IEEE 802.17 RPR Working Group

P802.17/D3.1 Draft and Ballot Status

Tom Alexander
Chief Editor, P802.17

Agenda

- Status of draft and Sponsor ballot

- Status of comments

- Plan for week

Summary

- D3.1 went out to Sponsor Ballot recirc
    - 15-day recirculation ballot period
    - 400 comments received

- Recirculation ballot continues to pass

Status of Ballot on D3.1

- 83 ballots received (pool fixed at 100 voters)

- 74 approvals, 4 disapprovals, 5 abstentions
  - 95% approval ratio
    - 802 requires ≥75%
  - 83% return ratio
    - IEEE requires >=75%
  - 5% abstention ratio
    - IEEE requires ≤30%
  - 5 voters switched
    - 2 approves switched to disapprove
    - 3 disapproves switched to approve

- Next: Resolve comments, implement, recirculate

Status of Comments on D3.1

- 400 valid comments received
  - 71 Technical non-binding, 188 Technical-Binding

- Clause 7 (MAC) received the maximum comments
  - 143 comments
  - Clause 10 (Fairness) is runner-up (80 comments)

- 3 days to resolve all comments

Comment Distribution By Clause

802-17-ta_opening_rprt_01

Tom Alexander

Current Editorial Roster

Section	Editors
Intro Section	David James (Clause 1); Tom Alexander (Cls 2, 3, 4)
MAC Section	John Lemon; Steve Wood
PHY Section	Rhett Brikovskis (in absentia); Harry Peng
Fairness Section	Bob Sultan; Necdet Uzun
Topology Section	Jason Fan; Jim Kao
OAM Section	Glenn Parsons; Gal Mor (MIB); Leon Bruckman (OAM&P) (in absentia)
Bridging Section	Bob Castellano; Marc Holness

Goals For This Meeting

- Resolve comments on D3.1

- Produce instructions for generating the next draft

- Authorize creation of D3.2 based on instructions

- Authorize D3.2 to be sent out for 15-day WG recirculation ballot

IEEE 802.17 RPR Working Group

P802.17a/D1.1 Draft and Ballot Status

Tom Alexander
Chief Editor, P802.17

Summary

- ## D1.1 went out to WG Ballot in January

  - 15-day electronic ballot period
  - 1 comments received

Status of Ballot on D1.1

- 1 ballot received on recirc

- 45 approvals, 0 disapprovals, 0 abstentions
  - 100% approval ratio
    - 802 requires $\geq$75%
  - 56.7% return ratio
    - IEEE requires >=75%
  - 0% abstention ratio
    - IEEE requires $\leq$30%

- Ballot passes
  - Resolve the comments we have currently on D1.1 and recirculate

Status of Comments on D1.1

- 1 valid comment received on recirc
  - 1 Technical non-binding

- 3 days to resolve The Comment
  - Is that enough time??

Plan For Rest of Week

- ## Editorial schedule:
  - Monday: Presentations if any, Chair and editors meet to review comments and map out resolution process

  - Tuesday: Comment resolution

  - Wednesday: Comment resolution

  - Thursday: Editors' reports, punted comments, Motion Madness
    - The usual stuff …

Track Breakdown

- One track (one room)

Room assignments, updates and instructions will be posted outside doors; **please check frequently!!!**

Posting of CRDs and Reports

- CRDs will be posted by editors on the file server
  - Posting will be done as soon as possible after comment resolution session ends (and editors have had a chance to clean up CRD)
  - Posting will also be done on a nightly basis if comment resolution session spans 2 or more days
  - To retrieve the CRDs, look in the directory "latest_CRD"
  - File names will be of the form "*section*_CRD_*date*_*time*.USR" where *section*, *date* and *time* will be filled in by the editors
    - For example: MAC_CRD_5-20-03_9PM.USR

- Editors reports will also be posted when done
  - To retrieve, look in the directory "editor_reports"
  - File names will be of the form "*section*_report.ppt" or "*section*_report.pdf"

> Posted files will be kept up to date on a best-efforts basis!

Handling of Comments

- The disposition of each comment is determined at this meeting
  - A comment may be <u>accepted</u> (or accepted-modified) – closed
  - A comment may be <u>rejected</u> – closed, but see below
  - A comment may be <u>unresolved</u> – open

- Rejected technically-binding comments will be circulated with the new draft for review
  - This is done to see if anyone will change their vote on the basis of the rejection (in this case, they submit their own technically binding comments)
  - However, the rejected comment is closed and will not appear in new database

- Unresolved comments <u>will be carried forward</u>

- Resolve comments to <u>maintain approval ratio</u>
  - Objective is to convert disapproves to approves
  - If the resolution of a comment would convert an approve to a disapprove, then look for alternate resolutions

- **<u>Editors must obtain signoffs (agree/disagree) from voters on rejected technically-binding comments</u>**