Title: Clarification on the mandatory / optional status for CMI/CSI procedures

Document Number: C802.16h-06/061 Date Submitted: July 20, 2006

Source:

Mariana Goldhamer Voice: +972 3 645 6241 mariana.goldhamer@alvarion.com

ALVARION

21a HaBarzel Street, Tel Aviv, Israel

Venue:

Session #44, 17-20 July, 2006

Base Document:

Purpose:

Notice:

This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change

in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

Release:

The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.

IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy:

The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair mailto:chair@wirelessman.org as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices.

Clarification on the mandatory / optional status for CMI/CSI procedures

Mariana Goldhamer Alvarion

Mandatory

- Both CMI/CSI transmission should be mandatory
 - CMI can be used for interference assessment of both DL and UL users of the spectrum
 - Both CMI and CSI allow system identification (BSID,IP_Proxy) by using the same PHY profile or by using the time or frequency domain energy keying.
- A receiver should detect in a mandatory mode the messages sent using the same PHY profile

Optional

• A Receiver may implement only one of the time or frequency domain energy keying.

MAC Level support

- BS Transmission for CMI
 - Needs a preamble for detection of the PHY mode and frequency synchronization
 - One single PHY mode possible?
 - Establish the DIUC a-priory
 - MAP for UL scheduling
 - Intra-system MAP relevance to be establish as a permanent MAP for CMI

UL transmission

- Preamble needed?
 - Frequency synchronization?
- Should be defined a MAC header for packets intended to other BS?
 - 802.16 systems, but not 802.16h systems can still operate in parallel

DL Reception

- BS ->SS
- The SS should be instructed to receive the BSD messages
 - By the attribute of the CXZ
 - Different attributes to different control channel slots
- Should be defined a MAC header for packets intended to other BS?
 - 802.16 systems, which are not 802.16h systems can still operate in parallel

UL Reception

- $SS \rightarrow BS$
- Connection ID
 - A special one should be defined
 - For the existing standard, UL broadcast Connection-ID is valid?

CXZ Attributes

• MAC level support of CMI/CSI should be defined by giving attributes to the CXZone. By including the specific attribute in a MAP, a foreign system will be able to detect the messages transmitted during the CMI/CSI interval. Different codes should be attributed for CSI use of frequency or time keying. A BS will be able to schedule these intervals if they have absolute timing.