

Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group < http://ieee802.org/16 >
Title	Network initiated Mandatory HO
Date Submitted	2005-07-14
Source(s)	David Xiang, Phillip Barber, Jim Carlo, Duke Dang, Lucy Chen, John Lee mailto: dxiang@futurewei.com HUAWEI
Re:	Call for contribution and comments.
Abstract	This contribution brings the consideration of the practical situation which a Mandatory HO initiated by network, and provides a efficient handle procedure for BS and MSS for this situation.
Purpose	Adoption
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures < http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html >, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair < mailto:chair@wirelessman.org > as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site < http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices >.

Network Initiated Mandatory HO

David Xiang, Phillip Barber, Jim Carlo, Duke Dang, Lucy Chen, John Lee
HUAWEI

1. Problem

In current standard, BS initiated HO uses a peer-to-peer mechanism where the BS sends an HO request to MS (or MS sends an HO request), the MS based on its own condition decides to ignore, accept, reject or cancel the HO and may send a corresponding response back to the BS. Since the BS represents the network from the MS perspective, and since the BS is the ultimate arbiter as network resource gatekeeper, sometimes the BS needs to force direct the MS to handover to another BS without negotiation with the MS, such as when a Serving BS needs to be taken offline for maintenance and all the MS connected to that BS need to handover to alternative BS(s); due to network resource optimization or management, the current BS can't satisfy MS' service needs, and MS must handover to another BS to achieve its service requirements; or other network related situations.

In the current standard, there is no HO priority or HO reason indication in the HO request sent by network, so MS can't distinguish different HO situations which should associate different response actions; instead MS treats all HO request from the network as the same priority. Therefore the MS may ignore or reject/cancel the HO request or re-negotiate the handover configuration which includes the candidate BSs due to some dissatisfaction with the proposed handover, entirely due to its own determination. By doing that, it may cause the following problem:

- MS loses connection with the whole network while the MS may be able to handover but rejects because of lack of knowledge of the criticality or necessities to conduct the HO;
- MS keeps on exchanging messages with the BS to re-negotiate the HO configuration, which increases the HO process time while considering recommended handover;
- MS experiences a rapid performance decrease or gap in continuous service due to failure to conduct a recommended handover in a timely manner.

There is a current mechanism that permits BS to direct MS to disconnect from the BS and attempt connection at another BS. Though not intended for this purpose, the method could be construed as forcing handover. The method is for the BS to send a DREG-CMD including Action Code 0x00, 'SS shall leave the current channel and attempt to access another channel'. However, this mechanism is problematic. The mechanism is not joined to the handover process, so any handover accomplished through this method would be generally through the 6.3.21.2.6 Drops during HO rules. Performance would not be similar to negotiated handover. Yet negotiated handover is entirely possible and reasonable even under forced handover situations.

2. Remedy

Add an appropriate message element to the BSHO-REQ and BSHO-RSP to indicate a required HO. Modify text in the 6.3.21 handover section to include support of the required handover field.

3. Proposed Text Changes

[In 6.3.2.3.52 BS HO Request (MOB_BSHO-REQ) message, page 112, Table 108l—MOB_BSHO-REQ message format, Insert the following entry to the Table, immediately after the 'Mode' field; adjust first instance of 'Padding' in the Table to have a Size of '3' as seen in the Table edit:]

Syntax	Size	Notes
...		

HO operation mode	<u>1</u>	0: Recommended HO request. 1: Mandatory HO request.
Padding	<u>43</u>	Shall be set to zero.
...		

[In 6.3.2.3.52 BS HO Request (MOB_BSHO-REQ) message, page 118, Insert after line 40:]

[HO operation mode](#)

[Indicate the operation mode of this HO request as initiated and prescribed by BS.](#)

[0: Recommended HO request](#)

[1: Mandatory HO request.](#)

[In 6.3.2.3.54 BS HO Response (MOB_BSHO-RSP) message, page 124, Table 108n—MOB_BSHO-RSP message format, Insert the following entry to the Table, immediately after the 'Mode' field; adjust first instance of 'Reserved' in the Table to have a Size of '4' as seen in the Table edit:]

Syntax	Size	Notes
...		
HO operation mode	<u>1</u>	0: Recommended HO response. 1: Mandatory HO Response.
Reserved	<u>54</u>	Shall be set to zero.
...		

[In 6.3.2.3.54 BS HO Response (MOB_BSHO-RSP) message, page 130, Insert before line 31:]

[HO operation mode](#)

[Indicate the operation mode of this HO response as prescribed by BS.](#)

[0: Recommended HO response.](#)

[1: Mandatory HO response.](#)

[In 6.3.21.2.2 HO decision & initiation, page 178, line 55; Insert the following text:]

[In some instances the BS may need to force the MS to conduct handover. The BS shall include a value of HO operation mode=1 in either the MOB_BSHO-REQ or MOB_BSHO-RSP to signal to the MS that the MS must conduct handover. Upon receiving a message with HO operation mode=1, the MS shall treat the handover request as required and shall respond with a HO-IND with option HO_IND type = 0b00 indicating commitment to HO. An MS required to conduct handover is not restricted to conducting handover to those BS included in the notifying message. The MS may attempt handover to a different BS that may or may not have been included in either the MOB_BSHO-REQ or MOB_BSHO-RSP.](#)

[In 6.3.21.3.1 SHO decision and initiation, page 188, line 6; Insert the following text:]

[In some instances the BS may need to force the MS to update the Active Set or Anchor BS. The BS shall include a value of HO operation mode=1 in either the MOB_BSHO-REQ or MOB_BSHO-RSP to signal to the MS that the MS must update the Active Set or Anchor BS. Upon receiving a message with HO operation mode=1, the MS shall treat the request to update the Active Set or Anchor BS as required and shall respond with a HO-IND with option SHOFBSS_IND Type = 0b00 indicating commitment to update the Active Set or Anchor BS.](#)

[In 6.3.21.3.2 FBSS Decision and Initiation, page 189, line 6; Insert the following text:]

[In some instances the BS may need to force the MS to update the Active Set or Anchor BS. The BS shall include a value of HO operation mode=1 in either the MOB_BSHO-REQ or MOB_BSHO-RSP to signal to the MS that the MS must update the Active Set or Anchor BS. Upon receiving a message with HO operation mode=1, the MS shall treat the request to update the Active Set or Anchor BS as required and shall respond with a HO-IND with option SHOFBSS_IND Type = 0b00 indicating commitment to update the Active Set or Anchor BS.](#)

[In 6.3.21.3.4.1 HO MAC management message method, page 190, line 25; Insert the following text:]

In some instances the BS may need to force the MS to update the Anchor BS. The BS shall include a value of HO operation mode=1 in either the MOB_BSHO-REQ or MOB_BSHO-RSP to signal to the MS that the MS must update the Anchor BS. Upon receiving a message with HO operation mode=1, the MS shall treat the request to update the Anchor BS as required and shall respond with a HO-IND with option SHOFBSS_IND Type = 0b00 indicating commitment to update the Anchor BS.

Operator Operator
Network Network