Minutes 25Gb/s Ethernet Architecture ad-hoc meeting 09/02/14

Proposed agenda:

- Approval of the Agenda
- IEEE patent policy reminder http://www.ieee802.org/3/patent.html
- Approval of draft minutes for August 28
- Objectives and CSD update Mark Nowell
- Time permitting:
 - Adee Ran et al presentation

Matt Brown – Agenda and opening remarks

- Matt presented the agenda slides.
- Matt reminded everyone of the IEEE patent policy (http://www.ieee802.org/3/patent.html).
- Matt asked if anyone had any objection to the agenda as presented. There were no objections.
- Matt asked if anybody objected to the posted Aug 28 draft minutes. There were no objections. Aug 28 minutes approved.

Matt noted late presentations received from Adee Ran et al and Mark Lutkowitz will be posted on the ad hoc web page.

Presentations posted at:

http://www.ieee802.org/3/25GSG/public/adhoc/architecture/index.html

Mark Nowell -- 25 Gb/s Ethernet over a single lane for server interconnect Study Group: status and work

- reviewed slides 4 to 7
- slide 4, marked up last meeting
- straw poll held on survey monkey, 22 respondents
- slide 5, chicago rules, no clear winner/loser
- slide 6, pick one, option D gets almost no support
- slide 7, proposes new straw poll, eliminate option D, and add Chicago Rules question for opposition to option

Agreed to retake the same straw poll eliminating option D and adding new question with Chicago rules asking which option you would oppose.

Discussion

Matt asked for each of the CR objective options for reasons for objecting: Option A

- excludes large portion of applications, e.g., intra-rack, that require the extra reach
- hard to reach adjacent rack
- interop issues WRT CR4 break-out mode

Option B

- for 3 m max. applications, still paying cost of 5 m
- forces use of RS-FEC or similar (but can be addressed by having non-FEC mode)

Option C

- permits more than one PHY to be specified (but does not preclude a single PHY satisfying both objectives)

Option E

- precludes any changes to tx/rx if necessary given the way it's worded
- does not give guidance on reach
- unclear to people unfamiliar with this specification (e.g., reference to Clause 93)
- not clear what it supports relative to the CSD (5C)

A new form of objective was proposed where there are two objectives: the first objective specifies a PHY with one reach and the other specifies a mode of operation or channel for the other reach. After some discussion it was concluded that this is not better than Option C.

John D asked the group if everybody agreed that 3 m (intra-rack) and 5 m (interrack) are distinct applications. Nobody expressed disagreement.

Matt asked the group if there are any objections to option C given the current discussions. There were no objections. It was noted that Option C as written permits the task force to develop two PHYs, but also that it does not preclude a single PHY.

Mark will send out new straw poll using survey monkey as noted above.

Adee agreed that it was not necessary to present his slides at this meeting given the progress that was made. However, he asked that the presentation be posted on the ad hoc web page and that attendees review his presentation and provide feedback.

Attendees (from Webex attendance)

Mark Nowell Cisco jonathan king Finisar Rita Horner **Synopsys** Matt Brown APM Joel Goergen Cisco Paul Mooney Spirent John D 'Ambrosia Dell salvatore rotolo ST

Erdem Matoglu Amphenol-tcs mike dudek QLogic

Dale Murray Lightcounting

Ingvar Froroth Marvell

Peter Anslow Ciena

Mark Lutkowitz Fibereality
George Zimmerman CME consulting

Megha Shanbhag TE

Rich Mellitz Intel

Tony Zortea PMC-Sierra

Frank Straka Panduit
Piers Dawe Mellanox

Nathan Tracy TE

Scott Kipp Brocade

sam sambasivan AT&T

Vineet Salunke Cisco

Adee Ran Intel

John D Vitesse

Andy Zambell FCI

Mark Gustlin Xilinx

Dave Brown Semtech

Marty Spadaro Vitesse

Chris DiMinico MC Communications/ PHY-SI LLC/Panduit

Paul Kolesar CommScope

martin white Xpliant

Jeffery Maki Juniper

David Chalupsky Intel

john petrilla Avagotech

pirooz Cisco

Ron Muir Jae

Beth Kochuparambil Cisco