2000-04-28 IEEE 802.16.3c-00/01

Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group
Title	802.16.3 Functional Requirements Comment Database Report for Session #7
Date Submitted	2000-04-28
Source	Brian Petry 3Com 12230 World Trade Dr. San Diego, CA 92128 Voice: 858-674-8533 Fax: E-mail:brian_petry@3com.com
Re:	This is a report of comments received on the 802.16.3 Functional Requirements, 802.16.3-00/02. These comments are input to 802.16 session #7.
Abstract	This is a database dump of comments received. The database is sorted by type (editorial/technical), then page number, then line number.
Purpose	The 802.16.3 Functional Requirements Task Group should use this report to assist in the comment resolution meetings at session #7.
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist the IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.
Release	The contributor acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by 802.16.
IEEE Patent Policy	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE Patent Policy, which is set forth in the IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws < http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws > and includes the statement:
	"IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, if there is technical justification in the opinion of the standards-developing committee and provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder that it will license applicants under reasonable terms and conditions for the purpose of implementing the standard."

Comments by type/page #/line#

Item Number 48 Commentor Name: Jansson Leif Page Number 0 Line Number: 0 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: The section numbering is wrong. Editorial. **Rejected because editor will clean up the requirements summary appendix** **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: rejected **Notes:** Item Number 74 Commentor Name: Kasslin Mika Page Number 0 Line Number: 0 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Delete rows M9, M10 and M12. These statements don't occur in the text. **Editor's note: Rejected because the editor will revise this appendix** **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: rejected **Notes:** Item Number 110 Commentor Name: Satapathy Durga Page Number 1 Line Number: 4 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: The BWA system is intended to provide packet, cell and other To expand the scope of BWA standard. transport services. **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 80 Commentor Name: Kostas Page Number 1 Demosthenes Line Number: 5 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Deletion of sentence starting at end of this line Sentence is redundant with second Paragraph. **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number $\overline{20}$ Commentor Name: Goldhammer Page Number 1 Marianna Line Number: 5 Reason for Edit: **Description of Edi** Insert "data" after "packet" clarification **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 1 of 42

Resolution Status: unresolved

Comment Type: Editorial

Notes:

Item Number 6 Commentor Name: Freedman

Page Number 1 Line Number: 45

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete comma after "networks"

Grammar

Date Received: 4/28/00

Date Resolved:

Comment Type: Editorial

Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 149 Commentor Name: Wachira

Page Number 2 Line Number: 7 **Description of Edi**

Reason for Edit:

Replace "service" by "service capabilities" in lines 7 and 8 (two places)

To generalize the field of application of the 802.16.3 systems. We need to focus on services capabilities so

Avi

Muya

Muya

that operators may build services according to their own market requirements.

Editor's note: Rejected because the change could not be located

Date Received: 4/28/00

Comment Type: Editorial

Date Resolved:

Resolution Status: rejected

Notes:

Item Number 150 Commentor Name: Wachira

Page Number 2 Line Number: 10

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace "802.16.3 systems provide" by "802.16.3 systems will be able to

provide"

Grammar **Editor's note: Okay to use WILL?**

Date Received: 4/28/00

Date Resolved:

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 84 Commentor Name: Kostas

Demosthenes Page Number 2

Line Number: 18

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

As an example, Figure 1 shows how the 802.16.3 PHY and MAC layers relate to some other 802 standards.

To Clarify that this is only and example: i.e., the 802.16.3 PHY and MAC will relate to others.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 86 Commentor Name: Kostas

Demosthenes Page Number 2

Line Number: 22

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Change title of Figure 1 to Figure 1: Relationship between 802.16.3 and other 802 Protocol Standards(... To avoid misunderstanding of the phrase "other Protocol Standards"

Date Received: 4/28/00 Date Resolved:

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Page 2 of 42 Friday, April 28, 2000

Item Number 152 Commentor Name: Wachira
Page Number 3 Muya

Page Number ³ Line Number: ³⁰

Description of EdiReplace "similar to wired broadband access technologies such as.." by

"similar to those of certain wired access technologies such as..".

It is the markets that are similar

Reason for Edit:

Date Received: 4/28/00 D

Comment Type: Editorial

Date Resolved:

Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 153 Commentor Name: Wachira

Page Number 3 Line Number: 42

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace "fixed position customers" by "fixed position customer stations"

To improve the text

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 9 Commentor Name: Freedman

Page Number 4
Line Number: 7

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Insert space between "802.16.3" and "MAC"

Туро

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 154 Commentor Name: Wachira

Page Number 4 Line Number: 7

Description of Edi

Replace "in section, an 802.16.3" with "in section 1.1, an 802.16.3"

Missing section

Other missing section numbers on page 5 line 27 (see section 8); page 6 line 23 (see section 6);

page 7 line 2 (section 2), line 24 (Section 6) page 9 (in section 3.1); page 11 line 3 (see section

5.5), line 27 (section 5.7); page 12 line 15 (section 6.2); page 13 (section 3?)

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Reason for Edit:

Missing section numbers.

Editor's note: Editor will verify section numbering, etc.

Muya

Muya

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 3 of 42

Item Number 10 Commentor Name: Freedman Page Number 4 Avi Line Number: 11 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Insert space between "to" and "3.5" Туро **Date Received:** 4/28/00**Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 155 Commentor Name: Wachira Muya Page Number 4 Line Number: 22 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Replace "the standard *in* more generally applicable...1 GHz to 10 GHz" with Correct typo and maintain consistency on the upper frequency limit. "the standard *is* more generally applicable... 2 GHz to 11 GHz" **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 156 Commentor Name: Wachira Page Number 4 Muya Line Number: 23 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Replace "The standard is" with "Equipment based on this standard is". Clarification. **Date Received:** 4/28/00**Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 158 Commentor Name: Wachira Page Number 4 Muya Line Number: 26 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Replace "systems" with "system" Grammar. **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Resolution Status: unresolved Comment Type: Editorial **Notes:** Item Number 159 Commentor Name: Wachira Page Number 5 Muya Line Number: 4 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Replace "concern for" by "the interest of" To improve the text **Editor's note: Rejected because text is fine** **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: rejected

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 4 of 42

Notes:

Item Number 160 Commentor Name: Wachira Page Number 5 Muya Line Number: 5 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Replace "subscribers" by "subscriber stations" To be consistent. The system consists of stations. **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 161 Commentor Name: Wachira Muya Page Number 5 Line Number: 7 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Instead of "radiating" would "transmitting" or "emitting" be more To use a better term appropriate here? **Editor's note: Rejected because no specific change specified** **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: rejected **Notes:** Item Number 162 Commentor Name: Wachira Muya Page Number 5 Line Number: 12 Reason for Edit: **Description of Edi** Replace "possible" by "supported" To be precise. **Date Received:** 4/28/00**Date Resolved:** Resolution Status: unresolved Comment Type: Editorial **Notes:** Item Number 89 Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes Page Number 5 Line Number: 22 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: The ending of second sentence should read, Clarify '... envisaged, but the 802.16.3 protocols focus on the Air Interface shown in the simplified model of Figure 2.2." **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 90

Item Number90Commentor Name: KostasPage Number5Demosthenes

Line Number: 23

 Description of Edi
 Reason for Edit:

 Replace sentence starting on this line, and the sentence following with
 Makes meaning clearer

Replace sentence starting on this line, and the sentence following with "Also shown in Figure 2.2 are typical

configurations of the Base Station (BS) and the Subscriber Station (SS), that include the functions of "Indoor Units" (IU) and "Outdoor Units" (OU). However, the physical separation and protocols between OU and IU are beyond

the scope of this document."

