

Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group < http://ieee802.org/16 >	
Title	802.16.3 Functional Requirements Editing Status Following Session #7	
Date Submitted	2000-06-23	
Source(s)	Brian Petry 3Com 12230 World Trade Dr. San Diego, CA 92128	Voice: 858-674-8533 mailto:brian_petry@3com.com
Re:	At 802.16 Session #7, the 802.16.3 functional requirements task group resolved comments received on IEEE 802.16.3-00/02r1, <i>Functional Requirements for the 802.16.3 Interoperability Standard</i> < http://ieee802.org/16/sub11/docs/802163-00_02r1.pdf >. The editor maintains a database of comments received and updates the resolution status of each comment. This contribution is a report of the output Session #7's comment resolution meetings.	
Abstract	This contribution contains 2 database reports that are output from MS-Access, one sorted by commentor name so that the commentor can quickly find how his or her comment(s) were resolved, and one by resolution status so that unresolved comments can be easily identified. Since not all comments received prior to Session #7 were resolved at Session #7, this contribution is also input to Session #8, during which outstanding comments should be resolved.	
Purpose	802.16 should archive this contribution as a report of comment resolutions at Session #7, and use the report as input to Session #8 comment resolution meetings.	
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.	
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate text contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.	
Patent Policy and Procedures	<p>The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures (Version 1.0) <http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html>, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, if there is technical justification in the opinion of the standards-developing committee and provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder that it will license applicants under reasonable terms and conditions for the purpose of implementing the standard."</p> <p>Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair <mailto:r.b.marks@ieee.org> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, of any patents (granted or under application) that may cover technology that is under consideration by or has been approved by IEEE 802.16. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site <http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/letters>.</p>	

802.16.3 Functional Requirements Editing Status Following Session #7

Brian Petry
3Com

Summary

Prior to **Session #7**, 151 comments were received. During **Session #7**, the 802.16.3 task group resolved, or referred to ad-hoc groups, 122 of them, leaving 29 comments unresolved. Meeting for approximately 10.5 hours at **Session #7**, the task group resolved about 11.5 comments per hour.

The rest of this document contains two sections which are output from MS-Access. The first part is a report of resolution status of each comment, sorted by the commentor's name and the second part is sorted by resolution status. Since MS-Access doesn't leave much flexibility in the report format (headers and footers), you'll have to flip through the pages to find the beginning of the second part. My appologies (on behalf of Microsoft?) for the inconvenience .

[Note: Second part begins on Page 24 of this PDF. Click to jump there.](#)

Comments by name/page #/line#

Page/Line: 4 / 11	Item Number: 1	Commentor Name: Abu-Dayya Adnan	Type: Technical
Description: Replace "from 1 GHz to 10 GHz" with "2 GHz to 11 GHz" **Editor's note: Rejected because change is already there**		Reason: To be consistent with the PAR.	Resolution: rejected
Notes:			
Page/Line: 4 / 17	Item Number: 2	Commentor Name: Abu-Dayya Adnan	Type: Technical
Description: Replace "NLOS blockage" with "channel characteristics"		Reason: A more meaningful metric in link design.	Resolution: accepted
Notes:			
Page/Line: 10 / 20	Item Number: 3	Commentor Name: Abu-Dayya Adnan	Type: Technical
Description: replace "will be a difficult problem for" with "will be a requirement for"		Reason: The target markets "residential and small businesses" mandate a non-line-of-sight requirement. Hence, the airlink should be robust in multipath environments.	Resolution: rejected
Notes: withdrawn			
Page/Line: 10 / 21	Item Number: 4	Commentor Name: Abu-Dayya Adnan	Type: Technical
Description: Delete "The 802.16.3 system capacity ...will also be difficult"		Reason: Not a very meaningful sentence; serves no purpose.	Resolution: accepted
Notes:			
Page/Line: 1 / 4	Item Number: 5	Commentor Name: Freedman Avi	Type: Technical
Description: Change "Broadband Wireless Access (BWA)" to "Wideband Wireless Access (WWA)" all over the document.		Reason: To distinguish 802.16.3 activity from 802.16.1	Resolution: rejected
Notes:			
Page/Line: 1 / 45	Item Number: 6	Commentor Name: Freedman Avi	Type: Editorial
Description: Delete comma after "networks"		Reason: Grammar	Resolution: accepted
Notes:			
Page/Line: 3 / 29	Item Number: 7	Commentor Name: Freedman Avi	Type: Technical
Description: Exchange the paragraph between lines 29 and 35 (starting with "A broadband wireless access" with the next paragraph (between lines 37 and 42, starting with "The target markets to be addressed...)		Reason: The definition of the markets is more important than the examples of possible services.	Resolution: rejected
Notes:			
Page/Line: 3 / 30	Item Number: 8	Commentor Name: Freedman Avi	Type: Technical
Description: Change "markets" to "services"		Reason: The technologies provide services and are not special to markets.	Resolution: rejected
Notes:			
Page/Line: 4 / 7	Item Number: 9	Commentor Name: Freedman Avi	Type: Editorial
Description: Insert space between "802.16.3" and "MAC"		Reason: Typo	Resolution: accepted
Notes:			
Page/Line: 4 / 11	Item Number: 10	Commentor Name: Freedman Avi	Type: Editorial
Description: Insert space between "to" and "3.5"		Reason: Typo	Resolution: accepted
Notes:			

Page/Line: 6 / 1	Item Number: 11	Commentor Name: Freedman	Avi	Type: Editorial
Description: Change "A base" to "Base"		Reason: Refer to "interfaces"		Resolution: conferred to group
Notes:				
Page/Line: 6 / 11	Item Number: 12	Commentor Name: Freedman	Avi	Type: Editorial
Description: Remove space in "comm on"		Reason: Typo		Resolution: conferred to group
Notes:				
Page/Line: 6 / 12	Item Number: 13	Commentor Name: Freedman	Avi	Type: Technical
Description: Add optional Central Control Station and more base station to diagram		Reason: The diagram may be adequate for 802.16.1 but it is too simplistic for 802.16.3, where there is high influence between stations. A diagram similar to the ETSI TM4 reference diagram should be used.		Resolution: conferred to group
Notes:				
Page/Line: 7 / 17	Item Number: 14	Commentor Name: Freedman	Avi	Type: Technical
Description: Add "mainly" between "be" and "packet".		Reason: There is no reason to assume nor to limit voice services to Voice over packets. Other mechanisms may be found to be better.		Resolution: rejected
Notes:				
Page/Line: 7 / 18	Item Number: 15	Commentor Name: Freedman	Avi	Type: Technical
Description: Change "will be recovered" with "may be recovered"		Reason: See above		Resolution: rejected
Notes: withdrawn				
Page/Line: 7 / 29	Item Number: 16	Commentor Name: Freedman	Avi	Type: Technical
Description: change "will be provided by VoIP" to "might be provided by VoIP"		Reason: See above.		Resolution: unresolved
Notes:				
Page/Line: 9 / 28	Item Number: 17	Commentor Name: Freedman	Avi	Type: Technical
Description: Change "DAVIC" to "DAVIC or other"		Reason: No reason to limit the convergence layer to DAVIC.		Resolution: rejected
Notes: Part of figure deleted				
Page/Line: 13 / 1	Item Number: 19	Commentor Name: Freedman	Avi	Type: Technical
Description: All reference should be revisited		Reason: Most of the reference are not relevant to 802.16.3		Resolution: unresolved
Notes:				
Page/Line: 13 / 25	Item Number: 18	Commentor Name: Freedman	Avi	Type: Editorial
Description: Remove space within "The"		Reason: Typo		Resolution: unresolved
Notes:				
Page/Line: 1 / 5	Item Number: 20	Commentor Name: Goldhammer	Marianna	Type: Editorial
Description: Insert "data" after "packet"		Reason: clarification		Resolution: accepted-modified
Notes:				
Page/Line: 3 / 38	Item Number: 21	Commentor Name: Goldhammer	Marianna	Type: Technical
Description: Insert "telecommuters" after "SOHO"		Reason: To add this market segment		Resolution: rejected
Notes:				

Page/Line: 6 / 0 **Item Number:** 23 **Commentor Name:** Goldhammer Marianna **Type:** Editorial
Description: **Reason:** **Resolution:** conferred to group
Change "voice, data and video" to "data, voice and video" Consistence throughout the document

Notes:

Page/Line: 6 / 1 **Item Number:** 22 **Commentor Name:** Goldhammer Marianna **Type:** Editorial
Description: **Reason:** **Resolution:** conferred to group
Change "voice, data and video" to "packet data, voice and in some cases video" Make a correlation between the market size for each service and the order

Notes:

Page/Line: 7 / 10 **Item Number:** 24 **Commentor Name:** Goldhammer Marianna **Type:** Technical
Description: **Reason:** **Resolution:** accepted-modified
Change the phrase starting with "The MAC and PHY protocols" with:"The MAC and PHY protocols will not have explicit support for each and every service, due to the fact that generic data streams SHALL be used for transport. The MAC and PHY protocols SHALL provide for QoS service specific support. Service specific QoS meaning is very low BER for data services, delay for real time services, etc.

Notes:

Page/Line: 7 / 32 **Item Number:** 25 **Commentor Name:** Goldhammer Marianna **Type:** Technical
Description: **Reason:** **Resolution:** unresolved
Insert after business). "The required bandwidth is minimized with VoIP, the associated codecs providing a very good compression: 8kb/s for G.729, 6.3kb/s for G.723. The compression result is the increase of the delay.

Notes:

Page/Line: 7 / 34 **Item Number:** 26 **Commentor Name:** Goldhammer Marianna **Type:** Editorial
Description: **Reason:** **Resolution:** unresolved
Delete "low" The VoIP delay is not low

Notes:

Page/Line: 7 / 34 **Item Number:** 27 **Commentor Name:** Goldhammer Marianna **Type:** Technical
Description: **Reason:** **Resolution:** unresolved
Change "MUST" with "SHOULD" The delay amount is depending on many factors, the MAC being only one of them. The delay amount, due to echo cancellers used with VoIP, is not extremely critical.

Notes:

Page/Line: 7 / 36 **Item Number:** 28 **Commentor Name:** Goldhammer Marianna **Type:** Technical
Description: **Reason:** **Resolution:** unresolved
Insert after the conversation. "The QoS requiremets should take into account the characteristics of the VoIP technology: codec end-to-end delay of 50ms for 10ms frame (G.729), 120ms for 30ms frame (G.723), the possibility to transmit concatenated voice packets, the mandatory use of echo cancellers.

Notes:

Page/Line: 7 / 39 **Item Number:** 29 **Commentor Name:** Goldhammer Marianna **Type:** Technical
Description: **Reason:** **Resolution:** unresolved
Insert new paragraph with bullet: BER level The MAC and PHY protocols SHOULD provide for a reasonable BER Level for voice services. BER of 10-4 is sufficient for voice services and 10-5 for FAX. Make clear the BER issue

Notes:

Page/Line: 8 / 6 **Item Number:** 30 **Commentor Name:** Goldhammer Marianna **Type:** Technical
Description: **Reason:** **Resolution:** rejected
Insert new numbered paragraph: Internet protocol properties
Bullet: Packet length - the IP datagrams are characterized by variable length. The MAC protocols MUST support efficiently variable length packets.
Bullet: Bit Error Rate - the TCP/IP protocol, as known from literature, drops its performance by 90% when the packet error rate reaches 10%. The MAC and PHY protocols MUST provide means for realible data transport, the BER target for cellular deployment SHELL be 10-9, equivalent to the performance of wired networks.

Notes:

Page/Line: 9 / 0 **Item Number:** 31 **Commentor Name:** Goldhammer Marianna **Type:** Technical
Description: **Reason:** **Resolution:** accepted
Delete right column, avoiding the MAC layer for DAVIC
Video is a service that should be supported exactly in the same way as other services

Notes:

Page/Line: 9 / 45 **Item Number:** 32 **Commentor Name:** Goldhammer Marianna **Type:** Technical
Description: **Reason:** **Resolution:** accepted
Insert: The IEEE 802.16.3 protocol stack SHALL be the same for all the supported services.
It is no reason to provide special means to the VIDEO service, which is not the main application and generally the allocated bandwidth size will not permit video service offering

Notes:

Page/Line: 10 / 16 **Item Number:** 35 **Commentor Name:** Goldhammer Marianna **Type:** Technical
Description: **Reason:** **Resolution:** unresolved
Insert paragraph 6.2 Channelization
New paragraph The standardization bodies providing channelization recommendations are ITU-R, CEPT and FCC. The allocated bandwidth per operator varies between 5MHz and 120MHz. In Europe, the typical allocated bandwidth is 14MHz. The operators target a good frequency reuse factor, using 4-6 sectors for Base Stations. The Base Station bandwidth per sector can be between 1.75MHz and 7MHz in in CEPT countries and between 2MHz and 6MHz in MMDS. The MAC and PHY protocols MUST permit the operation with channel spacing per sector of 1.75, 3.5 and 7MHz when using ETSI masks and 2, 3, 5 and 6MHz when using other masks. The typical value for performance analysis SHOULD be 3.5MHz for ETSI mask and 3MHz for MMDS mask.

Notes:

Page/Line: 10 / 16 **Item Number:** 36 **Commentor Name:** Goldhammer Marianna **Type:** Technical
Description: **Reason:** **Resolution:** unresolved
Insert paragraph 6.3 Cellular deployment
New paragraph In cellular deployment, due to interference, the system spectral efficiency can be considerably lowered. The PHY and MAC protocols SHOULD permit good frequency reuse factors, providing at least 2bit/s/cell. In order to reduce the interference level, the PHY and MAC protocols MUST permit power control per subscriber up-link and SHOULD permit power control per subscriber down-link. The PHY and MAC protocols SHALL permit real-time changing of power levels, as function of propagation conditions, in order to use the minimum power needed for the target BER.

