

January 1999 doc.: IEEE 802.11-99/024

---

**IEEE 802.11**  
**Wireless Access Method and Physical Specification**

---

**Title:**        **FH Interoperability Option of HR/DSSS PHY**

**Date:**                **January 12, 1999**

**Author:**             **Dean Kawaguchi**

Symbol Technologies, Inc.  
2145 Hamilton Ave  
San Jose, CA. 95125  
Telephone: (408)369-2629  
FAX: (408)369-2737  
email: deank@psd.symbol.com

---

Submission Slide 1 Dean Kawaguchi, Symbol Technologies

January 1999 doc.: IEEE 802.11-99/024

---

**Summary of FH Interoperability Method**

---

**We propose an FH PHY backward interoperability mode as an option for the Harris HS proposal**

- This proposal does not modify the basic modulation format, but it assumes the addition of the HS preamble; wraps around the Harris proposal
- Two fundamental changes required for FH interoperability are
  - 1) hopping of the wideband DS signal synchronized to the FH hop sequences
  - 2) using the FH preamble in front of the HS preamble and data

---

Submission Slide 2 Dean Kawaguchi, Symbol Technologies

January 1999

doc.: IEEE 802.11-99/024

## Backward Interoperability to FH

### **There is a significant volume of 802.11 interoperable FH radios being sold today by a number of manufacturers**

- HR/DS/FH will enable FH users to migrate to HR/DSSS
  - Users can maintain investments in low cost FH appliances while also adding rate scaling capability using HR/DSSS
- Option brings together the previously distinct FH and DS camps
  - Any station which can support the HR/DS/FH will also be required to support the basic HR/DSSS, HR/DSSS/short, and by inference legacy DSSS and FHSS

### **Home centered initiatives coming out with new FH solutions**

- HomeRF is based on the 802.11 FH; considering using whatever mechanisms we develop here to provide higher data rates

### **Coexistence to basic HR/DSSS and DSSS can be improved by additional CCA requirements on HR/DS/FH units**

- Use wideband energy detect with timeout or
- Use full bandwidth correlation detection

Submission

Slide 3

Dean Kawaguchi, Symbol Technologies

January 1999

doc.: IEEE 802.11-99/024

## Robustness in Interference Limited Environments

### **Symbol's experiences in the 900 MHz band since 1990 have shown**

- Fixed frequency systems will fail in the presence of fixed freq interference
- Changing channels is slow and often does not work
- Our proprietary 900 MHz DS system has evolved into a hopping DS system to provide reliable connection in the presence of interference
- The 2.4 GHz band may eventually be worse than the 900 MHz band since it is the only unlicensed band available worldwide
- Also, non-802.11 systems in this band are already and will continue to be a significant portion of the usage of this band

### **Hopping of the wideband HS signal will provide protection against a variety of fixed frequency and hopping interference**

- As a last line of defense, backing down to 1/2 Mbps FH with 79 1 MHz channels will provide the best chance of operating through interference.

Submission

Slide 4

Dean Kawaguchi, Symbol Technologies

January 1999

doc.: IEEE 802.11-99/024

## Limitations

### **There were some limitations that were pointed out in my original presentation in March 98**

- Most efficient use of the band would be achieved by frequency planning in a cellular arrangement such as used by DS
  - However, that requires exclusive use of the band which cannot be guaranteed in the unlicensed ISM band
  - It trades off the efficiency of the cell planning approach for the reliability of frequency hopping and the flexibility of scaling down to a 1 MHz narrow FH signal
- Backward interoperability with the DS PHY necessitates turning off the hopping feature since hopping is not part of existing DS PHY

### **In addition, we recognize that the FH/DS cross CCA issues must be resolved because this option uses both modes**

- I made specific recommendations in the letter ballot 16 comments to add the cross mode CCA requirements to resolve this issue

Submission

Slide 5

Dean Kawaguchi, Symbol Technologies

January 1999

doc.: IEEE 802.11-99/024

## Goal: Work Toward Consensus

### **Dateline: March 1998...**

- FH and DS interoperability was part of the comparison criteria
- Symbol and Harris presented proposal for FH interoperability option
- Lucent and Micrilor unilaterally accepted same method for in their proposal to provide FH interoperability

### **Dateline: Today (January 1999)**

- The FH interoperability mechanisms have been refined and clarified over the past year but remain essentially the same
- We have 77% approval of the draft and rising
- 6 out of 9 NO-FH votes are from Lucent saying that there are some problems with it so throw it out

### **Goals: Build on our hard earned progress rather than destroying it**

- There are limitations to what this mode can do as we pointed out from the beginning, but it fills a need that goes unanswered without this option

Submission

Slide 6

Dean Kawaguchi, Symbol Technologies