Experimental Studies of VCSEL-MMF Transmission Impairments at 850nm

C. Patrick Caputo, Stephen E. Ralph, Georgia Tech Yi Sun, Xinli Jiang, Robert Lingle, Jr., OFS



School of Electrical and Computer Engineering

## **Motivation**

- In the CFI, several papers showing 25G over MMF with PRBS7 were referenced. There has been a dearth of published studies at PRBS31 in the literature and a paucity of experimental studies in the study group in general.
- Simulations capture sources of ISI effectively; mode-partition and modal noise are more difficult.
  - Several versions of the IEEE spreadsheet models adapted to 25G yield unreasonably pessimistic mode partition noise (MPN) contributions
- It is also difficult to vary systematically one parameter at a time in VCSEL-MMF studies
  - $_{\text{O}}$  Varying bias current changes both resonance frequency and  $\sigma_{\text{rms}}$
  - Varying fiber length changes both modal and chromatic dispersion, which changes two forms of ISI plus mode-partition noise
  - Thoughtful fiber selection, systematically varying DMD and length, comparing with simulation, holds chromatic dispersion constant, allowing isolation of ISI from signal-borne noise penalties

2

## Link Setup



Georgia Tech 100G Center

## **VIS** Receiver



 Wire bonded VIS 40G receiver module to breakout PCB

 ~30GHz PD
 High speed TIA





School of Electrical and Computer Engineering

# **VCSEL** Characterization



- Center wavelength: 851.3nm
- RMS spectrum: 0.627nm
- Launch power @8mA: 1.2dBm



Launch power @8mA: ~1.2dBm



Georgia Tech 100G Center

#### Length Dependence 10 GHz-km



## Length Dependence 5.7GHz-km



#### Length Dependence 5.2GHz-km



#### Fiber Comparison at 150m



Georgia Tech 100G Center

#### Fiber Comparison at 150m



## Conclusions

- Focus in this study is on experimental fiber path penalties
- Penalties for 150m on OM4 with  $\sigma_{RMS} \sim 0.6$ nm range from 1.9-3 dB
  - Simulation indicates pure ISI should range from 0.6 to 1.7 dB (lingle\_02\_0112\_NG100GOPTX.pdf)
  - On the order of 1.5 dB should be due to signal-born noise penalties such as RIN and MPN in the presence of vertical eye closure.
  - The spreadsheet overestimates MPN, even after accounting for the 28 to 30 GHz receiver BW.
- Transmission at 150m over OM4 in the presence of relatively high RMS spectral width does not seem to be in the range of runaway penalties
- Many effects are not accounted for in this study. However it argues against applying excessive caution based on pessimistic models.
  - Equalized links with reasonable eye closure may not be limited by MPN at 150m.
- More and careful experiments should be shared as part of setting objectives for MMF reach.

Center

11