

IEEE P802.3bq D1.1.1 40GBASE-T 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 00 SC 0 P L # 144  
 Chalupsky, David Intel Corp.  
 Comment Type T Comment Status A  
 No Clause 81.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add Clause 81. Add 40GBASE-T to diagram in 81.1.  
 Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

Cl 00 SC 0 P L # 143  
 Chalupsky, David Intel Corp.  
 Comment Type T Comment Status A  
 There is no Clause 80 in this draft. Clause 80 should contain references to 40GBASE-T  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add Clause 80 with appropriate content for 40GBASE-T  
 Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

Cl 00 SC 0 P 0 L 0 # 159  
 McClellan, Brett Marvell  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A  
 A couple of comments approved in draft 1.0 don't appear to have been implemented and I did not see an editor's comment as a placeholder. Comment #61 did not get implemented  
 Add edit to normative Annex 28B, clause 28B.3 to insert 40GBASE-T above 10GBASE-T on the priority resolution list and renumber list accordingly  
  
 comment #63 was not implemented  
 Insert as section 28D.8, with same text as 28D.6 and change references to reflect 40GBASE-T and Clause 98, including variable 40GigT  
  
 comment 80 was not implemented  
 Add Link Interruption Ordered\_set to XLGMII in Clause 81 similar to 46.3.4 and change reference  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 editor to review approved comments and implement in next draft  
 Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT. See also comment 161  
 Editor to review maintenance Task Force actions regarding use of ordered\_set

Cl 00 SC 0 P 0 L 0 # 162  
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Inc  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A  
 Roll in Clause renumbering, changing Clause 98 to Clause 105 as per chief editor  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Editor to change all references of clause 98 to clause 105  
 Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.  
 Ed note - chief editors numbering changed to have bq as clause 113.

Cl 28B SC 0 P 24 L 1 # 161  
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Inc  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A  
 Changes to include 40GBASE-T in clause 28 Annexes B,C,and D and reflect name change to Technology message code are not made as agreed on Draft 1.0  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Implement comments 61, 62, and 63 making changes to clauses 28B, 28C and 28D from draft 1.0 comment resolution  
 Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Implement with comment 159

Cl 55 SC 55.6.2 P 51 L 13 # 158  
 McClellan, Brett Marvell  
 Comment Type E Comment Status A  
 typo, xBASE-T should be xGBASE-T  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 change xBASE-T to xGBASE-T  
 Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3bq D1.1.1 40GBASE-T 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 98 SC 98.1.5 P 65 L 3 # 145  
 Chalupsky, David Intel Corp.

Comment Type T Comment Status A  
 XLGMII is a logical interface. there is no physical / electrical spec.

SuggestedRemedy

replace 98.1.5 with:  
 All 40GBASE-T PHY implementations are compatible at the MDI and at a logical XLGMII, if implemented. Implementation of the XLGMII is optional. Designers are free to implement circuitry within the PCS and PMA in an application-dependent manner provided that the MDI and XLGMII (if the XLGMII is implemented) specifications are met. System operation from the perspective of signals at the MDI and management objects are identical whether the XLGMII is implemented or not.

Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

Cl 98 SC 98.12.2 P 173 L 38 # 170  
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Inc

Comment Type TR Comment Status A  
 Change support of loop timing to Mandatory.

SuggestedRemedy

Change support of loop timing to Mandatory.

Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

Cl 98 SC 98.12.3 P 174 L 22 # 171  
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Inc

Comment Type TR Comment Status A  
 CRC8 functionality has been deleted and replaced by RS-FEC coding.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete PIC PCT10 for CRC8, and insert PICS for RS-FEC as appropriate

Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

Cl 98 SC 98.2 P 65 L 28 # 146  
 Chalupsky, David Intel Corp.

Comment Type T Comment Status A  
 incorrect reference for XLGMII

SuggestedRemedy

replace "Clause 46" with "Clause 81"

Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

Cl 98 SC 98.3.2.2.14 P L # 147  
 Chalupsky, David Intel Corp.

Comment Type T Comment Status A  
 legacy reference to XGSX

SuggestedRemedy

Either delete "the XGSX and" or replace "XGSX" with "XLAUI"

Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete "XGXS and", so that the sentence now reads, "The /E/ allows physical sublayers such the PCS to propagate received errors."

