# WWDM Transceiver Module for 10-Gb/s Ethernet

**Brian E. Lemoff** 

Hewlett-Packard Laboratories lemoff@hpl.hp.com

**IEEE 802.3 HSSG Interim Meeting** 

Coeur d'Alene, Idaho June 1-3, 1999





# Why pursue WWDM for the LAN?

Supports installed bases of MMF (~300m) and SMF (10-20km) with single transceiver.

Lower speeds allow noisier, wider band lasers, slower IC processes, and simpler electrical packaging, reducing cost and adding margin.





#### Why use Wide Wavelength Spacing?

- No temperature control required over 0-70°C operation Laser wavelength varies by 5.0nm @1300nm
- Much higher laser yield is possible

Large interwafer and intrawafer variations in wavelength allowed

#### Smaller, simpler demultiplexing optics

Smaller, less collimated beams. Interference filters or gratings.

#### • Multimode fiber can be supported

Large spatial and angular spread makes fine  $\lambda$  resolution tough.

#### • No amplifiers $\Rightarrow$ Narrow spacing unnecessary

Entire useful spectrum of fiber can be covered if necessary





# Advantages of 1300nm over 850nm

### I. Bandwidth-Distance Product

2.5 Gb/s in 62.5-μm MMF: 110 m @850nm 300 m @1300nm

# II. Eye Safety

Class 1 with 4-channel WDM: -10 dbm/channel @850nm +2 dbm/channel @1300nm

# III. Single Mode Fiber Compatibility

850-nm sources are incompatible with standard SMF

# IV. Supply Voltage

Lower bandgap means lower forward voltage on lasers

# V. Receiver Sensitivity

Lower photon energy  $\Rightarrow$  1.8-dB higher responsivity (A/W)





# **HP WWDM Proposal**

- **Data** 4 duplex channels, 2.5 Gb/s/channel
- **<u>Fiber</u>** Dual use SMF/MMF (SM TX, MM RX)
- Package MTRJ duplex connector, BGA surface mount
- **Sources** Uncooled, unisolated DFB, *No SMSR spec*
- <u>Wvlngth</u> 1280,1300,1320,1340 nm
- MUX 4-to-1 silica waveguide combiner
- **Detectors** InGaAs PIN photodiode array
- **DEMUX** Compact molded plastic "bulk zigzag"
- **ICs** 4-channel TX; 4-channel RX (integrated)









# **Transmitter Subassembly**

Robotic assembly achieves <1µm alignment in a fast, fully automated process. Similar equipment already used in production for 1000LX transceivers.

Wavelength Multiplexer uses standard silica waveguide process with ~400 devices/wafer. Sawed, not polished, chips are used to lower cost without sacrificing performance.





#### **Transmitter Optical Subassembly**







#### **Receiver Subassembly**







# **Wavelength Demultiplexer**

Three views of ray tracing in wavelength demultiplexer







# How can Demux be low cost?

- Injection-molded plastic optics Once a mold is built, a complex and precise optical system can be replicated at minimal incremental cost.
- <u>Alignment free assembly</u> Molded mechanical features allow parts to fit together without requiring alignment.
- <u>Tiny dielectric interference filters</u> Small area per chip means one growth can yield HUGE part count.
- <u>Ease of fiber attachment</u> Molded V-groove, integrated into demux passively aligns fiber stub.





# **Wavelength Demultiplexer**







### **Integrated Circuits**

Leveraging Parallel Optics technology, fully integrated multichannel TX and RX ICs are available up to 2.5-Gbd in Silicon. 3.125-Gbd ICs will soon be available.

Newer SiGe technologies will make these ICs extremely simple and robust.





#### **4-channel Transmitter IC**







# Single-channel TX eye-diagram

- 4-ch Si-Bipolar TX IC
- directly modulated DFB
- 2.5 Gb/s
- 9.5 dB extinction ratio
- Ibias = 8 mA
- Imod = 25 mA
- P (SMF) = -5.8 dBm
- •4 lasers on simultaneously
- butt-coupled into SMF
- uncooled
- unisolated





# 12-Channel RX IC - Using 4 channels

Soon-to-be available 4-channel IC will greatly reduce RX footprint







# **Assembled WWDM MTRJ Module**







# **Class 1 Eye Safety**

Power Budget should allow 4-channel eye-safe operation

Eye-safe limit at 1300 nm:	+8 dBm
Limit per channel:	+2 dBm
Demultiplexer loss:	<3 dB (expected)
Link Budget:	<7 dB (expected)

 $\therefore$  Received Power Limit due to eye safety = -8 dBm

Parallel Rx IC's are available with better than -20 dBm sensitivity. Thus, other considerations (e.g. power dissipation, EMI) may play a bigger role in limiting received power than eye safety.





#### WWDM DFB Source Study - GOALS

Experimentally verify that DFBs with low SMSR and no isolator have:

RIN < -117 dB/Hz

 $BER < 10^{-12}$ 

Small mode-partition noise power penalty

Examine RIN and corresponding BER at high ambient temperature





#### **Power Penalty due to RIN - Model**



- Power budget determines how much RIN can be allowed
- GbE specifies -117 dB/Hz
- 12.5 GBd applications require ~ 6 dB less RIN for 1 dB penalty





Power Penalty due to Mode-Partition Noise - Model



Parameters:  $\lambda_{min} = 1270 \text{ nm}$   $\lambda_{o} = 1322 \text{ nm}$   $\Delta \lambda = 1.6 \text{ nm}$   $S_{o} (ps/nm^2*km) = 0.092$   $D_{1} (ps/(nm-km)) = -5.086$ k factor = 0.8 Link length = 6 km BER =  $10^{-12}$ 





## **Experimental Setup**







#### **Side-Mode Suppression Ratio of DFBs**

Reject lasers chosen for poor SMSR







#### **Results: RIN vs. SMSR**



Note: 2.34 GHz = 0.75 x 3.125 GBd





#### **Typical Received Eye Diagrams at 2.488 GBd**

#### DFB a (SMSR = 43.24 dB)





# 6 km SMF



#### DFB p (SMSR = 0 dB)









#### **Typical BER for DFBs with High SMSR**







#### **Typical BER for DFBs with Low SMSR**







#### **BER with and without the Waveguide Combiner**







#### **RIN Temperature Comparison**

Laser n (SMSR = 5 dB)



#### **RIN Temperature Comparison**

Laser s (SMSR = 40 dB)



#### **WWDM DFB Source Study - Conclusions**

- 1300-nm DFBs with no specification on SMSR and no isolator are suitable for our application.
- Measured RIN < -117 dB/Hz over all SMSR
- Measured PP < 1 dB due to MPN over 6 km SMF</li>
- Measured BER < 10<sup>-12</sup> over 6 km SMF
- Current DFBs with I<sub>bias</sub> ~ 15 mA have sufficient output power and f<sub>r</sub>
- BER results improved with waveguide combiner
- Total PP < 2 dB over 6 km SMF</li>



