.	
	5.2.4.1				P802.11 is extending its charter to acoustic modes of		
					transmission.		
	9.2.1				transmission.		
	12.all						
	14.all						
	15.some						
I	16.all						

Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
36	5.5	DBA	T	Y	The following sentence is incorrect:		both the Original problem shich
			-	-	"An AP shall always be in State 3."	Delete the following sentence from clause 5.5:	lead to the statement objected to and the statement have been corrected.
					With this sentence the MAC as specified can not work. Consider that the effect of this sentence is to place an AP permanently in state 3. The impact is tantamount to not	"An AP shall always be in State 3." Change:	corrected.
					having a state distinction for APs. As a result the system can not operate and will end up in deadlock. Consider: Since an AP would always be in state 3 from	"It provides the logical connection to the DS and as a Point Coordinator (PC), it may provide a Contention Free	
					it's point of view, it will send any frame it wants to any other station. Now consider the "other" station - if it is not an AP it may be in state 1 or 2, if it receives a class x	Period (CFP)." To:	
					frame where $X > it$'s believed state, it is required by the draft to respond with either a de-authentication or	"An AP provides the logical	
					disassociation frame - both of which are intended to resolve a state mismatch between communicating stations. However since the AP is locked into state 3, the mismatch can not be resolved as the AP CAN NOT change out of state 3.	connection to the DS and as a Point Coordinator (PC), it may provide a Contention Free Period (CFP)."	
					Clearly the protocol is broken by the added sentence.		
37	5.5	JMZ	t		The new sentence "An AP shall always be in State 3" that Dave objected to ought to make it clear that this is with respect to the broadcast address (which is, conceptually, a STA that is always associated). Otherwise an AP could only have CFPs and/or transmit beacons if someone is associated.	Change "An AP shall always be in State 3" to "With respect to the broadcast destination, an AP shall always be in State 3. In particular, an AP may transmit broadcast frames at any time."	See comment #37 resolution.
38	5.5	JMZ	t		The three requirements to send a Deauthentication or Disassociation frame to STA B should not apply to an AP. Otherwise, an unassociated STA would have to complain whenever it received a broadcast, which would	Add ", except if STA B in an AP" to the end of the three appropriate sentences that now end with "STA B".	text clairified to explain that this requiement does not apply to reception of broadcast messages.

Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
					clearly be harmful.		
39	5.5	MT	t		ref: MT_10	Since the station is always in state 3,	Problem Correct in draft text.
						the text should state that multicast	
					Clarify operation of AP which is 'always in state 3'.	packets are to be retransmitted even	
					If no stations are associated, are multicast packets to	in the case that no stations are	
					be forwarded via the RF anyway? If the AP supports	associated.	
					WEP, how should multicasts be transmitted?	Defenses MTC 1 and MTC 2 and decide	
					De discllering multiport nature animies of mith ant	Reference MT_1 and MT_2, without	
					By disallowing multicast retransmission without any association will conserve bandwidth only in the case	staleout, an AP may be in this situation frequently.	
					of overlapping coverage areas.	situation frequently.	
					However,		
					By allowing multicast retransmission, the scanning		
					process of a mobile station could be reduced by		
					having the added traffic available.		
40	5.5	MT	t		ref: MT_11	Text should be added which clarifies	Author OK
		1122	·			system operation. One method is to	In the case sitpulated the frame
					text should be added to clarify station operation in	drop the frames and another is to	is "received" at the phy, butit is
					situation where a STA A is associated with STA B	assume all multicasts are processed.	not "received" at the top of the
					and multicasts are received from STA C (also	-	mac as if will not pass the
					associated with STA B but not STA A) and all are	Another mode which the standard	filtering criteria specified in
					members of the same ESS	could specify is that all traffic within	other clauses of the draft - the
						an infracture network must go	frame is dropped - this is the
						through an access point. Therefore,	surrently specified operation of
						a station would only accept traffic	the MAC in 5.0.
						from its current access point	
						(exception is during the scanning	
41		3. #FE	/ID		ATTYPE (1 1 1 1 4 4 4 4 1 4 6 4	process)	
41	5.5	MT	T		ATIMs must be allowed in state 1 (at least for the		Accept
					IBSS mode)		
					rationale:		
					1) cannot authenticate to a PSP node		
					2) only ATIMs and beacons are allowed during the		
					ATIM window (no authentication packets are		
					allowed) which means that the PSP node will		
					likely be asleep and not available to receive the		

