May 1998 doc.: IEEE 802.11-98/215

## IEEE P802.11 Wireless LANs

## **Comment Resolutions on LMSC (Sponsor) Ballot on IEEE P802.11c**

**Date:** May 6, 1998

Author: Victoria M. Poncini
The Boeing Company

P.O. Box 3707 M/S 7M-CA Seattle, WA 98124-2207

Phone: +1 (425) 865-5342 Fax: +1 (425) 957-5048

e-Mail: victoria.m.poncini@boeing.com

## **Abstract**

Comments from

pjr = John Pickens

zjc = Johnny Zweig,

smr = Mick Seaman, phone (408) 764-5941 FAX (408) 764-5003 E-mail mick\_seaman@3com.com mgr = Gene Milligan phone 405/324-3070 FAX 405/324-3794 E-mail gene\_milligan@notes.seagate.com

1 N/A Mgr Although the ballot instructions are too complex and List voters just once or explain the This comment should more e time consuming, they do not explain how to choose difference between the two choices. properly be directed to the IEE between the two choices for voter's ID. I chose mgr Standards department, as it ha rather than mgc since it seems better to be a manager absolutely nothing to do with th than being common. But I wonder if mgc will be text being balloted. counted as not returning the ballot.  $\mathbf{E}$ N/A Change either the attributes of the 2 Mgr I will substitute EE for major editorial as Word The commenter should better automatically in this form changes e to E when the table form or the codes used to understand the tools that he tab is hit. Also mgr changes to Mgr when tab is hit. account for the dummies like me or uses. Please select the dominance of Washington -"Tools|Autocorrect" and delete depending upon whose to blame. the entry that changes "e" to "ee"  $\mathbf{E}$ The copyright diatribe does not fit in the box. This will be taken care of by th 3 N/A Mgr Edit it.

IEEE editor.

4	N/A	Mgr	E		I think the title of the supplement is incorrect.	Change it from IS ISO/IEC 10038 to	Not accepted. The title was
						ISO/IEC 10038 or to International	changed due to a subsequent
						Standard ISO/IEC 10038. (Yes I	comment.
						know IS is the abbreviation for	
						International Standard but IS is not	
						used preceding ISO/IEC XXXX.	
5	N/A	Mgr	EE	Y	The title for the ballot and the title for the document	If this is an IEEE standard change	Accepted. Title was change to
					have a significant difference. The ballot is clearly	the title so that it is clear that it is	an "IEEE" title.
					titled as a IEEE standard. The title for the document	not an ISO/IEC standard even	
					appears to be an ISO/IEC standard.	though it is just fine and preferable	
					**	to reference IEC, ISO, and/or	
						ISO/IEC standards.	
						If this is and ISO/IEC standard	
						recast the ballot to be clear that the	
						ballot is on a recommended US ballot	
						on IS/IEC 10038.	
6	14.4.2.1	Mgr	EE		The callout of 9314 is incorrect. Is a part number	Change ISO 9314 to ISO/IEC 9314.	Not accepted. The commenter's
	.3				needed?	If a part number is needed, add it.	recommended change would
							make it impossible for the editor
							to find the desired text and make
							the appropriate change. The
							commenter's desired text does
							not appear in the standard to
							which the editing instructions
							apply.

May 1998 doc.: IEEE 802.11-98/215

1	6.5.7	smr	e	The draft uses the phrase 'may only' which is imprecise	Replace 'may only' with 'shall'.	Accepted.
1	0.5.7	31111		and is not included within the list of verbal forms referred	Consider adding a sentence to specify	Accepicu.
				to by ISO Directives (I refer to Directives part 3, Second	where a bridge 'shall not' connect.	
				edition, 1989, Annex C (normative) pages 56 to 61, there	where a bridge shall not connect.	
				will be a similar clause in more recent copies of the		
				Directives). I believe this standard should conform to the		
				directives to ease progression in ISO and understanding		
				by non-English language speakers. According to the		
				directives 'may' (which is allowed) means 'is permitted',		
				'is allowed', 'is permissible' and hence refers to an		
				option – 'a course of action which is permissible within		
				the limits of the standard'. Representing 'may only' as		
				the only permissible course of action is a stretch. What		
				appears to be being said is that a bridge, if one is present		
				'shall' connect to an 802.11 Portal, and 'shall not'		
				connect to some other places or interfaces which are not		
				*		
	(57			made explicit.	TC ( '.'. 1' 1 '	A4- J
2	6.5.7	smr	e	The draft says "An instance of an 802.11 Distribution	If 'may' is being used incorrectly,	Accepted.
				System may be implemented from 802 LAN	replace it with 'can'.	
				Components.It is not clear to me whether 'may' is being		
				used correctly here. If it is, this sentence means that it is		
				an option within the standard to so implement an 802.11		
				Distribution System and that other options are specified.		
				Further it means that we have here an item which should		
				be representable in a PICS. (No such item appears).If		
				'may' is not being used correctly, this sentence means		
				that it is a fact that it is possible for the user of the		
				standard to construct an 802.11 Distribution System from		
				802 LAN Components, but that this standard (802.1D		
				with this supplement) has no more to say on the subject		
				other than to flag this possibility to the reader		

