Comment (#167): (TDL #385 D2.2) Comment: Do we need the spec for Irms in 145.3.8.4?

YES if we will not guarantee that:

-Pclass is the maximum average power for 1sec window including in the presence of Ppeak. (Currently it is not the case in the spec thus Irms spec is protecting us.)
-As a result, any current value under overload conditions has to be treated as RMS current

Overview

- We care for the true POWER LOSS. It is always Vrms*Irms=Prms. If used correctly then by definition Prms=Pavg. e.g. in 220V AC network, the 220V is rms value and the power will be RMS power. The use of average power will not be correct here (i.e. some of the power is real and some imaginary)
- 2. Prms is used for time variant signals to describe true power loss
- 3. Pavg is uded for DC signals to describe true power loss for constant loads.
- 4. VRMS or IRMS gives the <u>equivalent DC value</u> for a DC+AC signal for the same power that a pure DC value would give.
- 5. Our spec is defined mostly in terms of Average Power and Peak power
- 6. We need to guarantee that the maximum average power includes operation under peak power conditions which is not 100% guarantee in D2.3.

So if we agree that in our case, Pavg=Prms=Vrms*Irms Then: Irms=PClass/Vport_PD-2P

The objective of this work is to get rid of the detailed RMS spec and to ensure that when input currents are measure at the PD, their maximum limits will be treated as RMS current and not Average current.

Staring first with the basics.

145.3.8.2 on Input average power says the following:

PClass_PD is the maximum average PI power and applies to single-signature PDs. <u>PClass_PD-2P is the maximum average PI power and applies to</u> <u>dual-signature PDs.</u> The maximum average power, PClass_PD or PClass_PD-2P in Table 145-24, Table 145-25 and Table 145-28 or PDMaxPowerValue in 145.5.3.3, <u>is-shall be</u> calculated over a 1 second interval including when operating under the conditions of 145.3.8.4. <u>NOTE</u>—Average power is calculated using any sliding window with a width of 1 s.



Problem #1:

Pclass_PD-2P as the maximum average power is missing from 145.3.8.2

Problem #2:

The note "NOTE - Average power is calculated using any sliding window with a width of 1 s." is correct for PClass_PD and PClass_PD-2P and is redundant. It appears in the text above.

Problem #3:

There is a missing "shall" that limits Pclass_PD and and PClass_PD-2P to be the maximum average power over a 1sec sliding window.

Without it, it is impossible to guarantee that under Ppeak conditions (see 145.3.8.4), the maximum average power will stay Pclass_PD and and PClass_PD-2P

145.3.8.4 Peak operating power <

At any static voltage at the PI, and any PD operating condition, with the exception described in 145.3.8.4.1, the peak power for single-signature PDs shall not exceed PClass_PD for more than TCUT-2P min, as defined in Table 145-16 and 5% duty cycle. Peak operating power shall not exceed PPeak_PD.

Problem #4:

Missing instructions that "The maximum average power, P_{Class_PD} or P_{Class_PD-2P} shall be calculated over a 1 second interval including when operating under the conditions of 145.3.8.4" which is the peak power conditions

Without the above changes we can't get rid of most of the RMS spec.

Proposed concept for solution.

- 1. Update 145.3.8.2 per the proposed changes.
- 2. Delete all the RMS equations and related text
- 3. To add text that defines that the input current to the PD under over load conditions in RMS value.

[Baseline starts here]

Suggested Remedy:

Make the following changes:

[Ensuring that Pclass_pd and Pclass-PD-2P is the maximum average power over a 1sec sliding window] 145.3.8.2 on Input average power says the following:

PClass_PD is the maximum average PI power and applies to single-signature PDs. <u>PClass_PD-2P is the maximum average PI power and applies to</u> <u>dual-signature PDs.</u> The maximum average power, PClass_PD or PClass_PD-2P in Table 145-24, Table 145-25 and Table 145-28 or PDMaxPowerValue in 145.5.3.3, <u>is shall be</u> calculated over a 1 second interval <u>including when operating under the</u> <u>conditions of 145.3.8.4</u>. <u>NOTE - Average power is calculated using any sliding window with a width</u> <u>of 1-s.</u>

[Ensuring that Pclass_pd and Pclass-PD-2P is the maximum average power over a 1sec sliding window in the presence of Peak operating power conditions. This is achieved by the changes made to 145.3.8.2] 145.3.8.4 Peak operating power

VOverload-2P is the PD PI voltage when the PD is drawing the permissible PPeak_PD for single-signature PDs, or PPeak_PD-2P for dual-signature PDs.