Cl 98 SC 98.3.2.2.18 P 87 L 23 # 150  
 Chalupsky, David Intel Corp.

Comment Type T Comment Status A  
 Figure 98-11, PCS Scrambler, is misplaced. the figure currently sits in the RS-FEC subclause, 98.3.2.2.20.

SuggestedRemedy

Move figure 98-11 from 98.3.2.2.20 to 98.3.2.2.18.

Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3bq D1.1.1 40GBASE-T 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 98 SC 98.3.2.2.20 P 87 L 42 # 157  
 Langner, Paul Aquantia

Comment Type T Comment Status A  
 Current RS-FEC implementation has correction capability of 2x 11-bit symbols. A more appropriate solution would be to correct 3x 8-bit symbols.

SuggestedRemedy  
 A presentation will be provided for the January meeting

Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See presentation for detail.  
 Straw Poll:  
 Adopt the 512/513b transcoding & 8-bit RS FEC proposal in langner\_3bq\_01\_0115.pdf  
 Y: 10  
 N: 5  
 No consensus to make the change.  
 (DEFERRED TO AFTER THE BREAK)

Motion:  
 Adopt the 512/513b transcoding & 8-bit RS FEC proposal in langner\_3bq\_01\_0115.pdf  
 M: Hossein Sederat  
 S: Kamal Dalmia  
 Technical (>= 75%)  
 Y:24  
 N:7  
 A:3  
 MOTION PASSES

CI 98 SC 98.3.2.2.20 P 87 L 43 # 148  
 Chalupsky, David Intel Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A  
 typo in the sentence "The encoder process k message symbols to generate 2t parity symbols, which are then appended to the message to produce a codeword of n=k+2t symbols. "

SuggestedRemedy  
 replace "process" with "processes"

Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

CI 98 SC 98.3.2.2.20 P 87 L 45 # 149  
 Chalupsky, David Intel Corp.

Comment Type T Comment Status A  
 RS-FEC description could be more informative by indicating what the (n,k) values are.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace  
 "For the purposes of this clause, the particular Reed-Solomon code is denoted RS-FEC(n,k)." with  
 "For the purposes of this clause, the particular Reed-Solomon code in the form RS-FEC(n,k)is denoted RS-FEC(140,136)."

Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

CI 98 SC 98.3.2.2.6 P 82 L 1 # 169  
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Inc

Comment Type TR Comment Status A  
 Figure 98-9 shows control code alignments for a 32-bit wide MII, such as XGMII. 40GBASE-T will use the XLGMII which is 64-bits wide, eliminating many of these possibilities, and is shown in Figure 82-5. The invalid block formats (with a start (S) or ordered set (O) character at position 4 are not allowed in the 64 bit format and should be eliminated.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Align Figure 98-9 with 64 bit format as in Figure 82-5.

Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add a note to the table indicating which codes are not allowed for 40Gbps Ethernet Transmission

CI 98 SC 98.3.4 P 66 L 10 # 156  
 Feyh, German Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A  
 Periodically resetting the training sequence is not used by current PHYs. Exiting the resetting of the resetting of the training sequence earlier in the start-up sequences makes the mode more usable.

SuggestedRemedy  
 IN PMA\_PBO\_Exch, when the receiver detects a valid requested transmitter PBO setting (Oct7 Valid<7>), then the receiver stops reinitializing the values of its scrambler state.

Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Presenter to provide specific text change for the draft.

IEEE P802.3bq D1.1.1 40GBASE-T 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 98 SC 98.4.6.4 P 137 L 31 # 152  
Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Subclause 98.4.6.4 EEE Refresh monitor state diagram is missing the associated figure. The corresponding state diagram, Figure 98-33 - EEE Refresh monitor state diagram, is incorrectly located in the middle of Subclause 98.5.1 Isolation Requirement (Page 137, Line 44).

SuggestedRemedy

Appears to be a formatting issue. Move Figure 98-33 to Subclause 98.4.6.4.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 98 SC 98.4.6.5 P 138 L 36 # 151  
Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Subclause 98.4.6.5 Fast retrain state diagram is missing the associated figure. The corresponding state diagram, Figure 98-34 - Fast retrain control state diagram, is incorrectly located in the middle of Subclause 98.5.2 Test Modes (Page 138, Line 34).

