### HARQ with Relays

### IEEE 802.16 Presentation Submission Template (Rev. 8.3)

Document Number:

IEEE S802.16j-06/197

Date Submitted:

2006-11-07

### Source:

Haihong Zheng, Yousuf Saifullah, Shashikant Maheshwari, Voice:NokiaFax:6000 Connection Drive, Irving, TX 75039E-mail:

+1-972 894 5000 [Fax Number] <u>Haihong.1.Zheng@nokia.com</u>, <u>Yousuf.Saifullah@nokia.com</u>, <u>Shashikant.Maheshwari@nokia.com</u>,

### Venue:

Session #46; Dallas, TX, USA.

### Base Document:

IEEE C80216j-06\_197.doc

### Purpose:

This contribution proposes HARQ procedure for relay.

#### Notice:

This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

Release:

The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.

### IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy:

The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures <<u>http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html</u>>, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair <<u>mailto:chair@wirelessman.org</u>> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site <<u>http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices</u>>.

# Introduction

- This contribution proposes working of HARQ across relays
- End-to-end HARQ: A HARQ packet is relayed on all the links and ACK/NAK is relayed back to the originator, before the next HARQ packet is scheduled
  - Increased Latency: This would cause significant latency if the originator waits for a successful transmission of a HARQ packet over multiple links. With increased number of links, chances of error are also increased.
  - Spectrally Inefficient: Retransmission from the originator need to go over all the hops, even if the failure occurs only on the last link.
- Hop-by-hop HARQ: each hop (link) schedules HARQ packet independently and proceeds with the next HARQ packet (re)transmission after receiving ACK/NAK from the same link.
  - Preferable: provides better efficiency and reduced latency
- Hop-by-hop HARQ is discussed for centralized and distributed scheduling

# HARQ Problems for Centralized Scheduling

- In centralized case, MMR-BS does all the MAP allocation and scheduling
- RS1 needs to retransmit, but MMR-BS does not know. Therefore, RS1 does not have bandwidth grant for retransmission



• Failures on a subsequent link, when there is less/no failures on the first link, could cause HARQ packet drop



# HARQ in Distributed Scheduling

- In distributed case, each RS does the MAP allocation and scheduling for its link
- RS1 schedules retransmission, independently of MMR-BS, after receiving NAK



# HARQ Solution for Centralized Scheduling

- RS1 sends HARQ RS Report to MMR-BS, indicating the RS and HARQ packet
- MMR-BS allocates MAP for the retransmission on the failed link, and onwards.
- If a RS is reaching its buffer capacity, it sends HARQ RS Report indicating to stop HARQ packet transmission. Once RS recovers, it sends indication to resume the transmission.



# How to send HARQ RS Report?

- Define an extended subheader for HARQ RS Report
- If there is an avail. bandwidth, RS sends the subheader using it
- It is possible that the RS may not have any available bandwidth. In this case, it requests bandwidth using CDMA ranging code. (refer to Bandwidth Allocation for Relay contrib)



### **Simulation Parameters**

• Comparison of proposed HARQ scheme and end-to-end HARQ in case of centralized scheduling.

| Scheduler   | Round Robin (goes<br>through HARQ Channels<br>of MSs)   | HARQ_DL_ACK_DELAY                       | 1 frame   |
|---|---|---|-----------|
| No of HARQ Channels<br>scheduled per MS in one<br>frame | 2   | HARQ_SCHED_DELAY                        | 3 frames  |
| No of MS in system                                      | 20  | MAX_RETX_COUNT                          | 4         |
| No of HARQ Channels per MS                              | 6   | UL and DL overhead for resource request | 10 bytes  |
| Total HARQ Channels available in one frame              | 15  | Resource Request latency<br>at RS       | 3 frames  |
| Frame Duration  | 5 ms  | Queue Length at RS                      | variable  |
| Simulation Duration                                     | 60000 frames  | No of Hops                              | variable  |
| Error generation  | Uniform random error<br>generation with BLER of<br>10 % | Flow control                            | supported |

### Simulation results



#### Effect of Queue length at RS on the packet error rate

% Gain in spectral efficiency with proposed HARQ scheme compare to E2E



 Total overhead (UL/DL) of requesting resources by RS for 2 hop is 2 %

8

# Conclusion

- Hop by hop HARQ is suggested for better throughput and low latency
- Described a problem for retransmission scheduling from a RS in the case of centralized scheduling
- Proposed a solution by sending HARQ RS Report to MMR-BS
  - Allows retransmission only on the effected links, thus, it is bandwidth efficient
  - Works on top of the existing HARQ mechanism
  - Doesn't modify MS behavior
- Provides corresponding spec changes in C80216j-06\_197.doc