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 5 of 42

Item Number 91 Commentor Name: Kostas

Page Number 5 Demosthenes

Line Number: 26

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Change the sentence starting in the middle of this line and the sentence

that follows with,

"An additional function to this System Reference Model that should be considered is Security. The BS Network Interface (BNI) and the SS Network Interface (SNI) are also shown."

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 164 Commentor Name: Wachira

Page Number 5
Line Number: 27

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace "(see section)." With "(see section 8)." Missing section number.

Rejected. Editor will clean up section references

Facilitate reading

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: rejected

Notes:

Item Number 23 Commentor Name: Goldhammer

Page Number 6 Marianna

Line Number: 0

Line Number: 1

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Change "voice, data and video" to "data, voice and video"

Consistence throughout the document

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 22 Commentor Name: Goldhammer

Page Number 6 Marianna

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Change" voice, data and video" to "packet data, voice and in some cases video"

Make a correlation between the market size for each service and the order video"

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 11 Commentor Name: Freedman

Page Number 6 Avi

Line Number: 1

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Change "A base" to "Base" Refer to "interfaces"

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 6 of 42

Item Number 165 Commentor Name: Wachira Page Number 6 Muya Line Number: 1 Reason for Edit: **Description of Edi** Replace "residential networks" by "residential (customer premises) To further clarify. networks' **Date Received:** 4/28/00**Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 111 Commentor Name: Satapathy Durga Page Number 6 Line Number: 4 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Replace Need to address both interfaces and any interworking functions. "which are sometimes called interworking functions(IWFs)," "and related interworking functions (IWFs)," **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 92 Commentor Name: Kostas Page Number 6 Demosthenes Line Number: 4 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Delete Incorrect phrase. Interfaces are no interworking functions. "which are sometimes called interworking functions(IWFs), Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 166 Commentor Name: Wachira Muya Page Number 6 Line Number: 8 **Reason for Edit: Description of Edi** Replace "(see section)." With "(see section 3)." Missing section number. **Editor's note: Editor will clean up section references** **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 12 Commentor Name: Freedman Avi Page Number 6 Line Number: 11 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Remove space in "comm on" Туро **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 7 of 42

Notes:

Item Number 93 Commentor Name: Kostas Page Number 6 Demosthenes Line Number: 22 Reason for Edit: **Description of Edi** Improve English Change sentence to read, 'The Subscriber Stations may identify the bandwidth needed to achieve the required QoS (see section), but the BS has the "smarts" to allocate bandwidth." **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 94 Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes Page Number 6 Line Number: 26 Reason for Edit: **Description of Edi** Replace the paragraph starting on this line with To simplify reading In the downstream direction, within a channel, the network topology is similar to a contentionless broadcast bus, since the transmissions are transmitted by the base station, and more than one Subscribe Station can share a downstream channel. In the upstream direction the topology is similar to a contention-oriented bus, and thus 802.16.3 protocols MUST provide the means to multiplex traffic from multiple SS, resolve contention, and allocate bandwidth in the upstream direction. **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 95 Commentor Name: Kostas Page Number 6 Demosthenes Line Number: 34 Reason for Edit: **Description of Edi** Change paragraph under Section 3 to read, To streamline the meaning. Original paragraph found confusing This section describes some services that an 802.16.3 system SHOULD support. In what follows both the target markets and their associated bearer services are described. **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 168 Commentor Name: Wachira Muya

Page Number 6 Line Number: 35

Description of Edi

delete "bearer" The section on bearer services from original document was deleted.

Reason for Edit:

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Resolution Status: unresolved Comment Type: Editorial

Notes:

Page 8 of 42 Friday, April 28, 2000

Item Number 96 Commentor Name: Kostas

Page Number 7 Demosthenes Line Number: 5

To streamline the meaning. Original paragraph found confusing

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace this paragraph with

"This Section describes typical services supported by an 802.16.3 system. In this document services refer to the services provided by the MAC layer to the layer above it. The term services is also used in this document as an adjective to qualify the type of networks that interface with 802.16.3-based BWA networks(12)(54).

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 97 Commentor Name: Kostas

Demosthenes Page Number 7

Line Number: 11

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete sentence starting on this line Not clear of its meaning

Date Received: 4/28/00Date Resolved:

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 64 Commentor Name: Kasslin

Page Number 7 Mika

Line Number: 15

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace "telephony" with "voice services" throughout the whole section.

Consistence throughout the section

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 112 Commentor Name: Satapathy

Durga Page Number 7

Line Number: 17

Reason for Edit: **Description of Edi**

Change sentence beginning in line 17 to:
"The access transport will be packet based or cell based." See no need to limit transport of voice services to packet based.

Date Received: 4/28/00Date Resolved:

Resolution Status: unresolved Comment Type: Editorial

Notes:

Item Number 98 Commentor Name: Kostas

Page Number 7 Demosthenes

Line Number: 18

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete lines 18 through 24 Unnecessary and confusing verbiage

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Page 9 of 42 Friday, April 28, 2000

Item Number 113 Commentor Name: Satapathy Page Number 7 Durga Line Number: 29 Reason for Edit: **Description of Edi** See no need to limit voice services to VOIP. Delete the sentence "Voice connectivity will be provided by a VOIP protocol and may involve low rate vocoding. Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 26 Commentor Name: Goldhammer Marianna Page Number 7 Line Number: 34 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Delete"low' The VoIP delay is not low **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 114 Commentor Name: Satapathy Durga Page Number 8 Line Number: 9 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Insert To ensure 802.16.3 standard supports ATM-based BWA solutions. "3.1.2 ATM Services **Editor's note: rejected because of duplicate comment from Kostas** The 802.16.3 system MUST provide efficient transport for CBR, VBR (rt and nrt), UBR and ABR services." Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: rejected **Notes:** Item Number 115 Commentor Name: Satapathy Durga Page Number 8 Line Number: 9 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Change section number from 3.1.2 to 3.1.3 Above insertion **Editor's note: rejected because editor will do cleanup/numbering/etc.** **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: rejected **Notes:**

 Item Number
 116

 Commentor Name:
 Satapathy

Page Number 8

Line Number: 11

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Change section number from 3.1.3 to 3.1.4 Above insertion

Editor's note: rejected because editor will do cleanup/numbering/etc.

