Date / Time	1/23/02 3:08 PM	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM)	Motion #: 1
Moved By: Dav	vid James	Seconded By: Ofer I	Pazy
Motion:		·	
	Task Force Working Docเ use by clause (Each chap		
	hnical or Administrative):	Administrative	50% needed to pass
Voting: For: 999 Aga Result (Passed of		her type): 0	##### in favor
Subsidia	ry Motions: 1a		

Date / Time 1/23/02 15:55 PM (mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM) Motion #: 2a Moved By: Offer Pazy Seconded By: Steve Wood Motion:
For developing Task Force Working Document v0.1 if the proposed material for any clause receives at least a 75% approval of Yes and No votes, that proposed material will be the full basis for that clause of the Working Document v0.1.
Type (Technical or Administrative): Admin 50% needed to pass
Voting: For: 99 Against: 0Abstain (or Other type): 8 ##### in favor Result (Passed or failed): PASSED
Subsidiary Motions:

Date / Time 1/23/2002 15:56 (mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM) Motion #: 3 Moved By: Steve Wood Seconded By: Raj Sharma Motion:
For developing Task Force Working Document v0.1 if no proposed material garners a 75% majority, then there will be no approved text for that portion of the draft. Passed by consent
Type (Technical or Administrative): Administrative 50% needed to pass
Voting: For: Against: 0Abstain (or Other type): 0 0.0% in favor cesult (Passed or failed):
Subsidiary Motions:

Date / Time 1/23/2002 16:02 (mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM) Moved By: Bob Love Seconded By: Offer F Motion:	Motion #: 4 Pazy		
In developing Task Force Working Document v0.1, for clauses that do not have proposed material with a 75% consensus, an alternate document containing all contending proposals for those clauses will be developed (proposal advocates to supply and maintain text. Chief editor to compile and distribute as a web page containing pointers to the contending proposals to Task Force Working Document vO.1). Passed without objection			
Type (Technical or Administrative): Administrative	50% needed to pass		
Voting: For: 0 Against: 0 Abstain (or Other type): 0 Result (Passed or failed): Passed	0.0% in favor		
Subsidiary Motions:			

	1/2	23/2002 16:05	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM	Л)	Motion #:	5
Moved By:	Steve Wood		Seconded By: Bob	Lov	е	
Motion:			·			
All individual clauses for Task Force Working Document v0.1, and all contending proposals for the alternate document to be submitted to Tom Alexander and John Hawkins before 2/11/02. Passed without objection.						
Type (Technical or A	dministrative):	Administrative		50% neede	d to pass
	Against: 0 ed or failed):	Abstain (or Ot Passed	her type): 0	().0% in favo	r
Subs	idiary Motions:					

Date / Time		mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM)	
Moved By: Bob Love Motion:	3	Seconded By: Sian	nack Ayanden
	g simulation models,	if they are relevant,	osted on the web site by , for all proposals in
Type (Technica	or Administrative):	Adminstrative	50% needed to pass
Voting: For: 88 Against: Result (Passed or faile		er type): 12	92.6% in favor
Subsidiary Mo	tions:		

	1/23/2002 16:29	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM)	Motion #: 7
Moved By:	David James	Seconded By: Bob Lo	ve
Motion:			
	Working Document v0.1, and be posted by 2/22/02 along wi jection		
Type (Technical or Administrative):	Administrative	50% needed to pass
	Against: 0Abstain (or Ot ed or failed): Passed	her type): 0	0.0% in favor
Subs	idiary Motions:		

Date / Time 1/23/2002 16:50 Moved By: Offer Pazy	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM) Seconded By: Necdet	Motion #: 10
Motion:	Seconded By. Necdet	Ozum
Moved that the chief editor be requested "Overview", "Normative References", "Te Acronyms" clauses of Task Force Workin respectively), according to the proposals voted into Task Force Working Documen with the Terms and Definitions document objection .	rms and Definitions" and ig Document v0.1 (Clause for the remaining Clause t v0.1 by IEEE 802.17 a	d "Abbreviations and ses 1, 2, 3, 4 ses that have been and in accordance
Type (Technical or Administrative):	Technical	75% needed to pass
Voting: For: 0 Against: 0 Abstain (or Ot Result (Passed or failed): Passed	her type): 0	0.0% in favor
Subsidiary Motions:		

