Unapproved Minutes IEEE 802.3 400 Gb/s Ethernet Study Group

Plenary Meeting July 16 - 17, 2013 Geneva, Switzerland Prepared by Ghani Abbas

Chair called IEEE 802.3 400 Gb/s Study Group Interim to order at 9.00 am, Tuesday, 16, July 2013.

Chair appointed Ghani Abbas to be Recording Secretary for the meeting.

The Chair stated that he will try to speed up to allow participants to attend the p802.3bm meeting on Thursday. There were no objections

Agenda & General Information

By – John D'Ambrosia

See - http://www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/agenda_400_01d_0713.pdf

- Chair reviewed the agenda.
- Introductions Everyone introduced themselves and stated their affiliation.

Motion #1: Move to approve the agenda

- Moved by: John McDonough
- Second by: Brian Holden
- Approved by voice vote without opposition

Chair asked if there were any reporters in the room. No reporters in the room. John D'Ambrosia will be talking with the press regarding IEEE activities but will only disclose high level details available from the published meeting minutes. Scott Kipp identified himself as he will be blogging without specific details.

Motion # 2: Move to approve May Minutes

Moved by: Steve Trowbridge Second by: Mark Gustlin

The May minutes approved without changes by voice without opposition.

Chair continued with the introductory presentation

- Goals for the meeting:
- Hear presentations (22) related to Objectives and 5 Criteria
- Develop consensus on PAR / Objectives
- Update on Time Sync Activities
- Liaisons and communications
 - ITU-T Study Group 15
 - Potential liaison to TIA on single-mode connector return loss (see next slide).

- o Potential informal communication to IEEE 802.11
- Lay the ground work for the next meeting
- Ground Rules were reviewed
- IEEE Structure, Bylaws & Rules

Chair read the Guidelines for IEEE-SA meetings.

Chair gave an overview of the 802.3 Standards Process and emphasized the 5C requirements

Liaisons

Liaison #1: ITU-T SG15 Liaison introduced by Steve Trowbridge

Discussion: The liaison reported on the work in Q11 and Q6 on the evolving OTN beyond 100G. No firm decisions have been made yet. The ITU-T will be meeting after the IEEE 802.3 September Interim. It was agreed that no response is needed for this meeting. The chair appointed Steve Trowbridge is to progress and to write a response for approval in Sept., 2013 meeting

Liaison #2 : - Harmonizing Single mode Connection Return Loss

Wait for a response after the presentation, see below.

Liaison #3: Internal Liaison to 802.11 for BW/BMP Data

John D'Ambrosia is to discuss with IEEE 802.3 WG Chair regarding correct process – liaison or informal communication. Upon his conversation he will post a proposed response for consideration at the closing meeting

Presentation #1 Title: LogicAd Hoc Update Presented By – Mark Gustlin See - <u>http://www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/gustlin_400_01_0713.pdf</u>

Discussion

No comments or questions.

Discussion

Question was raised regarding the reflected power from the first connector (Slide 6) into the laser. This manifests itself as noise. The important part is the reflection that impacts the receiver. It was confirmed that the 35dB are needed for all PMDs solutions as they will all benefit from this return loss value. By harmonizing to single standard will reduce cost.

The chair asked if there is an objection for an ad hoc to produce a liaison to the TIA. There was no objection. It was agreed to generate a liaison to TIA on harmonization of return loss. Chair appointed Vipul Bhatt to lead the ad hoc to generate a draft letter for consideration by the Study Group during Closing plenary. The Chair asked Vipul to generate a draft prior to the Ad hoc meeting for consideration by all. The Ad Hoc will meet Wednesday afternoon at 1pm in the Study Group room to finalize a proposed response that will be considered by the Study Group during Closing Business.

Break at: 10.05 Reconvened at: 10.25

The Chair stepped out at 10.26 and Ghani Abbas Chaired the meeting in his absence.