Date Received: 4/28/00 Date Resolved:
Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: rejected

Notes:

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 10 of 42

Item Number 134 Commentor Name: Trinkwon David Page Number 9 Line Number: 21 Reason for Edit: **Description of Edi** Figure 4-1 : Change "Auto / Video" to "Audio / Video" Туро Change "DAVIC" to "DAV TC" **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 43 Commentor Name: Jansson Leif Page Number 10 Line Number: 13 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: What is DAV and DAVIC? Specify. **Editor's note: Rejected because no specific change suggested** **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: rejected **Notes:** Item Number 105 Commentor Name: Kostas Page Number 10 Demosthenes Line Number: 18 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Sentence should read Clarification "Specifying protocols that can maintain a specified/mandatory performance levels in the face of rapidly changing channel characteristics(e.g., due to multipath) is a problem that the 802.16.3 work group has to consider.' **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 106 **Commentor Name:** Kostas Demosthenes Page Number 10 Line Number: 28 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Change sentence to read Clarification "The 802.16.3 protocols SHOULD allow for increases in capacity and performance." **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 33 Commentor Name: Goldhammer Page Number 10 Marianna Line Number: 30 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Bandwidth is measured in Hz, not bit/s Replace "Bandwidth" with "Capacity" **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 11 of 42

Resolution Status: unresolved

Comment Type: Editorial

Notes:

Item Number 171 Commentor Name: Wachira Page Number 10 Muya Line Number: 33 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Capacity is the correct term for bits/sec. Replace "delivered bandwidth " to "delivered capacity" **Editor's note: Rejected because of duplicate comment from Goldhammer** **Date Received:** 4/28/00**Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: rejected **Notes:** Item Number 70 Commentor Name: Kasslin Mika Page Number 11 **Line Number:** 3 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Replace 99.94% with 99.95% More commonly used value **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 46 Commentor Name: Jansson Leif Page Number 12 Line Number: 7 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: What is "transit delay"? Specify. **Editor's note: Rejected because no specific change suggested** **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: rejected **Notes:** Item Number 18 Commentor Name: Freedman Page Number 13 Avi Line Number: 25 Reason for Edit: **Description of Edi** Remove space within "T he" Typo **Date Received:** 4/28/00**Date Resolved:** Resolution Status: unresolved **Comment Type:** Editorial **Notes:** Item Number 47 Commentor Name: Jansson Leif Page Number 15 Line Number: 12 Reason for Edit: **Description of Edi** Replace "face" with "case". Editorial. **Editor's note: Rejected because "face" is fine** **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Resolution Status: rejected

Comment Type: Editorial

Notes:

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 12 of 42

Item Number 179 Commentor Name: Wachira Page Number 17 Muya

Line Number: 0

Reason for Edit:

Description of Edi Insert "Techniques for" before "Separation" To indicate that TDD is not the separation itself as implied in the draft

Rejected because editor will revise the requirements appendices

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: rejected

Notes:

Item Number 177 Commentor Name: Wachira

Page Number 17 Line Number: 0

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace "according to negotiated user requirements" with "according to dynamically negotiated

user requirements and/or network availability"

To introduce the concept of dynamism in the definition and also indicate that network availability

Muya

could also trigger/cause/impact this variability.
Rejected because editor will revise the requirements appendices

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: rejected

Notes:

Item Number 178 Commentor Name: Wachira

Page Number 17 Muya

Line Number: 0

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Insert "Techniques for" before "Separation" To indicate that FDD is not the separation itself as implied in the draft text.

Rejected because editor will revise the requirements appendices

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: rejected

Notes:

Item Number 176 Commentor Name: Wachira

Muya Page Number 17

Line Number: 21

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace the term "bandwidth " with " capacity" Referring to capacity as "bandwith" is colloquial usage, and we should use

the correct terms - bandwidth

expressed in Hz and capacity in bit/s or bps.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 13 of 42 Item Number 174 Commentor Name: Wachira Muya

Page Number 17 Line Number: 22

Description of Edi Reason for Edit: Ease of reference.

The Appendices should be numbered

A. Requirements Summary A.1 Mandatory

A.2 Recommended (R)

A.3 Optional (O)

B. Vocabulary of Terms

B.1 Definitions

B.2 Acronyms and Abbreviations

Appropriate page numbering should be used.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: rejected

Notes:

Item Number 75 Commentor Name: Kasslin

Page Number 22 Mika

Line Number: 0

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete the last incomplete sentence "This" through "provisioning" Useless and misleading sentence

Rejected because editor will clean up numbering and references

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 76 Commentor Name: Kasslin

Mika Page Number 22

Line Number: 0

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete "Protocol" till end of text Incomplete and irrelevant sentence

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 77 Commentor Name: Kasslin

Page Number 25 Mika

Line Number: 0

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace 99.94% with 99.95% Consistency with the text in section 5.4 if the corresponding earlier comment is approved.

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Resolution Status: unresolved Comment Type: Editorial

Notes:

Page 14 of 42 Friday, April 28, 2000

Item Number 78 Commentor Name: Kasslin Page Number 26 Mika

Line Number: 0

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete the following bullet points: "- Radio range (shaped sector radius)

"- Width of the sector

Consistency with the text in section 5.7 if the corresponding earlier comment is approved.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Editorial

Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 49 Commentor Name: Jansson

Page Number 0 Line Number: 0

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

This is not requirement on a BWA system. Delete R17.

Rejected because editor will re-work this appendix by scanning the document body to verify all requirements

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected

Notes:

Item Number 109 Commentor Name: Petry

Page Number 0 Brian Line Number: 1

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Change title to "Functional Requirements for the 802.16.3 Interoperability Standard"; make other changes throughout document as necessary.

A simple, meaningful title that won't change as revisions are made and the document is completed.

To explicitly state the reason for this BWA

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 85 Commentor Name: Kostas

Demosthenes Page Number 1

Line Number: 4

Reason for Edit: **Description of Edi**

The BWA system is intended to support a wide-range of transport capabilities (e.g., frame relay, ATM, IP, and Ethernet 802.11) that in turn can support a wide-range of services (e.g., telephone, data, and video)

To explicitly state the reason for this BWA

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 79 Commentor Name: Kostas

Demosthenes Page Number 1

Line Number: 4

Date Received: 4/28/00

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Date Resolved:

The BWA system is intended to support a wide-range of transport capabilities (e.g., frame relay, ATM, IP, and Ethernet 802.11) that in turn can support a

wide-range of services (e.g., telephone, data, and video)

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Page 15 of 42 Friday, April 28, 2000

Item Number 51

Page Number 1

Commentor Name: Kasslin Mika

Page Number ¹ Line Number: ⁴

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace sentence "The BWA" through "services" with
"The BWA system shall support all services (real time and non

real time) in a packet oriented manner."

Clearly defined requirement that clarifies the initial sentence.

Clearly defined requirement that clarifies meaning of the sentence.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 39 Commentor Name: Jansson

Page Number ¹ Line Number: ⁴

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace sentence "The BWA" through "services" with
"The BWA system shall support all services (real time and non
real time) in a packet oriented manner."

real time) in a packet oriented manner."

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 5 Commentor Name: Freedman

Page Number 1 Avi

Line Number: 4

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Change "Broadband Wireless Access (BWA)" to "Wideband Wireless Access (WWA)" all over the document.

Date Received: 4/28/00 Date Resolved:

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 117 Commentor Name: Trinkwon

Page Number 1 David

Line Number: 5

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Insert after "packet and other services" the words "to individual residential, SME, SOHO and tele-commuter end-user locations.

To more fully reflect the purpose of the PAR and to differentiate 802.16.3 from other 802 air interfaces.

To distinguish 802.16.3 activity from 802.16.1

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 16 of 42

Item Number 52 Commentor Name: Kasslin Page Number 1 Mika

Line Number: 11

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Contradictory with "MUST comply" in next paragraph. Statement on Delete lines 11 to 15 revisions and changes is obvious and fluff.

