# Cable Operator Inputs for 100G+ Beyond 10k

Matt Schmitt, CableLabs

# Supporters

- David Claussen Charter
- Phillip Chang Comcast
- Jeff Finkelstein Cox
- George Hart Rogers
- Kevin Kwasny Charter
- Eric Menu Videotron
- Brian Soloducha Shaw
- Rudy Welter Altice USA
- Richard Zhou Charter

- Steve Burroughs CableLabs
- Alberto Campos CableLabs
- Curtis Knittle CableLabs
- Steve Jia CableLabs
- Gary Nicholl Cisco
- Mark Nowell Cisco
- Fernando Villarruel Cisco
- Jing Wang CableLabs

# Background

- Have been discussing a "black-link" style approach in SG
  - Define requirements for the end-points, and not on the channel in between
- Concern expressed in prior meetings that this requires engineering of the system, does not allow "plug and play" type solution
- Have been working with cable operators to understand
  - Types of network scenarios to be supported
  - What type of solutions are desirable
- This deck summarizes some of those cable operator inputs, and identifies ways to ensure solutions address their needs

### Previous Contributions

- Backhaul for Distributed Architectures in MSOs from Fernando Villarruel (<u>http://www.ieee802.org/3/B10K/public/17\_09/villarruel\_b10k\_01b\_0917.p\_df</u>)
  - Outlined MSO transition from analog to digital fiber links for distributed architectures
  - Key point: MSOs plan to re-use existing fiber in analog to digital transition
- Beyond 10km PHYs MSO Reference Channels from Curtis Knittle, Matt Schmitt, and Fernando Villarruel

(http://www.ieee802.org/3/B10K/public/18 01/knittle b10k 01 0118.pdf)

- Provided more detail on MSO use cases and network environment, including example reference channels
- Key points: 1-2 fibers available, variety of distances and network designs

MSO Optical Distance Survey (from Beyond 10km PHYs MSO Reference Channels)

- Surveyed CableLabs member companies for information on current optical link distances from headend/hub to current fiber node
- 12 cable operators from Europe and North America responded
- Weighted average of survey results based on number of subscribers per operator
  - <30km: 69%
  - <40km: 88%
  - <60km: 94%
  - <80km: 98%
  - <120km: 100%

# Additional MSO Plant Survey Results

- Number of optical channels
  - 1 channel: 50%
  - 2 to 15 channels: 37%
  - 16+ channels: 13%
  - Future trend: shifting to more optical channels per fiber (roughly 1/3 each)
- Optical amplification
  - No amplification: 81%
  - Hub only: 12%
  - Other: 7%
- Bidirectional transmission method
  - Single fiber: 21%
  - Fiber pair: 79%
  - Future trend: expecting single fiber percentage to grow

### MSO Feedback on Optical Amplification

- Generally deploy optical amplifiers when attenuation on link is greater than approximately 12-17dB
  - Varies between operators
  - Each link is unique
- Getting these links to work requires an engineer with appropriate expertise
  - Adjustments made as appropriate
  - Amplifiers added if/when needed
- While common components are generally used, some amount of adjustment to get each link to work is normal and expected, given range of different channels they operate in

# General MSO Objective for Beyond 10k Solution

- Solution needs to be robust enough to work on existing optical plant
- This will allow for some degree of "drop in"
  - Should work with most of existing optical equipment/setup
  - May allow removal of optical amplifiers in some cases (for example, if final solution has better reach/link budget performance)
- However, some degree of engineering of each deployment is expected
  - Plant engineering expertise always needed to deploy

#### How Do We Get There?

- Define transmitter and receiver specifications for key parameters
  - For example: power levels, OSNR, etc.
  - Sufficient to support compliance testing of solutions
- Evaluate proposed requirements against example reference channels
  - For example, as in <u>http://www.ieee802.org/3/B10K/public/18\_01/knittle\_b10k\_01\_0118.pdf</u>
  - Ensures solution meets key MSO needs
- Comprehensive set of Tx/RX optical parameters plus common framing and FEC requirements ensures multi-vendor interop over nominal links
  - IEEE strength
  - Enables broad adoption

### Summary

- MSO optical plants vary significantly
  - Wide range of scenarios, although defining some examples is possible
- MSOs expect some degree of engineering to deploy
  - Solutions robust enough for existing optical plant, with engineering expertise to make it work
  - Desire multi-vendor interoperable solutions to drive scale
- Achievable by developing common requirements in IEEE
  - "Black-Link" type approach
  - To include appropriate transmitter and receiver requirements