

NGEABT Study Group Architecture Ad Hoc Proposed CSD

Peter Jones
Cisco Systems
NGEABT Study Group Architecture Ad Hoc Chair
Version 2

Background

- This deck presents the proposed CSD responses originally prepared by Yong Kim (Broadcom), and reviewed a number of times at the NGEABT Study Group Architecture AdHoc meetings.

IEEE 802.3 CSD (Criteria for Standards Development)

The IEEE 802 Criteria for Standards Development (CSD) are defined in Clause 14 of the IEEE 802 LAN/MAN Standards Committee (LMSC) Operations Manual. The criteria include project process requirements (“Managed Objects”) and 5 Criteria (5C) requirements. The 5C are supplemented by subclause 7.2 ‘Five Criteria’ of the ‘Operating Rules of IEEE Project 802 Working Group 802.3, CSMA/CD LANs’.

Items required by the IEEE 802 CSD are shown in Black text and supplementary items required by IEEE 802.3 are shown in blue text.

Managed Objects

Describe the plan for developing a definition of managed objects. The plan shall specify one of the following:

- a) The definitions will be part of this project.
 - b) The definitions will be part of a different project and provide the plan for that project or anticipated future project.
 - c) The definitions will not be developed and explain why such definitions are not needed.
- The definition of protocol independent managed objects, to be included in Clause 30 of IEEE Std 802.3, will be part of this project.
 - In addition it is expected that the definition of Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) managed objects, written using the Structure of Management Information version 2 (SMIv2), and making reference to the protocol independent managed objects provided by this project, will be added in a future amendment to, or revision of, IEEE Std 802.3.1 IEEE Standard for Management Information Base (MIB) Definitions for Ethernet.

Coexistence

A WG proposing a wireless project shall demonstrate coexistence through the preparation of a Coexistence Assurance (CA) document unless it is not applicable.

- a) Will the WG create a CA document as part of the WG balloting process as described in Clause 13?
- b) If not, explain why the CA document is not applicable

- A CA document is not applicable because the proposed project is not a wireless project.

The 5 Critters



Broad
Market
Potential




Compatibility



Distinct
Identity



Technical
Feasibility




Economic
Feasibility

Broad Market Potential

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall have broad market potential. At a minimum, address the following areas:

- a) Broad sets of applicability.
- b) Multiple vendors and numerous users.



- Ethernet is widely deployed for enterprise access. The most popular BASE-T PHY is 1000BASE-T.
- There is a significant market for higher speed Ethernet BASE-T PHY interfaces beyond 1 Gb/s that operates over structured wiring, i.e. Cat 5e, or better, up to 100 meters, and meets the bandwidth needs of 802.11ac based enterprise access point.
- There is a significant market potential for other enterprise clients on structured wiring, e.g. high performance compute nodes (e.g. desktops and distributed enterprise servers) on horizontal wires.
- 131 participants attended the “Next Generation Enterprise access BASE-T” Call-For-Interest, 41 individuals representing at least 24 companies indicated that they would support the standardization efforts. It is anticipated that there will be sufficient participation to effectively complete the standardization process including representatives from end-users, equipment manufacturers and component suppliers.

Compatibility

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard should be in conformance with IEEE Std 802, IEEE 802.1AC, and IEEE 802.1Q. If any variances in conformance emerge, they shall be thoroughly disclosed and reviewed with IEEE 802.1 WG prior to submitting a PAR to the Sponsor

- a) Will the proposed standard comply with IEEE Std 802, IEEE Std 802.1AC and IEEE Std 802.1Q?
 - b) If the answer to a) is “no”, supply the response from the IEEE 802.1 WG.
 - c) [Compatibility with IEEE Std 802.3](#)
 - d) [Conformance with IEEE Std 802.3 MAC](#)
 - e) [Managed object definitions compatible with SNMP.](#)
- As an amendment to IEEE Std 802.3, the proposed project shall comply with IEEE Std 802, IEEE Std 802.1AC and IEEE Std 802.1Q.
 - As was the case in other IEEE Std 802.3 amendments, this amendment will define new physical layers
 - As an amendment to IEEE Std 802.3, the proposed amendment will conform to the full-duplex operating mode of the IEEE 802.3 MAC.
 - By using the existing IEEE Std 802.3 MAC protocol, the proposed amendment will maintain compatibility with the installed base of Ethernet nodes.
 - The project will include a protocol independent specification of managed objects with SNMP management capability to be provided in the future by an amendment to or revision of IEEE Std 802.3.1.

Distinct Identity

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall provide evidence of a distinct identity. Identify standards and standards projects with similar scopes and for each one describe why the proposed project is substantially different.