~	war cii	I	~	Т_			1 002.11-70/150-2/ K 2
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
	•	•		•			
					authentication request.		
					1		
					problem: if you are in state 1 (unauthenticated) one		
					cannot send an ATIM to keep the other STA awake		
					cumou some unitariate do morp uno cumo some some unitaria		
					allowing ATIMs from non-authenticated stations will		
					allow the station to authenticate and/or send other		
					management frames.		
12	5.5	MT	4				commnet withdranw.
42	5.5	MT	t		ref: MT_11		
							Question of multicast vs wep is
					In an IBSS, clarify the authentication method and		still being handled as part of
					define how frames are handled in the event that		other comments.
					multiple authentication methods are simultaneously		
					supported.		
					Are all multicast frames encrypted if WEP is		
					enabled? etc.		
43	5.5	MT	t		ref: MT_12		No, this is not allowed as all
							authentication is pair wise. Text
					are multicast authentication packets allowed?		added to clairify this.
					Allowing such, could improve IBSS setup		
					performance.		
44	5.5	MT	t		ref: MT_13		This has been corrected in the
							draft text for the next revision.
					the standard identifies that a frame received from a		
					non-authenticated station requires that a		
					deauthentication frame be returned.		
					Clarify if this refers to only a directed frame, or if the		
					receipt of a multicast from a non-authenticated		
					_		
					station will require that a deauthentication packet be		
					sent.		
					Example, ARPs will continuously fail for a particular		
					node that is not authenticated. If a protocol		
					(transmission sequence) consists only of multicast		
					frames, two stations will not be aware of each other in		
1					order to establish communication - therefore,		
					multicasts from non-authenticated stations must be		

Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
		1				T	T
4.5		3.600	Б		responded to with a deauthentication frame.		
45	5.5	MT	E		general information should be added to the standard which clarifies how a station becomes authenticated		Author withdraws comment as it
					with other members of an IBSS. Can multicast		is covered by previous comment resolutions to other comments
							from the Author.66
					authentication packets be sent? (MT_12) Can a multicast data frame be sent and the returned		Foin the Author.00
					deauthentication frames be processed by		
					authenticating to each node. (MT_13)		
					authenticating to each node. (W11_13)		
					In general, How does a station become aware of other		
					members of the IBSS?		
46	5.7	SD	t		Nothing is said or even no référence is given to how	Give the référence to the related	Reference is unnecessary as the
-10	3.7	SD	•		the fields BSSID and ESSID are to be defined.	section.	terms are previously defined in
					the fields booth and booth are to be defined.	Section.	cluase 3 definitions.
47	5.7.4	MT	t		Clarify this section to state that an AP wishing to		Decline.
			-		disassociate a station in power save mode will use the		It would be redundant to restate
					power save data delivery method by setting the SID		the rules for MSDU delivery to
					bit of the station and delivering the		stations in power save mode
					DISASSOCIATION.request via this method.		here.
					•		
					In the case of an AP wishing to disassociate from all		
					stations (some of which are in power save mode) will		
					wait until the DTIM time to deliver the dissociation		
					request to the broadcast address. {this is normal		
					operation, but should be clarified here}		
48	5.7.7	JMZ	t		The broadcast address should be allowed for	Harmonize with Information Items:	Accepted
					Deauthentication frames just as it is for Disassociation	section from 5.7.4.	
					frames.		
49	5.8	JD	e		it is distracting to have two PLME_SAP (even though	See figure at the end	Partially Accepted
					they have the same function) I suggest using their full		Figure updated to include better
					names		labels. Clause 10.1 describes the
							concepts in much more detail.