Date / Time 1/24/02 8:41 AM Moved By: Bob Love	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM Seconded By: Offer P	Motion #: 12
Motion:		
Moved that the official 802.17 draft outline as Outline" (file "draft_outline_01.doc", presented be modified according to the proposals given January 21, 2002. Passed without Objec	d in "jl_outline_02.pdf" in t in the presentation "ta_ou	he November Plenary)
Type (Technical or Administrative):	Technical	75% needed to pass
Voting: For: 0 Against: 0 Abstain (or Ottesult (Passed or failed): Passed	her type): 0	0.0% in favor
Subsidiary Motions:		

Date / Time Moved By: Bob Love Motion:	1/24/2002 8:45	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM Seconded By: Steve	Motion #: 13 Wood
Moved that the contents of adopted as the basis for the Document v0.1 of P802.17	ne Clause "MAC Pl	hysical Interface" in Task	
Type (Technical o	r Administrative):	Technical	75% needed to pass
Voting: For: 0 Against: 2 Cesult (Passed or failed)	0Abstain (or Ot): Passed	her type): 0	0.0% in favor
Subsidiary Motio	ns:		

Date / Time 1/24/2002 8:47 Moved By: Bob Love Motion:	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM Seconded By: Rhett E	Motion #: 14 Brikovskis
Moved that the contents of Appendix C ("Recognized Bloom of Cartest Contents of Appendix C ("Recognized Bloom of Cartest Carte	odified by the presentation sis for the Annex ("Recond	n (rb_phy_02.pdf from ciliation Sublayer and
Type (Technical or Administrative):	Technical	75% needed to pass
Voting: For: 0 Against: 0 Abstain (or Ot Result (Passed or failed): Passed	her type): 0	0.0% in favor
Subsidiary Motions:		

Date / Time 1/24/2002 8:52 (mm/dd/yy hh:m Seconded By: Hotion:	
Moved that the contents of Appendix D ("Reconciliation Sublayer SONET/SDH") in "Darwin_v1_0.pdf" as modified by (rb_phy_02.2002) be adopted as the basis for the Annex ("Reconciliation Susonet/SDH", in Task Force Working Document v0.1 of P802.1 objection.	pdf as presented in January iblayer and PHY Interface for
Type (Technical or Administrative): Technical	75% needed to pass
Voting: For: 0 Against: 0 Abstain (or Other type): 0 Result (Passed or failed): Passed	0.0% in favor
Subsidiary Motions:	

Date / Time	1/24/2002 9:12	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM	Motion #: 17
Moved By: Bob Lo	ove	Seconded By: Harry	Peng
Motion:			
"Darwin_v1_0.pdf"	be adopted as the bas	Layer Management Ensis for the Clause "Layeent v0.1 of P802.17.	er Management Entity
Type (Techni	cal or Administrative):	Technical	75% needed to pass
Voting: For: 0 Agains Result (Passed or f		her type): 0	0.0% in favor
Subsidiary I	Motions:		

Date / Time	1/24/2002 9:14	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM	Motion #: 18
Moved By: Bob Lov	ve	Seconded By: Gal Mo	or
Motion:		·	
("gm_mib_02.pdf" of Task Force Working	January 2002) be ac Document v0.1 of P	nagement Information B dopted as the basis for 802.17, and that the au Passed without objec	the Annex "MIB" in uthor be requested to
Type (Technica	al or Administrative):	Technical	75% needed to pass
Voting:			
For: 0 Against	:: 0Abstain (or Ot	her type): 0	0.0% in favor
Result (Passed or fai	iled): Passed		
Subsidiary M	otions:		

	1/24/02 9:27 AM	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM	Motion #: 19
Moved By:	Necdet Uzun	Seconded By: Italo Bu	usi
Motion:			
Provisioning "Operations	the contents of Chapter 16 (" ") in "Darwin_v1_0.pdf" be ad , Administration, Managemen 0.1 of P802.17.	dopted as the basis for	the Clause ask Force Working
Type (Technical or Administrative):	Technical	75% needed to pass
	Against: 0Abstain (or Ot ed or failed): Passed	her type): 0	0.0% in favor
Subs	idiary Motions:		

