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## Purpose and Approach*

- To provide a cabling cost metric that:
- Allows a simplified view of total channel cost (cabling + 2 PMDs)
- Facilitates comparisons of SM PMD alternatives
- Accommodates different cabling infrastructures (e.g. 2-f vs 8-lane)
- Accommodates various complementary MM PMD scenarios
- Avoids misapplication of cost-parity lengths for total cost comparisons
- Examine channel cost and length distributions of "Kolesar Kalculator" to determine new cost metric:
- Cost-Centroid Length
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## Definition

- Cost-Centroid Length (CCL)
- The length of a cabling channel that has a cost which is equivalent to the length-distribution-weighted cost of all channels, or a range of channels, of a topology


## Or stated more simply

- The channel length that is representative in cost to the channels of a topology


## Cost-Centroid Length Calculation



Overall
= Cabling Cost (OCC) for each topology and construction

OCC - Fixed Costs (e.g. patch panels \& testing) [\$]
Length-Dependent Cost Rate (e.g. cable \& cable installation) [ $\$ / \mathrm{m}]$

## Why Use Cost-Centroid Length?

- Use of other metrics, like Cost-Parity Length (CPL), is fundamentally flawed for total cost comparisons
- CPL is the length where the cost advantage shifts between two PMDs for a single channel
- But CPL does not account for channel PDF weighting on total deployment costs
- CCL does account for channel PDF weighting and represents the correct length to use for total cost comparisons
- CCL can be adjusted to account for different deployment assumptions (e.g. the effect of MM PMD usage)


Note: PMD-A and PMD-B only for illustration

## Cost-Centroid Lengths (CCLs) [m]

| Length <br> Selection | Server-to-Switch <br> Channels |  | Switch-to-Switch Channels |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Post- <br> 2012 | Pre- <br> 2008 | Single <br> Link | 2:1 Mix <br> Link | Double <br> Link |
| All <br> Lengths | 16 | 24 | 59 | 75 | 106 |
| $>100 \mathrm{~m}$ | n.a. | n.a. | 148 <br> Propose to use 150 for all |  |  |
| $>150 \mathrm{~m}$ | n.a. | n.a. | 192 <br> Propose to use 200 for all |  |  |

n.a. $=$ not applicable. No length distribution within length selection.

## Graphically Depicted CCLs
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Note: cost-centroid lengths are in the 65 - 70\% range for Sw-to-Sw CDFs

## Utility of Length-Selected Centroids

| Length Selection | Server-to-Switch Channels |  | Switch-to-Switch Channels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Post- } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pre- } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Single Link | 2:1 Mix <br> Link | Double Link |
| All <br> Lengths | 16 | 24 | 59 | 75 | 106 |
| > 100 m | n.a. | n.a. | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| $=150 \mathrm{~m}$ | n.a. | n.a. | 200 | 200 | 200 |

- Use "All Lengths" values for cost models in which SM PMDs will be used for all channels
- Customer deploys only SM solutions
- Use "> 100m" values for cost models in which

SM PMDs will be used only for channels exceeding 100 m

- Customer deploys MM PMDs up to 100 m capability
- Use "> 150m" values for cost models in which

SM PMDs will be used only for channels exceeding 150 m

- Customer deploys MM PMDs up to 150m capability


## Example Usage

- Compare total channel cost (cabling + 2 PMDs) for two SM PMD types:
- LR4 with relative cost $=2 \mathrm{~K} \times$ ( $100 \mathrm{~m} 2-\mathrm{f}$ OS2 single-link cabling end-user cost)
- PSM4 with relative cost $=\mathrm{K} \times$ (100m 2-f OS2 single-link cabling end-user cost)
- K units: [PSM4 end-user cost / 100m 2-f OS2 single-link cabling end-user cost]
- LR4 operates on 2-fiber cabling, PSM4 operates on 8-lane cabling
- Assume MM PMD is used to 150 m , so " $>150 \mathrm{~m}$ " CCL values apply
- CCL $=200 \mathrm{~m}$ (proposed length suitable for any topology)
- From Cole_01a_0512_optx.pdf the relative cabling costs at CCL:
- 200m 2-f OS2 single-link channel $=1.25$
- 200m 8-1 OS2 single-link channel = 5
- 200m 2-f OS2 double-link channel = 1.75
- 200m 8-1 OS2 double-link channel =
- Total channel costs:

| - LR4 single-link $=1.25+2 \times 2 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| :--- |
| - PSM4 single-link $=1 \begin{array}{l}5 \\ \text { - LR4 double-link }\end{array}=1.75+2 \times \mathrm{K}$ |
| - PSM in your |
| - PSM4 double-link $=$ |
| K value to |

> normalization cost factor = unity cabling cost from Cole_01a_0512_optx.pdf *

Single-link channel (SLCH)

| Fiber Type | $\mathbf{1 0 0 m}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 m}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8f OM4 MMF | 5 | 9 | 13 |
| 8f OM3 MMF | 4 | 7 | 10 |
| 8f OS2 SMF | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| 2f OS2 SMF | 1 | 1.5 | 2 |

* This is different normalization than used in Kolesar Kalculator


## Q \& A


[^0]:    * This approach is suitable for simple cases such as comparing two PMDs. The Kolesar Kalculator is recommended for more complex analysis such as total solution set cost analysis.