Editor's note: Rejected because it's already deleted

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected

Notes:

Item Number 81 Commentor Name: Kostas

Demosthenes Page Number 1 Line Number: 17

Description of Edi

Reason for Edit: Change second sentence on this line to read "These functional requirements, Third Paragraph Second Sentence is not consistent with the Second

with possible future amendments, are to be used to identify the constrains in the development of the 802.16.3 air interface standard. Such terms as SHALL, MUST and SHOULD as used herein are to indicate the relative

and the third Paragraph states that "The requirements with future amendments, are binding"... the air interface standard MUST comply... with the functional requirements". importance of a requirement."

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Resolution Status: unresolved Comment Type: Technical

Item Number 118 Commentor Name: Trinkwon

David Page Number 1

Line Number: 44

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace "at least one subscriber station" with " a large number of This is the defining characteristic of 802.16.3 FWA/BWA solutions. Also, the word "subscriber" implies a billable public service whereas end-user locations" some end user services will be corporate extranet, VPN or private

network extensions, not "billable" in the conventional sense. Also, the word Station implies a single customer termination whereas most of the likely applications will be multi-line / multi-service. The air interface delivers an access "pipe" to a location over which

Paragraph. Second Paragraph states that this document provides "guidelines"

services can be delivered to one or more end-user ports or terminal points.

Reason for Edit:

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 82 Commentor Name: Kostas

Demosthenes Page Number 1

Line Number: 46 **Description of Edi**

Change sentence to read, "So, "Functional Requirements" describes the 802.16.3 MAC and PHY Layer functions and system parameters that need be specified so that the BWA system can support a wide-range of transport capabilities (e.g., Frame Relay, ATM, and Ethernet 802.11), that in turn can support a wide-range of Services (e.g., telephone, data, and video)

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

To explicitly state the reason for this BWA

Page 17 of 42 Friday, April 28, 2000

Item Number 151 Commentor Name: Wachira Muya

Page Number 2 Line Number: 15

Description of Edi Add a new sentence: "As far as possible, these should be common across the

802.16 systems"

Reason for Edit:

Commonality improves efficiency. **Editor's note: OK to use "SHOULD?"

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical

Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 83 Commentor Name: Kostas

Demosthenes Page Number 2

Line Number: 17

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Change sentence to read "The 802.16.3 air interface interoperability SHALL interwork with existing local, metropolitan, and wide

area network standards."

To more explicitly state the reason for this Air interface interworking capabilities.

In a requirements document the emphasis of being part of a family of standards is misleading.

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Resolution Status: unresolved Comment Type: Technical

Notes:

Item Number 87 Commentor Name: Kostas

Demosthenes Page Number 2

Line Number: 24

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Insert a Figure 0. That depicts the how the 802.16.3 MAC and PHY Layers

to the supported upper layer protocols (e.g., Frame Relay, ATM, 802.11);

i.e., 802.16.3 Protocol Reference Model

To explicitly depict the more general application of the PHY and MAC being developed and not just the family.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 7 Commentor Name: Freedman

Avi Page Number 3

Line Number: 29

Reason for Edit: **Description of Edi**

Exchange the paragraph between lines 29 and 35 (starting with "A broadband wireless access" with the next paragraph (between lines 37 and 42, starting

with "The target markets to be addressed...)

The definition of the markets is more important than the examples of possible services.

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Page 18 of 42 Friday, April 28, 2000

Item Number 8 Commentor Name: Freedman

Page Number 3 Line Number: 30

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Change "markets" to "services" The technologies provide services and are not special to markets.

Avi

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 40 Commentor Name: Jansson

Page Number 3

Line Number: 34

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete lines 34 to 35

This is a mixture of access technologies and services.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 88 Commentor Name: Kostas

Page Number 3 Demosthenes

Line Number: 36

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Insert a bullet "Point-to-Point ATM access"

To include an important broadband access alternative

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 119 Commentor Name: Trinkwon
Page Number 3
David

Page Number 3
Line Number: 36

Description of Edi

Insert new paragraph:

"The critical parameters for serving these markets using wireless access technology is the combination of coverage / capacity factors that affects access cost per user, the deployability, maintainability and product costs associated with the customer premise installation, and the spectrum efficiency / reuse for economically serving the required number of customer locations with a minimum number of base station locations and backhaul routes "

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 53 Commentor Name: Kasslin

Page Number 3
Line Number: 36

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Add fifth competing technology: Native Ethernet To add this market segment.

Reason for Edit:

To capture the essence of the key challenge for a commercially viable /

successful BWA solution for the 802.16.3 target markets.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 19 of 42

Item Number 41 Commentor Name: Jansson Leif

Page Number 3 Line Number: 36

Description of Edi

Add one more competing technology: Fiber

Reason for Edit:

To add this market segment.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Resolution Status: unresolved Comment Type: Technical

Notes:

Item Number 120 Commentor Name: Trinkwon David Page Number 3

Line Number: 38

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Insert "Telecommuters" between "SOHO" and "small businesses" . Proposal: To invite contributions on definitions of these terms and associated traffic model assumptions, demographic density / distibution criteria and

availability and other characteristics of the air interface. typical clutter / propagation implications.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 21 Commentor Name: Goldhammer

Page Number 3 Marianna

Line Number: 38

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Insert "telecommuters" after "SOHO" To add this market segment

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 121 Commentor Name: Trinkwon

Page Number 3 David

Line Number: 38

Reason for Edit: Description of Edi

replace second / subsequent sentences with "In accordance with ITU-R definitions,

FWA (and hence BWA) provides access to one or more (public and private) core networks, rather than forming and end-to-end communication system. 802.16.3 systems serve fixed location customers, but who might be geographically

or re-locatable or even nomadic (but not mobile).

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

To align the requirement with established ITU and FWA terminolology / practice.

These have specific traffic / service / revenue characteristics which need

to be taken into account when determining the capacity, efficiency,

Page 20 of 42 Friday, April 28, 2000

Item Number 122 Commentor Name: Trinkwon David Page Number 4

Line Number: 8

Reason for Edit: **Description of Edi**

Replace "at least one subscriber station" with " a large number of end-user locations"

This is the defining characteristic of 802.16.3 FWA/BWA solutions. Also, the word "subscriber" implies a billable public service whereas some end user services will be corporate extranet, VPN or private network extensions, not "billable" in the conventional sense. Also, the word Station implies a single customer termination whereas most of the likely applications will be multi-line / multi-service. The air interface delivers an access "pipe" to a location over which services can be delivered to one or more end-user ports or terminal points.

Mika

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 1 Commentor Name: Abu-Dayya

Page Number 4 Adnan

Line Number: 11

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace "from 1 GHz to 10 GHz" with "2 GHz to 11 GHz" **Editor's note: Rejected because change is already there**

To be consistent with the PAR.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected

Notes:

Item Number 54 Commentor Name: Kasslin

Page Number 4 Mika Line Number: 11

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace 3.5 with 4.2 Fits CEPT recommendations and covers all the bands.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Resolution Status: unresolved Comment Type: Technical

Notes:

Item Number 55 Commentor Name: Kasslin

Page Number 4 Line Number: 13

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace "near line-of-sight" with "non line-of-sight" Non line-of-sight operation is also possible, not restricted to near line-of-sight.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 21 of 42
 Item Number
 56
 Commentor Name:
 Kasslin

 Page Number
 4

Page Number ⁴ Line Number: ¹³

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace "require" with "benefits from"

There is no direct need for NLOS operation, though signal attenuation from partial obstructions is still low enough to

be technically compensated for (=solution for NLOS operation). This feature improves the system performance.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 2 Commentor Name: Abu-Dayya

Page Number ⁴ Adnan

Line Number: 17

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace "NLOS blockage" with "channel characteristics"

A more meaningful metric in link design.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 123 Commentor Name: Trinkwon

Page Number 4 David

Line Number: 17

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace "NLOS" with "Non Line-of-Sight, Near Line-of-Sight and Line-of-Sight

The commercial objective (already achieved by some conventional FWA systems) is Non Line-of-Sight, especially in shorter range urban / cluttered environments.

