Standards Working Group IEEE 802

Local and Metropolitan Area Network Standards Committee Homepage at http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/

July 19, 1999

Magalie R. Salas, Esquire Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th St. SW Washington DC 20554

Re: ET Docket No. 99-231

Dear Ms. Salas:



Reply to: Vic Hayes, Chair, IEEE P802.11 Lucent Technologies Nederland B.V. Zadelstede 1-10 3431 JZ Nieuwegein, the Netherlands phone: +31 30 609 7528 fax: +31 30 609 7556 e-mail: v.hayes@ieee.org

IEEE 802, the LAN/MAN Standards Committee ("the Committee"), is writing in regard to ET Docket No. 99-231: Amendment of Part 15 of the Commission's Rules for Spread Spectrum Devices. The Committee has studied the proposed changes regarding operating rules for Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS) devices. The Committee respectfully submits this statement in opposition to the proposed rules changes that would allow wider multiply overlapped (5 times) channels for FHSS systems.

The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. (IEEE) is a USA-based international professional organization with more than 325,000 members representing a broad segment of the computer and communications industries. IEEE 802.11, a chartered Working Group under the Committee, has developed a standard for Wireless Local Area Networking (WLAN) in the 2400-2483.5 MHz band ("the 2450 MHz band"). The number of individuals and corresponding company sponsorships in the IEEE 802.11 Working Group evidences the strong interest in wireless local area networking. The Working Group currently has over 200 members employed by 86 companies.

IEEE

Headquarters Office 1730 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-1903 (202) 371 0101 TWX: 7108250437 IEEE Compso FAX: (202) 728 9614 Publications Office 10662 Los Vaqueros Circle Los Alamitos, CA 90720 Phone:(714) 821 8380 TWX: 7108250437 IEEE Compso FAX: (714) 821 4010 European Office 13 Avenue de l'Aquilon (rez A) 1200-Brussels, Belguim Phone: +32 2 770 21 98 TWX: 25387 AVVALB FAX: +32 3 660 30 14 Asian Office Ooshima Building 2-19-1 Minami Aoyama Minato-ku Tokyo 107 Japan Phone: +81 3 408 3118 FAX: +81 3 408 3553

Cmnt Docket 99-231, WBFH, filed Aug 19, 1999 page 1 Vic Hayes, Chair, Lucent Technologies

ET Docket No. 99-231 Comments from IEEE Project 802

The Committee has reviewed the proposed changes in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making released by the Commission on June 24, 1999 (document FCC 99-149). We make the following comments:

The use of heavily overlapped channels for FHSS systems will result in a. significantly increased interference between systems employing this method of channel selection. This is due primarily to two side effects of overlapped channels. First, nearly all commercially available FHSS systems employ noncoherent FSK modulation. Studies on the effect of partially overlapped channels on systems employing FSK modulation have concluded that the interference from a partially overlapped channel is more severe than either cochannel or adjacent channel interference. Secondly, regardless of the modulation method employed, spectrum-sharing etiquette will be inhibited by the use of overlapping channels. Specifically, the effectiveness of Clear Channel Assessment (CCA) mechanisms is reduced. CCA will be reduced to simple energy detection, as opposed to carrier sense/code lock mechanisms that are far more effective means of facilitating spectrum sharing when two FHSS networks share the same frequency band.

The Committee concludes that the proposed rules changes will result in systems that cause increased levels of interference to existing FHSS and DSSS systems. In general, a faster hop rate for FHSS systems represents a more severe interference threat than does an FHSS system employing a slower hop rate. We note that there is no regulatory prohibition against the use of systems that have higher hopping frequencies, but we are of the opinion that the Commission should not make higher hop rates mandatory. The higher hopping rates appear to be an attempt to mitigate the increased interference of the proposed wider channels. However, this measure

ET Docket No. 99-231 Comments from IEEE Project 802

The Committee has reviewed the proposed changes in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making released by the Commission on June 24, 1999 (document FCC 99-149). We make the following comments:

- b. The use of heavily overlapped channels for FHSS systems will result in significantly increased interference between systems employing this method of channel selection. This is due primarily to two side effects of overlapped channels. First, nearly all commercially available FHSS systems employ noncoherent FSK modulation. Studies on the effect of partially overlapped channels on systems employing FSK modulation have concluded that the interference from a partially overlapped channel is more severe than either cochannel or adjacent channel interference. Secondly, regardless of the modulation method employed, spectrum-sharing etiquette will be inhibited by the use of overlapping channels. Specifically, the effectiveness of Clear Channel Assessment (CCA) mechanisms is reduced. CCA will be reduced to simple energy detection, as opposed to carrier sense/code lock mechanisms that are far more effective means of facilitating spectrum sharing when two FHSS networks share the same frequency band.
- c. The Committee concludes that the proposed rules changes will result in systems that cause increased levels of interference to existing FHSS and DSSS systems. In general, a faster hop rate for FHSS systems represents a more severe interference threat than does an FHSS system employing a slower hop rate. We note that there is no regulatory prohibition against the use of systems that have higher hopping frequencies, but we are of the opinion that the Commission should not make higher hop rates mandatory. The higher hopping rates appear to be an attempt to mitigate the increased interference of the proposed wider channels. However, this measure

ET Docket No. 99-231 Comments from IEEE Project 802

Summary

In summary, we find that the proposed rules changes for WBFH systems will result in high levels of self interference among systems employing these measures due to the increased level of interference resulting from the use of 5x multiply overlapped channels and the impairment of CCA mechanisms. Further, WBFH systems pose an interference threat to existing FHSS and DSSS systems due to a mandatory increase in hop rate and the higher transmitted power levels which will be required for reliable operation of these systems. We are therefore opposed to the proposed rule changes for FHSS systems and urge the Commission to reject these measures.

Respectfully,

James T. Carlo (jcarlo@ti.com) Chair, IEEE 802, LAN/MAN Standards Texas Instruments 9208 Heatherdale Drive Dallas TX 75234

cc: Chairman William E. Kennard Commissioner Susan Ness Commissioner Harold Furchgott-Roth Commissioner Michael K. Powell Commissioner Gloria Trastani Dale Hatfield Julius P. Knapp Neal L. McNeil Karen Rackley John A. Reed Anthony Serafin Deborah Rudolph, IEEE, USA Dr. Ned Sauthoff, IEEE, USA Vic Hayes (vichayes@lucent.com) Chair, IEEE 802.11, Wireless LANs Lucent Technologies Nederland B.V. Zadelstede 1-10 3431 JZ Nieuwegein, the Netherlands