

**Unapproved Meeting Minutes  
IEEE P802.3bq Rx CMNR Ad Hoc**

**December 17th, 2014  
Prepared by Pete Cibula**

**Meeting Agenda:**

- 1) Roll call - Record attendance, attendees' names and affiliations
- 2) Reminder of IEEE patent policy: [www.ieee802.org/3/patent.html](http://www.ieee802.org/3/patent.html)
- 3) Housekeeping:
  - a) Review & approve meeting agenda.
  - b) Determine next ad hoc meeting date & time
  - c) Reminder for comments on P802.3bq D1.1.1.
- 4) New business for the December 17<sup>th</sup> ad hoc meeting as follows:
  - a) New contribution: [Screening Issues](#) (Dieter Schicketanz, Consultant, University of Reutlingen)
  - b) Review relevant content from prior study group/task force work and/or new contributions with discussion.
  - c) Discuss work in process and tentative schedule for bringing those efforts into the ad hoc.
- 5) General Discussion and meeting wrap-up
  - a) Next steps/future meetings

**The 4<sup>th</sup> meeting of the P802.3bq Receiver Common-Mode Noise Rejection (Rx CMNR) Ad Hoc was called to order at 9:37 AM Pacific Standard Time.**

- 1) Participants were asked to register their attendance by email; responses are reproduced in the attendance record at the end of these minutes.
- 2) Participants were reminded of the IEEE's patent policy. All in attendance acknowledged the policy; as a reference, anyone not familiar with said policy is directed to the URL above.
- 3) Houskeeping & general updates:
  - a) The agenda was reviewed with those in attendance. The updated was accepted without opposition.
  - b) Given that the next scheduled meeting falls on December 31<sup>st</sup>, 2014, participants agreed to move the meeting to January 7<sup>th</sup>, 2015. Given the close timing with the January 2015 Interim session, the meeting may be cancelled if there are no new contributions.
  - c) Participants were reminded that comments for P802.3bq D1.1.1 are due Saturday, December 20<sup>th</sup>.
- 4) New business:

a) A new contribution, [Screening Issues](#) (Dieter Schicketanz, Consultant, University of Reutlingen) was reviewed with ad hoc participants. The contribution identifies several characteristics of, and issues associated with, screened interconnects.

- ISO/IEC and TIA electrical specifications for coupling attenuation (CA), transfer impedance and transverse conversion loss (TCL) are summarized for cables and interconnects, further noting that some requirements are environment- or application-specific (as in proposed MICE E1/E2/E3 limits for CA and TCL).
- A concern regarding variability in the shield electromechanical interface is highlighted by several illustrations, including a representative CA measurement made before and after the shield contact is improved with additional mechanical pressure.
- Directly connecting the cable shield to the receiver under test is suggested as a possible solution to eliminate variability in a test environment.

Major points of the discussion are summarized below.

- Participants asked several clarifying questions regarding the proposed direct shield connection and its use in a test environment, which led to a more general discussion regarding the scope of the common-mode noise rejection test – is it a PHY-specific test, or a more general test of a system that incorporates a BASE-T PHY?
- It was noted that the standard is port-based, and includes the PHY, PCB, isolation components, MDI interconnects and a link segment.
- Existing standards define the requirement as a specification “... to limit the sensitivity of the PMA receiver to common-mode noise from the cabling system.” This sensitivity has typically been evaluated using the PHY receiver BER/FER. While a convenient and easy-to-understand-and-implement metric, it also carries the risk that a PHY may be perceived as “good” or “bad” when in fact some other element of the system (PCB, isolation, MDI interconnects or cabling) is the source of an observed common-mode sensitivity.
- As a result, it was suggested that text for subclause 98.5.4.3 should clearly indicate that the receiver common-mode-noise rejection test evaluates not only the PHY, but also elements of the link segment and the MDI.

5) Meeting wrap-up - The next meeting was scheduled for January 7<sup>th</sup>, 2015 at 9:30 AM Pacific Standard Time.

**The P802.3bq Rx CMNR Ad Hoc meeting was adjourned at 10:28 AM Pacific Standard Time.**

**Meeting Attendance (From e-mail acknowledgements and on-line participant list)**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Employer</b>	<b>Affiliation (if different)</b>
Jim Bauer	Marvell	
Brian Buckmeier	Bel Fuse, Inc.	
Dave Chalupsky	Intel	
Pete Cibula	Intel	
Chris DiMinico	MC Communications	
Thuyen Dinh	Pulse	
German Feyh	Broadcom	
Brett McClellan	Marvell	
Victor Renteria	Belfuse/TRP	
Masood Shariff	Commscope	
Dieter Schicketanz	University of Science, Reutlingen	
Tom Souvignier	Broadcom	
Ron Tellas	Panduit	
Paul Vanderlaan	Nexans	
Peter Wu	Marvell	
George Zimmerman	CME Consulting	Commscope, Aquantia