Near LOS and pure LOS is commercially tolerable / preferable in suburban and rural deployments where range or propagation through foliage is more critical. The air interface must be able to cope with all three types of deployment, simultaneously if possible.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

 Item Number
 124

 Page Number
 4

Commentor Name: Trinkwon

David

Page Number 4 Line Number: 18

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Insert "regulatory" in front of "and atmospheric conditions".

Regulatory restrictions on bandwidth, power, coexistence, sharing and other licensing criteria can significantly affect the air interface, and can vary

from country to country.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 22 of 42

Item Number57Commentor Name:KasslinPage Number4Mika

Reason for Edit:

Reason for Edit:

To permit universal applicability of the standard.

Line Number: 19

Description of Edi

Insert after conditions. "Figure <as inserted below> shows an example deployment configuration. The base station can serve individual buildings, multiple subscribers in multiple buildings (using multiple radio links), or multiple subscribers in a single building by use of a single radio link and further in-building distribution systems. It shows the use of a repeater and route diversity in order to provide coverage in difficult

This does not imply the use of these features in all systems. However it does require the capability to implement them if required, and leave them out if not."

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

areas.

This figure displays the typical deployment configuration of HIPERACCESS and is more comprehensive than the currently shown figure, which depicts a specific scenario. This should also be our basis in the interest of convergence of standards

Item Number 157 Commentor Name: Wachira
Page Number 4 Muya

Page Number ⁴ Line Number: ²⁴

Description of Edi
Replace "require near line-of-sight (NLOS)" by "will be required to support

both line-of-sight (LOS) and non line-of-sight" (NLOS)"

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 58 Commentor Name: Kasslin

Page Number 5 **Line Number:** 0

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace figure 2-1 with figure 4-3 from TR 101 177 V1.1.1 (1998-05) by ETSI/BRAN/HIPERACCESS

This figure displays the typical deployment configuration of HIPERACCESS and is more comprehensive than the currently shown figure, which depicts a specific scenario. This should also be our basis in the interest of convergence of standards

Mika

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 59 Commentor Name: Kasslin

Page Number 5
Line Number: 6

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete lines 6 to 12: "The base station" through "possible."

The antenna solution is the manufacturer's and providers turf, not to be mandated by 802.16.3.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 23 of 42

Item Number 125 Commentor Name: Trinkwon David Page Number 5

Line Number: 7

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Insert "or adaptive array (spacial reuse)" between "shaped sector"

and "antenna"

Spatial reuse will be an important technical; possibility for meeting the challenging spectral efficiency and economic deployablity criteria for 802.16.3 target markets.

802.16.3 deployments are nothing like conventional mobile or LMDS-like

coverage / deployment plans, and special measures must be taken to ensure

the lowest cost configuration at differing / evolving points in the evolution

David

of the access network and its associated businesses.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 127 Commentor Name: Trinkwon David

Reason for Edit:

Page Number 5 Line Number: 9

Description of Edi Replace sentence with "Omnidirectional antenna are often used as an initial (low capacity, low cost) base-station in competitive overlay or rural

deployments,

but might be enhanced later to sectored configurations to icrease capacity or range as customer penetration / loadingsor distances dictate. It might also be necessary to reconfigure coverage from a single "Super-cell" to a multi-cell coverage plan at some point in the life of a base station, while minimising the number and cost of customer units wjich need re-pointing or re-installation.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 126 Commentor Name: Trinkwon

Page Number 5 Line Number: 10

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete "highly"

FWA customer antennae are typically 15 - 30 degree beamwidth to assist with low cost / complexity deployment processes, especially with a esirable capabil;ity for customer installability or technicians with minimal training / skills.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 128 Commentor Name: Trinkwon

David Page Number 5 Line Number: 13

Description of Edi

Replace "are" with "might be" Omni-Directional and adaptive array antenna confugrations are equally probable

in future FWA/BWA deployments, for economic and deployablity / capacity management reasons.

Reason for Edit:

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Page 24 of 42 Friday, April 28, 2000

Item Number 60 Commentor Name: Kasslin Mika

Page Number 5 Line Number: 13

Description of Edi

Delete the beginning of the sentence starting with "Since the base" through "oriented". Start the sentence with "Multiple base station

radios will likely,".

The antenna solution is the manufacturer's and providers turf, not to be mandated by 802.16.3.

David

Muya

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical

Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 129 Commentor Name: Trinkwon

Page Number 5 Line Number: 14

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Insert "might" between "and" and "even".

This is implementation dependent, and can change.

Reason for Edit:

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical

Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 163 Commentor Name: Wachira

Page Number 5 Line Number: 23

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Remove the sentence starting on line 23, and also the following sentence.

From a standards perspective, there is no need to differentiate between

outdoor and indoor units. That is an

implementation issue. At the subscriber end, the antenna could even be indoors, and at the BTS end,

everything except the antenna might be indoors.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Resolution Status: unresolved Comment Type: Technical

Notes:

Item Number 61 Commentor Name: Kasslin

Page Number 5 Mika

Line Number: 23

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete lines 23 to 26: "Also" through "document." The decision on an IDU/ODU vs. an ODU solution itself is

entirely outside the scope of this document.

Editor's note: Rejected because of duplicate comment from Kostas

Date Received: 4/28/00Date Resolved:

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected

Notes:

Page 25 of 42 Friday, April 28, 2000

Item Number 62 Commentor Name: Kasslin Page Number 6 Mika

Line Number: 0

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

In Figure 2-2 delete the drawings inside the SS and BS boxes and delete the terms IDU and ODU.

The decision on an IDU/ODU vs. an ODU solution itself is entirely outside the scope of this document.

and existing FWA practice / experience in many countries.

Muya

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Resolution Status: unresolved Comment Type: Technical

Notes:

Item Number 13 Commentor Name: Freedman

Avi Page Number 6 Line Number: 12

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Add optional Central Control Station and more base station to diagram The diagram may be adequate for 802.16.1 but it is too simplistic for 802.16.3, where there is hihg influence between stations. A diagram similar to the ETSI TM4 refernce diagram should be used.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 130 Commentor Name: Trinkwon

Page Number 6 David

Line Number: 12

Description of Edi Reason for Edit: To reflect economic / service characetristics of the 802.16.3 target markets

Amend Figure 2-2 to show:

a) Local Power within the SS box, supplying the IDU and ODU.

b) Multiple ODU's per IDU at the BS

c) Remote IDU's from the BS IDU. These Remote units / antenna would be located away from the BS site to improve coverage / economics in range or capacity limited deployments.

d) The BS IDU might not be l;ocated at the BS - it might be at another location (which might be the same as the public switch / router)

e) The switch / router might not be public - it might be a private / corporate edge device which might, or might not have public network gateways / connections behind it.