Notes:

Page/Line: 10 / 16	Item Number: 34	Commentor Name: Goldhammer	Marianna	Type: Technical
Description: Insert paragraph 6 Wireless media characteristics Sub-paragraph 6.1 Duplex model Paragraph start The radio regulations permit two access modes: Frequency Division Duplex - FDD and Time Division Duplex - TDD. The MAC and PHY protocol MUST support both FDD and TDD duplex modes. Spectral efficiency is maximized in FDD with full-duplex operation, while in TDD with means to avoid collocation problems and more complex interference scenarios. The PHY and MAC protocols MUST provide for full duplex operation, while preserving the QoS, BER and spectral efficiency requirements for data and voice traffic. The MAC and PHY protocols MUST provide means to resolve the collocation and interference problems in TDD deployment.		Reason: Missing paragraph		Resolution: unresolved
Notes:				
Page/Line: 10 / 30	Item Number: 33	Commentor Name: Goldhammer	Marianna	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "Bandwidth" with "Capacity"		Reason: Bandwidth is measured in Hz, not bit/s		Resolution: unresolved
Notes:				
Page/Line: 12 / 4	Item Number: 37	Commentor Name: Goldhammer	Marianna	Type: Technical
Description: Delete from row 4 to row 14		Reason: Telephony will be delivered using VoIP, and the requirements here are relevant only for classic 64kb/s voice.		Resolution: conferred to group
Notes: Not actually deleted, but the paragraphs reworked into the QoS table. Referred to QoS ad-hoc group				
Page/Line: 13 / 21	Item Number: 38	Commentor Name: Goldhammer	Marianna	Type: Technical
Description: Change from table the 10ms in row 7 to "1/4 of the VoIP codec end-to-end delay"		Reason: Requirements non consistent with VoIP		Resolution: unresolved
Notes:				
Page/Line: 0 / 0	Item Number: 48	Commentor Name: Jansson	Leif	Type: Editorial
Description: The section numbering is wrong.		Reason: Editorial. **Rejected because editor will clean up the requirements summary appendix**		Resolution: rejected
Notes:				
Page/Line: 0 / 0	Item Number: 49	Commentor Name: Jansson	Leif	Type: Technical
Description: Delete R17.		Reason: This is not requirement on a BWA system. **Rejected because editor will re-work this appendix by scanning the document body to verify all requirements**		Resolution: rejected
Notes:				
Page/Line: 1 / 4	Item Number: 39	Commentor Name: Jansson	Leif	Type: Technical
Description: Replace sentence "The BWA" through "services" with "The BWA system shall support all services (real time and non real time) in a packet oriented manner."		Reason: Clearly defined requirement that clarifies meaning of the sentence.		Resolution: accepted-modified
Notes:				
Page/Line: 3 / 34	Item Number: 40	Commentor Name: Jansson	Leif	Type: Technical
Description: Delete lines 34 to 35		Reason: This is a mixture of access technologies and services.		Resolution: rejected
Notes:				
Page/Line: 3 / 36	Item Number: 41	Commentor Name: Jansson	Leif	Type: Technical
Description: Add one more competing technology: Fiber		Reason: To add this market segment.		Resolution: accepted
Notes:				

Page/Line: 6 / 29	Item Number: 42	Commentor Name: Jansson Leif	Type: Technical
Description: Delete lines 29 to 32		Reason: This text is to fluffy.	Resolution: accepted-duplicate
Notes:			
Page/Line: 7 / 0	Item Number: 50	Commentor Name: Jansson Leif	Type: Technical
Description: Change to mandatory.		Reason: This is important for a good BWA system. **Editor's note: Rejected because the change request does not specify what to change**	Resolution: rejected
Notes:			
Page/Line: 10 / 13	Item Number: 43	Commentor Name: Jansson Leif	Type: Editorial
Description: What is DAV and DAVIC ?		Reason: Specify. **Editor's note: Rejected because no specific change suggested**	Resolution: rejected
Notes:			
Page/Line: 10 / 22	Item Number: 44	Commentor Name: Jansson Leif	Type: Technical
Description: Delete "local LOS obstruction"		Reason: The target performance levels shall be higher.	Resolution: rejected
Notes: text deleted			
Page/Line: 11 / 44	Item Number: 45	Commentor Name: Jansson Leif	Type: Technical
Description: Delete the whole sentence "In a telephony network" through "300 ms [15][17][75]"		Reason: This is not requirement on a BWA system.	Resolution: accepted
Notes:			
Page/Line: 12 / 7	Item Number: 46	Commentor Name: Jansson Leif	Type: Editorial
Description: What is "transit delay"?		Reason: Specify. **Editor's note: Rejected because no specific change suggested**	Resolution: rejected
Notes:			
Page/Line: 15 / 12	Item Number: 47	Commentor Name: Jansson Leif	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "face" with "case". **Editor's note: Rejected because "face" is fine**		Reason: Editorial.	Resolution: rejected
Notes:			
Page/Line: 0 / 0	Item Number: 74	Commentor Name: Kasslin Mika	Type: Editorial
Description: Delete rows M9, M10 and M12.		Reason: These statements don't occur in the text. **Editor's note: Rejected because the editor will revise this appendix**	Resolution: rejected
Notes:			
Page/Line: 1 / 4	Item Number: 51	Commentor Name: Kasslin Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Replace sentence "The BWA" through "services" with "The BWA system shall support all services (real time and non real time) in a packet oriented manner."		Reason: Clearly defined requirement that clarifies the initial sentence.	Resolution: accepted-duplicate
Notes:			
Page/Line: 1 / 11	Item Number: 52	Commentor Name: Kasslin Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Delete lines 11 to 15		Reason: Contradictory with "MUST comply" in next paragraph. Statement on revisions and changes is obvious and fluff.	Resolution: accepted
Notes:			
Page/Line: 3 / 36	Item Number: 53	Commentor Name: Kasslin Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Add fifth competing technology: Native Ethernet		Reason: To add this market segment.	Resolution: rejected
Notes:			

Page/Line: 4 / 11	Item Number: 54	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Replace 3.5 with 4.2		Reason: Fits CEPT recommendations and covers all the bands.		Resolution: accepted-modified
Notes: Next version of document may need to have more precise ranges called-out.				
Page/Line: 4 / 13	Item Number: 55	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Replace "near line-of-sight" with "non line-of-sight"		Reason: Non line-of-sight operation is also possible, not restricted to near line-of-sight.		Resolution: accepted-modified
Notes:				
Page/Line: 4 / 13	Item Number: 56	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Replace "require" with "benefits from"		Reason: There is no direct need for NLOS operation, though signal attenuation from partial obstructions is still low enough to be technically compensated for (=solution for NLOS operation). This feature improves the system performance.		Resolution: accepted
Notes:				
Page/Line: 4 / 19	Item Number: 57	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Insert after conditions. "Figure <as inserted below> shows an example deployment configuration. The base station can serve individual buildings, multiple subscribers in multiple buildings (using multiple radio links), or multiple subscribers in a single building by use of a single radio link and further in-building distribution systems. It shows the use of a repeater and route diversity in order to provide coverage in difficult areas. This does not imply the use of these features in all systems. However it does require the capability to implement them if required, and leave them out if not."		Reason: This figure displays the typical deployment configuration of HIPERACCESS and is more comprehensive than the currently shown figure, which depicts a specific scenario. This should also be our basis in the interest of convergence of standards		Resolution: conferred to group
Notes: Tabled to submitters to rework the diagram and associated text.				
Page/Line: 5 / 0	Item Number: 58	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Replace figure 2-1 with figure 4-3 from TR 101 177 V1.1.1 (1998-05) by ETSI/BRAN/HIPERACCESS		Reason: This figure displays the typical deployment configuration of HIPERACCESS and is more comprehensive than the currently shown figure, which depicts a specific scenario. This should also be our basis in the interest of convergence of standards		Resolution: conferred to group
Notes: tabled; submitters will rework for next round of comments				
Page/Line: 5 / 6	Item Number: 59	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Delete lines 6 to 12: "The base station" through "possible."		Reason: The antenna solution is the manufacturer's and providers turf, not to be mandated by 802.16.3.		Resolution: accepted-modified
Notes:				
Page/Line: 5 / 13	Item Number: 60	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Delete the beginning of the sentence starting with "Since the base" through "oriented". Start the sentence with "Multiple base station radios will likely,".		Reason: The antenna solution is the manufacturer's and providers turf, not to be mandated by 802.16.3.		Resolution: rejected
Notes: withdrawn				
Page/Line: 5 / 23	Item Number: 61	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Delete lines 23 to 26: "Also" through "document."		Reason: The decision on an IDU/ODU vs. an ODU solution itself is entirely outside the scope of this document. ***Editor's note: Rejected because of duplicate comment from Kostas***		Resolution: rejected
Notes:				

Page/Line: 6 / 0	Item Number: 62	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: In Figure 2-2 delete the drawings inside the SS and BS boxes and delete the terms IDU and ODU.		Reason: The decision on an IDU/ODU vs. an ODU solution itself is entirely outside the scope of this document.		Resolution: conferred to group
Notes:				
Page/Line: 6 / 26	Item Number: 63	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Delete lines 26 through 29: "In the" through "contention-oriented bus," and start the sentence with "802.16.3 protocols".		Reason: This text is both fluff (who cares about similarities) and the poor arbitrary usage of the word channel might be mis-interpreted as implicitly mandating FDD.		Resolution: accepted-duplicate
Notes:				
Page/Line: 7 / 15	Item Number: 64	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "telephony" with "voice services" throughout the whole section.		Reason: Consistence throughout the section		Resolution: accepted
Notes:				
Page/Line: 7 / 18	Item Number: 65	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Delete lines 18 to 21: "The consumer" through "services)"		Reason: The statement does not provide any valuable information.		Resolution: unresolved
Notes:				
Page/Line: 7 / 25	Item Number: 66	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Delete the entire subsection 3.1.1.1		Reason: These statements here add nothing but fluff. The requirements for telephony services are sufficiently dealt with in the QoS section. The statement before this subsection already refers there, so there's no need to repeat that here without any hard values.		Resolution: unresolved
Notes:				
Page/Line: 9 / 0	Item Number: 67	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Delete both DAVIC and DIGITAL AUTO/VIDEO from the right column in the figure. **Editor's note: Rejected because of duplicate comment from Goldhammer**		Reason: These titles and references are misleading and irrelevant. It is impossible to guarantee services if a parallel Video stream gobbles up bandwidth without consulting the MAC. Digital audio/video should run on top of the MAC, not alongside.		Resolution: rejected
Notes:				
Page/Line: 10 / 6	Item Number: 68	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Delete lines 6 to 8: "Note that" through "layer." **Editor's note: Rejected because of duplicate comment from Kostas**		Reason: ARQ shouldn't be ruled out already in this phase.		Resolution: rejected
Notes:				
Page/Line: 10 / 12	Item Number: 69	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Delete " and DAV" in the sentence starting on line 11: "Like the"		Reason: DAV (Digital Audio/Video?) should run on top of the MAC, any MAC convergence layer does not have to deal with its needs.		Resolution: accepted
Notes:				
Page/Line: 11 / 3	Item Number: 70	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Replace 99.94% with 99.95%		Reason: More commonly used value		Resolution: accepted-modified
Notes:				
Page/Line: 11 / 5	Item Number: 71	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Delete sentence "Note that" through "a year."		Reason: Irrelevant information.		Resolution: accepted
Notes:				

Page/Line: 11 / 27	Item Number: 72	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Insert after "see section)." "In a multicell environment intercell interference can not be neglected as an outage increasing factor."		Reason: There should be a requirement providing that some level of interference resilience is supported.		Resolution: accepted
Notes:				
Page/Line: 12 / 26	Item Number: 73	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Delete bullet points on lines 26 and 27.		Reason: Implementation issue, leave to manufacturers and providers (range determined by number of sectors and antenna type etc.)		Resolution: accepted-modified
Notes:				
Page/Line: 22 / 0	Item Number: 75	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Editorial
Description: Delete the last incomplete sentence "This" through "provisioning"		Reason: Useless and misleading sentence		Resolution: unresolved
Notes:				
Page/Line: 22 / 0	Item Number: 76	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Editorial
Description: Delete "Protocol" till end of text		Reason: Incomplete and irrelevant sentence		Resolution: unresolved
Notes:				
Page/Line: 25 / 0	Item Number: 77	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Replace 99.94% with 99.95%		Reason: Consistency with the text in section 5.4 if the corresponding earlier comment is approved.		Resolution: unresolved
Notes:				
Page/Line: 26 / 0	Item Number: 78	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Delete the following bullet points: , "- Radio range (shaped sector radius)" , "- Width of the sector"		Reason: Consistency with the text in section 5.7 if the corresponding earlier comment is approved.		Resolution: unresolved
Notes:				
Page/Line: 1 / 4	Item Number: 79	Commentor Name: Kostas	Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: The BWA system is intended to support a wide-range of transport capabilities (e.g., frame relay, ATM, IP, and Ethernet 802.11) that in turn can support a wide-range of services (e.g., telephone, data, and video)		Reason: To explicitly state the reason for this BWA		Resolution: accepted-modified
Notes:				
Page/Line: 1 / 4	Item Number: 85	Commentor Name: Kostas	Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: The BWA system is intended to support a wide-range of transport capabilities (e.g., frame relay, ATM, IP, and Ethernet 802.11) that in turn can support a wide-range of services (e.g., telephone, data, and video)		Reason: To explicitly state the reason for this BWA		Resolution: accepted-modified
Notes:				
Page/Line: 1 / 5	Item Number: 80	Commentor Name: Kostas	Demosthenes	Type: Editorial
Description: Deletion of sentence starting at end of this line		Reason: Sentence is redundant with second Paragraph.		Resolution: rejected
Notes: withdrawn				
Page/Line: 1 / 17	Item Number: 81	Commentor Name: Kostas	Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: Change second sentence on this line to read "These functional requirements, with possible future amendments, are to be used to identify the constrains in the development of the 802.16.3 air interface standard. Such terms as SHALL, MUST and SHOULD as used herein are to indicate the relative importance of a requirement."		Reason: Third Paragraph Second Sentence is not consistent with the Second Paragraph. Second Paragraph states that this document provides "guidelines" and the third Paragraph states that "The requirements with future amendments, are binding"... the air interface standard MUST comply... with the functional requirements".		Resolution: rejected
Notes:				

Page/Line: 1 / 46	Item Number: 82	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: Change sentence to read, "So, "Functional Requirements" describes the 802.16.3 MAC and PHY Layer functions and system parameters that need be specified so that the BWA system can support a wide-range of transport capabilities (e.g., Frame Relay, ATM, and Ethernet 802.11), that in turn can support a wide-range of Services (e.g., telephone, data, and video)		Reason: To explicitly state the reason for this BWA	Resolution: rejected
Notes: withdrawn			
Page/Line: 2 / 17	Item Number: 83	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: Change sentence to read "The 802.16.3 air interface interoperability SHALL interwork with existing local, metropolitan, and wide area network standards."		Reason: To more explicitly state the reason for this Air interface interworking capabilities. In a requirements document the emphasis of being part of a family of standards is misleading.	Resolution: rejected
Notes:			
Page/Line: 2 / 18	Item Number: 84	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Editorial
Description: As an example, Figure 1 shows how the 802.16.3 PHY and MAC layers relate to some other 802 standards.		Reason: To Clarify that this is only and example: i.e., the 802.16.3 PHY and MAC will relate to others.	Resolution: rejected
Notes:			
Page/Line: 2 / 22	Item Number: 86	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Editorial
Description: Change title of Figure 1 to Figure 1: Relationship between 802.16.3 and other 802 Protocol Standards(...)		Reason: To avoid misunderstanding of the phrase "other Protocol Standards"	Resolution: accepted-modified
Notes: change figure instead			
Page/Line: 2 / 24	Item Number: 87	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: Insert a Figure 0. That depicts the how the 802.16.3 MAC and PHY Layers relate to the supported upper layer protocols (e.g., Frame Relay, ATM, 802.11); i.e., 802.16.3 Protocol Reference Model		Reason: To explicitly depict the more general application of the PHY and MAC being developed and not just the family.	Resolution: rejected
Notes:			
Page/Line: 3 / 36	Item Number: 88	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: Insert a bullet "Point-to-Point ATM access"		Reason: To include an important broadband access alternative	Resolution: rejected
Notes: withdrawn			
Page/Line: 5 / 22	Item Number: 89	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Editorial
Description: The ending of second sentence should read, "... envisaged, but the 802.16.3 protocols focus on the Air Interface shown in the simplified model of Figure 2.2."		Reason: Clarify	Resolution: accepted
Notes:			
Page/Line: 5 / 23	Item Number: 90	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: Replace sentence starting on this line, and the sentence following with "Also shown in Figure 2.2 are typical configurations of the Base Station (BS) and the Subscriber Station (SS), that include the functions of "Indoor Units"(IU) and "Outdoor Units" (OU). However, the physical separation and protocols between OU and IU are beyond the scope of this document."		Reason: Makes meaning clearer	Resolution: accepted
Notes:			
Page/Line: 5 / 26	Item Number: 91	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Editorial
Description: Change the sentence starting in the middle of this line and the sentence that follows with, "An additional function to this System Reference Model that should be considered is Security. The BS Network Interface (BNI) and the SS Network Interface (SNI) are also shown."		Reason: Facilitate reading	Resolution: conferred to group
Notes:			

