

Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group < http://ieee802.org/16 >		
Title	Amendment to PM Confirmation Code in Explicit Path Management Messages		
Date Submitted	2007-07-12		
Source(s)	Haihong Zheng, Yousuf Saifullah, Shashikant Maheshwari Nokia Siemens Networks 6000 Connection Drive, Irving, TX 75019 USA	Voice: 972-894-5000 Email: Haihong.Zheng@nsn.com	
Re:	IEEE 802.16j-06/027: "Call for Technical Proposals regarding IEEE Project P802.16j"		
Abstract	This proposal clarifies the service flow management in MR with distributed RS.		
Purpose	Discuss and adopt proposed text.		
Notice	<i>This document does not represent the agreed views of the IEEE 802.16 Working Group or any of its subgroups. It represents only the views of the participants listed in the "Source(s)" field above. It is offered as a basis for discussion. It is not binding on the contributor(s), who reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein..</i>		
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.		
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE-SA Patent Policy and Procedures: < http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws/sect6-7.html#6 > and < http://standards.ieee.org/guides/opman/sect6.html#6.3 >. Further information is located at < http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat/pat-material.html > and < http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat >.		

Amendment to Explicit Path Management Messages

1. Introduction

–A different message structure for DSA/DSC/DSD-RSP is defined for explicit path management because a PM confirmation code was introduced. However, the PM confirmation code can reuse confirmation code defined in .16d/e. This contribution proposes to replace PM confirmation code with confirmation code and remove the related text.

2. Specific Text Change

6.3.2.3.11 DSA-RSP message

[Change the text in 6.3.2.3.11 as following:]

In multi-hop relay network, a DSA-RSP is also sent by a RS to confirm the path management operation requested in the correspondent DSA-REQ. The access RS on the last hop on a specific path should generate the DSA-RSP in the form shown in Table T39-1. When a RS receives a DSA-RSP, it shall update the confirmation code and generate a DSA-RSP in the form shown in Table T39-1 and sends it to the previous RS on the path.

Table 39-1 – DSA-RSP message format

Syntax	Size	Notes
DSA-RSP() {		
— Management Message Type = 12	8 bits	
— Transaction ID	16bits	
— PM Confirmation Code	8 bits	
— TLV Encoded Information	Variable	TLV-specific
}		

~~Parameters shall be as follows:~~

~~**Transaction ID**~~

~~– Transaction ID from corresponding DSA-REQ~~

~~**PM Confirmation Code** (see 11.21.8)~~

~~– The appropriate Path Management Confirmation Code for the entire correspondent DSA-REQ.~~

6.3.2.3.14 DSC-RSP message

[Change the text in 6.3.2.3.14 as following:]

In multi-hop relay network, a DSC-RSP is also sent by a RS to confirm the path management operation requested in the correspondent DSC-REQ. The access RS on the last hop on a specific path should generate the DSC-RSP in the form shown in Table T42-1. When a RS receives a DSC-RSP, it shall update the confirmation code and generate a DSC-RSP in the form shown in Table T42-1 and sends it to the previous RS on the path.

Table 42-1 – DSC-RSP message format

Syntax	Size	Notes
DSC-RSP() {		
—Management Message Type = 12	8 bits	
—Transaction ID	16bits	
—PM Confirmation Code	8 bits	
—TLV Encoded Information	Variable	TLV specific
}		

Parameters shall be as follows:

Transaction ID

~~—Transaction ID from corresponding DSA-REQ~~

PM Confirmation Code (see 11.21.8)

~~—The appropriate Path Management Confirmation Code for the entire correspondent DSA-REQ.~~

6.3.2.3.17 DSD-RSP message

[Change the text in 6.3.2.3.17 as following:]

In multi-hop relay network, a DSD-RSP is also sent by a RS to confirm the path management operation requested in the correspondent DSD-REQ. The access RS on the last hop on a specific path should generate the DSD-RSP in the form shown in Table T44-1. When a RS receives a DSD-RSP, it shall update the confirmation code and generate a DSD-RSP in the form shown in Table T44-1 and sends it to the previous RS on the path.

Table 44-1 – DSD-RSP message format

Syntax	Size	Notes
DSD-RSP() {		
—Management Message Type = 12	8 bits	
—Transaction ID	16bits	
—PM Confirmation Code	8 bits	
—TLV Encoded Information	Variable	TLV specific
}		

Parameters shall be as follows:

Transaction ID

~~—Transaction ID from corresponding DSA-REQ~~

PM Confirmation Code (see 11.21.8)

~~—The appropriate Path Management Confirmation Code for the entire correspondent DSD-REQ.~~

11.13 Service low Management Encodings

[Add the following text before subclause 11.13.1:]

The CC = “Ok/success” and CC = “reject-other” can also be used as the confirmation code to confirm the path management operation as defined in subclause 6.3.2.3.11, 6.3.2.3.14 and 6.3.2.3.17.

[Remove subclause 11.22.8]

~~11.22.8 PM Confirmation Code TLV~~

~~TBD.~~