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FDD Basic Format

- Full-duplex communication
- Equal Uplink (UL) and Downlink (DL) bandwidths
- Duplex separation ~50-150 MHz
- Typical operation in licensed bands
Coverage & Mobility

• **Ubiquity important for MBWA**
  – *Optimization for macro-cell coverage and mobility is important for ubiquity, which is cited as a key enabler for commercial success of any MBWA system*

• **FDD suitable for macro- and micro-cellular operation and mobility**
  – No guard time requirement between frames/time-slots for timing inaccuracies, synchronization and propagation delays. Does not limit cell size
  – No dependence between Downlink/Uplink slot times, modulation formats; No switching between UL/DL slots
  – Does not add to latencies in DL (DL grant/ DL transmit) and UL (UL request/ DL grant/UL transmit)
Multiple Antenna Processing

• **FDD lacks reciprocity in UL and DL channels, making multiple antenna processing more difficult**
  – UL and DL channels occupy distinct bands, so there are different transmit and receive antenna processing coefficients

• For connectionless, packet-switched operation, multiple antenna processing based on reciprocity is not efficient
  – DL and UL data traffic is asynchronous and bursty
  – Fast DL/UL channel state feedback essential
  – Conventional techniques optimized for voice systems can lead to sub-optimal performance and waste of precious airlink resources
Asymmetric Link Utilization

- **DL throughput rates are typically greater than UL, but FDD has equal resource allocations for DL & UL**
- In practice, this asymmetry is not an issue
  - Typical DL throughput rates are three times that of UL with equal resource allocation. Greater or lesser asymmetry ratios on a per-service basis easily accommodated
  - Does not require sync with other Base Stations
  - Mobile transmit power limitations and multiple access overhead on UL
  - For given throughput, UL transmission does not incur link budget penalty as UL bandwidth can be utilized all the time
Interference & Coexistence

- Need to minimize interference between base stations and coexist with other cellular networks
  - Multi-vendor/Multi-operator/Multi-band deployment environment
  - Protection of existing users in adjacent bands from interference caused by new deployments. No retrofit to existing equipment.
  - Allow co-location of multi-operator radio equipment at common antenna sites
  - No significant infrastructure deployment/operational costs and unpredictability in ensuring co-existence
  - No Mobile-to-Mobile adjacent band RF interference issues (Mobile terminals have no ability to retrofit designs)
Interference & Coexistence: FDD-FDD

- **FDD-FDD co-existence**
  - FDD DL (UL) operator bands are grouped together
  - Relatively large frequency separation between DL and UL bands (no sharp filters required)
  - No inter-BS synchronization requirement
Interference & Coexistence: FDD-TDD

- FDD-TDD coexistence
  - Adjacent FDD-TDD deployments merit careful examination
  - TDD system near FDD DL
    - FDD BS transmitter interferes with TDD BS receiver
    - TDD Mobile transmitter interferes with FDD Mobile receiver
  - TDD system near FDD UL
    - TDD BS transmitter interferes with FDD BS receiver
    - FDD Mobile transmitter interferes with TDD Mobile receiver
Summary

- FDD is ideally suited for a packet-switched MBWA air interface
  - Optimal for wide-area coverage and vehicular mobility
  - Accommodates DL/UL asymmetry ratios as required
  - No inter-BS synchronization requirement
  - Licensed spectrum bands already exist globally for mobile operation. Large deployed base of FDD cellular systems
  - Does not pose co-existence issues