Page/Line: 6 / 4	Item Number: 92	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Editorial
Description: Delete "which are sometimes called interworking functions(IWFs),		Reason: Incorrect phrase. Interfaces are no interworking functions.	Resolution: conferred to group
Notes:			
Page/Line: 6 / 22	Item Number: 93	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: Change sentence to read, "The Subscriber Stations may identify the bandwidth needed to achieve the required QoS (see section) , but the BS has the "smarts" to allocate bandwidth."		Reason: Improve English	Resolution: accepted-modified
Notes:			
Page/Line: 6 / 26	Item Number: 94	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: Replace the paragraph starting on this line with		Reason: To simplify reading	Resolution: accepted-modified
In the downstream direction, within a channel, the network topology is similar to a contentionless broadcast bus, since the transmissions are transmitted by the base station, and more than one Subscribe Station can share a downstream channel. In the upstream direction the topology is similar to a contention-oriented bus, and thus 802.16.3 protocols MUST provide the means to multiplex traffic from multiple SS, resolve contention, and allocate bandwidth in the upstream direction.			
Notes: Amended with deletions.			
Page/Line: 6 / 34	Item Number: 95	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: Change paragraph under Section 3 to read, This section describes some services that an 802.16.3 system SHOULD support. In what follows both the target markets and their associated bearer services are described.		Reason: To streamline the meaning. Original paragraph found confusing	Resolution: accepted-modified
Notes:			
Page/Line: 7 / 5	Item Number: 96	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: Replace this paragraph with "This Section describes typical services supported by an 802.16.3 system. In this document services refer to the services provided by the MAC layer to the layer above it. The term services is also used in this document as an adjective to qualify the type of networks that interface with 802.16.3-based BWA networks(12)(54)."		Reason: To streamline the meaning. Original paragraph found confusing	Resolution: rejected
Notes:			
Page/Line: 7 / 11	Item Number: 97	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Editorial
Description: Delete sentence starting on this line		Reason: Not clear of its meaning	Resolution: accepted
Notes:			
Page/Line: 7 / 18	Item Number: 98	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Editorial
Description: Delete lines 18 through 24		Reason: Unnecessary and confusing verbiage	Resolution: accepted-modified
Notes:			
Page/Line: 7 / 34	Item Number: 99	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: -second bullet, should be modified to read, "Delay - as apparent to the end user, the amount of delay and delay variation MUST be kept within acceptable limits. Again the specific amount of delay and delay variation acceptable is based on the QoS sold to the end user."		Reason: To include delay variation and improve English	Resolution: unresolved
Notes:			

Page/Line: 8 / 9	Item Number: 100	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: Insert before this line Section "3.1.1.1.1.1 ATM Protocol Services		Reason: Important MAN based services	Resolution: rejected
The 802.16.3 system MUST transport constant bit rate(CBR), non-real-time variable-bit-rate(non-rt VBR), real-time variable-bit-rate(rt VBR) and ABR ATM services."			
Notes:			
Page/Line: 8 / 13	Item Number: 101	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: Delete this sentence		Reason: No basis given for prejudging now other services' requirements	Resolution: rejected
Notes:			
Page/Line: 8 / 16	Item Number: 102	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: Delete lines 17 through 33 of this Section		Reason: This is informational text on a specific user or the 802.16.3. PHY and MAC and thus does not belong in this requirements document	Resolution: accepted-modified
Notes:			
Page/Line: 10 / 6	Item Number: 103	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: sentence beginning on this line should read,		Reason: In the below 11GHz bands ARQ should be a MAC requirement as it very effectively meets the needs of many applications.	Resolution: accepted-modified
"Note that the function of the MAC layer can include error correction by retransmission, or Automatic Repeat Request(ARQ)."			
Notes:			
Page/Line: 10 / 7	Item Number: 104	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: Delete sentence starting on this line		Reason: MAC needs to support ARQ	Resolution: accepted-modified
Notes:			
Page/Line: 10 / 18	Item Number: 105	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Editorial
Description: Sentence should read "Specifying protocols that can maintain a specified/mandatory performance levels in the face of rapidly changing channel characteristics(e.g., due to multipath) is a problem that the 802.16.3 work group has to consider."		Reason: Clarification	Resolution: accepted
Notes:			
Page/Line: 10 / 28	Item Number: 106	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: Change sentence to read		Reason: Clarification	Resolution: rejected
"The 802.16.3 protocols SHOULD allow for increases in capacity and performance."			
Notes:			
Page/Line: 14 / 20	Item Number: 107	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: Change Sentence to read "The 802.16.3 protocols SHALL define a set of parameters to meet the required QoS parameters for the supported services (e.g., ATM CBR Services and IP) "		Reason: To include the support of additional services	Resolution: unresolved
Notes:			
Page/Line: 14 / 32	Item Number: 108	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: The last sentence parenthesis should read (such as those required for IP- and ATM-based services)		Reason: To include the support of additional services to IP	Resolution: unresolved
Notes:			
Page/Line: 0 / 1	Item Number: 109	Commentor Name: Petry Brian	Type: Technical
Description: Change title to "Functional Requirements for the 802.16.3 Interoperability Standard"; make other changes throughout document as necessary.		Reason: A simple, meaningful title that won't change as revisions are made and the document is completed.	Resolution: accepted
Notes:			

Page/Line: 1 / 4	Item Number: 110	Commentor Name: Satapathy Durga	Type: Technical Resolution: rejected
Description: The BWA system is intended to provide packet, cell and other transport services.			Reason: To expand the scope of BWA standard.
Notes:			
Page/Line: 6 / 4	Item Number: 111	Commentor Name: Satapathy Durga	Type: Editorial Resolution: conferred to group
Description: Replace "which are sometimes called interworking functions(IWFs)," by "and related interworking functions (IWFs),"			Reason: Need to address both interfaces and any interworking functions.
Notes:			
Page/Line: 7 / 17	Item Number: 112	Commentor Name: Satapathy Durga	Type: Technical Resolution: rejected
Description: Change sentence beginning in line 17 to: "The access transport will be packet based or cell based."			Reason: See no need to limit transport of voice services to packet based.
Notes:			
Page/Line: 7 / 29	Item Number: 113	Commentor Name: Satapathy Durga	Type: Editorial Resolution: unresolved
Description: Delete the sentence "Voice connectivity will be provided by a VOIP protocol and may involve low rate vocoding."			Reason: See no need to limit voice services to VOIP.
Notes:			
Page/Line: 8 / 9	Item Number: 114	Commentor Name: Satapathy Durga	Type: Editorial Resolution: rejected
Description: Insert "3.1.2 ATM Services The 802.16.3 system MUST provide efficient transport for CBR, VBR (rt and nrt), UBR and ABR services."			Reason: To ensure 802.16.3 standard supports ATM-based BWA solutions. **Editor's note: rejected because of duplicate comment from Kostas**
Notes:			
Page/Line: 8 / 9	Item Number: 115	Commentor Name: Satapathy Durga	Type: Editorial Resolution: rejected
Description: Change section number from 3.1.2 to 3.1.3			Reason: Above insertion **Editor's note: rejected because editor will do cleanup/numbering/etc.**
Notes:			
Page/Line: 8 / 11	Item Number: 116	Commentor Name: Satapathy Durga	Type: Editorial Resolution: rejected
Description: Change section number from 3.1.3 to 3.1.4 **Editor's note: rejected because editor will do cleanup/numbering/etc.**			Reason: Above insertion
Notes:			
Page/Line: 1 / 5	Item Number: 117	Commentor Name: Trinkwon David	Type: Technical Resolution: accepted-modified
Description: Insert after "packet and other services" the words "to individual residential, SME, SOHO and tele-commuter end-user locations."			Reason: To more fully reflect the purpose of the PAR and to differentiate 802.16.3 from other 802 air interfaces.
Notes:			
Page/Line: 1 / 44	Item Number: 118	Commentor Name: Trinkwon David	Type: Technical Resolution: rejected
Description: Replace "at least one subscriber station" with " a large number of end-user locations"			Reason: This is the defining characteristic of 802.16.3 FWA/BWA solutions. Also, the word "subscriber" implies a billable public service whereas some end user services will be corporate extranet, VPN or private network extensions, not "billable" in the conventional sense. Also, the word Station implies a single customer termination whereas most of the likely applications will be multi-line / multi-service. The air interface delivers an access "pipe" to a location over which services can be delivered to one or more end-user ports or terminal points.
Notes:			

Page/Line: 3 / 36	Item Number: 119	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description: Insert new paragraph :		Reason: To capture the essence of the key challenge for a commercially viable / successful BWA solution for the 802.16.3 target markets.		Resolution: accepted
<p>"The critical parameters for serving these markets using wireless access technology is the combination of coverage / capacity factors that affects access cost per user, the deployability, maintainability and product costs associated with the customer premise installation, and the spectrum efficiency / reuse for economically serving the required number of customer locations with a minimum number of base station locations and backhaul routes "</p>				
Notes:				
Page/Line: 3 / 38	Item Number: 120	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description: Insert "Telecommuters" between "SOHO" and "small businesses" . Proposal : To invite contributions on definitions of these terms and associated traffic model assumptions, demographic density / distribution criteria and typical clutter / propagation implications.		Reason: These have specific traffic / service / revenue characteristics which need to be taken into account when determining the capacity, efficiency, availability and other characteristics of the air interface.		Resolution: rejected
Notes: Proposal accepted (results in call-for-contribution)				
Page/Line: 3 / 38	Item Number: 121	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description: replace second / subsequent sentences with "In accordance with ITU-R definitions, FWA (and hence BWA) provides access to one or more (public and private) core networks, rather than forming an end-to-end communication system. 802.16.3 systems serve fixed location customers, but who might be geographically or re-locatable or even nomadic (but not mobile).		Reason: To align the requirement with established ITU and FWA terminology / practice.		Resolution: accepted
Notes:				
Page/Line: 4 / 8	Item Number: 122	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description: Replace "at least one subscriber station" with " a large number of end-user locations"		Reason: This is the defining characteristic of 802.16.3 FWA/BWA solutions. Also, the word "subscriber" implies a billable public service whereas some end user services will be corporate extranet, VPN or private network extensions, not "billable" in the conventional sense. Also, the word Station implies a single customer termination whereas most of the likely applications will be multi-line / multi-service. The air interface delivers an access "pipe" to a location over which services can be delivered to one or more end-user ports or terminal points.		Resolution: rejected
Notes:				
Page/Line: 4 / 17	Item Number: 123	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description: Replace "NLOS" with "Non Line-of-Sight, Near Line-of-Sight and Line-of-Sight"		Reason: The commercial objective (already achieved by some conventional FWA systems) is Non Line-of-Sight, especially in shorter range urban / cluttered environments. Near LOS and pure LOS is commercially tolerable / preferable in suburban and rural deployments where range or propagation through foliage is more critical. The air interface must be able to cope with all three types of deployment, simultaneously if possible.		Resolution: rejected
Notes: withdrawn				
Page/Line: 4 / 18	Item Number: 124	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description: Insert "regulatory" in front of "and atmospheric conditions".		Reason: Regulatory restrictions on bandwidth, power, coexistence, sharing and other licensing criteria can significantly affect the air interface, and can vary from country to country.		Resolution: accepted
Notes:				

Page/Line: 5 / 7 **Item Number:** 125 **Commentor Name:** Trinkwon David **Type:** Technical
Description: Insert "or adaptive array (spacial reuse)" between "shaped sector" and "antenna"
Reason: Spatial reuse will be an important technical; possibility for meeting the challenging spectral efficiency and economic deployability criteria for 802.16.3 target markets.
Resolution: accepted

Notes:

Page/Line: 5 / 9 **Item Number:** 127 **Commentor Name:** Trinkwon David **Type:** Technical
Description: Replace sentence with " Omnidirectional antenna are often used as an initial (low capacity, low cost) base-station in competitive overlay or rural deployments, but might be enhanced later to sectored configurations to increase capacity or range as customer penetration / loadings or distances dictate. It might also be necessary to reconfigure coverage from a single "Super-cell" to a multi-cell coverage plan at some point in the life of a base station, while minimising the number and cost of customer units which need re-pointing or re-installation.
Reason: 802.16.3 deployments are nothing like conventional mobile or LMDS-like coverage / deployment plans, and special measures must be taken to ensure the lowest cost configuration at differing / evolving points in the evolution of the access network and its associated businesses.
Resolution: rejected

Notes: associated text was deleted.