Presentation #3

Title: End User Perspective on 400 Gb/s Ethernet Presented By – Arien Vijn See - <u>http://www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/vijn_400_01a_0713.pdf</u>

Discussion

It was stated that parallel fibers have re-occurring cost over its lifetime and thus is not favored. Requirement for 400G is needed now at approx. 2.5 the 100G cost. Average reach is approximately 25km.

Presentation #4

Title: 400G PMDs Requirements for Broad Market Potential By – Tom Palkert See - http:// www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/palkert_400_01_0713.pdf

Discussion

No time prioritization on reaches is assumed. Perhaps consolidation of the reaches list is required. We need more data to highlight where (and when) the need is.

The chairman assumed the chair again at 11.10

Presentation #5

Title: Perspective and Scenario of Core Router on 400 GbE By – Song Xiaolu See - http://www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/song_400_01a_0713.pdf

Discussion

Three scenarios are listed in the slides. Is there an urgency for one over the others? 10km is the initial requirement. It was stated that no difference in urgency is seen between 2km and 10km solutions.

Break at 11.25 Reconvened at 13.00

Presentation #6

Title: BER Objective for 400GE By – David Ofelt See - http://<u>www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/ofelt_400_01_0713.pdf</u>

Discussion

BER issue may be non-technical but a human perception which depends on application. Ethernet is used more and more in various applications. Customers may tell you the system is no good because of bad BER. For example security and banking applications, a low BER is mandatory. We often had BER requirements of 1E-12 or better but no one considers the better part. Need to bear in mind that adding FEC makes it harder. Additionally 1E-15 is quite hard to meet as well as cost associated with a lower BER.

Presentation #7

Title: OTN Support: What is it why is it important? By – Steve Trowbridge See - http://www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/trowbridge_400_01_0713.pdf

Discussion

Further support was expressed by the participants.

Presentation #8

Title: 400GESMF Consideration By – Zhao Wenyu See - http://<u>www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/wenyu_400_01_0713.pdf</u>

Discussion

A question on Slide 4 regarding the support of 40G tributary was raised, as this is already standardized. It is not the Chinese standards. Slide 8 the timescales were questioned. Data is required to substantiate the claims made on the slide.

Presentation #9

Title: Initial thought about Modulation format & FEC for "Long-reach" 400GbE By – Riu Hirai See - http://www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/hirai_400_01_0713.pdf

Discussion No questions.

Presentation #10

Title: Considerations on transmission distance of 400 GbE By – Toshiki Tanaka See - http://www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/takahara_400_01_0713.pdf

Discussion No questions.

Presentation #11

Title: Long Shelf-Life Electrical INterfaces By – Jeff Maki See - http:// www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/maki_400_01_0713.pdf

Discussion

Slide 8 the FEC selection was questioned. To choose a FEC that is future proof may be a challenge. It was stated that preference should be given to simpler objectives and no implementation. Given the global involvement in developing 400G standards we are not going to develop these standards to include implementation.

Presentation #12

Title: MMF Capabilities for 400-Gigait Ethernet, and Beyond By – Jack Jewell See - http://www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/jewell_400_01a_0713.pdf

Discussion

A question raised on the need for a higher speed for the longer wavelength VCSELs. This is basically needed to achieve performance. It was confirmed that bj FEC is needed for the proposed objective.

Break at: 15.17 Reconvened at: 15.37

Presentation #13

Title: Global Networking Services - Representative Cloud Scale Data Center Design By – Tom Issenhuth See - http://www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/issenhuth_400_01_0713.pdf

Discussion

Slide 5 indicates the use of SMF and not MMF was questioned. It was stated that SMF is cheaper and it is a long term asset. For trunk applications MMF is not used but MMF is used in AOC for example. SMF based technology offers the lowest cost structure.

Presentation #14

Title: An exploration of the technical feasibility of the major technology options for 400GE backplanes By – Brian Holden

See - http://www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/holden_400_01_0713.pdf

Discussion

It was stated that we are not ready to do 400G backplane yet. It may be the next project.