At any static voltage at the PI, and any PD operating condition, with the exception described in 145.3.8.4.1, the peak power for single-signature PDs shall not exceed PClass_PD for more than TCUT-2P min, as defined in Table 145–16 and 5% duty cycle. Peak operating power shall not exceed PPeak_PD.

At any static voltage at the PI, and any PD operating condition, with the exception described in 145.3.8.4.1, the peak power for a dual-signature PD shall not exceed PClass_PD-2P for more than TCUT-2P min, as defined in Table 145–16 and 5% duty cycle. Peak operating power shall not exceed PPeak_PD-2P.

NOTE—The duty cycle of the peak current is calculated using any sliding window with a width of 1 s.

[Now due the changes made to 145.3.8.2 we can delete the text marked with YELLOW marker.]

For single signature PDs, ripple current content (*I*Port_ac) superimposed on the DC current level (*I*Port_dc) is allowed if PPeak_PD requirements are met and the total input power is less than or equal to PClass_PD.

.For dual-signature PDs, ripple current content (*I*Port_ac-2P) superimposed on the DC current level (*I*Port_dc-2P) is allowed if PPeak_PD-2P requirements are met and the total input power is less than or equal to PClass_PD-2P.



The maximum I_{Port_RMS} value for all PDs except those described in 145.3.8.2.1 and 145.3.8.4.1, over the operating $VPort_PD \cdot 2P$ range shall be defined by Equation (145–27):

Do we need IRMS specification.

Yair Darshan March 2017 Rev003

$I_{\text{Port_RMS_max}} = \begin{cases} \frac{P}{V_{\text{f}}} \\ \frac{P_{\text{C}}}{V_{\text{f}}} \end{cases}$	Class PD single-signature PD (145-27)
where	
VPort_PD-2P	is the minimum specified input voltage as a PD pairset
P _{Class_pD}	is the maximum power at the PD PI per the PDS assigned Class, as defined in Table 145-24
P_{Class_PD-2P}	is the maximum power at the PD PI for a pairset per the PDs assigned Class as defined in Table 145–25

145.3.8.4.1 Peak operating power exceptions

For Class 6 and Class 8 single-signature PDs and for Class 5 dual-signature PDs, when additional information is available to the PD regarding actual channel DC resistance between the PSE PI and the PD PI, in any operating condition with any static voltage at the PI, the peak power shall not exceed PClass_PD for single-signature PDs and PClass_PD-2P for dual-signature PDs at the PSE PI for more than TCUT-2P min, as defined in Table 145–16 and with 5% duty cycle. Peak operating power shall not exceed 1.05 × PClass_PD for single-signature PDs and shall not exceed 1.05 × PClass_PD-2P for dual-signature PDs on each pairset. Operating under 145.3.8.4.1 conditions is allowed if PPeak_PD_and PPeak_PD-2P requirements are met and the total input power is less than or equal to PClass and PClass-2P respectively when calculated over a 1 second interval.

-For single-signature PDs ripple current content (*I*Port_ac) superimposed on the DC current level (*I*Port_dc) is allowed if PPeak_PD requirements are met and the total input power is less than or equal to PClass at the PSE PI.

1	Petan
* Port_RMS_max	V _{PSE}] _A
and some	
where	
where P _{Class}	is the allocated Class power as defined in 145.2.7 and Equation (145-2)

For single signature PDs, the maximum *I*Port_RMS value over the operating *I*Port_PD 2P range shall be defined by Equation (145–28).