Page/Line: 5 / 10 **Item Number:** 126 **Commentor Name:** Trinkwon David **Type:** Technical
Description: Delete "highly"
Reason: FWA customer antennae are typically 15 - 30 degree beamwidth to assist with low cost / complexity deployment processes, especially with a desirable capability for customer installability or technicians with minimal training / skills.
Resolution: rejected

Notes: associated text deleted

Page/Line: 5 / 13 **Item Number:** 128 **Commentor Name:** Trinkwon David **Type:** Technical
Description: Replace "are" with "might be"
Reason: Omni-Directional and adaptive array antenna configurations are equally probable in future FWA/BWA deployments, for economic and deployability / capacity management reasons.
Resolution: accepted

Notes:

Page/Line: 5 / 14 **Item Number:** 129 **Commentor Name:** Trinkwon David **Type:** Technical
Description: Insert "might" between "and" and "even".
Reason: This is implementation dependent, and can change.
Resolution: accepted

Notes:

Page/Line: 6 / 12 **Item Number:** 130 **Commentor Name:** Trinkwon David **Type:** Technical
Description: Amend Figure 2-2 to show :
a) Local Power within the SS box, supplying the IDU and ODU.
b) Multiple ODU's per IDU at the BS
c) Remote IDU's from the BS IDU. These Remote units / antenna would be located away from the BS site to improve coverage / economics in range or capacity limited deployments.
d) The BS IDU might not be located at the BS - it might be at another location (which might be the same as the public switch / router)
e) The switch / router might not be public - it might be a private / corporate edge device which might, or might not have public network gateways / connections behind it.
Reason: To reflect economic / service characteristics of the 802.16.3 target markets and existing FWA practice / experience in many countries.
Resolution: conferred to group

Notes:

Page/Line: 6 / 26	Item Number: 131	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description: a) Delete "within a channel" (Line 26) and "more than one subscriber could share a downstream channel" (Lines 27,28)		Reason: In FWA/BWA for the 802.16.3 target markets, customer units are always in contention for capacity / bandwidth (not channels in the frequency or radio sense). Not all downstream methods are "broadcast" - beamforming techniques can address individual customers for improved simultaneous frequency reuse within the same cell.		
b) Delete "if subscriber stations share a channel" (Lines 28,29)				
c) Change "bandwidth" to "capacity" (Line 31)				
Notes:				
Page/Line: 7 / 21	Item Number: 132	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description: Change to "(supporting FAX and analog modem services)"		Reason: Customers will continue to have / use analog modems over any presented "voice" port / circuit. The air interface might need to dynamically allocate appropriate resources to accommodate the modem transmissions at up to V.90 rates.		
Notes: text deleted				
Page/Line: 8 / 10	Item Number: 133	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description: Add "including always on, ad hoc and on-demand communication in either or both directions "		Reason: This has important connotations for non-circuit oriented concentrated air interface access systems, and will be increasingly important to support alerts, telemetry and appliance / control functions in residential and small business environments.		
Notes:				
Page/Line: 9 / 21	Item Number: 134	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Editorial
Description: Figure 4-1 : Change "Auto / Video" to "Audio / Video"		Reason: Typo		
Change "DAVIC" to "DAV TC"				
Notes: part of figure deleted				
Page/Line: 10 / 3	Item Number: 135	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description: Replace "In the downstream direction ? more than one subscriber station" with		Reason: To reflect the deployment, capacity management and economic characteristics for the 802.16.3 target markets.		
"Since customer units will contend for capacity to/from one or more base stations,				
Notes:				
Page/Line: 10 / 26	Item Number: 136	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description: Add "in particular, the activities being carried out within the Joint Rapporteur Group (JRG) 8A/9B (with references etc)		Reason: To draw specific attention to this important group.		
Notes:				
Page/Line: 10 / 31	Item Number: 144	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description: Change "2 to 10Mb/s" to "up to 5Mb/s in either or both directions"		Reason: PAR used 2-10Mb/s to denote the aggregate (shared) channel rate. This part of the FRD refers to the capacity delivered to an individual residential or SME customer location, and is meant to be comparable to DSL or cable modems in realistic wireline deployments		
Notes:				
Page/Line: 10 / 32	Item Number: 137	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description: Change "subscriber station" to "residential or SME customer location"		Reason: Clarification		
Notes:				
Page/Line: 11 / 21	Item Number: 138	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description: Change "foliage" to "reflections and foliage"		Reason: Completeness		
Notes:				

Page/Line: 11 / 35	Item Number: 139	Commentor Name: Trinkwon David	Type: Technical
Description: BER should be changed from 10e-9 to 10e-6 or 10e-7 in accordance with ITU FWA recs (see JRG8a/9B inputs)		Reason: 10e-9 is typical; for feeder links and trunk facilities for T1-T3 CBR pipes. It is not typical / necessary / economic for distribution / access connections to residential; / SME customers, or packet-based links / services.	Resolution: accepted-modified
Notes: significant debate			
Page/Line: 12 / 13	Item Number: 145	Commentor Name: Trinkwon David	Type: Technical
Description: Change jitter specification to 20mS		Reason: More realistic requirement for certain forms of implementation.	Resolution: conferred to group
Notes: Referred to QoS group			
Page/Line: 12 / 23	Item Number: 140	Commentor Name: Trinkwon David	Type: Technical
Description: Delete "channel"		Reason: 802.16.3 links might not be "channel"	Resolution: accepted oriented (e.g OFDM or CDMA)
Notes:			
Page/Line: 12 / 26	Item Number: 141	Commentor Name: Trinkwon David	Type: Technical
Description: Replace Bullets with :		Reason: To differentiate from traditional 802.16.1 concepts and embrace traditional plus emerging FWA practices / experiences.	Resolution: rejected
<p>Bullet : Radio Range, width of sector(s) and/or adaptive array parameters Bullet : Upstream / downstream link and user rates / asymmetry/ dynamics Bullet : Types of Duplex (FDD, TDD, Paired TDD) Bullet : Types of Modulation / Multiple Access (DS,SS etc, TDMA, CDMA, FDMA, SDMA or combinations)</p>			
Notes:			
Page/Line: 13 / 21	Item Number: 146	Commentor Name: Trinkwon David	Type: Technical
Description: In Table 1 :		Reason: More realistic requirements. **Editor's note: (d) rejected because no specific change specified**	Resolution: rejected
<p>a) All one-way delays should be 20ms b) Delete circuit-based parameters - rest of FRD stipulates packet based (and maybe cell based) services only. c) Change BER 10 - 8 on last line to 10 - 6 d) Add note that MOS refers to 4.5 scale (not 5.0 scale)</p>			
Notes:			
Page/Line: 15 / 3	Item Number: 147	Commentor Name: Trinkwon David	Type: Technical
Description: Replace "CMIP/CMIS" with SNMP/CORBA		Reason: More appropriate for Access networks / environments, especially for residential and SME markets	Resolution: unresolved
Notes:			
Page/Line: 15 / 15	Item Number: 142	Commentor Name: Trinkwon David	Type: Technical
Description: This needs further elaboration / discussion / contribution. Power control loops are probably fundamental for most FWA / customer unit applications and it must not be possible to exceed a maximum permitted power level. Also, if a SU is "shut down" it must be possible to re-enable it (without visiting the customer location) to diagnose and fix / dispatch etc. There are also functions necessary to handle Primary / secondary power conditions, software downloads/ upgrades, performance and error statistics, and to re-program the allowed base station / channel lists for a given SU - or all Sus in a given area / subset of criteria.		Reason: Expand the requirement to better match the needs of the 802.16.3 target markets.	Resolution: unresolved
Notes:			

Page/Line: 15 / 27	Item Number: 143	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description: Needs further expansion / contribution to handle installation, service suspend/resume, relocation, geolocation and anti-cloning processes.		Reason: To better match the needs for the 802.16.3 target markets.		Resolution: unresolved
Notes:				
Page/Line: 17 / 8	Item Number: 148	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description: Delete 2nd, 3rd and 4th bullets relating to 802 conformance.		Reason: Not relevant / practicable for FWA/BWA applications for residential and SME markets.		Resolution: unresolved
Notes:				
Page/Line: 2 / 7	Item Number: 149	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "service" by "service capabilities" in lines 7 and 8 (two places)		Reason: To generalize the field of application of the 802.16.3 systems. We need to focus on services capabilities so that operators may build services according to their own market requirements. **Editor's note: Rejected because the change could not be located**		Resolution: rejected
Notes:				
Page/Line: 2 / 10	Item Number: 150	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "802.16.3 systems provide" by "802.16.3 systems will be able to provide"		Reason: Grammar		Resolution: accepted-modified
Notes:				
Page/Line: 2 / 15	Item Number: 151	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Technical
Description: Add a new sentence: "As far as possible, these should be common across the 802.16 systems"		Reason: Commonality improves efficiency. **Editor's note: OK to use "SHOULD?"		Resolution: rejected
Notes:				
Page/Line: 3 / 30	Item Number: 152	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "similar to wired broadband access technologies such as.." by "similar to those of certain wired access technologies such as..".		Reason: It is the markets that are similar		Resolution: accepted
Notes:				
Page/Line: 3 / 42	Item Number: 153	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "fixed position customers" by "fixed position customer stations"		Reason: To improve the text		Resolution: accepted
Notes:				
Page/Line: 4 / 7	Item Number: 154	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "in section, an 802.16.3" with "in section 1.1, an 802.16.3" Other missing section numbers on page 5 line 27 (see section 8); page 6 line 23 (see section 6); page 7 line 2 (section 2), line 24 (Section 6) page 9 (in section 3.1); page 11 line 3 (see section 5.5), line 27 (section 5.7); page 12 line 15 (section 6.2); page 13 (section 3?)		Reason: Missing section numbers. **Editor's note: Editor will verify section numbering, etc.**		Resolution: rejected
Notes:				
Page/Line: 4 / 22	Item Number: 155	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "the standard *in* more generally applicable...1 GHz to 10 GHz" with "the standard *is* more generally applicable... 2 GHz to 11 GHz"		Reason: Correct typo and maintain consistency on the upper frequency limit.		Resolution: accepted-modified
Notes: Sentence was deleted.				

Page/Line: 4 / 23	Item Number: 156	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "The standard is" with "Equipment based on this standard is".		Reason: Clarification.		Resolution: accepted-modified
Notes:				
Page/Line: 4 / 24	Item Number: 157	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Technical
Description: Replace "require near line-of-sight (NLOS)" by "will be required to support both line-of-sight (LOS) and non line-of-sight" (NLOS)"		Reason: To permit universal applicability of the standard.		Resolution: rejected
Notes:				
Page/Line: 4 / 26	Item Number: 158	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "systems" with "system"		Reason: Grammar.		Resolution: accepted
Notes:				
Page/Line: 5 / 4	Item Number: 159	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "concern for" by "the interest of" **Editor's note: Rejected because text is fine**		Reason: To improve the text		Resolution: rejected
Notes:				
Page/Line: 5 / 5	Item Number: 160	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "subscribers" by "subscriber stations"		Reason: To be consistent. The system consists of stations.		Resolution: accepted
Notes:				
Page/Line: 5 / 7	Item Number: 161	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Instead of "radiating" would "transmitting" or "emitting" be more appropriate here?		Reason: To use a better term **Editor's note: Rejected because no specific change specified**		Resolution: rejected
Notes:				
Page/Line: 5 / 12	Item Number: 162	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "possible" by "supported"		Reason: To be precise.		Resolution: rejected
Notes: associated text was deleted				
Page/Line: 5 / 23	Item Number: 163	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Technical
Description: Remove the sentence starting on line 23, and also the following sentence.		Reason: From a standards perspective, there is no need to differentiate between outdoor and indoor units. That is an implementation issue. At the subscriber end, the antenna could even be indoors, and at the BTS end, everything except the antenna might be indoors.		Resolution: accepted-modified
Notes: The whole section was temporarily deleted, with comments during next round expected to re-work the section contents.				
Page/Line: 5 / 27	Item Number: 164	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "(see section)." With "(see section 8)."		Reason: Missing section number. **Rejected. Editor will clean up section references**		Resolution: rejected
Notes:				
Page/Line: 6 / 1	Item Number: 165	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "residential networks" by "residential (customer premises) networks"		Reason: To further clarify.		Resolution: conferred to group
Notes:				

Page/Line: 6 / 8	Item Number: 166	Commentor Name: Wachira Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "(see section)." With "(see section 3)."		Reason: Missing section number. **Editor's note: Editor will clean up section references**	Resolution: rejected
Notes:			
Page/Line: 6 / 12	Item Number: 167	Commentor Name: Wachira Muya	Type: Technical
Description: In the figure, remove the reference to IDU and ODU.		Reason: From a standards perspective, there is no need to differentiate between outdoor and indoor units. That is an implementation issue.	Resolution: conferred to group
Notes:			
Page/Line: 6 / 33	Item Number: 169	Commentor Name: Wachira Muya	Type: Technical
Description: Propose to replace this section by: Section 3: Service Capabilities An 802.16.3 system SHALL support fixed wireless access to IP networks at bit rates of up to 10 Mbit/s. Voice services will be carried as "voice over IP."		Reason: To generalize the field of application of the 802.16.3 systems. We need to focus on services capabilities so that operators may build services according to their own market requirements.	Resolution: rejected
Notes:			
Page/Line: 6 / 35	Item Number: 168	Commentor Name: Wachira Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: delete "bearer"		Reason: The section on bearer services from original document was deleted.	Resolution: accepted-modified
Notes:			
Page/Line: 10 / 31	Item Number: 170	Commentor Name: Wachira Muya	Type: Technical
Description: Replace "provide the peak capacity from 2-10 Mbps " to "provide the capacity from 1-10 Mbps "		Reason: To accommodate the narrower channel bandwidths that might be required to work into realistic frequency re-use plans in areas where spectrum is limited, e.g. MMDS operators with only a few channels, 3.5 GHz band where 802.16.3 system must share 25 MHz with legacy circuit-switched FWA systems.	Resolution: accepted-modified
Notes: first part accepted/modified; 2nd part covered by another edit			
Page/Line: 10 / 33	Item Number: 171	Commentor Name: Wachira Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "delivered bandwidth " to "delivered capacity"		Reason: Capacity is the correct term for bits/sec. **Editor's note: Rejected because of duplicate comment from Goldhammer**	Resolution: rejected
Notes:			
Page/Line: 11 / 33	Item Number: 172	Commentor Name: Wachira Muya	Type: Technical
Description: Replace "from about 99.9 To 99.94% " with "from about 99.9 To 99.99% "		Reason: To be consistent with market requirements and technology capabilities.	Resolution: accepted-duplicate
Notes:			
Page/Line: 13 / 21	Item Number: 173	Commentor Name: Wachira Muya	Type: Technical
Description: In Table 1 column 4 row 7 replace "10 ms " with "100 ms "		Reason: Delay of 10 ms for packet-oriented connections is too short. VoIP can tolerate up to about 150 ms delay, as long as frame error rate is below about 1%.	Resolution: unresolved
Notes:			
Page/Line: 17 / 0	Item Number: 179	Commentor Name: Wachira Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Insert "Techniques for" before "Separation"		Reason: To indicate that TDD is not the separation itself as implied in the draft text. **Rejected because editor will revise the requirements appendices**	Resolution: rejected
Notes:			

Page/Line: 17 / 0 **Item Number:** 175 **Commentor Name:** Wachira Muya **Type:** Technical
Description: **Reason:** **Resolution:** rejected
Once the revision of the main text is complete, Tables 2 to 4 should be revised to correspond with the changes made in the document. Consistency with the text in section 1 to 9.
Rejected because editor will revise the requirements appendices

Notes:

Page/Line: 17 / 0 **Item Number:** 177 **Commentor Name:** Wachira Muya **Type:** Editorial
Description: **Reason:** **Resolution:** rejected
Replace "according to negotiated user requirements" with "according to dynamically negotiated user requirements and/or network availability" To introduce the concept of dynamism in the definition and also indicate that network availability could also trigger/cause/impact this variability.
Rejected because editor will revise the requirements appendices

Notes:

Page/Line: 17 / 0 **Item Number:** 178 **Commentor Name:** Wachira Muya **Type:** Editorial
Description: **Reason:** **Resolution:** rejected
Insert "Techniques for" before "Separation" To indicate that FDD is not the separation itself as implied in the draft text.
Rejected because editor will revise the requirements appendices

Notes:

Page/Line: 17 / 21 **Item Number:** 176 **Commentor Name:** Wachira Muya **Type:** Editorial
Description: **Reason:** **Resolution:** unresolved
Replace the term "bandwidth " with " capacity" Referring to capacity as "bandwith" is colloquial usage, and we should use the correct terms - bandwidth expressed in Hz and capacity in bit/s or bps.

Notes:

Page/Line: 17 / 22 **Item Number:** 174 **Commentor Name:** Wachira Muya **Type:** Editorial
Description: **Reason:** **Resolution:** rejected
The Appendices should be numbered Ease of reference.
A. Requirements Summary **Rejected because editor will clean up numbering and references**
A.1 Mandatory
A.2 Recommended (R)
A.3 Optional (O)
B. Vocabulary of Terms
B.1 Definitions
B.2 Acronyms and Abbreviations
Appropriate page numbering should be used.