Date / Time Moved By: Steve Wood Motion:	1/24/2002 10:03 d	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm Al Seconded By: Leon	
Moved that the contents of "Darwin_v1_0.pdf" be adop Task Force Working Docur	oted as the basis fo	or the Annex "Transmit	· ·
Type (Technical or	Administrative):	Technical	75% needed to pass
Voting: For: 74 Against: Result (Passed or failed)	3Abstain (or Otl	her type): 20	96.1% in favor
Subsidiary Motion	าร:		

Date / Time	1/24/2002 10:07	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM	Motion #:	23
Moved By: Steve V	/ood	Seconded By: Necde	et Uzun	
Motion:		·		
	e adopted as the bas	"Bridging Conformandsis for the Annex "Brid 802.17.	•	' in
Type (Technica	al or Administrative):	Technical	75% needed to	pass
Voting: For: 79 Against Result (Passed or fai	: 12Abstain (or Ot led): PASSED	her type): 14	86.8% in favor	
Subsidiary M	otions:			

Date / Time	1/24/2002 11:14	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM	Motion #: 2	7
Moved By: Necde	t Uzun	Seconded By: Vince	Eberhard	
Motion:		·		
Interface") and 7 ("	itents of Chapters 5 ("I MAC Client Interface") e "MAC Reference Mo t v0.1	in "Darwin_v1_0.pdf"	be adopted as the	
Type (Techni	cal or Administrative):	Technical	75% needed to pa	ss
Voting: For: 79 Again: Result (Passed or f		her type): 18	97.5% in favor	
Subsidiary I	Motions: 27a			

Date / Time	1/24/2002 14:50	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM	Motion #: A
Moved By: Neco	det Uzun	Seconded By: Martin	Green
Motion:		·	
merged with the (DvjRprDraft24Jar	ontents of Appendix J ("C CRC portions Appendix I n2002.pdf be adopted as orking Document v0.1 of	K ("Code Examples") of s the basis for the Anne	x " Code Examples "
Type (Tech	nical or Administrative):	Technical	75% needed to pass
Voting:			
For: Agai	nst: 0 Abstain (or Ot	her type): 0	0.0% in favor
Result (Passed or	failed): Passed		
Subsidiary	/ Motions:		

Date / Time	1/24/2002 11:45	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM	Motion #: 29
Moved By: Leon E	Bruckman	Seconded By: Necd	et Uzun
Motion:			
	tents of Chapter 10 (" is for the Clause "Frai 2802.17.	•	-
Type (Technic	cal or Administrative):	Technical	75% needed to pass
Voting: For: 88 Result (Passed or fa	2 Abstain (or Ot ailed): PASSED	her type): 16	97.8% in favor
Subsidiary N	Motions:		

Date / Time	1/24/2002 13:04	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM	Motion #: 30
Moved By: Laurer	n Schlicht	Seconded By: Leon	Bruckman
Motion:		·	<u>.</u>
Control") in "Darwir	. ,		I 11 ("Media Access Clause "Media Access
Type (Technic	cal or Administrative):	Technical	75% needed to pass
Voting: For: 82 Agains Result (Passed or fa	· ·	her type): 18	98.8% in favor
Subsidiary N	Motions:		

Date / Time	1/24/2002 13:48	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM	Motion #:	36
Moved By: Steve W	ood	Seconded By: Necd	et Uzun	
Motion:				
	• •	MAC Fairness") in "Da C Fairness" in Task Fo	•	nen
Type (Technica	l or Administrative):	Technical	<u>75%</u> needed to _l	pass
Voting: For: 79 Against: Result (Passed or fail	· ·	her type): 22	98.8% in favor	
Subsidiary Mo	otions:			

Date / Time	1/24/2002 14:08	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM	Motion #: 42
Moved By: Leon I	Bruckman	Seconded By: Steve	Wood
Motion:			
	tents of Chapter 14 (" Clause "Protection" in		n_v1_0.pdf" be adopted Document v0.1 of
Type (Techni	cal or Administrative):	Technical	75% needed to pass
Voting: For: 71 Agains Result (Passed or f		her type): 19	98.6% in favor
Subsidiary I	Motions:		