Substantially different from other IEEE 802.3 specifications / solutions

- The proposed amendment will define IEEE 802.3 standard operating at 2.5G/s and 5Gb/s MAC rates. There are no existing standards, or projects developing standards, addressing the specification of Ethernet PHY operation over Cat 5e and Cat 6 balanced unshielded twisted pair cables with up to at least 100 meter reach at 2.5G/s and 5Gb/s speeds.

Technical Feasibility

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall provide evidence that the project is technically feasible within the time frame of the project. At a minimum, address the following items to demonstrate technical feasibility

- a) Demonstrated system feasibility.
 - b) Proven similar technology via testing, modeling, simulation, etc.
 - c) [Confidence in reliability.](#)
- Systems based upon 2.5 Gb/s and 5 Gb/s technology have been demonstrated in operational networks.
 - The proposed project will build on the array of Ethernet component and system design experience, and the broad knowledge base of Ethernet network operation.
 - 10GBASE-T has demonstrated sufficient implementation feasibility in volume production
 - The reliability of components for 1G, and 10G Ethernet has been established in the target environments with a high degree of confidence

Economic Feasibility

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall provide evidence of economic feasibility. Demonstrate, as far as can reasonably be estimated, the economic feasibility of the proposed project for its intended applications. Among the areas that may be addressed in the cost for performance analysis are the following:

- a) Balanced costs (infrastructure versus attached stations).
 - b) Known cost factors.
 - c) Consideration of installation costs.
 - d) Consideration of operational costs (e.g., energy consumption).
 - e) Other areas, as appropriate.
- The cost factors for Ethernet components and systems are well known.
 - Prior experience in the development of 10 Gb/s technology for Ethernet, and maturity observed in 1Gb/s technology establishes that the specifications developed by this project will entail a reasonable cost for the resulting performance.
 - In consideration of installation costs, the project is expected to use proven and familiar media.
 - Network design, installation and maintenance costs are minimized by preserving network architecture, management, and software.
 - These BASE-T PHY interfaces will maintain a favorable cost balance between the switch and wireless access point and other Ethernet clients on a structured wiring.
 - Energy Efficient Ethernet will reduce the operational costs and the environmental footprint

Next Steps

- Pass motions to adopt these as the CSD responses at the end of this week.
- Draft motions are on the following slides.

Draft Motion for CSD Managed Objects

- Move to adopt the “CSD Managed Objects” response, as per jones_ngeabt_02a_0115.pdf
- M: Peter Jones
- S: George Zimmerman
- Results (Technical 75%)
 - Y: xx
 - N: yy
 - A: zz
 - Motion Result: aaaaaa

Draft Motion for Coexistence

- Move to adopt the “CSD Coexistence” response, as per jones_ngeabt_02a_0115.pdf
- M: Peter Jones
- S: George Zimmerman
- Results (Technical 75%)
 - Y: xx
 - N: yy
 - A: zz
 - Motion Result: aaaaaa

Draft Motion for CSD Broad Market Potential

- Move to adopt the “CSD Broad Market Potential” response, as per jones_ngeabt_02a_0115.pdf
- M: Peter Jones
- S: George Zimmerman
- Results (Technical 75%)
 - Y: xx
 - N: yy
 - A: zz
 - Motion Result: aaaaaa

Draft Motion for CSD Compatibility

- Move to adopt the “CSD Compatibility” response, as per jones_ngeabt_02a_0115.pdf
- M: Peter Jones
- S: George Zimmerman
- Results (Technical 75%)
 - Y: xx
 - N: yy
 - A: zz
 - Motion Result: aaaaaa

Draft Motion for CSD xxx

- Move to adopt the “CSD yyyy” response, as per jones_ngeabt_02a_0115.pdf
- M: Peter Jones
- S: George Zimmerman
- Results (Technical 75%)
 - Y: xx
 - N: yy
 - A: zz
 - Motion Result: aaaaaa

Draft Motion for CSD Distinct Identity

- Move to adopt the “CSD Distinct Identity” response, as per jones_ngeabt_02a_0115.pdf
- M: Peter Jones
- S: George Zimmerman
- Results (Technical 75%)
 - Y: xx
 - N: yy
 - A: zz
 - Motion Result: aaaaaa

Draft Motion for CSD Technical Feasibility

- Move to adopt the “CSD Technical Feasibility” response, as per jones_ngeabt_02a_0115.pdf
- M: Peter Jones
- S: George Zimmerman
- Results (Technical 75%)
 - Y: xx
 - N: yy
 - A: zz
 - Motion Result: aaaaaa

Draft Motion for CSD Economic Feasibility

- Move to adopt the “CSD Economic Feasibility” response, as per jones_ngeabt_02a_0115.pdf
- M: Peter Jones
- S: George Zimmerman
- Results (Technical 75%)
 - Y: xx
 - N: yy
 - A: zz
 - Motion Result: aaaaaa

Thank you.