Notes:

Comments by status/page #/line#

Page/Line:	0 / 1	Item Number:	109	Commentor Name:	Petry	Brian	Type: Technical
Description:	Change title to "Functional Requirements for the 802.16.3 Interoperability Standard"; make other changes throughout document as necessary.			Reason:	A simple, meaningful title that won't change as revisions are made and the document is completed.		
Status:	accepted						
Notes:							
Page/Line:	1 / 11	Item Number:	52	Commentor Name:	Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description:	Delete lines 11 to 15			Reason:	Contradictory with "MUST comply" in next paragraph. Statement on revisions and changes is obvious and fluff.		
Status:	accepted						
Notes:							
Page/Line:	1 / 45	Item Number:	6	Commentor Name:	Freedman	Avi	Type: Editorial
Description:	Delete comma after "networks"			Reason:	Grammar		
Status:	accepted						
Notes:							
Page/Line:	3 / 30	Item Number:	152	Commentor Name:	Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description:	Replace "similar to wired broadband access technologies such as.." by "similar to those of certain wired access technologies such as..".			Reason:	It is the markets that are similar		
Status:	accepted						
Notes:							
Page/Line:	3 / 36	Item Number:	119	Commentor Name:	Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description:	Insert new paragraph :			Reason:	To capture the essence of the key challenge for a commercially viable / successful BWA solution for the 802.16.3 target markets.		
	"The critical parameters for serving these markets using wireless access technology is the combination of coverage / capacity factors that affects access cost per user, the deployability, maintainability and product costs associated with the customer premise installation, and the spectrum efficiency / reuse for economically serving the required number of customer locations with a minimum number of base station locations and backhaul routes "						
Status:	accepted						
Notes:							
Page/Line:	3 / 36	Item Number:	41	Commentor Name:	Jansson	Leif	Type: Technical
Description:	Add one more competing technology: Fiber			Reason:	To add this market segment.		
Status:	accepted						
Notes:							
Page/Line:	3 / 38	Item Number:	121	Commentor Name:	Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description:	replace second / subsequent sentences with "In accordance with ITU-R definitions, FWA (and hence BWA) provides access to one or more (public and private) core networks, rather than forming and end-to-end communication system. 802.16.3 systems serve fixed location customers, but who might be geographically or re-locatable or even nomadic (but not mobile).			Reason:	To align the requirement with established ITU and FWA terminology / practice.		
Status:	accepted						
Notes:							
Page/Line:	3 / 42	Item Number:	153	Commentor Name:	Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description:	Replace "fixed position customers" by "fixed position customer stations"			Reason:	To improve the text		
Status:	accepted						
Notes:							
Page/Line:	4 / 7	Item Number:	9	Commentor Name:	Freedman	Avi	Type: Editorial
Description:	Insert space between "802.16.3" and "MAC"			Reason:	Typo		
Status:	accepted						
Notes:							

Page/Line: 4 / 11	Item Number: 10	Commentor Name: Freedman	Avi	Type: Editorial
Description: Insert space between "to" and "3.5"		Reason: Typo		Status: accepted
Notes:				
Page/Line: 4 / 13	Item Number: 56	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Replace "require" with "benefits from"		Reason: There is no direct need for NLOS operation, though signal attenuation from partial obstructions is still low enough to be technically compensated for (=solution for NLOS operation). This feature improves the system performance.		Status: accepted
Notes:				
Page/Line: 4 / 17	Item Number: 2	Commentor Name: Abu-Dayya	Adnan	Type: Technical
Description: Replace "NLOS blockage" with "channel characteristics"		Reason: A more meaningful metric in link design.		Status: accepted
Notes:				
Page/Line: 4 / 18	Item Number: 124	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description: Insert "regulatory" in front of "and atmospheric conditions".		Reason: Regulatory restrictions on bandwidth, power, coexistence, sharing and other licensing criteria can significantly affect the air interface, and can vary from country to country.		Status: accepted
Notes:				
Page/Line: 4 / 26	Item Number: 158	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "systems" with "system"		Reason: Grammar.		Status: accepted
Notes:				
Page/Line: 5 / 5	Item Number: 160	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "subscribers" by "subscriber stations"		Reason: To be consistent. The system consists of stations.		Status: accepted
Notes:				
Page/Line: 5 / 7	Item Number: 125	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description: Insert "or adaptive array (spacial reuse)" between "shaped sector" and "antenna"		Reason: Spatial reuse will be an important technical; possibility for meeting the challenging spectral efficiency and economic deployability criteria for 802.16.3 target markets.		Status: accepted
Notes:				
Page/Line: 5 / 13	Item Number: 128	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description: Replace "are" with "might be"		Reason: Omni-Directional and adaptive array antenna configurations are equally probable in future FWA/BWA deployments, for economic and deployability / capacity management reasons.		Status: accepted
Notes:				
Page/Line: 5 / 14	Item Number: 129	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description: Insert "might" between "and" and "even".		Reason: This is implementation dependent, and can change.		Status: accepted
Notes:				
Page/Line: 5 / 22	Item Number: 89	Commentor Name: Kostas	Demosthenes	Type: Editorial
Description: The ending of second sentence should read, "... envisaged, but the 802.16.3 protocols focus on the Air Interface shown in the simplified model of Figure 2.2."		Reason: Clarify		Status: accepted
Notes:				

Page/Line:	5 / 23	Item Number:	90	Commentor Name:	Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description:	Replace sentence starting on this line, and the sentence following with "Also shown in Figure 2.2 are typical configurations of the Base Station (BS) and the Subscriber Station (SS), that include the functions of "Indoor Units"(IU) and "Outdoor Units" (OU). However, the physical separation and protocols between OU and IU are beyond the scope of this document."			Reason:	Makes meaning clearer	Status: accepted
Notes:						
Page/Line:	7 / 11	Item Number:	97	Commentor Name:	Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Editorial
Description:	Delete sentence starting on this line			Reason:	Not clear of its meaning	Status: accepted
Notes:						
Page/Line:	7 / 15	Item Number:	64	Commentor Name:	Kasslin Mika	Type: Editorial
Description:	Replace "telephony" with "voice services" throughout the whole section.			Reason:	Consistence throughout the section	Status: accepted
Notes:						
Page/Line:	9 / 0	Item Number:	31	Commentor Name:	Goldhammer Marianna	Type: Technical
Description:	Delete right column, avoiding the MAC layer for DAVIC			Reason:	Video is a service that should be supported exactly in the same way as other services	Status: accepted
Notes:						
Page/Line:	9 / 45	Item Number:	32	Commentor Name:	Goldhammer Marianna	Type: Technical
Description:	Insert: The IEEE 802.16.3 protocol stack SHALL be the same for all the supported services.			Reason:	It is no reason to provide special means to the VIDEO service, which is not the main application and generally the allocated bandwidth size will not permit video service offering	Status: accepted
Notes:						
Page/Line:	10 / 3	Item Number:	135	Commentor Name:	Trinkwon David	Type: Technical
Description:	Replace "In the downstream direction ? more than one subscriber station" with "Since customer units will contend for capacity to/from one or more base stations,			Reason:	To reflect the deployment, capacity management and economic characteristics for the 802.16.3 target markets.	Status: accepted
Notes:						
Page/Line:	10 / 12	Item Number:	69	Commentor Name:	Kasslin Mika	Type: Technical
Description:	Delete " and DAV" in the sentence starting on line 11: "Like the"			Reason:	DAV (Digital Audio/Video?) should run on top of the MAC, any MAC convergence layer does not have to deal with its needs.	Status: accepted
Notes:						
Page/Line:	10 / 18	Item Number:	105	Commentor Name:	Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Editorial
Description:	Sentence should read "Specifying protocols that can maintain a specified/mandatory performance levels in the face of rapidly changing channel characteristics(e.g., due to multipath) is a problem that the 802.16.3 work group has to consider."			Reason:	Clarification	Status: accepted
Notes:						
Page/Line:	10 / 21	Item Number:	4	Commentor Name:	Abu-Dayya Adnan	Type: Technical
Description:	Delete "The 802.16.3 system capacity ...will also be difficult"			Reason:	Not a very meaningful sentence; serves no purpose.	Status: accepted
Notes:						
Page/Line:	11 / 5	Item Number:	71	Commentor Name:	Kasslin Mika	Type: Technical
Description:	Delete sentence "Note that" through "a year."			Reason:	Irrelevant information.	Status: accepted
Notes:						

Page/Line:	11 / 21	Item Number:	138	Commentor Name:	Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description:	Change "foliage" to "reflections and foliage"			Reason:	Completeness		Status: accepted
Notes:							
Page/Line:	11 / 27	Item Number:	72	Commentor Name:	Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description:	Insert after "see section)." "In a multicell environment intercell interference can not be neglected as an outage increasing factor."			Reason:	There should be a requirement providing that some level of interference resilience is supported.		Status: accepted
Notes:							
Page/Line:	11 / 44	Item Number:	45	Commentor Name:	Jansson	Leif	Type: Technical
Description:	Delete the whole sentence "In a telephony network" through "300 ms [15][17][75]"			Reason:	This is not requirement on a BWA system.		Status: accepted
Notes:							
Page/Line:	12 / 23	Item Number:	140	Commentor Name:	Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description:	Delete 'channel'			Reason:	802.16.3 links might not be "channel" oriented (e.g OFDM or CDMA)		Status: accepted
Notes:							
Page/Line:	1 / 4	Item Number:	51	Commentor Name:	Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description:	Replace sentence "The BWA" through "services" with "The BWA system shall support all services (real time and non real time) in a packet oriented manner."			Reason:	Clearly defined requirement that clarifies the initial sentence.		Status: accepted-duplicate
Notes:							
Page/Line:	6 / 26	Item Number:	63	Commentor Name:	Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description:	Delete lines 26 through 29: "In the" through "contention-oriented bus," and start the sentence with "802.16.3 protocols".			Reason:	This text is both fluff (who cares about similarities) and the poor arbitrary usage of the word channel might be mis-interpreted as implicitly mandating FDD.		Status: accepted-duplicate
Notes:							
Page/Line:	6 / 29	Item Number:	42	Commentor Name:	Jansson	Leif	Type: Technical
Description:	Delete lines 29 to 32			Reason:	This text is to fluffy.		Status: accepted-duplicate
Notes:							
Page/Line:	11 / 33	Item Number:	172	Commentor Name:	Wachira	Muya	Type: Technical
Description:	Replace "from about 99.9 To 99.94% " with "from about 99.9 To 99.99% "			Reason:	To be consistent with market requirements and technology capabilities.		Status: accepted-duplicate
Notes:							
Page/Line:	1 / 4	Item Number:	79	Commentor Name:	Kostas	Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description:	The BWA system is intended to support a wide-range of transport capabilities (e.g., frame relay, ATM, IP, and Ethernet 802.11) that in turn can support a wide-range of services (e.g., telephone, data, and video)			Reason:	To explicitly state the reason for this BWA		Status: accepted-modified
Notes:							
Page/Line:	1 / 4	Item Number:	39	Commentor Name:	Jansson	Leif	Type: Technical
Description:	Replace sentence "The BWA" through "services" with "The BWA system shall support all services (real time and non real time) in a packet oriented manner."			Reason:	Clearly defined requirement that clarifies meaning of the sentence.		Status: accepted-modified
Notes:							
Page/Line:	1 / 4	Item Number:	85	Commentor Name:	Kostas	Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description:	The BWA system is intended to support a wide-range of transport capabilities (e.g., frame relay, ATM, IP, and Ethernet 802.11) that in turn can support a wide-range of services (e.g., telephone, data, and video)			Reason:	To explicitly state the reason for this BWA		Status: accepted-modified
Notes:							

Page/Line: 1 / 5	Item Number: 20	Commentor Name: Goldhammer	Marianna	Type: Editorial
Description: Insert "data" after "packet"		Reason: clarification		Status: accepted-modified
Notes:				
Page/Line: 1 / 5	Item Number: 117	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description: Insert after "packet and other services" the words "to individual residential, SME, SOHO and tele-commuter end-user locations."		Reason: To more fully reflect the purpose of the PAR and to differentiate 802.16.3 from other 802 air interfaces.		Status: accepted-modified
Notes:				
Page/Line: 2 / 10	Item Number: 150	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "802.16.3 systems provide" by "802.16.3 systems will be able to provide"		Reason: Grammar		Status: accepted-modified
Notes:				
Page/Line: 2 / 22	Item Number: 86	Commentor Name: Kostas	Demosthenes	Type: Editorial
Description: Change title of Figure 1 to Figure 1: Relationship between 802.16.3 and other 802 Protocol Standards(...)		Reason: To avoid misunderstanding of the phrase "other Protocol Standards"		Status: accepted-modified
Notes: change figure instead				
Page/Line: 4 / 11	Item Number: 54	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Replace 3.5 with 4.2		Reason: Fits CEPT recommendations and covers all the bands.		Status: accepted-modified
Notes: Next version of document may need to have more precise ranges called-out.				
Page/Line: 4 / 13	Item Number: 55	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Replace "near line-of-sight" with "non line-of-sight"		Reason: Non line-of-sight operation is also possible, not restricted to near line-of-sight.		Status: accepted-modified
Notes:				
Page/Line: 4 / 22	Item Number: 155	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "the standard *in* more generally applicable...1 GHz to 10 GHz" with "the standard *is* more generally applicable... 2 GHz to 11 GHz"		Reason: Correct typo and maintain consistency on the upper frequency limit.		Status: accepted-modified
Notes: Sentence was deleted.				
Page/Line: 4 / 23	Item Number: 156	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "The standard is" with "Equipment based on this standard is".		Reason: Clarification.		Status: accepted-modified
Notes:				
Page/Line: 5 / 6	Item Number: 59	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Delete lines 6 to 12: "The base station" through "possible."		Reason: The antenna solution is the manufacturer's and providers turf, not to be mandated by 802.16.3.		Status: accepted-modified
Notes:				
Page/Line: 5 / 23	Item Number: 163	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Technical
Description: Remove the sentence starting on line 23, and also the following sentence.		Reason: From a standards perspective, there is no need to differentiate between outdoor and indoor units. That is an implementation issue. At the subscriber end, the antenna could even be indoors, and at the BTS end, everything except the antenna might be indoors.		Status: accepted-modified
Notes: The whole section was temporarily deleted, with comments during next round expected to re-work the section contents.				