Presentation #15

Title: EEE Overview and Proposal By – Wael Diab and Mike Bennett See - http://www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/diab_400_01b_0713.pdf

Discussion

Need to include the upgrade path as the EEE is already included in 40G and 100G standards.

Presentation #16

Title: Backplane Channels Using Embedded Capacitor Connectors as an Enabler for 400GE 40" System Measurements By – Nathan Tracy

See - http:// www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/tracy_400_01a_0713.pdf

Discussion

These are measured data on a single card.

The meeting broke at 17.20

The meeting reconvened at 08.30 on Wed 17th., July, 2013

The chairman showed the guidelines for IEEE-SA meetings.

Presentation # 17

Title: Scalable 400 GbE Architecture 400 GbE By – Ali Ghiasi See - http://<u>www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/ghiasi_400_01_0713.pdf</u>

Discussion

A questions raised on Slide 5 regarding the FEC required in all as no decision made yet on the p802.3bm 500m reach. It was stated that the application 10X10 is important as it gives the highest density.

Presentation #18

Title: 400 Gb/s PCS architectural options By – Mark Gustlin See - http://<u>www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/gustlin_400_02_0713.pdf</u>

Discussion

For OTN support re-use of the OTN modules is important. It may become challenging when block multiplexing is used.

Presentation #19

Title: 400 GbE Lane Configurations vs. FEC Options By Ali Ghiasi See - http://www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/wang_400_01_0713.pdf

Discussion

The gains are all electrical gains. Latency options should be stated clearly.

Break at:10.00Reconvened at:10.25

Presentation #20

Title: Musings on FEC Objective for 400 Gb/s Ethernet By – Gary Nicholl See - http://www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/nicholl_400_01_0713.pdf

Discussion

There is a support for not to have the FEC as an integral part of the PCS.

Presentation #21

Title: The Requirement Analysis of 400GE FEC for Gen1 PMDs By – Suping Zhai See - http://<u>www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/zhai_400_01_0713.pdf</u>

Discussion

SOA stands for semiconductor Optical Amplifier.

Break at: 10.55 Reconvened at: 11.00

Presentation #22

Title: Time Synch By – Michael Teener See - http:// <u>www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/teener_400_01_0713.pdf</u>

Discussion

Slide 6 multi-lane timestamp, who is going to do this work?. Need to pick a scheme /lane which end up with a deterministic delay.

Current work is 100M and 1G. The protocol .AS can run on any path including higher bit rates however the delay should be deterministic.

Break at: 11.46 Reconvened at: 13.38

Mark Gustlin assumed the chair while John D'Ambrosia is giving his presentation.

Presentation #23

Title: FEC and Architecture By – John D'Ambrosia See - http://<u>www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/dambrosia_400_02_0713.pdf</u>

Discussion

Need to look at many applications to help us understand the type of FEC codes that are needed. FEC is needed along the link.

John D'Ambrosia assumed the chair again at 13.57

Presentation #24

Title: Parallel SMF (PSM) in the Data Centrein Centre- End User Survey-By – Brad Booth See - http:// <u>www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/flatman_400_01_0713.pdf</u>

Discussion

This is a general survey which includes questions on cost regarding the use of ribbon SMF fibers. A question about volumes was also included but no tabulation of volumes is included. However, large data centres are in the survey. The respondents indicate the use of SMF and two use parallel MMF and one parallel SMF.

Presentation #25

Title: 400G: Physics, Economics & Business By – Randy Rannow See - http:// www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/rannow_400_01_0713.pdf

Discussion No questions.

Presentation #26

Title: Proposed PAR By – David Law See - http:// <u>www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/dambrosia_400_01_0713.pdf</u>

<u>Discussion</u> Proposed responses to PAR were discussed and edited. See <u>http://www.ieee802.org/3/400GSG/public/13_07/dambrosia_400_01a_0713.pdf</u>.

