TDL #44 D2.1- Extended power Class 6 and Class 8 unbalance requirements Addressing comment 90# and #112 in D2.2

TDL#44 D2.1 Action item (Comment #112 in D2.2):

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add TDL (Yair): To add to the spec the equations for extended power for class 6 and 8 and modify the above text accordingly.

Comment #90 D2.2:

Currently PSE has no unbalance requirements for extended power class 6 and 8 that will guarantee interoperability as we did for the class 5-8 non-extended power case.

Proposed Remedy:

Update equations for the extended power case for Equation 33-15 and Equation 33A-4.

See more comments in darshan_07_0117PartB.pdf regarding extended power.

The following is the current rules in D2.2 to support extended power class 6 and 8 to meet unbalance requirements.

No increase in Icon-2P_unb min capacity
Total current over 4-pairs is kept =Pclass/Vport_PSE-2P
No change in magnetic components for PSE and PD that supports extended power compare to PDs that doesn't support extended power
No changes in Ipeak and Ipeak-2P_unb requirements
Requires PSE and PDs to meet tighter Rpse_min, Rpse_max Rpair_PD_min and Rpair_PD_max requirements. See Annex A.

Proposed base line for extended power class 6 and class 8.

1. Add the following lines to Equation 33-15:

$$R_{PSE_max} \leq \begin{cases} \\ 1.318 \times R_{PSE_min} + 0.010 & for & Class 6 \ per \ 33.3.8.2.1 \\ 1.172 \times R_{PSE_min} + 0.014 & for & Class 8 \ per \ 33.3.8.2.1 \end{cases}$$

2. Add the following lines to Equation 33A-4.

$$R_{Pair_PD_max} = \begin{cases} \\ 1.318 \times R_{Pair_PD_min} + 0.004 & for Class \ 6 \ per \ 33.3.8.2.1 \\ 1.172 \times R_{Pair_PD_min} - 0.013 & for Class \ 8 \ per \ 33.3.8.2.1 \end{cases}$$

3. Delete the text in page 261 lines 1-2:

"Smaller constants α and β in the equation RPair_PD_max = $\alpha \times \text{RPair}_PD_\text{min} + \beta$ ensure that ICon-2P-unb is not exceeded for PD power consumption above the values in Table 33–26."

4. Add the following lines to Table 33-B1a. Editor to merge Table 33B1a with Table 33-B1.

PSE Class	RCH_min,	RCH_max,	RPair_PD_min,	RPair_PD_max,	Rload_min,	Rload_max,	Additional
	$[\Omega]$	$[\Omega]$	$[\Omega]$	$[\Omega]$	$[\Omega]$	$[\Omega]$	Information
Extended Class 6 per 33.3.8.2.1	0.087	0.101	0.645	0.854	0.732	0.955	Rload is at low channel
Extended Class 8 per 33.3.8.2.1			0.538	0.619	0.626	0.719	resistance conditions
Extended Class 6 per 33.3.8.2.1	5.405 6.250	05 6.250	0.663	0.799	6.068	7.049	Rload is at high
Extended Class 8 per 33.3.8.2.1		0.541	0.616	5.945	6.865	channel resistance conditions	

End of Base Line

Annex A: What if we loosen PD P2PRunb requirements in the extended power case by allowing higher Icon-2P_unb?

*	Increase Icon-2P_unb min capacity for extended power case
√	Total current over 4-pairs is kept =Pclass/Vport_PSE-2P
*	Magnetics components for PSE and PD that supports extended power will have to be bigger by 10%.
*	Ipeak and Ipeak-2P_unb will be higher. Class 8 will have smaller margin from 100W and from 1A maximum current wire target.
✓	Same Rpse_min, Rpse_max Rpair_PD_min and Rpair_PD_max requirements as in the non-extended power case

- -Most of the applications will not use extended power therefore no need to add burden on PSE.
- -PDs job is to ensure that their implementation specifics of their design will ensure that PD meets Icon-2P unb as is in the current standard.