

Optical transmitter characteristics for GEPOF technical feasibility

Rubén Pérez-Aranda rubenpda@kdpof.com

IEEE 802.3 GEPOF Study Group - May 2014 Interim

Supporters



- Frank Aldinger (Mitsubishi International)
- Yutaka Tanida (Mitsubishi Corporation)
- Y.Tsukamoto (Mitsubishi Rayon)
- Eric Chan (Boeing)
- Philippe Bolle (Skylaneoptics)
- 曹质文 / Mike Cao (Dongguan ipt Industrial Co,.LTD.)
- John Lambkin (Firecomms)
- Hugh Hennessy (Firecomms)
- Josef Faller (Homefibre)
- Manabu Kagami (Toyota R&D Labs)
- Bas Huiszoon (Genexis)
- Oscar Rechou (Casacom)
- Naoshi Serizawa (Yazaki)
- Thomas Lichtenegger (Avago Tech)

Agenda



- Objectives
- The optical transmitter > main characteristics
- LED non-linear response and capacity penalties
- Conclusions

Disclaimer



- Technical characteristics provided in this presentation are limited to those directly affecting the optical link budget and, therefore, the Shannon's capacity analysis.
- Other characteristics, like the ones related to the physical semiconductor parameters, integration, manufacturing process, etc. are intentionally left outside of the sope of this presentation

Objectives



- This presentation provides technical characteristics of the optical transmitter used today for automotive applications as well as for consumer applications
 - This optical transmitter is a red LED, and it is the light emitter most widely used by the industry for POF communications
 - The red LED has been qualified for automotive applications, being demonstrated its reliability during the last +10 years
- The main objective of this presentation is to analyze the red LED from the perspective of the aspects that directly relates to the Shannon's capacity based technical feasibility assessment
- The results presented here will be used for Shannon's capacity analysis in [perezaranda_01_0514_shannoncap]



The optical transmitter > main characteristics

IEEE 802.3 GEPOF Study Group - May 2014 Interim

The optical transmitter - architecture



- The optical transmitter is composed by the current driver IC and the LED IC
- The red LED converts the electrical current into optical power
 - In general, the I-P characteristic of LED is not linear; this topic is covered later on
 - Electrical-to-electrical response is well approximated by a 1st order low pass system
 - Achievable -3dB bandwidth of LED itself is between 75 and 95 MHz, depending on the internal structure of LED
 - Wavelength center ~650 nm; wavelength width ~30 nm
- Typically, the driver is a trans-conductance amplifier in charge to convert the voltage communication signal from the PHY into the adequate current to drive the LED, providing:
 - Bias current control to ensure reliability of the LED
 - Extinction Ratio (ER) control, to avoid switching off the LED (optical power clipping) and ensure the quantum noise from PD is low
 - Typical target ER = 10 dBo
 - Typical process and temperature variation of ER $< \pm 2$ dBo
 - Frequency pre-emphasis, to enhance the bandwidth of the LED
 - Frequency pre-emphasis gain is limited based on reliability criteria ➤ max peak current

The optical transmitter - architecture







Pre-emphasis, high M PAM

The optical transmitter - response

Performance with temperature

Performance with temperature

Non-linear response and capacity penalties

IEEE 802.3 GEPOF Study Group - May 2014 Interim

Non-linear distortion (-40 °C, 15.6 MHz)

fs 312.5 MHz, fc 15.625 MHz

Non-linear distortion (+25 °C, 15.6 MHz)

fs 312.5 MHz, fc 15.625 MHz

Non-linear distortion (+105 °C, 15.6 MHz)

fs 312.5 MHz, fc 15.625 MHz

Non-linear distortion (-40 °C, 44.6 MHz)

fs 312.5 MHz, fc 44.6429 MHz

Non-linear distortion (+25 °C, 44.6 MHz)

fs 312.5 MHz, fc 44.6429 MHz

Non-linear distortion (+105 °C, 44.6 MHz)