Motion #3

- The Study Group requests that IEEE 802.3 extends the 400 Gb/s Ethernet Study Group
- Moved Howard Frazier
- Second Paul Kolesar
- Procedural (>50%)

Motion passes by voice vote without opposition

Motion #4

- Move that the 400 Gb/s Ethernet Study Group adopt the following objectives:
 - Support a MAC data rate of 400 Gb/s
 - Support full-duplex operation only
 - Preserve the Ethernet frame format utilizing the Ethernet MAC
 - Preserve minimum and maximum FrameSize of current Ethernet standard
 - Provide appropriate support for OTN
 - Specify optional Energy Efficient Ethernet (EEE) capability for 400Gb/s PHYs
- Moved By: Steve Trowbridge 2nd: Mike Bennett
- Technical : <u>></u> 75%
- Results: Yes 74 No 0 Abstain 1

Motion Passes

Bhatt presented ad hoc response. Updated per Study Group Discussion.

Motion #5

- Move that IEEE 802.3 400Gb/s Ethernet Study Group approve the text in:
 - 802_3 to 42_11 liaison letter final draft per SG.pdf
- with editorial license granted to the Chair (or his appointed agent) as a liaison communication by the 802.3 WG Chair to TIA.
- M Vipul Bhatt
- S Howard Frazier
- Technical (>=75%)

Approved by voice vote without opposition

D'Ambrosia presented proposed informal communication to IEEE 802.11 WG. Updated per Study Group discussion.

Motion # 6

 Move that IEEE 802.3 400Gb/s Ethernet Study Group approve the text in: – dambrosia_400_03a_0713.pdf

with editorial license granted to the Chair (or his appointed agent) as an informal communication by the Study Group Chair to IEEE 802.11

- M Steve Trowbridge
- S Ghani Abbas
- Procedural (>50%)

Motion Passes by voice vote without objection

Straw Poll #1, York, UK Attendance for IEEE 802.1 / 802.3 Interim

- I will attend the IEEE 802.3 400 Gb/s Ethernet Study Group meeting in September
 - Yes 38
 - No 16
 - Maybe 18

Future Meetings

- See: http://www.ieee802.org/3/interims/index.html
- Sept 2013 Interim (hosted by ADVA)
 - Week of Sept 2
 - York, UK
- Nov 2013 Plenary
 - Week of Nov 10
 - Dallas, TX, USA
- January 2014 Interim
 - Week of January 20
 - Hyatt Regency Indian Wells, CA

Motion # 7

- Motion to adjourn.
- Moved by Steve Trowbridge
- Second by Ghani Abbas
- Procedural (>50%)

Motion passes by voice vote without objection.

The meeting was adjourned at 16.50

IEEE 802.3 400GbE Study Group			7/16/2013	7/17/2013
Last Name	First Name	Employer / Affiliation	Tues	Wed
Abbas	Ghani	Ericsson, UK	Х	х
Baldwin	Thananya	Ixia	х	х
Bennett	Mike	LBNL	х	х
Bergey	Chris	Luxtera	х	
Bhatt	Vipul	Cisco	х	х
Bliss	Will	Broadcom		х
Booth	Brad	Independent		х
Bouda	Martin	Fujitsu	х	х
Braun	Ralf-Peter	Deutsche Telekom	х	х
Carlson	Steve	HSD		х
Chalupsky	David	Intel	х	х
Chandoasekwan	Srikarth	IEEE	х	
Chang	Xin	Huawei	х	х
Cibula	Pete	Intel		х
Cole	Chris	Finisar		х
Cui	Kai	Huawei	х	х
D'Ambrosia	John	Dell	х	х
Diab	Wael	Broadcom	х	х
Farhoodfar	Arash	Cortina Systems	х	х
Forbes	Harry	Nexans		х
Frazier	Howard	Broadcom		х
Gang	Zhigang	JDSU	х	х
Garcia	Modesto	Texas Instruments		х
Ghiasi	Ali	Broadcom	х	х
Gustlin	Mark	Xilinx	х	х
Hirai	Riu	Hitachi	х	х
Hiramoto	Kiyo	Oclaro Japan	х	х
Holden	Brian	Kandou Bus	х	х
Hongchun	Xu	Accelink	х	х
Huang	Xi	Huawei	х	х
Isono	Hideki	Fujitsu Ltd.	х	х
Issenhuth	Tom	Microsoft	х	х
Jewell	Jack	JDSU	х	х
Jimenez	Andrew	Anixter Inc.		х
Kawatsu	Yasuaki	Hitachi-Metals	х	х
Kelsen	Michael	Time Warner Cable	х	х
Kethacia	Kurma	Cadence	х	х
Kimmitt	Myles	Emulex	х	х
Кірр	Scott	Brocade	X	
Kolesar	Paul	CommScope		Х
Langhammer	Martin	Altera	x	Х
Li	Mike	Altera	Х	