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 167 Commentor Name: Wachira

Page Number 6 Line Number: 12

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

In the figure, remove the reference to IDU and ODU. From a standards perspective, there is no need to differentiate between outdoor and indoor units. That is an

implementation issue.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Page 26 of 42 Friday, April 28, 2000

Item Number 131 Commentor Name: Trinkwon Page Number 6 David

Line Number: 26 **Description of Edi**

Reason for Edit:

a) Delete "within a channel" (Line 26) and "more than one subscriber could share a downstrean channel" (Lines 27,28)

c) Change "bandwidth" to "capacity" (Line 31)

In FWA/BWA for the 802.16.3 target markets, customer units are always in contention for capacity / bandwidth (not channels in the frequency or radio sense). Not all downstream methods are "broadcast" - beamforming techniques can address individual customers for improved simultaaneous frequency reuse within the same cell.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

b) Delete "if subscriber stations share a channel" (Lines 28,29)

Resolution Status: unresolved Comment Type: Technical

Notes:

Item Number 63 Commentor Name: Kasslin

Mika Page Number 6

Line Number: 26

Line Number: 29

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete lines 26 through 29: "In the" through "contention-oriented bus," This text is both fluff (who cares about similarities) and the poor and start the sentence with "802.16.3 protocols". arbitrary usage of the word channel might be mis-interpreted as implicitly mandating FDD.

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 42 Commentor Name: Jansson

Leif Page Number 6

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete lines 29 to 32 This text is to fluffy.

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 169 Commentor Name: Wachira

Muya Page Number 6 Line Number: 33

Description of Edi

Reason for Edit: Propose to replace this section by: To generalize the field of application of the 802.16.3 systems. We need to

focus on services capabilities so Section 3: Service Capabilities that operators may build services according to their own market

requirements.

An 802.16.3 system SHALL support fixed wireless access to IP networks at bit rates of up to 10

Mbit/s. Voice services will be carried as "voice over IP."

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Page 27 of 42 Friday, April 28, 2000

Item Number 50 Commentor Name: Jansson Page Number 7 Leif Line Number: 0 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Change to mandatory. This is important for a good BWA system. **Editor's note: Rejected because the change request does not specify what to change** **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected **Notes:** Item Number 24 Commentor Name: Goldhammer Marianna Page Number 7 Line Number: 10 Reason for Edit: **Description of Edi** Change the phrase starting with "The MAC and PHY protocols" with: "The MAC Clarification and PHY protocols will not have explicit support for each and every service, due to the fact that generic data streams SHALL be used for transport. The MAC and PHY protocols SHALL provide for QoS service specific support. Service specific QoS meaning is very low BER for data services, delay for real time services, etc. **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 14 Commentor Name: Freedman Page Number 7 Avi Line Number: 17 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Add "mainly" between "be" and "packet". There is no reason to assume nor to limit voice services to Voice over packets. Other mechanisms may be found to be better. **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 65 Commentor Name: Kasslin Mika Page Number 7 Line Number: 18 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Delete lines 18 to 21: "The consumer" through "services)" The statement does not provide any valuable information.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 28 of 42 Item Number 15 Commentor Name: Freedman

Page Number 7

Line Number: 18

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Change "will be recovered" with "may be recovered" See above

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

 Item Number
 132

 Page Number
 7

 Commentor Name:
 Trinkwon

 David

Page Number 7 Line Number: 21

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Change to "(supporting FAX and analog modem services)"

Customers will continue to have / use analog modems over any presented "voice" port / circuit. The air interface might need to dynamically allocate

approporiate resources to accommodate the modem transmissions at up to V.90

Avi

rates.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 66 Commentor Name: Kasslin

Page Number 7 Mika

Line Number: 25

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete the entire subsection 3.1.1.1

These statements here add nothing but fluff. The requirements for telephony services are sufficiently dealt with in the QoS section.

The statement before this subsection already refers there.

The statement before this subsection already refers there, so there's no need to repeat that here without any hard values.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 16 Commentor Name: Freedman

Page Number 7 Avi

Line Number: 29

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

change "will be provided by VoIP" to "might be provided by VoIP"

See above.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 29 of 42

Item Number 25 Commentor Name: Goldhammer

Page Number 7 Line Number: 32

Description of Edi

Insert after business). "The required bandwidth is minimized with VoIP, the associated codecs providing a very good compression: 8kb/s for G.729, 6.3kb/s for G.723. The compression result is the increase of the delay.

Marianna

Demosthenes

Reason for Edit:

To make clear what is VoIP rate

To include delay variation and improve English

Date Received: 4/28/00

Comment Type: Technical

Date Resolved:

Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 99 Commentor Name: Kostas

Page Number 7 Line Number: 34

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

-second bullet, should be modified to read,

"Delay - as apparent to the end user, the amount of delay and delay

variation MUST be kept within acceptable limits. Again the specific amount of delay and delay variation acceptable is based on the QoS sold to the end user.'

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 27 Commentor Name: Goldhammer

Marianna Page Number 7 Line Number: 34

Description of Edi

Reason for Edit:

Change "MUST" with "SHOULD" The delay amount is depending on many factors, the MAC being only one of them. The delay amount, due to echo cancellers used

with VoIP, is not extremly critical.

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 28 Commentor Name: Goldhammer Marianna

Page Number 7 Line Number: 36

Description of Edi

Insert after the conversation. "The QoS requiremets should take into account the characteristics of the VoIP technology: codec end-to-end delay of 50ms for 10ms frame (G.729), 120ms for 30ms frame (G.723), the possibility to transmit concatenated voice packets, the mandatory use of echo cancellers.

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Reason for Edit: Give a feeling of what VoIP delay is

Page 30 of 42 Friday, April 28, 2000

Item Number 29 Commentor Name: Goldhammer Page Number 7 Marianna Line Number: 39 Reason for Edit: **Description of Edi** Insert new paragraph with bullet: BER level The MAC and PHY Make clear the BER issue protocols SHOULD provide for a reasonable BER Level for voice services. BER of 10-4 is sufficient for voice services and 10-5 for FAX. **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 30 Commentor Name: Goldhammer Marianna Page Number 8 Line Number: 6 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Insert new numbered paragraph: Internet protocol properties important issue Bullet: Packet length - the IP datagrams are characterized by variable length. The MAC protocols MUST support efficiently variable length packets. Bullet: Bit Error Rate - the TCP/IP protocol, as known from literature, drops its performance by 90% when the packet error rate reaches 10%. The MAC and PHY protocols MUST provide means for realible data transport, the BER target for cellular deployment SHELL be 10-9, equivalent to the performance of wired networks. **Date Received:** 4/28/00**Date Resolved:** Resolution Status: unresolved Comment Type: Technical **Notes:** Item Number 100 Commentor Name: Kostas Page Number 8 Demosthenes Line Number: 9 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Insert before this line Section Important MAN based services "3.1.1.1.1 ATM Protocol Services The 802.16.3 system MUST transport constant bit rate(CBR), non-real-time variable-bit-rate(non-rt VBR), real-time variable-bit-rate(rt VBR) and ABR ATM services." **Date Received:** 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Resolution Status: unresolved Comment Type: Technical

Notes:

Item Number 133 Commentor Name: Trinkwon David

Page Number 8 Line Number: 10

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Add "including always on, ad hoc and on-demand communication in either or both directions '

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Notes:

This ha simportant connotations for non-circuit oriented concentrated air interface access systems, and will be increasing important to support alerts, telemetry and appliance / control functions in residential and small business environments.