HD(1) 44.6397 MHz 0 0 dBc -20 HD(2) 89.2868 MHz -22.7772 dBc HD(3) 133.926 MHz -32.7958 dBc -40 Amplitude (dBFS) -60 -80 156.246 MHz -88.6409 dBF -100 -120 2 12 0 4 6 8 10 14 16 Frequency (Hz) - RBW 9999.94 Hz, equiv NFFT 31250.2 x 10⁷

fs 312.5 MHz, fc 44.6429 MHz

Non-linear distortion - preliminary conclusions

- Based on previous measurements we can do some conclusions:
 - The non-linear response of the LED depends on the temperature
 - The harmonic distortion measurement with input single tone depends on the frequency of the tone
- Based on this very basic measurements we could conclude that only low spectral efficiency modulation schemes would be feasible with the LED
- However, we are going to demonstrate that this conclusion is false, by analyzing the non-linear response in deeper detail
- The idea behind the following analysis is that the non-linear response of the LED can be adaptively compensated by the PHY in the same way the ISI is equalized in modern Ethernet PHYs to approach the channel capacity

Non-linear response - the Volterra model

- In order to analyze the effect of LED HD in the communication system we need to develop a correct model for the non-linear response
- Truncated Volterra series expansion is selected to model the optical TX non-linear response
 - Volterra series expansion is a well known technique and it have been used by the industry in a wide range of engineering fields to model non-linear systems
 - It is attractive from the mathematical point of view ➤ linear combination of non-linear functions
 of the input signal
 - It fits a large class of non-linear systems
 - Well known adaptive filtering algorithms are suitable for Volterra series estimation

$$y(k) = w_{o0} + \sum_{l_1=0}^{L} w_{o1}(l_1)x(k-l_1) + \dots \qquad \text{DC offset + linear filter}$$

$$+ \sum_{l_1=0}^{L} \sum_{l_2=0}^{L} w_{o2}(l_1, l_2)x(k-l_1)x(k-l_2) + \dots \qquad \text{DC offset + linear filter}$$

$$+ \sum_{l_1=0}^{L} \sum_{l_2=0}^{L} \dots \sum_{l_p=0}^{L} w_{op}(l_1, l_2, \dots l_p)x(k-l_1)x(k-l_2) \dots x(k-l_p) \qquad \longleftarrow \qquad \text{Higher-order convolutions}$$

Non-linear response - the Volterra model

Non-linear response - the Volterra model

- The optical transmitter is well modeled by a 3rd order Volterra system.
- Higher order kernels are negligible

Non-linear response: Volterra DC and 1st order

-40 °C

+105 °C

IEEE 802.3 GEPOF Study Group - May 2014 Interim

Non-linear response: Volterra 2nd order

-40 °C

Non-linear response: Volterra 2nd order

105 °C

Non-linear response: Volterra 3rd order

-40 °C

Non-linear response: Volterra 3rd order

105 °C

Non-linear response: Volterra analysis

- Bandwidth of the optical TX increases with temperature, although impulse response could be considered approximately constant
- The magnitude of the 2nd and 3rd order Volterra kernels increases with temperature and frequency ➤ it confirms the basic single tone HD measurements
- It is important to note that most part of energy of 2nd and 3rd order responses is delayed respect to 1st order
 - We can conclude that optical TX cannot be modeled as a Wiener or a Hammerstein nonlinear system
- The <u>morphology</u> of Volterra (2nd and 3rd) kernels basically does not change with temperature ➤ good from the implementation point of view

Capacity penalties - channel linearization

Capacity penalties - Linearizer is not implemented

Capacity penalties - Linearizer is implemented

Capacity penalties

 $\begin{array}{l} Capacity\ loss < 1 dB\ for \\ SNR_e < 30\ dB \end{array}$

High spectral efficiency schemes are feasible

Conclusions

- Technical characteristics of the optical transmitter used today for automotive applications as well as for consumer applications have been presented
- The non-linear response of I-P characteristic of LED has been analyzed in detail, concluding that high spectral efficiency modulation schemes are also feasible with low capacity penalties, opening the use of LED beyond OOK schemes
- The results presented here will be used for Shannon's capacity analysis in [perezaranda_01_0514_shannoncap]

Questions?

IEEE 802.3 GEPOF Study Group - May 2014 Interim