Lingle, Jr.	Robert	OFS	x	Х
Maguire	Valerie	Siemon / TIA		х
Maki	Jeffery	Juniper Networks	х	х
Martin	Arlon	Kotura	х	х
Mashimo	Chris	Marvell		х
Masuda	Takeo	Petra	х	х
McDermott	Tom	Fujitsu	х	х
McDonough	John	NEC America	х	х
Meghelli	Mounir	IBM	х	
Meier	Wolfgang	Emerson Network Power EC		х
Mooney	Paul	Spirent Communications	х	х
Nakamoto	Edward	Spirent Communications	х	х
Nicholl	Gary	Cisco	х	х
Ofelt	David	Juniper Networks	х	х
Oguna	Ichiro	Petra	х	х
Palkert	Tom	Luxtera	х	
Parthasarathay	Vasudevan	Broadcom	Х	х
Pepper	Gerald	Ixia	х	х
Rabinovich	Rick	Alcatel-Lucent		х
Rannow	Randy	APTC Corp	х	х
Rao	Ram	Oclaro	х	х
Ressl	Mike	Hitachi Cable America		х
Rotolo	Salvatore	STM Microelectronics	х	х
Sambasivan	Sam	AT&T		х
Sasaki	Yasue	TE Connectivity	х	
Sasaki	Yasuo	TE Connectivity		х
Sommers	Scott	Molex	х	х
Song	Xiaolu	Huawei	х	х
Sprague	Ted	Infinera	х	х
Stevens	Daniel	Fujitsu Semiconductors	х	х
Suping	Zhai	Huawei	х	х
Swanson	Steve	Corning	х	
Szczepanek	Andre	Inphi		х
Tajima	Akio	NEC Corporation	х	х
Takahara	Tomoo	Fujitsu Laboratories	х	х
Takahata	Kiyoto	NTT	х	х
Tanaka	Toshiki	Fujitsu Laboratories	х	х
Telxeira	Antonio	NSN (Coriant)	х	х
Timmins	lan	Optical Cable Corp.		х
Tipper	Alan	Semtech	X	Х
Tracy	Nathan	TE Connectivity	x	Х
Tremblay	Francois	Semtech	x	Х
Trowbridge	Steve	Alcatel-Lucent	X	Х
Tsutsumi	Satoshi	Hitachi	x	Х

Ulrichs	Ed	Source Photonics	Х	х
Vanderlaan	Paul	Nexans		х
Vijn	Arien	AMS-IX	Х	х
Wang	Robert	Intel	х	х
Wang	Xinyuan	Huawei	х	х
Welch	Brian	Luxtera	х	х
Wirtz	Mike	Semtech	х	х
Wong	СК	FCI USA LLC		х
Wong	Henry	Huawei	х	х
Xu	Yu	Huawei	х	х
Zhao	Wenyu	CATR.China	Х	х