3	6.5.7	smr	е	The draft says "Bridging to an 802.11 Independent BSS is not permitted." The verbal form 'is not permitted' is recognized as an equivalent expression to 'shall not' by the ISO Directives. However the Directives say (in Clause 4.1.2 of my copy) "Clear rules for the use of verbal forms are therefore essential The equivalent expressions shall be used only in exceptional cases when [the preferred form] cannot be used for linguistic reasons. I believe it is good practice to heed this advice. Further I am not sure in a strict sense what is meant by the phrase "Bridging to an 802.11 Independent BSS". I presume what is being said here is "A Bridge shall not connect to an independent BSS."	Rewrite using 'shall not' and a specific description of connection or non-connection of two interfaces. I suggest "A Bridge shall not connect to an independent BSS." if that is what is meant.	Accepted.
4	6.5.7	smr	е	The ISO directives outlaw the use of 'must'. While that word has a defined meaning in the 'IETF', 802 has always followed ISO rules in the past I believe.	Replace 'must' with 'shall' in the various places it occurs.	Accepted.
5	6.5.7	smr	e	The draft say "The user_priority parameter always takes". Doesn't this just mean "shall take" with no exception. This appears to be a constraint on the user of the standard and should therefore take a verbal form which clearly identifies it as a conformance requirement.	Replace 'always takes' with 'shall take'.	Accepted.
1	6.5.7	Zjc	Т	The third and fourth paragraph refer to "the MAC frame", but in 802.11 each MSDU corresponds to a number of MAC frames that depend on fragmentation threshold, RTS threshold, and retries. Further, the seventh through twelfth paragraphs all use "the MAC frame" in cases where the MSDU in question may be spread across multiple MPDUs.  I think the third and fourth paragraphs need to have the MSDU/frame thing clarified, and the other paragraphs need to say "each MAC frame" instead of "the MAC frame"	In the third paragraph replace "and transmits a MAC frame," with "and passes a MAC Service Data Unit to the MAC data service for transmission,". In the fourth paragraph replace "receipt of a valid MAC frame" with "receipt of a valid MAC Service Data Unit from the MAC data service". In paragraphs 7,8,9,10,11,12 replace "the MAC frame" with "each MAC frame" (and fix typo in paragraph 7 by striking "of" from "in of the").	Accepted.
2	6.5.7	Zjc	E	The section would fit into 802.1D better if it began with the paragraph "The wireless LAN access method" the way all the other sections of 6.5 begin.	Swap first and second paragraphs.	Accepted.
1	N/A		E	In the Title, on pages 1 and 2change "IS ISO/IEC 10038" to "ISO/IEC 10038" to remove a source of possible ambiguity.		Declined. Removed reference to IS ISO/IEC 10038 due to earlier comment resolution action.

May 1998 doc.: IEEE 802.11-98/215

2	6.5.7		Е		In the newly added subclausechange "M_UNITDATA" to "MA_UNITDATA" three times, as needed, to attain consistency with ISO/IEC 10039 MAC Service Definition		Declined. The Bridge interface (M_Unitdata) adds three parameters to the MAC service interface (MA_Unitdata) and is properly used in context here.
3	6.5.7		e		In the newly added subclause 6.5.7, 10 <sup>th</sup> paragraph, 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence change "MAC Frame" to "MAC frame" to correct a typographical error.		Accepted. Change incorporated with earlier comment resolution action.
1	6	pjr	Т	NO	802.1Q adds a 4 byte tag for frames tagged with VLAN ID and/or Priority. The maximum frame size is thus reduced (or expanded) by 4 bytes.	Change maximum frame size from 2304 to 2308 with comment as to rationale for supporting optional 802.1Q tag field.	Declined, with the consent of th balloter. This is not properly a comment on the revision of 802.11. Commenter withdraws comment (98-05-13, VH).