Page/Line:	6 / 22	Item Number:	93	Commentor Name:	Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description:	Change sentence to read, "The Subscriber Stations may identify the bandwidth needed to achieve the required QoS (see section) , but the BS has the "smarts" to allocate bandwidth."			Reason:	Improve English	
Status:	accepted-modified					
Notes:						
Page/Line:	6 / 26	Item Number:	131	Commentor Name:	Trinkwon David	Type: Technical
Description:	a) Delete "within a channel" (Line 26) and "more than one subscriber could share a downstream channel" (Lines 27,28)			Reason:	In FWA/BWA for the 802.16.3 target markets, customer units are always in contention for capacity / bandwidth (not channels in the frequency or radio sense). Not all downstream methods are "broadcast" - beamforming techniques can address individual customers for improved simultaneous frequency reuse within the same cell.	
	b) Delete "if subscriber stations share a channel" (Lines 28,29)					
	c) Change "bandwidth" to "capacity" (Line 31)					
Notes:						
Page/Line:	6 / 26	Item Number:	94	Commentor Name:	Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description:	Replace the paragraph starting on this line with			Reason:	To simplify reading	
	In the downstream direction, within a channel, the network topology is similar to a contentionless broadcast bus, since the transmissions are transmitted by the base station, and more than one Subscribe Station can share a downstream channel. In the upstream direction the topology is similar to a contention-oriented bus, and thus 802.16.3 protocols MUST provide the means to multiplex traffic from multiple SS, resolve contention, and allocate bandwidth in the upstream direction.					
Notes:	Amended with deletions.					
Page/Line:	6 / 34	Item Number:	95	Commentor Name:	Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description:	Change paragraph under Section 3 to read, This section describes some services that an 802.16.3 system SHOULD support. In what follows both the target markets and their associated bearer services are described.			Reason:	To streamline the meaning. Original paragraph found confusing	
Status:	accepted-modified					
Notes:						
Page/Line:	6 / 35	Item Number:	168	Commentor Name:	Wachira Muya	Type: Editorial
Description:	delete "bearer"			Reason:	The section on bearer services from original document was deleted.	
Status:	accepted-modified					
Notes:						
Page/Line:	7 / 10	Item Number:	24	Commentor Name:	Goldhammer Marianna	Type: Technical
Description:	Change the phrase starting with "The MAC and PHY protocols" with:"The MAC and PHY protocols will not have explicit support for each and every service, due to the fact that generic data streams SHALL be used for transport. The MAC and PHY protocols SHALL provide for QoS service specific support. Service specific QoS meaning is very low BER for data services, delay for real time services, etc.			Reason:	Clarification	
Status:	accepted-modified					
Notes:						
Page/Line:	7 / 18	Item Number:	98	Commentor Name:	Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Editorial
Description:	Delete lines 18 through 24			Reason:	Unnecessary and confusing verbiage	
Status:	accepted-modified					
Notes:						
Page/Line:	8 / 10	Item Number:	133	Commentor Name:	Trinkwon David	Type: Technical
Description:	Add "including always on, ad hoc and on-demand communication in either or both directions "			Reason:	This ha simportant connotations for non-circuit oriented concentrated air interface access systems, and will be increasing important to support alerts, telemetry and appliance / control functions in residential and small business environments.	
Status:	accepted-modified					
Notes:						

Page/Line: 8 / 16	Item Number: 102	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: Delete lines 17 through 33 of this Section		Reason: This is informational text on a specific user or the 802.16.3. PHY and MAC and thus does not belong in this requirements document	Status: accepted-modified
Notes:			
Page/Line: 10 / 6	Item Number: 103	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: sentence beginning on this line should read, "Note that the function of the MAC layer can include error correction by retransmission, or Automatic Repeat Request(ARQ)."		Reason: In the below 11GHz bands ARQ should be a MAC requirement as it very effectively meets the needs of many applications.	Status: accepted-modified
Notes:			
Page/Line: 10 / 7	Item Number: 104	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: Delete sentence starting on this line		Reason: MAC needs to support ARQ	Status: accepted-modified
Notes:			
Page/Line: 10 / 26	Item Number: 136	Commentor Name: Trinkwon David	Type: Technical
Description: Add "in particular, the activities being carried out within the Joint Rapporteur Group (JRG) 8A/9B (with references etc)		Reason: To draw specific attention to this important group.	Status: accepted-modified
Notes:			
Page/Line: 10 / 31	Item Number: 170	Commentor Name: Wachira Muya	Type: Technical
Description: Replace "provide the peak capacity from 2-10 Mbps " to "provide the capacity from 1-10 Mbps "		Reason: To accommodate the narrower channel bandwidths that might be required to work into realistic frequency re-use plans in areas where spectrum is limited, e.g. MMDS operators with only a few channels, 3.5 GHz band where 802.16.3 system must share 25 MHz with legacy circuit-switched FWA systems.	Status: accepted-modified
Notes: first part accepted/modified; 2nd part covered by another edit			
Page/Line: 10 / 31	Item Number: 144	Commentor Name: Trinkwon David	Type: Technical
Description: Change "2 to 10Mb/s" to "up to 5Mb/s in either or both directions"		Reason: PAR used 2-10Mb/s to denote the aggregate (shared) channel rate. This part of the FRD refers to the capacity delivered to an individual residential or SME customer location, and is meant to be comparable to DSL or cable modems in realistic wireline deployments	Status: accepted-modified
Notes:			
Page/Line: 11 / 3	Item Number: 70	Commentor Name: Kasslin Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Replace 99.94% with 99.95%		Reason: More commonly used value	Status: accepted-modified
Notes:			
Page/Line: 11 / 35	Item Number: 139	Commentor Name: Trinkwon David	Type: Technical
Description: BER should be changed from 10e-9 to 10e-6 or 10e-7 in accordance with ITU FWA recs (see JRG8a/9B inputs)		Reason: 10e-9 is typical; for feeder links and trunk facilities for T1-T3 CBR pipes. It is not typical / necessary / economic for distribution / access connections to residential; / SME customers, or packet-based links / services.	Status: accepted-modified
Notes: significant debate			
Page/Line: 12 / 26	Item Number: 73	Commentor Name: Kasslin Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Delete bullet points on lines 26 and 27.		Reason: Implementation issue, leave to manufacturers and providers (range determined by number of sectors and antenna type etc.)	Status: accepted-modified
Notes:			

Page/Line:	4 / 19	Item Number:	57	Commentor Name:	Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description:	Insert after conditions. "Figure <as inserted below> shows an example deployment configuration. The base station can serve individual buildings, multiple subscribers in multiple buildings (using multiple radio links), or multiple subscribers in a single building by use of a single radio link and further in-building distribution systems. It shows the use of a repeater and route diversity in order to provide coverage in difficult areas.		Reason:		This figure displays the typical deployment configuration of HIPERACCESS and is more comprehensive than the currently shown figure, which depicts a specific scenario. This should also be our basis in the interest of convergence of standards		
	This does not imply the use of these features in all systems. However it does require the capability to implement them if required, and leave them out if not."						
Notes:	Tabled to submitters to rework the diagram and associated text.						
Page/Line:	5 / 0	Item Number:	58	Commentor Name:	Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description:	Replace figure 2-1 with figure 4-3 from TR 101 177 V1.1.1 (1998-05) by ETSI/BRAN/HIPERACCESS		Reason:		This figure displays the typical deployment configuration of HIPERACCESS and is more comprehensive than the currently shown figure, which depicts a specific scenario. This should also be our basis in the interest of convergence of standards		
Notes:	tabled; submitters will rework for next round of comments						
Page/Line:	5 / 26	Item Number:	91	Commentor Name:	Kostas	Demosthenes	Type: Editorial
Description:	Change the sentence starting in the middle of this line and the sentence that follows with,		Reason:		Facilitate reading		
	"An additional function to this System Reference Model that should be considered is Security. The BS Network Interface (BNI) and the SS Network Interface (SNI) are also shown."						
Notes:							
Page/Line:	6 / 0	Item Number:	23	Commentor Name:	Goldhammer	Marianna	Type: Editorial
Description:	Change "voice, data and video" to "data, voice and video"		Reason:		Consistence throughout the document		
Notes:							
Page/Line:	6 / 0	Item Number:	62	Commentor Name:	Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description:	In Figure 2-2 delete the drawings inside the SS and BS boxes and delete the terms IDU and ODU.		Reason:		The decision on an IDU/ODU vs. an ODU solution itself is entirely outside the scope of this document.		
Notes:							
Page/Line:	6 / 1	Item Number:	165	Commentor Name:	Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description:	Replace "residential networks" by "residential (customer premises) networks"		Reason:		To further clarify.		
Notes:							
Page/Line:	6 / 1	Item Number:	22	Commentor Name:	Goldhammer	Marianna	Type: Editorial
Description:	Change "voice, data and video" to "packet data, voice and in some cases video"		Reason:		Make a correlation between the market size for each service and the order		
Notes:							
Page/Line:	6 / 1	Item Number:	11	Commentor Name:	Freedman	Avi	Type: Editorial
Description:	Change "A base" to "Base"		Reason:		Refer to "interfaces"		
Notes:							
Page/Line:	6 / 4	Item Number:	92	Commentor Name:	Kostas	Demosthenes	Type: Editorial
Description:	Delete "which are sometimes called interworking functions(IWFs),		Reason:		Incorrect phrase. Interfaces are no interworking functions.		
Notes:							

Page/Line:	6 / 4	Item Number:	111	Commentor Name:	Satapathy	Durga	Type: Editorial
Description:	Replace "which are sometimes called interworking functions(IWFs)," by "and related interworking functions (IWFs),"			Reason:	Need to address both interfaces and any interworking functions.		
Notes:							
Page/Line:	6 / 11	Item Number:	12	Commentor Name:	Freedman	Avi	Type: Editorial
Description:	Remove space in "comm on"			Reason:	Typo		
Notes:							
Page/Line:	6 / 12	Item Number:	167	Commentor Name:	Wachira	Muya	Type: Technical
Description:	In the figure, remove the reference to IDU and ODU.			Reason:	From a standards perspective, there is no need to differentiate between outdoor and indoor units. That is an implementation issue.		
Notes:							
Page/Line:	6 / 12	Item Number:	13	Commentor Name:	Freedman	Avi	Type: Technical
Description:	Add optional Central Control Station and more base station to diagram			Reason:	The diagram may be adequate for 802.16.1 but it is too simplistic for 802.16.3, where there is high influence between stations. A diagram similar to the ETSI TM4 reference diagram should be used.		
Notes:							
Page/Line:	6 / 12	Item Number:	130	Commentor Name:	Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description:	Amend Figure 2-2 to show :			Reason:	To reflect economic / service characteristics of the 802.16.3 target markets and existing FWA practice / experience in many countries.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Local Power within the SS box, supplying the IDU and ODU. b) Multiple ODU's per IDU at the BS c) Remote IDU's from the BS IDU. These Remote units / antenna would be located away from the BS site to improve coverage / economics in range or capacity limited deployments. d) The BS IDU might not be located at the BS - it might be at another location (which might be the same as the public switch / router) e) The switch / router might not be public - it might be a private / corporate edge device which might, or might not have public network gateways / connections behind it. 						
Notes:							
Page/Line:	12 / 4	Item Number:	37	Commentor Name:	Goldhammer	Marianna	Type: Technical
Description:	Delete from row 4 to row 14			Reason:	Telephony will be delivered using VoIP, and the requirements here are relevant only for classic 64kb/s voice.		
Notes:	Not actually deleted, but the paragraphs reworked into the QoS table. Referred to QoS ad-hoc group						
Page/Line:	12 / 13	Item Number:	145	Commentor Name:	Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description:	Change jitter specification to 20mS			Reason:	More realistic requirement for certain forms of implementation.		
Notes:	Referred to QoS group						
Page/Line:	0 / 0	Item Number:	49	Commentor Name:	Jansson	Leif	Type: Technical
Description:	Delete R17.			Reason:	This is not requirement on a BWA system. **Rejected because editor will re-work this appendix by scanning the document body to verify all requirements**		
Notes:							

Page/Line: 0 / 0	Item Number: 48	Commentor Name: Jansson	Leif	Type: Editorial
Description: The section numbering is wrong.		Reason: Editorial.		Status: rejected
Notes: **Rejected because editor will clean up the requirements summary appendix**				
Notes:				
Page/Line: 0 / 0	Item Number: 74	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Editorial
Description: Delete rows M9, M10 and M12.		Reason: These statements don't occur in the text.		Status: rejected
Notes: **Editor's note: Rejected because the editor will revise this appendix**				
Notes:				
Page/Line: 1 / 4	Item Number: 5	Commentor Name: Freedman	Avi	Type: Technical
Description: Change "Broadband Wireless Access (BWA)" to "Wideband Wireless Access (WWA)" all over the document.		Reason: To distinguish 802.16.3 activity from 802.16.1		Status: rejected
Notes:				
Page/Line: 1 / 4	Item Number: 110	Commentor Name: Satapathy	Durga	Type: Technical
Description: The BWA system is intended to provide packet, cell and other transport services.		Reason: To expand the scope of BWA standard.		Status: rejected
Notes:				
Page/Line: 1 / 5	Item Number: 80	Commentor Name: Kostas	Demosthenes	Type: Editorial
Description: Deletion of sentence starting at end of this line		Reason: Sentence is redundant with second Paragraph.		Status: rejected
Notes: withdrawn				
Page/Line: 1 / 17	Item Number: 81	Commentor Name: Kostas	Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: Change second sentence on this line to read "These functional requirements, with possible future amendments, are to be used to identify the constrains in the development of the 802.16.3 air interface standard. Such terms as SHALL, MUST and SHOULD as used herein are to indicate the relative importance of a requirement."		Reason: Third Paragraph Second Sentence is not consistent with the Second Paragraph. Second Paragraph states that this document provides "guidelines" and the third Paragraph states that "The requirements with future amendments, are binding"... the air interface standard MUST comply... with the functional requirements".		Status: rejected
Notes:				
Page/Line: 1 / 44	Item Number: 118	Commentor Name: Trinkwon	David	Type: Technical
Description: Replace "at least one subscriber station" with " a large number of end-user locations"		Reason: This is the defining characteristic of 802.16.3 FWA/BWA solutions. Also, the word "subscriber" implies a billable public service whereas some end user services will be corporate extranet, VPN or private network extensions, not "billable" in the conventional sense. Also, the word Station implies a single customer termination whereas most of the likely applications will be multi-line / multi-service. The air interface delivers an access "pipe" to a location over which services can be delivered to one or more end-user ports or terminal points.		Status: rejected
Notes:				
Page/Line: 1 / 46	Item Number: 82	Commentor Name: Kostas	Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: Change sentence to read, "So, "Functional Requirements" describes the 802.16.3 MAC and PHY Layer functions and system parameters that need be specified so that the BWA system can support a wide-range of transport capabilities (e.g., Frame Relay, ATM, and Ethernet 802.11), that in turn can support a wide-range of Services (e.g., telephone, data, and video)		Reason: To explicitly state the reason for this BWA		Status: rejected
Notes: withdrawn				
Page/Line: 2 / 7	Item Number: 149	Commentor Name: Wachira	Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "service" by "service capabilities" in lines 7 and 8 (two places)		Reason: To generalize the field of application of the 802.16.3 systems. We need to focus on services capabilities so that operators may build services according to their own market requirements.		Status: rejected
Notes: **Editor's note: Rejected because the change could not be located**				
Notes:				