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 31 of 42 Item Number 101 Commentor Name: Kostas

Page Number 8 Line Number: 13

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete this sentence No basis given for prejudging now other services' requirements

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 102 Commentor Name: Kostas

Page Number 8 Demosthenes

Line Number: 16

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete lines 17 through 33 of this Section

This is informational text on a specific user or the 802.16.3. PHY and MAC and thus does not belong in this requirements document

Demosthenes

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 31 Commentor Name: Goldhammer

Page Number 9 Marianna

Line Number: 0

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete right column, avoiding the MAC layer for DAVIC

Video is a service that should be supported exactly in the same
way as other services

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

 Item Number
 67

 Commentor Name:
 Kasslin

Page Number 9
Line Number: 0

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete both DAVIC and DIGITAL AUTO/VIDEO from the right column in the figure.

These titles and references are misleading and irrelevant. It is impossible to guarantee services if a parallel Video st

Editor's note: Rejected because of duplicate comment from Goldhammer

It is impossible to guarantee services if a parallel Video stream gobbles up bandwidth without consulting the MAC. Digital audio/

video should run on top of the MAC, not alongside.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected

Notes:

Item Number 17 Commentor Name: Freedman

Page Number 9

Line Number: 28

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Change "DAVIC" to "DAVIC or other"

No reason to limit the convergence layer to DAVIC.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 32 of 42

 Item Number
 32
 Commentor Name:
 Goldhammer

 Page Number
 9
 Marianna

Line Number: 45

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Insert: The IEEE 802.16.3 protocol stack SHALL be the same for

all the supported services.

It is no reason to provide special means to the VIDEO service, which is not the main application and generally the allocated bandwidth size will not permit video service offering

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical

Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Commentor Name: Trinkwon

To reflect the deployment, capacity management and economic characteristics

In the below 11GHz bands ARQ should be a MAC requirement as it very

David

Item Number 135

Page Number 10

Line Number: 3

Description of Edi

Replace "In the downstrean direction? more than one subscriber station" with

"Since customer units will contend for capacity to/from one or more base

stations,

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 103 Commentor Name: Kostas

Page Number 10 Demosthenes

Line Number: 6

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

sentence beginning on this line should read,

"Note that the function of the MAC layer can include error correction by retransmission, or Automatic Repeat Request(ARQ)."

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 68 Commentor Name: Kasslin

Page Number 10 Mika

Line Number: 6

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete lines 6 to 8: "Note that" through "layer."

Editor's note: Rejected because of duplicate comment from Kostas

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected

Notes:

ARQ shouldn't be ruled out already in this phase.

effectively meets the

needs of many applications.

Reason for Edit:

for the 802.16.3 target markets.

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 33 of 42

Item Number 104 Commentor Name: Kostas

Page Number 10 Demosthenes
Line Number: 7

Description of Edi

Delete sentence starting on this line MAC needs to support ARQ

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number69Commentor Name: KasslinPage Number10Mika

Page Number 10 Line Number: 12

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete " and DAV" in the sentence starting on line 11: "Like the"

DAV (Digital Audio/Video?) should run on top of the MAC, any MAC convergence layer does not have to deal with its needs.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 34 Commentor Name: Goldhammer

Page Number 10 Marianna

Line Number: 16

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Insert paragraph 6 Wireless media characteristics Sub-paragraph 6.1 Duplex model

Paragraph start The radio regulations permit two access modes: Frequency Division Duplex - FDD and Time Division Duplex - TDD. The MAC and PHY protocol MUST support both FDD and TDD duplex modes. Spectral efficiency is maximized in FDD with full-duplex operation, while in TDD with means to avoid collocation problems and more complex interference scenarios. The PHY and MAC protocols MUST provide for full duplex operation, while preserving the QoS, BER and spectral efficiency requirements for data and voice traffic. The MAC and PHY protocols MUST provide means to resolve the collocation and interference problems in TDD deployment.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Missing paragraph

Reason for Edit:

voice traffic. The MAC and

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 34 of 42

Item Number 36 Commentor Name: Goldhammer Page Number 10 Marianna Line Number: 16 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Insert paragraph 6.3 Cellular deployment Missing paragraph New paragraph In cellular deployment, due to interference, the system spectral efficiency can be considerably lowered. The PHY and MAC protocols SHOULD permit good frequency reuse factors, providing at least 2bit/s/cell.In order to reduce the interference level, the PHY and MAC protocols MUST permit power control per subscriber up-link and SHOULD permit power control per subscriber down-link. The PHY and MAC protocols SHALL permit real-time changing of power levels, as function of propagation conditions, in order to use the minimum power needed for the target BER. **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 35 Commentor Name: Goldhammer Page Number 10 Marianna Line Number: 16 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Insert paragraph 6.2 Channelization Missing paragraph New paragraph The standardization bodies providing channelization recommendations are ITU-R, CEPT and FCC. The allocated bandwidth per operator varies between 5MHz and 120MHz. In Europe, the typical allocated bandwidth is 14MHz. The operators target a good frequency reuse factor, using 4-6 sectors for Base Stations. The Base Station bandwidth per sector can be between 1.75MHz and 7MHz in in CEPT countries and between 2MHz and 6MHz in MMDS. The MAC and PHY protocols MUST permit the operation with channel spacing per sector of 1.75, 3.5 and 7MHz when using ETSI masks and 2, 3, 5 and 6MHz when using other masks. The typical value for performance analysis SHOULD be 3.5MHz for ETSI mask and 3MHz for MMDS mask. **Date Received:** 4/28/00**Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 3 Commentor Name: Abu-Dayya
Page Number 10 Adnan

Page Number 10 Line Number: 20

Line Number: 20
Description of Edi
Reason for Edit:

replace "will be a difficult problem for" with "will be a requirement for"

The target markets "residential and small businesses" mandate a non-line-of-sight requirement. Hence, the airlink should be robust in

multipath environments.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 35 of 42

Item Number 4 Commentor Name: Abu-Dayya Adnan

Page Number 10 Line Number: 21

Reason for Edit: **Description of Edi**

Delete "The 802.16.3 system capacity ...will also be difficult" Not a very meaningful sentence; serves no purpose.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 44 Commentor Name: Jansson

Page Number 10 Line Number: 22

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete "local LOS obstruction" The target performance levels shall be higher.