SuggestedRemedy

Appears to be a formatting issue. Move Figure 98-34 to Subclause 98.4.6.5.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 98 SC 98.5.2 P 139 L 42 # 153  
Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Table 98-13 — MDIO management register settings for test modes identifies Test mode 4 as being used for a transmit distortion test. The subsequent description of Test mode 4 (Page 140, Line 13) identifies Test mode 4 as being used for transmitter linearity testing. The test mode description in the table should be aligned with the description in the body of the subclause. (Note: The text appears to be directly carried over from Clause 40, Table 40-7.)

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text in Table 98-13 for Test mode 4 from "Test mode 4 - Transmit distortion test." to "Test mode 4 - Transmit linearity test."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change references to "transmitter linearity" to read "transmitter nonlinear distortion" - it is the more general term for what is measured. Request commenter to submit a maintenance request on Clause 40 & 55 which follow the same nomenclature.

Cl 98 SC 98.5.4.3 P 145 L 10 # 160  
Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Inc

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Common mode noise rejection test has no requirements, and is purely informative.

SuggestedRemedy

Move clause 98.5.4.3 and any extensions which are not normative requirements to an informative annex.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Ad hoc to provide recommendations for whether an annex is required, and whether any normative requirements are likely.

Straw polls:

Do you believe this clause needs a normative requirement?

Y: 0

N: 10

No Opinion/Not enough Information: 17

(NO CHANGE REQUIRED TO THE TEXT)

Cl 98 SC 98.5.4.5.1 P 146 L 20 # 163  
Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Inc

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE style guidelines allow no more than 5 levels of numbering, organization of this subclause goes to 6 levels

SuggestedRemedy

Reorganize parameters of short reach test channel to conform to IEEE 5-level numbering. Recommend separating the direct attach channel parameters to a new normative annex and referencing it on line 23.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Reorganize this subclause to conform to IEEE 5-level numbering. Rather than duplicate, provide references for definitional subclauses already specified in 98.7 such as 98.7.2.4.3 Multiple disturber power sum near-end crosstalk i.e., replace [98.5.4.5.1.7 Multiple disturber power sum near-end crosstalk (PSNEXT) loss] with reference to [98.7.2.4.3].

IEEE P802.3bq D1.1.1 40GBASE-T 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 98 SC 98.5.4.5.1 P 146 L 23 # 168  
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Inc  
 Comment Type T Comment Status A  
 Remove TBD next to 5 meters. TIA direct attach channel is currently 5 meters in Cat 8 draft out for ballot.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove (TBD) from 5 meter length.  
 Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

Cl 98 SC 98.5.4.5.1.2 P 146 L 47 # 164  
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Inc  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A  
 Equation 98-4 equation and frequency ranges run together on second line, making it difficult to read  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Increase spacing between equation and frequency range for Equation 98-14.  
 Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

Cl 98 SC 98.5.4.5.1.10 P 150 L 47 # 131  
 Shariff, Masood CommScope  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A  
 This section is theoretical and should come before the practical specifications in 98.5.4.5.1.9  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Move entire section before section 98.5.4.5.1.9. Also make it clear that PS ACRF ( cabling standards terminology) is the same as MDACRF ( IEEE terminology)  
 Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 See resolution to comment #163.

Cl 98 SC 98.5.4.5.1.3 P 147 L 9 # 165  
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Inc  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A  
 Equation 98-15 log10 should have 10 subscripted. It is not.  
 Also, equation 98-25 and 98-26 have this problem  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Subscript the 10 in the log10 on first 2 lines of Equation 98-15, and in equations 98-25 and 98-26.  
 Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

Cl 98 SC 98.5.4.5.1.2 P 146 L 36 # 134  
 Shariff, Masood CommScope  
 Comment Type T Comment Status A  
 Equation 98-13 is not correct  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change the x after B to a +  
 ILD is an additional term following the contribution of IL by two connectors  
 Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

