

## Title: FCC R&O on 3.65GHz and the Contention Based Protocol

Document Number: IEEE S802.16h-05/016

Date Submitted: May 4, 2005

Source:

Marianna Goldhammer

Voice: +972 3 645 6241

[marianna.goldhammer@alvarion.com](mailto:marianna.goldhammer@alvarion.com)

ALVARION

21a HaBarzel Street, Tel Aviv, Israel

Venue:

Meeting : 2-5 May, 2005

Base Document:

Purpose:

Notice:

This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

Release:

The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.

IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy:

The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures <<http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html>>, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair <<mailto:chair@wirelessman.org>> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site <<http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices>>.

# PART 90 – PRIVATE LAND MOBILE RADIO SERVICES - Subpart Z – 3650 MHz

- Requirement for a Coexistence protocol
  - **802.16h fits the definition**
    - A protocol that allows multiple users to share the same spectrum by defining the events that must occur when two or more transmitters attempt to simultaneously access the same channel and establishing rules by which a transmitter provides reasonable opportunities for other transmitters to operate
  - **The term “contention-based” protocol is too suggestive of a particular solution and is NOT technology neutral:**
    - FCC should change the name to “coexistence protocol”
- Introduces a “Base-Station” data base
  - Neighborhood Base Stations may be identified
  - Adding an IP identifier (as [BS007@winet.com](mailto:BS007@winet.com)) will enable dynamic radio resource sharing, using BS to BS communication.
  - Service providers are identified
- Includes obligation of the licensees to collaborate and avoid harmful interference
  - Using the same coexistence protocol may satisfy this obligation

# QoS

- 802.16: scheduled transmission, guarantees QoS
- CBP: **contention-based** protocol, no QoS guarantee
- QoS means for a Service Provider
  - Some minimum data rate
  - Some max. delay

# Clear Channel Assessment before transmissions

- **Incompatible with the scheduled transmissions concept → NOT providing QoS**
- **Destroying the high-spectral efficiency concept**
  - A scheduled interval for transmission shall include time for other transmissions, even if they do not take place
- **Incompatible with directional antennas**
  - Antenna isolation may cause CPE to be hidden from each other
- **Creating interference to neighbor CPE**
  - A CPE may not “see” a foreign Base Station transmission to a neighboring CPE belonging to that network and thus may transmit at times when the neighbor CPE is in Receive state
- **Does not prevents the interference at RECEIVER location**

## More on CCA

- 802.11: Clear Channel Assessment is done at transmitter location and the interference is experienced at RECEIVER location
  - 500m distance may introduce between them **>100dB** isolation
- 802.11a
  - **Detects 802.16 at -62dBm!**
- 802.11g
  - Does not specify a level for detecting non-802.11 systems

# 802.16 MAC and Clear Channel Assessment

- Suppose at 802.16 CPE location a neighbor 802.11 device
- START: 802.16 CPE transmits
  - Var. 1: 802.11 device is not transmitting
  - Var. 2: 802.11 was active and its reception may be interfered
- Next: 802.16 CPE enters receive state
  - 802.11 device starts to transmit
- Next: 802.16 BS transmits
  - CPE receive at  $-90\text{dbm}$
  - If 802.11 device is not transmitting but checks the channel for a future transmission
    - Senses silent media due to the low received level
      - CCA does not work
  - If 802.11 device is transmitting
    - **CPE lose the MAP and the scheduling info**
    - 802.16 lose the transmit opportunity
- Next: 802.16 CPE transmits to request BW (go to START)

# Conclusion

- A Contention-Based Protocol prevents 802.16 to work!

## FCC feedback

- On a proposed coexistence protocol based on scheduling
  - It is in line with FCC definition, even if is NOT based on contentions
  - The following slides were presented to FCC



# Protocol proposal in the context of Part Z – 3.65 GHz

- Starting from the FCC Operators' Base Stations data base
  - Establish the number of operators in a given area
  - Establish the MAC frame division in time
- Establish interferer identification
  - Create a multi-frame, every transmitter having a short slot for its sole transmission
  - Identify the transmitter based on frame number and slot number and/or GPS time
- Establish messages for dynamic radio resource allocation
  - Affect the duration of reserved slots, based on agreement between the networks involved
    - If one network experiences low interference, may let other networks to increase the time in which they work in parallel
    - If one network experiences interference, may ask other networks
      - Specific transmitters to cease the operation in parallel
      - Increase its reservation time and define the new time

# Protocol proposal in the context of Part Z – 3.65 GHz, protocol proposal - continuation

- Establish rules of behavior based on:
  - actual traffic load
  - actual interference levels and duration
  - To be a basis for “type approval”
    - Avoid stealing radio resource

# Highlights of the proposed protocol

- Technology agnostic
  - The communication between base stations takes place at IP level
    - The IP identifier should be known from the FCC data base
  - Multi-frame definition will be based on a general time-base (seconds)
  - Every network will have its allocation for:
    - High transmitting powers
    - Interference-free reception
  - May accommodate
    - WiMAX systems
    - Bursty systems
    - Mesh systems
- High spectral efficiency and QoS
  - Scheduled approach
  - Suitable for WiMAX applications
- Large cell size
  - Minimization of interference
- Enforces fairness and collaboration

## Conclusion

- A Contention Based coexistence is not suitable for 802.16
- FCC agreed with a scheduled approach, based on IP communication
- 802.11 shall collaborate with 802.16 to design a coexistence protocol suitable to our both groups and eventually to other interested wireless groups

# Proposed further actions

- Letter to 802.11 SG on 3.65GHz
  - Explain why the CBP is not suitable to 802.16
  - Propose the work structure in 802
    - TG in every interested wireless group
    - JPT (with appropriate non-overlapping meeting scheduling) to coordinate between groups
    - Voting: every WG = 1 vote
  - Make correction to proposed 5 criteria for 802.11TG
    - They claim that no other group have a standard in 3.65GHz
- Letter to FCC
  - Show that the CBP is not suitable to 802.16
  - Ask to change the name to “Coexistence Protocol”
  - Example based on 802.16h approach