Leif

Date Received: 4/28/00 Date Resolved:

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 136 Commentor Name: Trinkwon David Page Number 10

Line Number: 26

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Add "in particular, the activities being carried out within the Joint

To draw specific attention to this important group. Rapporteur Group (JRG) 8A/9B (with references etc)

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Resolution Status: unresolved Comment Type: Technical

Notes:

Item Number 170 Commentor Name: Wachira

Muya Page Number 10 Line Number: 31

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace "provide the peak capacity from 2-10 Mbps" to "provide the capacity To accommodate the narrower channel bandwidths that might be required to from 1-10 Mbps " work into realistic frequency

re-use plans in areas where spectrum is limited, e.g. MMDS operators with only a few channels, 3.5 GHz

band where 802.16.3 system must share 25 MHz with legacy circuit-switched FWA systems.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Page 36 of 42 Friday, April 28, 2000

Item Number 144 Commentor Name: Trinkwon David Page Number 10 Line Number: 31 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Change "2 to 10Mb/s" to "up to 5Mb/s in either or both directions" PAR used 2-10Mb/s to denote the aggregate (shared) channel rate. This part of the FRD refers to the capacity delivered to an individual residential or SME customer location, and is meant to be comparable to DSL or cable modems in realistic wireline deployments **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 137 Commentor Name: Trinkwon David Page Number 10 Line Number: 32 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Change "subscriber station" to "residential or SME customer location" Clarification **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 71 Commentor Name: Kasslin Page Number 11 Mika Line Number: 5 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Delete sentence "Note that" through "a year." Irrelevant information. **Date Received:** 4/28/00**Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved **Notes:** Item Number 138 Commentor Name: Trinkwon Page Number 11 David Line Number: 21 **Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Change "foliage" to "reflections and foliage" Completeness **Date Received:** 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:** Resolution Status: unresolved Comment Type: Technical **Notes:** Item Number 72

Commentor Name: Kasslin

Page Number 11 Mika

Line Number: 27

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Insert after "see section)." "In a multicell environment intercell interference can not be neglected as an outage increasing factor." There should be a requirement providing that some level of interference resilience is supported.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 37 of 42 Item Number 172 Commentor Name: Wachira Page Number 11 Muya

Line Number: 33

Reason for Edit: **Description of Edi**

Replace "from about 99.9 To 99.94%" with "from about 99.9 To 99.99%"

To be consistent with market requirements and technology capabilities.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 139 Commentor Name: Trinkwon David Page Number 11

Line Number: 35

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

BER should be changed from 10e-9 to 10e-6 or 10e-7 in accordance with ITU FWA recs (see JRG8a/9B inputs)

10e-9 is typical; for feeder links and trunk facilities for T1-T3 CBR pipes. It is not typical / necessary / economic for distribution / access connections to residential; / SME customers, or packet-based links / services.

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 45 Commentor Name: Jansson

Leif Page Number 11 Line Number: 44

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete the hole sentence "In a telephony network" through

This is not requirement on a BWA system. "300 ms [15][17][75]"

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 37 Commentor Name: Goldhammer

Marianna Page Number 12

Line Number: 4

Reason for Edit: Description of Edi

Delete from row 4 to row 14 Telephony will be delivered using VoIP, and the requirements here are relevant only for classic 64kb/s voice.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 145 Commentor Name: Trinkwon

David Page Number 12

Line Number: 13

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

More realistic requirement for certain forms of implementation. Change jitter specification to 20mS

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Page 38 of 42 Friday, April 28, 2000

Item Number140Commentor Name:TrinkwonPage Number12David

Line Number: 23

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete 'channel" 802.16.3 links might not be "channel" oriented (e.g OFDM or CDMA)

To differentiate from traditional 802.16.1 concepts and embrace traditional

plus emerging FWA practices / experiences.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number141Commentor Name: TrinkwonPage Number12David

Line Number: 26

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Repalce Bullets with:

Bullet: Radio Range, width of sector(s) and/or adaptive array parameters Bullet: Upstream / downstream link and user rates / asymmetry/ dynamics Bullet: Types of Duplex (FDD, TDD, Paired TDD)

Bullet: Types of Modulation / Multiple Access (DS,SS etc, TDMA, CDMA,

FDMA,

SDMA or combinations)

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 73 Commentor Name: Kasslin

Page Number 12 Mika
Line Number: 26

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete bullet points on lines 26 and 27. Implementation issue, leave to manufacturers and providers (range determined by number of sectors and antenna type etc.)

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 19 Commentor Name: Freedman

Page Number 13 Avi

Line Number: 1

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

All reference should be revisitied Most of the reference are not releavant to 802.16.3

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 39 of 42

Item Number 38 Commentor Name: Goldhammer
Page Number 13 Marianna

Line Number: 21

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Change from table the 10ms in row 7 to "1/4 of the VoIP codec end-to-end delay"

Requirements non consistent with VoIP

More realistic requirements.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

 Item Number
 146

 Commentor Name:
 Trinkwon

 David

Page Number 13 Line Number: 21

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

In Table 1:

a) All one-way delays should be 20ms

b) Delete circuit-based parameters - rest of FRD stipulates packet based

(and maybe cell based) services only.

c) Change BER 10 - 8 on last line to 10 - 6

d) Add note that MOS refers to 4.5 scale (not 5.0 scale)

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected

Notes:

Item Number 173 Commentor Name: Wachira

Page Number 13 Line Number: 21

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

In Table 1 column 4 row 7 replace "10 ms" with "100 ms"

Delay of 10 ms for packet-oriented connections is too short. VoIP can tolerate up to about 150 ms delay, as

Muya

Editor's note: (d) rejected because no specific change specified

long as frame error rate is below about 1%.

To include the support of additional services

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 107 Commentor Name: Kostas

Page Number 14 Demosthenes
Line Number: 20

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Change Sentence to read

"The 802.16.3 protocols SHALL define a set of parameters to meet the

required

QoS parameters for the supported services (e.g., ATM CBR Services and IP) "

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 40 of 42

Item Number 108 Commentor Name: Kostas

Page Number 14 Line Number: 32

Description of Edi

Reason for Edit:

To include the support of additional services to IP

The last sentence parenthesis should read

(such as those required for IP- and ATM-based services)

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical

Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 147 Commentor Name: Trinkwon David

Page Number 15 Line Number: 3

Reason for Edit:

Description of Edi Replace "CMIP/CMIS" with SNMP/CORBA

More appropriate for Access networks / environments, especially for residential and SME markets

Expand the requirement to better match the needs of the 802.16.3 target

David

Demosthenes

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 142 Commentor Name: Trinkwon

markets.

Page Number 15 Line Number: 15

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

This needs further elaboration / discussion / contribution. Power control loops are probably fundamental for most FWA / customer unit applications and it must not be possible to exceed a maximum permitted power level. Also, if a SU is "shut down" it must be possible to re-enable it (without visiting the customer location) to diagnose and fix / dispatch etc. There are also functions necessary

to handle Primary / secondary power conditions, software downloads/ upgrades, perfotmance and error statistics, and to re-ptogram the allowed base station / channel lists for a given SU - or all Sus in a given area / subset of criteria.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Item Number 143 Commentor Name: Trinkwon

David Page Number 15 Line Number: 27

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Needs further expansion / contribution to handle installation, service suspend/resume, relocation, geolocation and anti-cloning processes.

To better match the needs for the 802.16.3 target markets.

Date Received: 4/28/00**Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Page 41 of 42 Friday, April 28, 2000

Item Number175Commentor Name:WachiraPage Number17Muya

Line Number: 0

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Once the revision of the main text is complete, Tables 2 to 4 should be

revised to correspond with the changes made in the document.

Consistency with the text in section 1 to 9.

Rejected because editor will revise the requirements appendices

David

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected

Notes:

Item Number 148 Commentor Name: Trinkwon

Page Number 17 Line Number: 8

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete 2nd, 3rd and 4th bullets relating to 802 conformance.

Not relevant / practicable for FWA/BWA applications for residential and SME markets.

Date Received: 4/28/00 **Date Resolved:**

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: unresolved

Notes:

Friday, April 28, 2000 Page 42 of 42