For dual signature PDs ripple current content (*I*Port_ac 2P) superimposed on the DC current level (*I*Port_dc 2P) is allowed if PPeak PD 2P requirements are met and the total input power is less than or equal to PClass 2P at the PSE PI.

For dual-signature PDs, the maximum IPort_RMS-2P value over the operating VPort_PD-2P range shall be defined by Equation (145–29).

lper_1045-29_mm	$\frac{P_{Class(2P)}}{\Gamma_{PO}}$ (145-29)
where	a de la constante de la constan
(145-3)	is the attocated Class power on a pairset we believe in 145.2.7 and Equation
V _{PSE}	is the voltage at the PSE PI as defined in 145.1.3

NOTE-The duty cycle of the peak current is calculated using any sliding window with a width of 1 s.

End of baseline

Annex A: What is the definition of RMS value?

The definition of average value for time varying signal X(t) is :

$$X_{AVG} = \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} X(t) \cdot dt$$

The definition of RMS value for time varying signal X(t) is :

$$X_{RMS} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} (X(t))^{2} \cdot dt}$$

This match to other areas where RMS is used e.g.: if \overline{X} is the arithmetic mean and σ the standard deviation of a population or a waveform then $X^2_{rms} = \overline{X}^2 + \sigma^2 = \overline{X}^2$ (Note 1).

Note 1: $X^{2}_{rms} = \overline{X^{2}}$ is true only for constant loads used for power loss calculations.

• The RMS value of voltage or currents is not equal to the average value unless it is DC signal. See Annex B for examples.

The RMS value, I_{RMS} , of the function I(t) is a constant that yields the same power dissipation as DC current would.

Our problem is:

If we measure only the DC current when we test Icon or Icon-2P under overload conditions for compliance we will not know if PD is cheating or not.

PD can be designed to generate average current that will meet Pclass_PD/Vport_PD-2P value but the actual RMS current will be higher and will cause excessive power loss on PSE. See Annex B for examples.

The ways to ensure 100% compliance are: Measure:

- 1. RMS Power (or average power in power meter) and Peak power
- 2. RMS Power (or average power in power meter) and RMS current

In order to prevent excessive heat to PSE and PD components due to the fact that RMS value > AVG values, when we define limits for parameters that are current, we need to measure its RMS content and not their DC content.

The issue is lake of awareness that a DC current measurement is misleading.

The rule is: in a definition such Icon=Pclass_PD/Vpd-2P, Icon is the RMS value and not the average value for correct measurements and calculations.

Annex – B: Why if lavg is met, It desn't guarantee that Irms is met. Calculation techniques

- The following "PD" is consuming 0.75A plus additional 0.5A pulses at duty cycle of 50%.
- Resulting with peak current of 1.25A and valley value of 0.75A.





- 1. The average current is: lavg=0.5*(0.75A+0.5A)+0.5*0.75A=1A
- 2. The RMS current is: lavg= (0.5*(0.75A +0.5A)^2+(0.5*0.75A ^2))^0.5=~1.03A
- 3. The average power delivered from the source equal to the average power deliver to the load: 50V*1A=50W
- 4. The RMS power dissipated at the PD is Duty*R1*Irms1^2+R2*Irms2^2= 0.5*100Ω*(0.5^2)+66.666Ω*(0.75^2)=50W

Do we need IRMS specification.

Annex – C: In the following text there is an error. Anyway, this text goes away in this proposal.



In the above text in D2.3, Voprt_PD_2P is defined as the minimum specified input voltage at the PD pairset.

This is an error. Vport_PD-2P is defined as a range in many places explicitly. See:

Table 145-28 P75, L21 P183, L51 145.3.8.1 P87, L6 P186, L52

The intent was that Iport_RMS_max will be Pclass_PD/Vport_PD-2P for the entire operating range resulting with lowers current at high input voltage and vice versa.

Yair Darshan March 2017 Rev003