Page/Line: 2 / 15	Item Number: 151	Commentor Name: Wachira Muya	Type: Technical Status: rejected
Description: Add a new sentence: "As far as possible, these should be common across the 802.16 systems"		Reason: Commonality improves efficiency. **Editor's note: OK to use "SHOULD?"	
Notes:			
Page/Line: 2 / 17	Item Number: 83	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical Status: rejected
Description: Change sentence to read "The 802.16.3 air interface interoperability SHALL interwork with existing local, metropolitan, and wide area network standards."		Reason: To more explicitly state the reason for this Air interface interworking capabilities. In a requirements document the emphasis of being part of a family of standards is misleading.	
Notes:			
Page/Line: 2 / 18	Item Number: 84	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Editorial Status: rejected
Description: As an example, Figure 1 shows how the 802.16.3 PHY and MAC layers relate to some other 802 standards.		Reason: To Clarify that this is only an example: i.e., the 802.16.3 PHY and MAC will relate to others.	
Notes:			
Page/Line: 2 / 24	Item Number: 87	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical Status: rejected
Description: Insert a Figure 0. That depicts the how the 802.16.3 MAC and PHY Layers relate to the supported upper layer protocols (e.g., Frame Relay, ATM, 802.11); i.e., 802.16.3 Protocol Reference Model		Reason: To explicitly depict the more general application of the PHY and MAC being developed and not just the family.	
Notes:			
Page/Line: 3 / 29	Item Number: 7	Commentor Name: Freedman Avi	Type: Technical Status: rejected
Description: Exchange the paragraph between lines 29 and 35 (starting with "A broadband wireless access" with the next paragraph (between lines 37 and 42, starting with "The target markets to be addressed...))		Reason: The definition of the markets is more important than the examples of possible services.	
Notes:			
Page/Line: 3 / 30	Item Number: 8	Commentor Name: Freedman Avi	Type: Technical Status: rejected
Description: Change "markets" to "services"		Reason: The technologies provide services and are not special to markets.	
Notes:			
Page/Line: 3 / 34	Item Number: 40	Commentor Name: Jansson Leif	Type: Technical Status: rejected
Description: Delete lines 34 to 35		Reason: This is a mixture of access technologies and services.	
Notes:			
Page/Line: 3 / 36	Item Number: 53	Commentor Name: Kasslin Mika	Type: Technical Status: rejected
Description: Add fifth competing technology: Native Ethernet		Reason: To add this market segment.	
Notes:			
Page/Line: 3 / 36	Item Number: 88	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical Status: rejected
Description: Insert a bullet "Point-to-Point ATM access"		Reason: To include an important broadband access alternative	
Notes: withdrawn			
Page/Line: 3 / 38	Item Number: 21	Commentor Name: Goldhammer Marianna	Type: Technical Status: rejected
Description: Insert "telecommuters" after "SOHO"		Reason: To add this market segment	
Notes:			

Page/Line: 3 / 38 **Item Number:** 120 **Commentor Name:** Trinkwon David **Type:** Technical
Description: Insert "Telecommuters" between "SOHO" and "small businesses" .
 Proposal : To invite contributions on definitions of these terms and associated traffic model assumptions, demographic density / distribution criteria and typical clutter / propagation implications.
Reason: These have specific traffic / service / revenue characteristics which need to be taken into account when determining the capacity, efficiency, availability and other characteristics of the air interface.
Status: rejected

Notes: Proposal accepted (results in call-for-contribution)

Page/Line: 4 / 7 **Item Number:** 154 **Commentor Name:** Wachira Muya **Type:** Editorial
Description: Replace "in section, an 802.16.3" with "in section 1.1, an 802.16.3"
 Other missing section numbers on page 5 line 27 (see section 8); page 6 line 23 (see section 6); page 7 line 2 (section 2), line 24 (Section 6) page 9 (in section 3.1); page 11 line 3 (see section 5.5), line 27 (section 5.7); page 12 line 15 (section 6.2); page 13 (section 3?)
Reason: Missing section numbers.
Status: rejected
 Editor's note: Editor will verify section numbering, etc.

Notes:

Page/Line: 4 / 8 **Item Number:** 122 **Commentor Name:** Trinkwon David **Type:** Technical
Description: Replace "at least one subscriber station" with " a large number of end-user locations"
Reason: This is the defining characteristic of 802.16.3 FWA/BWA solutions. Also, the word "subscriber" implies a billable public service whereas some end user services will be corporate extranet, VPN or private network extensions, not "billable" in the conventional sense. Also, the word Station implies a single customer termination whereas most of the likely applications will be multi-line / multi-service. The air interface delivers an access "pipe" to a location over which services can be delivered to one or more end-user ports or terminal points.
Status: rejected

Notes:

Page/Line: 4 / 11 **Item Number:** 1 **Commentor Name:** Abu-Dayya Adnan **Type:** Technical
Description: Replace "from 1 GHz to 10 GHz" with "2 GHz to 11 GHz"
Reason: To be consistent with the PAR.
Status: rejected
 Editor's note: Rejected because change is already there

Notes:

Page/Line: 4 / 17 **Item Number:** 123 **Commentor Name:** Trinkwon David **Type:** Technical
Description: Replace "NLOS" with "Non Line-of-Sight, Near Line-of-Sight and Line-of-Sight"
Reason: The commercial objective (already achieved by some conventional FWA systems) is Non Line-of-Sight, especially in shorter range urban / cluttered environments. Near LOS and pure LOS is commercially tolerable / preferable in suburban and rural deployments where range or propagation through foliage is more critical. The air interface must be able to cope with all three types of deployment, simultaneously if possible.
Status: rejected

Notes: withdrawn

Page/Line: 4 / 24 **Item Number:** 157 **Commentor Name:** Wachira Muya **Type:** Technical
Description: Replace "require near line-of-sight (NLOS)" by "will be required to support both line-of-sight (LOS) and non line-of-sight" (NLOS)"
Reason: To permit universal applicability of the standard.
Status: rejected

Notes:

Page/Line: 5 / 4 **Item Number:** 159 **Commentor Name:** Wachira Muya **Type:** Editorial
Description: Replace "concern for" by "the interest of"
Reason: To improve the text
Status: rejected
 Editor's note: Rejected because text is fine

Notes:

Page/Line: 5 / 7	Item Number: 161	Commentor Name: Wachira Muya	Type: Editorial Status: rejected
Description: Instead of "radiating" would "transmitting" or "emitting" be more appropriate here?		Reason: To use a better term	**Editor's note: Rejected because no specific change specified**
Notes:			
Page/Line: 5 / 9	Item Number: 127	Commentor Name: Trinkwon David	Type: Technical Status: rejected
Description: Replace sentence with " Omnidirectional antenna are often used as an initial (low capacity, low cost) base-station in competitive overlay or rural deployments, but might be enhanced later to sectored configurations to increase capacity or range as customer penetration / loadingsor distances dictate. It might also be necessary to reconfigure coverage from a single "Super-cell" to a multi-cell coverage plan at some point in the life of a base station, while minimising the number and cost of customer units wjich need re-pointing or re-installation.		Reason: 802.16.3 deployments are nothing like conventional mobile or LMDS-like coverage / deployment plans, and special measures must be taken to ensure the lowest cost configuration at differing / evolving points in the evolution of the access network and its associated businesses.	
Notes: associated text was deleted.			
Page/Line: 5 / 10	Item Number: 126	Commentor Name: Trinkwon David	Type: Technical Status: rejected
Description: Delete "highly"		Reason: FWA customer antennae are typically 15 - 30 degree beamwidth to assist with low cost / complexity deployment processes, especially with a esirable capability for customer installability or technicians with minimal training / skills.	
Notes: associated text deleted			
Page/Line: 5 / 12	Item Number: 162	Commentor Name: Wachira Muya	Type: Editorial Status: rejected
Description: Replace "possible" by "supported"		Reason: To be precise.	
Notes: associated text was deleted			
Page/Line: 5 / 13	Item Number: 60	Commentor Name: Kasslin Mika	Type: Technical Status: rejected
Description: Delete the beginning of the sentence starting with "Since the base" through "oriented". Start the sentence with "Multiple base station radios will likely,".		Reason: The antenna solution is the manufacturer's and providers turf, not to be mandated by 802.16.3.	
Notes: withdrawn			
Page/Line: 5 / 23	Item Number: 61	Commentor Name: Kasslin Mika	Type: Technical Status: rejected
Description: Delete lines 23 to 26: "Also" through "document."		Reason: The decision on an IDU/ODU vs. an ODU solution itself is entirely outside the scope of this document. ***Editor's note: Rejected because of duplicate comment from Kostas***	
Notes:			
Page/Line: 5 / 27	Item Number: 164	Commentor Name: Wachira Muya	Type: Editorial Status: rejected
Description: Replace "(see section)." With "(see section 8)."		Reason: Missing section number. **Rejected. Editor will clean up section references**	
Notes:			
Page/Line: 6 / 8	Item Number: 166	Commentor Name: Wachira Muya	Type: Editorial Status: rejected
Description: Replace "(see section)." With "(see section 3)."		Reason: Missing section number. **Editor's note: Editor will clean up section references**	
Notes:			

Page/Line:	6 / 33	Item Number:	169	Commentor Name:	Wachira Muya	Type: Technical
Description:	Propose to replace this section by:		Reason:		Status: rejected	
	Section 3: Service Capabilities		To generalize the field of application of the 802.16.3 systems. We need to focus on services capabilities so that operators may build services according to their own market requirements.			
	An 802.16.3 system SHALL support fixed wireless access to IP networks at bit rates of up to 10 Mbit/s. Voice services will be carried as "voice over IP."					
Notes:						
Page/Line:	7 / 0	Item Number:	50	Commentor Name:	Jansson Leif	Type: Technical
Description:	Change to mandatory.		Reason:		Status: rejected	
			This is important for a good BWA system.			
			Editor's note: Rejected because the change request does not specify what to change			
Notes:						
Page/Line:	7 / 5	Item Number:	96	Commentor Name:	Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description:	Replace this paragraph with		Reason:		Status: rejected	
	"This Section describes typical services supported by an 802.16.3 system. In this document services refer to the services provided by the MAC layer to the layer above it. The term services is also used in this document as an adjective to qualify the type of networks that interface with 802.16.3-based BWA networks(12)(54)."		To streamline the meaning. Original paragraph found confusing			
Notes:						
Page/Line:	7 / 17	Item Number:	112	Commentor Name:	Satapathy Durga	Type: Technical
Description:	Change sentence beginning in line 17 to:		Reason:		Status: rejected	
	"The access transport will be packet based or cell based."		See no need to limit transport of voice services to packet based.			
Notes:						
Page/Line:	7 / 17	Item Number:	14	Commentor Name:	Freedman Avi	Type: Technical
Description:	Add "mainly" between "be" and "packet".		Reason:		Status: rejected	
			There is no reason to assume nor to limit voice services to Voice over packets. Other mechanisms may be found to be better.			
Notes:						
Page/Line:	7 / 18	Item Number:	15	Commentor Name:	Freedman Avi	Type: Technical
Description:	Change "will be recovered" with "may be recovered"		Reason:		Status: rejected	
			See above			
Notes:	withdrawn					
Page/Line:	7 / 21	Item Number:	132	Commentor Name:	Trinkwon David	Type: Technical
Description:	Change to "(supporting FAX and analog modem services)"		Reason:		Status: rejected	
			Customers will continue to have / use analog modems over any presented "voice" port / circuit. The air interface might need to dynamically allocate appropriate resources to accommodate the modem transmissions at up to V.90 rates.			
Notes:	text deleted					
Page/Line:	8 / 6	Item Number:	30	Commentor Name:	Goldhammer Marianna	Type: Technical
Description:	Insert new numbered paragraph: Internet protocol properties		Reason:		Status: rejected	
	Bullet: Packet length - the IP datagrams are characterized by variable length. The MAC protocols MUST support efficiently variable length packets.		important issue			
	Bullet: Bit Error Rate - the TCP/IP protocol, as known from literature, drops its performance by 90% when the packet error rate reaches 10%. The MAC and PHY protocols MUST provide means for realible data transport, the BER target for cellular deployment SHALL be 10-9, equivalent to the performance of wired networks.					
Notes:						

Page/Line:	8 / 9	Item Number:	115	Commentor Name:	Satapathy	Durga	Type: Editorial
Description:	Change section number from 3.1.2 to 3.1.3			Reason:	Above insertion		Status: rejected
Notes:	**Editor's note: rejected because editor will do cleanup/numbering/etc.**						
Page/Line:	8 / 9	Item Number:	114	Commentor Name:	Satapathy	Durga	Type: Editorial
Description:	Insert "3.1.2 ATM Services			Reason:	To ensure 802.16.3 standard supports ATM-based BWA solutions.		Status: rejected
Notes:	The 802.16.3 system MUST provide efficient transport for CBR, VBR (rt and nrt), UBR and ABR services."						
Page/Line:	8 / 9	Item Number:	100	Commentor Name:	Kostas	Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description:	Insert before this line Section "3.1.1.1.1.1 ATM Protocol Services			Reason:	Important MAN based services		Status: rejected
Notes:	The 802.16.3 system MUST transport constant bit rate(CBR), non-real-time variable-bit-rate(non-rt VBR), real-time variable-bit-rate(rt VBR) and ABR ATM services."						
Page/Line:	8 / 11	Item Number:	116	Commentor Name:	Satapathy	Durga	Type: Editorial
Description:	Change section number from 3.1.3 to 3.1.4			Reason:	Above insertion		Status: rejected
Notes:	**Editor's note: rejected because editor will do cleanup/numbering/etc.**						
Page/Line:	8 / 13	Item Number:	101	Commentor Name:	Kostas	Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description:	Delete this sentence			Reason:	No basis given for prejudging now other services' requirements		Status: rejected
Notes:							
Page/Line:	9 / 0	Item Number:	67	Commentor Name:	Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description:	Delete both DAVIC and DIGITAL AUTO/VIDEO from the right column in the figure.			Reason:	These titles and references are misleading and irrelevant. It is impossible to guarantee services if a parallel Video stream gobbles up bandwidth without consulting the MAC. Digital audio/video should run on top of the MAC, not alongside.		Status: rejected
Notes:	**Editor's note: Rejected because of duplicate comment from Goldhammer**						
Page/Line:	9 / 21	Item Number:	134	Commentor Name:	Trinkwon	David	Type: Editorial
Description:	Figure 4-1 : Change "Auto / Video" to "Audio / Video"			Reason:	Typo		Status: rejected
Notes:	Change "DAVIC" to "DAV TC"						
Notes:	part of figure deleted						
Page/Line:	9 / 28	Item Number:	17	Commentor Name:	Freedman	Avi	Type: Technical
Description:	Change "DAVIC" to "DAVIC or other"			Reason:	No reason to limit the convergence layer to DAVIC.		Status: rejected
Notes:	Part of figure deleted						
Page/Line:	10 / 6	Item Number:	68	Commentor Name:	Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description:	Delete lines 6 to 8: "Note that" through "layer."			Reason:	ARQ shouldn't be ruled out already in this phase.		Status: rejected
Notes:	**Editor's note: Rejected because of duplicate comment from Kostas**						
Notes:							

