

Objectives and Technology Choices

IEEE 802.17 Resilient Packet Ring Working Group

Harsh Kapoor, Chuck Lee, Ashwin Moranganti, Jon Morgan Appian Communications, Inc.



Agenda

- RPR Objectives & Scope
- Highlights of Appian's proposal
- Appian's Opinion on issues
 - Fairness and Ring access
 - Store & Forward and Cut-through
 - Protection switching
 - OAM&P



Objectives and Scope

Address Service providers requirements for packet optimized resilient data networks

- Sub 50 msec protection switching
- Automatic topology discovery
- Spatial reuse of bandwidth
- Traffic Classification (Class of Service support)
- Various physical layers (Gigabit Ethernet, 10 Gig E, SONET/SDH, DWDM)
- Adhere to 802.1q standard
- Ease of configuration (Plug and Play)
- Interoperability
- OAM&P



Highlights about Appian's RPR proposal

- 1. Keep it simple
- 2. Provide most flexibility in implementation by being independent of the buffering, scheduling, QOS and switching architectures (Vendors can differentiate in this space)
- 3. Use existing proposals like Diffserv and MPLS for packet classification and service differentiation
- 4. Leverage existing Ethernet and SONET framers
- 5. Deliver predictable performance (Packet loss, latency, jitter, sub 50 ms protection switching times)



Simplify MAC

Policy: Fairness & QoS

OAM&P

RPR MAC
Ring Operations
Frame Format
Topology/Resource Discovery
Control signals

Protection Switching

802.17

PHY (Ethernet, SONET/SDH, WDM)



Fairness & Ring Access

Keep fairness and Ring Access protocol out of the MAC; One algorithm for all problems is not a good idea ----- Keep it simple

- Different services require different algorithms
 - Queuing and Scheduling
 - Token bucket schemes
 - Inter-node messages which implicitly/explicitly include rights to transmit packets
- Different algorithms are suited for different traffic characteristics
 - Metro
 - Access
- Different classification models need different fairness models
 - Per Customer
 - Per Service
 - Per Flow
- Different PHY choices might need different algorithms
 - 1GE, 10GE, SONET/SDH, DWDM



Fairness & Ring Access - Example

- Different for Metro Core and Access rings
- In Metro Core, over 80% of the traffic is intra-ring
 - Traffic Changes are fairly minimal
 - Fairness Messaging algorithms are possible
- In access, almost 100% of the traffic is destined to one POP router
 - Traffic is very bursty
 - Fairness Messaging algorithms are deemed un-useful
 - System needs to react faster than the changes in traffic patterns which it is trying to control



Store & Forward and Cut-Through

- Both proposals have merits and should be included
- Incoming packets are examined for "Class of Service" field and the MAC decides if it is a Cut-through or a Store & Forward type traffic
- Cut-through traffic uses a bypass path between the MACs and transits the node
- Other traffic is handled by the system and "Nodelevel" or "ring-level" fairness and scheduling algorithms are applied



Fast Protection Switching

- Wrap if you CAN; steer if you MUST ---- Keep it simple
- Requirement from Service Providers
 - Priority 1 Sub 50 msec restoration
 - Priority 2 Minimize packet loss
 - Priority 3 Minimize packet mis-ordering
- During single and dual fiber cuts, wrapping has lower data loss then steering (Auroranetics simulation)
- Wrapping is a proven concept in the SONET world (SONET-class)
 - Latency is a non issue in access rings which are typically <15 nodes and
 ~30 mile radius
 - Re-ordering can be minimized (or even avoided) by proper system implementation



Why OAM&P is required

- Service Providers need OAM&P
- Inclusion of OAM&P reduces the operational expenses of running a network, especially as the network scales
- It is needed for customer support, trouble tracking, performance evaluation, configuration management, technical support
- It is tempting to equate OAM&P with "network management", but it involves more than that
- T-carrier networks suffered because there were no standards and not enough support for OAM&P functions



Components of OAM&P

- One of the most useful features of SONET is the presence of built-in standards for OAM&P
- The goal is not to duplicate all SONET OAM&P functionality, but only what is needed, for example:
 - Provisioning and Maintenance
 - BER Monitoring
 - Performance and Statistics Monitoring
 - Alarms
 - Trace Connection verification
 - Loopbacks
- Include the above functionality in 802.17



Questions?