Cl 98 SC 98.5.4.5.1.4 P 147 L 21 # 130  
 Shariff, Masood CommScope  
 Comment Type E Comment Status A  
 Typo  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove \ at the beginning of the section  
 Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3bq D1.1.1 40GBASE-T 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 98 SC 98.6.2 P 156 L 35 # 166  
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Inc  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A  
 Implement editors note and remove note  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Implement editors note and remove note.  
 Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.4.4 P 163 L 16 # 135  
 Shariff, Masood CommScope  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A  
 Equatin 98-43 is about FEXT not MDNEXT  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change MDNEXT to FEXT  
 Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 In 98-43 change MDNEXT loss to ACRF

Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.4.5 P 164 L 29 # 133  
 Shariff, Masood CommScope  
 Comment Type T Comment Status A  
 Missing equation for PSACRF including length dependency  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add PSACRF equation similar to equation 98-44 anchored at 64.8 instead of 67.8  
 Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Page 163 delete informative text from line 28-40.

Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.4.5 P 164 L 3 # 132  
 Shariff, Masood CommScope  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D  
 Equation 98-45 is about MDFEXT, not MDACRF  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change MDACRF to MDFEXT  
 Proposed Response Response Status Z  
 REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.  
 To ensure the total FEXT coupled into a duplex channel is limited, multiple disturber ACRF is specified as the power sum of the individual ACRF disturbers.  
 98-45 corresponds to Category 8 Cabling D2.0.

Cl 98 SC 98.8.2.2 P 168 L 44 # 155  
 Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation  
 Comment Type T Comment Status A  
 Subclause 98.8.2.2 describes two approaches to measure MDI impedance balance, one using a time-domain technique described on Page 169, Line 8 through Line 38, and a second using a frequency-domain technique described in Page 169, Line 39 through Line 49. The time-domain technique is implied as a primary approach ("... impedance balance is measured..." on Page 169, Line 28) and the frequency-domain technique is implied as an alternative method ("... may also be measured..." on Page 169, Line 39).  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 For discussion. It is believed that the frequency-domain approach may be more reproducible than the time-domain approach. It is suggested that the Task Force review both measurement approaches and the associated test and calibration circuits for each, and (if supported by such a review) update the text to identify the frequency-domain technique as a primary approach to making the measurement - basically flipping the order of the two approaches.  
 Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. -

Replace Equation 98-54 and subsequent text defining impedance balance with Sdc11 - based equations in cibula\_3bq\_02\_0115.pdf. Replacing lines 10-37 until the start of the description of the network analyzer test.  
 Change wording around network analyzer test to remove "also".  
 Delete figures 98-42 and 98-43

IEEE P802.3bq D1.1.1 40GBASE-T 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 98 SC 98.8.2.2 P 169 L 7 # 154  
 Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Subclause 98.8.2.2 states that the impedance balance of the MDI shall meet the relationship defined in Equation (98-53) when the transmitter is transmitting random or pseudo-random data, and that Test-mode 4 may be used to generate an appropriate transmitter output. However, Subclause 98.5.2, Table 98-14 defines Test mode 4 as a set of two-tone frequency pairs used for transmitter linearity testing. A more appropriate test mode for Subclause 98.8.2.2 would be Test Mode 5 (Normal operation with no power backoff.).

SuggestedRemedy

For discussion. While Test mode 5 seems to be an appropriate way for the 40GBASE-T transmitter to emulate random or pseudo-random data, it is possible that other defined test modes could be used for the impedance balance measurement. If Test mode 5 is in fact appropriate, change the text in Subclause 98.8.2.2, Page 169, Line 7 from "Test mode 4 may be used to generate an appropriate transmitter output." to "Test mode 5 may be used to generate an appropriate transmitter output."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Replace Test mode 4 with Test mode 5 in the text.

Add editors note for PHY designers to look carefully at measurement for MDI impedance balance and determine whether a similar change to 10GBASE-T is desirable.

Cl 98 SC 98.8.2.3 P 170 L 46 # 167  
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Inc

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Editors note has been considered in last comment cycle - remove

SuggestedRemedy

Remove editors note.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 99 SC P 2 L 6 # 142  
 Chalupsky, David Intel Corp.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

leftover 10G reference

SuggestedRemedy

replace "XAUI" with "XLAUI" replace "XGMII" with "XLGMII"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.