Page/Line: 10 / 13	Item Number: 43	Commentor Name: Jansson Leif	Type: Editorial Status: rejected
Description: What is DAV and DAVIC ?		Reason: Specify. **Editor's note: Rejected because no specific change suggested**	
Notes:			
Page/Line: 10 / 20	Item Number: 3	Commentor Name: Abu-Dayya Adnan	Type: Technical Status: rejected
Description: replace "will be a difficult problem for" with "will be a requirement for"		Reason: The target markets "residential and small businesses" mandate a non-line-of-sight requirement. Hence, the airlink should be robust in multipath environments.	
Notes: withdrawn			
Page/Line: 10 / 22	Item Number: 44	Commentor Name: Jansson Leif	Type: Technical Status: rejected
Description: Delete "local LOS obstruction"		Reason: The target performance levels shall be higher.	
Notes: text deleted			
Page/Line: 10 / 28	Item Number: 106	Commentor Name: Kostas Demosthenes	Type: Technical Status: rejected
Description: Change sentence to read		Reason: Clarification	
"The 802.16.3 protocols SHOULD allow for increases in capacity and performance."			
Notes:			
Page/Line: 10 / 32	Item Number: 137	Commentor Name: Trinkwon David	Type: Technical Status: rejected
Description: Change "subscriber station" to "residential or SME customer location"		Reason: Clarification	
Notes:			
Page/Line: 10 / 33	Item Number: 171	Commentor Name: Wachira Muya	Type: Editorial Status: rejected
Description: Replace "delivered bandwidth " to "delivered capacity"		Reason: Capacity is the correct term for bits/sec. **Editor's note: Rejected because of duplicate comment from Goldhammer**	
Notes:			
Page/Line: 12 / 7	Item Number: 46	Commentor Name: Jansson Leif	Type: Editorial Status: rejected
Description: What is "transit delay"?		Reason: Specify. **Editor's note: Rejected because no specific change suggested**	
Notes:			
Page/Line: 12 / 26	Item Number: 141	Commentor Name: Trinkwon David	Type: Technical Status: rejected
Description: Replace Bullets with :		Reason: To differentiate from traditional 802.16.1 concepts and embrace traditional plus emerging FWA practices / experiences.	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bullet : Radio Range, width of sector(s) and/or adaptive array parameters Bullet : Upstream / downstream link and user rates / asymmetry/ dynamics Bullet : Types of Duplex (FDD, TDD, Paired TDD) Bullet : Types of Modulation / Multiple Access (DS,SS etc, TDMA, CDMA, FDMA, SDMA or combinations) 			
Notes:			

Page/Line: 13 / 21	Item Number: 146	Commentor Name: Trinkwon David	Type: Technical
Description: In Table 1 :		Reason: More realistic requirements. **Editor's note: (d) rejected because no specific change specified**	Status: rejected
a) All one-way delays should be 20ms			
b) Delete circuit-based parameters - rest of FRD stipulates packet based (and maybe cell based) services only.			
c) Change BER 10 - 8 on last line to 10 - 6			
d) Add note that MOS refers to 4.5 scale (not 5.0 scale)			
Notes:			
Page/Line: 15 / 12	Item Number: 47	Commentor Name: Jansson Leif	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "face" with "case". **Editor's note: Rejected because "face" is fine**		Reason: Editorial.	Status: rejected
Notes:			
Page/Line: 17 / 0	Item Number: 177	Commentor Name: Wachira Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Replace "according to negotiated user requirements" with "according to dynamically negotiated user requirements and/or network availability"		Reason: To introduce the concept of dynamism in the definition and also indicate that network availability could also trigger/cause/impact this variability. **Rejected because editor will revise the requirements appendices**	Status: rejected
Notes:			
Page/Line: 17 / 0	Item Number: 175	Commentor Name: Wachira Muya	Type: Technical
Description: Once the revision of the main text is complete, Tables 2 to 4 should be revised to correspond with the changes made in the document.		Reason: Consistency with the text in section 1 to 9. **Rejected because editor will revise the requirements appendices**	Status: rejected
Notes:			
Page/Line: 17 / 0	Item Number: 179	Commentor Name: Wachira Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Insert "Techniques for" before "Separation"		Reason: To indicate that TDD is not the separation itself as implied in the draft text. **Rejected because editor will revise the requirements appendices**	Status: rejected
Notes:			
Page/Line: 17 / 0	Item Number: 178	Commentor Name: Wachira Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: Insert "Techniques for" before "Separation"		Reason: To indicate that FDD is not the separation itself as implied in the draft text. **Rejected because editor will revise the requirements appendices**	Status: rejected
Notes:			
Page/Line: 17 / 22	Item Number: 174	Commentor Name: Wachira Muya	Type: Editorial
Description: The Appendices should be numbered A. Requirements Summary A.1 Mandatory A.2 Recommended (R) A.3 Optional (O) B. Vocabulary of Terms B.1 Definitions B.2 Acronyms and Abbreviations Appropriate page numbering should be used.		Reason: Ease of reference. **Rejected because editor will clean up numbering and references**	Status: rejected
Notes:			

Page/Line: 7 / 18	Item Number: 65	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Delete lines 18 to 21: "The consumer" through "services")"		Reason: The statement does not provide any valuable information.		Status: unresolved
Notes:				
Page/Line: 7 / 25	Item Number: 66	Commentor Name: Kasslin	Mika	Type: Technical
Description: Delete the entire subsection 3.1.1.1		Reason: These statements here add nothing but fluff. The requirements for telephony services are sufficiently dealt with in the QoS section. The statement before this subsection already refers there, so there's no need to repeat that here without any hard values.		Status: unresolved
Notes:				
Page/Line: 7 / 29	Item Number: 16	Commentor Name: Freedman	Avi	Type: Technical
Description: change "will be provided by VoIP" to "might be provided by VoIP"		Reason: See above.		Status: unresolved
Notes:				
Page/Line: 7 / 29	Item Number: 113	Commentor Name: Satapathy	Durga	Type: Editorial
Description: Delete the sentence "Voice connectivity will be provided by a VOIP protocol and may involve low rate vocoding."		Reason: See no need to limit voice services to VOIP.		Status: unresolved
Notes:				
Page/Line: 7 / 32	Item Number: 25	Commentor Name: Goldhammer	Marianna	Type: Technical
Description: Insert after business). "The required bandwidth is minimized with VoIP, the associated codecs providing a very good compression: 8kb/s for G.729, 6.3kb/s for G.723. The compression result is the increase of the delay.		Reason: To make clear what is VoIP rate		Status: unresolved
Notes:				
Page/Line: 7 / 34	Item Number: 27	Commentor Name: Goldhammer	Marianna	Type: Technical
Description: Change "MUST" with "SHOULD"		Reason: The delay amount is depending on many factors, the MAC being only one of them. The delay amount, due to echo cancellers used with VoIP, is not extremely critical.		Status: unresolved
Notes:				
Page/Line: 7 / 34	Item Number: 26	Commentor Name: Goldhammer	Marianna	Type: Editorial
Description: Delete "low"		Reason: The VoIP delay is not low		Status: unresolved
Notes:				
Page/Line: 7 / 34	Item Number: 99	Commentor Name: Kostas	Demosthenes	Type: Technical
Description: -second bullet, should be modified to read, "Delay - as apparent to the end user, the amount of delay and delay variation MUST be kept within acceptable limits. Again the specific amount of delay and delay variation acceptable is based on the QoS sold to the end user."		Reason: To include delay variation and improve English		Status: unresolved
Notes:				
Page/Line: 7 / 36	Item Number: 28	Commentor Name: Goldhammer	Marianna	Type: Technical
Description: Insert after the conversation. "The QoS requiremets should take into account the characteristics of the VoIP technology: codec end-to-end delay of 50ms for 10ms frame (G.729), 120ms for 30ms frame (G.723), the possibility to transmit concatenated voice packets, the mandatory use of echo cancellers.		Reason: Give a feeling of what VoIP delay is		Status: unresolved
Notes:				

Page/Line: 7 / 39 **Item Number:** 29 **Commentor Name:** Goldhammer Marianna **Type:** Technical
Description: **Reason:** **Status:** unresolved
Insert new paragraph with bullet: BER level The MAC and PHY protocols SHOULD provide for a reasonable BER Level for voice services. BER of 10-4 is sufficient for voice services and 10-5 for FAX.
Make clear the BER issue

Notes:

Page/Line: 10 / 16 **Item Number:** 34 **Commentor Name:** Goldhammer Marianna **Type:** Technical
Description: **Reason:** **Status:** unresolved
Insert paragraph 6 Wireless media characteristics
Sub-paragraph 6.1 Duplex model
Paragraph start The radio regulations permit two access modes: Frequency Division Duplex - FDD and Time Division Duplex - TDD. The MAC and PHY protocol MUST support both FDD and TDD duplex modes. Spectral efficiency is maximized in FDD with full-duplex operation, while in TDD with means to avoid collocation problems and more complex interference scenarios. The PHY and MAC protocols MUST provide for full duplex operation, while preserving the QoS, BER and spectral efficiency requirements for data and voice traffic. The MAC and PHY protocols MUST provide means to resolve the collocation and interference problems in TDD deployment.
Missing paragraph

Notes:

Page/Line: 10 / 16 **Item Number:** 35 **Commentor Name:** Goldhammer Marianna **Type:** Technical
Description: **Reason:** **Status:** unresolved
Insert paragraph 6.2 Channelization
New paragraph The standardization bodies providing channelization recommendations are ITU-R, CEPT and FCC. The allocated bandwidth per operator varies between 5MHz and 120MHz. In Europe, the typical allocated bandwidth is 14MHz. The operators target a good frequency reuse factor, using 4-6 sectors for Base Stations. The Base Station bandwidth per sector can be between 1.75MHz and 7MHz in in CEPT countries and between 2MHz and 6MHz in MMDS. The MAC and PHY protocols MUST permit the operation with channel spacing per sector of 1.75, 3.5 and 7MHz when using ETSI masks and 2, 3, 5 and 6MHz when using other masks. The typical value for performance analysis SHOULD be 3.5MHz for ETSI mask and 3MHz for MMDS mask.
Missing paragraph

Notes:

Page/Line: 10 / 16 **Item Number:** 36 **Commentor Name:** Goldhammer Marianna **Type:** Technical
Description: **Reason:** **Status:** unresolved
Insert paragraph 6.3 Cellular deployment
New paragraph In cellular deployment, due to interference, the system spectral efficiency can be considerably lowered. The PHY and MAC protocols SHOULD permit good frequency reuse factors, providing at least 2bit/s/cell. In order to reduce the interference level, the PHY and MAC protocols MUST permit power control per subscriber up-link and SHOULD permit power control per subscriber down-link. The PHY and MAC protocols SHALL permit real-time changing of power levels, as function of propagation conditions, in order to use the minimum power needed for the target BER.
Missing paragraph

Notes:

Page/Line: 10 / 30 **Item Number:** 33 **Commentor Name:** Goldhammer Marianna **Type:** Editorial
Description: **Reason:** **Status:** unresolved
Replace "Bandwidth" with "Capacity"
Bandwidth is measured in Hz, not bit/s

Notes:

Page/Line: 13 / 1 **Item Number:** 19 **Commentor Name:** Freedman Avi **Type:** Technical
Description: **Reason:** **Status:** unresolved
All reference should be revisited
Most of the reference are not relevant to 802.16.3

Notes:

Page/Line:	13 / 21	Item Number:	173	Commentor Name:	Wachira Muya	Type:	Technical
Description:	In Table 1 column 4 row 7 replace "10 ms " with "100 ms "			Reason:	Delay of 10 ms for packet-oriented connections is too short. VoIP can tolerate up to about 150 ms delay, as long as frame error rate is below about 1%.		
Notes:							
Page/Line:	13 / 21	Item Number:	38	Commentor Name:	Goldhammer Marianna	Type:	Technical
Description:	Change from table the 10ms in row 7 to "1/4 of the VoIP codec end-to-end delay"			Reason:	Requirements non consistent with VoIP		
Notes:							
Page/Line:	13 / 25	Item Number:	18	Commentor Name:	Freedman Avi	Type:	Editorial
Description:	Remove space within "T he"			Reason:	Typo		
Notes:							
Page/Line:	14 / 20	Item Number:	107	Commentor Name:	Kostas Demosthenes	Type:	Technical
Description:	Change Sentence to read "The 802.16.3 protocols SHALL define a set of parameters to meet the required QoS parameters for the supported services (e.g., ATM CBR Services and IP) "			Reason:	To include the support of additional services		
Notes:							
Page/Line:	14 / 32	Item Number:	108	Commentor Name:	Kostas Demosthenes	Type:	Technical
Description:	The last sentence parenthesis should read (such as those required for IP- and ATM-based services)			Reason:	To include the support of additional services to IP		
Notes:							
Page/Line:	15 / 3	Item Number:	147	Commentor Name:	Trinkwon David	Type:	Technical
Description:	Replace "CMIP/CMIS" with SNMP/CORBA			Reason:	More appropriate for Access networks / environments, especially for residential and SME markets		
Notes:							
Page/Line:	15 / 15	Item Number:	142	Commentor Name:	Trinkwon David	Type:	Technical
Description:	This needs further elaboration / discussion / contribution. Power control loops are probably fundamental for most FWA / customer unit applications and it must not be possible to exceed a maximum permitted power level. Also, if a SU is "shut down" it must be possible to re-enable it (without visiting the customer location) to diagnose and fix / dispatch etc. There are also functions necessary to handle Primary / secondary power conditions, software downloads/ upgrades, performance and error statistics, and to re-program the allowed base station / channel lists for a given SU - or all Sus in a given area / subset of criteria.			Reason:	Expand the requirement to better match the needs of the 802.16.3 target markets.		
Notes:							
Page/Line:	15 / 27	Item Number:	143	Commentor Name:	Trinkwon David	Type:	Technical
Description:	Needs further expansion / contribution to handle installation, service suspend/resume, relocation, geolocation and anti-cloning processes.			Reason:	To better match the needs for the 802.16.3 target markets.		
Notes:							
Page/Line:	17 / 8	Item Number:	148	Commentor Name:	Trinkwon David	Type:	Technical
Description:	Delete 2nd, 3rd and 4th bullets relating to 802 conformance.			Reason:	Not relevant / practicable for FWA/BWA applications for residential and SME markets.		
Notes:							

Page/Line: 17 / 21 **Item Number:** 176 **Commentor Name:** Wachira Muya **Type:** Editorial
Description: **Reason:** **Status:** unresolved
Replace the term "bandwidth " with " capacity" Referring to capacity as "bandwith" is colloquial usage, and we should use the correct terms - bandwidth expressed in Hz and capacity in bit/s or bps.

Notes:

Page/Line: 22 / 0 **Item Number:** 75 **Commentor Name:** Kasslin Mika **Type:** Editorial
Description: **Reason:** **Status:** unresolved
Delete the last incomplete sentence "This" through "provisioning" Useless and misleading sentence

Notes:

Page/Line: 22 / 0 **Item Number:** 76 **Commentor Name:** Kasslin Mika **Type:** Editorial
Description: **Reason:** **Status:** unresolved
Delete "Protocol" till end of text Incomplete and irrelevant sentence

Notes:

Page/Line: 25 / 0 **Item Number:** 77 **Commentor Name:** Kasslin Mika **Type:** Technical
Description: **Reason:** **Status:** unresolved
Replace 99.94% with 99.95% Consistency with the text in section 5.4 if the corresponding earlier comment is approved.

Notes:

Page/Line: 26 / 0 **Item Number:** 78 **Commentor Name:** Kasslin Mika **Type:** Technical
Description: **Reason:** **Status:** unresolved
Delete the following bullet points: Consistency with the text in section 5.7 if the corresponding earlier comment is approved.
, "- Radio range (shaped sector radius)"
, "- Width of the sector"

Notes: