Meeting Minutes

Group:	IEEE 802.3 NE-EPON Study Group				
Event:	802.3 Interim meeting				
Date:	From: September 14, 2015	To:	September 15, 2015		
Location:	Bonita Springs, Florida, USA				

Minutes

14 September 2015

9:00 AM – The meeting was called to order by David Law.

Motion #1							
Confirm Curtis as the Study Group Chair							
Moved:	Kevin Noll	Second:	Marek Hajduczenia				
Procedur	al 75 % by rule						
For:	20						
Against:	0						
Abstain:	0						

Curtis Knittle assumed the chair. Introductions were made.

The chair completed the opening report.

Motion #2			
Move to approv	ve the Agenda.		
Moved: Kevir	Noll	Second:	Glen Kramer
Procedural	Motion Passed by Voice	e without o	opposition

The Chair reviewed meeting decorum, the Study Group reflector & WEB page, IEEE Organization & by laws, Guidelines for IEEE-SA Meetings, IEEE process, .

Presentations and Discussion

11:50 AM – Recessed for lunch, reconvened at 1:30 PM

The Need For Higher SpeedMarek HajduczeniaBright House NetworksThis presentation provided data to substantiate the need for a higher speed EPON solution. The primarydriver for higher capacity EPON is business services. The cost of serving high capacity business customerwith CWDM is cost prohibitive. NG-EPON should coexist with both 1G and 10G-EPON. The conclusion isthat 10G-EPON is sufficient for the next 4-6 years but higher rate will be needed at that point, futurespeed enhancements should not require a new standards project.

Past, Present and Future Access Network Infrastructure Capacity Requirements for NG-EPON

Jorge Salinger

This presentation provided data to substantiate the need for a higher speed EPON solution. Growth in capacity usage has been between 30-60% while peak or offered capacity growth has been higher. Three factors were discussed; Technology capacity, offered capacity and capacity usage. Network needs to support 2x offered capacity; projections indicate that in 2024 offered capacity will be about 50Gbps requiring a 100Gbps PON.

25G TDM PON overviewEd HarsteadAlcatel-LucentThis presentation illustrated technical feasibility for a higher rate serial PON; 25Gbps Duobinaryspecifically. The Duobinary signal can be generated using a low bandwidth laser and a higher bandwidth3-level decision circuit in the receiver. Alternatively could use a 25 Gbps transmitter and lowerbandwidth receiver. Another option for modulation would be to use PAM4. The four 25 Gbps streamscould then be combined for a 100 Gbps solution.

NG_EPON Coexistence Considerations Yuan Liquan Z This presentation review several factors that may need to be taken into account when considering coexistence including wavelength availability, optics cost, channel count co-propagation crosstalk and reflections.

WDM Coexistence For 1G/10G/NG-EPON Marek Hajduczenia Bright House Networks This presentation proposed that WDM coexistence with both 1G and 10G-EPON be an objective. Coexistence with RFoG was considered optional, 10G-EPON primary and 1G-EPON secondary but highly desired, TDM coexistence was secondary be less important than WDM. These priorities were not universal among MSOs.

NG-EPON Wavelength Planning Considerations

Phil Miguelez Comcast This presentation proposed WDM coexistence with; RFoG, EPON/GPON, 10G-EPON/XGPON1. Another consideration is to keep the C-Band ITU DWDM Grid (Ch 20-59) free for uses other than EPON. Legacy G-EPON spectrum allocation is out of date and new technology is significantly better for both lasers and passive filters. Need to consider multi-wavelength issues (e.g., four wave mixing) and deployed fiber types (i.e., water peak). The project should also consider component commonality with other PON standards (i.e., XGPON2).

Consideration of "NG-EPON and Legacy EPON Coexistence"

Akio Tajima NEC Corporation This presentation examined some aspects of coexistence with 1G-EPON/10G-EPON and NG-EPON and introduced the possibly of using a fast optical switch for a variant of upstream TDM coexistence.

Eugene Dai

Next Generation EPON Objectives: Rate and extensibility

Cox Communications

This presentation argued against an objective for an extensible architecture.

ZTE

Comcast

Next Generation EPON Objectives: Rate and Co-existence

Eugene DaiCox CommunicationsThis presentation suggested coexistence with only with most recent generation of EPON (10G-EPONonly) and that "40G" EPON be a disruptive change (no backwards compatibility).

NG-EPON Architecture - Initial Thoughts Marek Hajduczenia Bright House Networks This presentation reviewed the 100G architecture and suggested some how it might be used to accommodate NG-EPON extensibility.

Object	ives Disc	ussion		Curtis	Knittle		Cab	leLabs
Open o	discussio	n on project obje	ectives.					
7:53 P	M recess	ed for the day.						
14 Sep	tember 2	2015						
8:00 A	M The Cł	nair called the m	eeting to or	der				
Contin NG-EP This pr	ued discu ON CSD I resentatio	ussion on object P roposal on proposed tex	ives. t for the pro	Duane ject CSD	Remein . There was r	nuch discussion a	۲ nd wordsmithing	łuawei g
resulti	ng in fina	l agreement.						
		_						
Motion Approventies the NG	n #3 ve the CS 6-EPON p	D statements as roject.	proposed o	n slides	4 through 10	of ngepon_1509	_remein_01b.pdf	for
Moved	l:	Duane Remein	Seconded:	Jor	ge Salinger			
Techni	cal ≥ 75%	Passed						
For:	21	Against:	0 Ab:	stain:	2			

 Motion #4

 Approve Project Authorization Request as written in ngepon_1509_par.pdf, with editorial license granted to 802.3 Chair

 Moved:
 Paul Nikolich
 Seconded:
 Jorge Salinger

 Technical ≥75%

 For:
 25

 Against:
 0

 Abstain: 0
 Passed

Motion #5

Approve the objectives of NG-EPON project as written in ngepon_1509_objectives.pdf.Moved:Glen KramerSeconded:Duane RemeinTechnical ≥75%For:24Against:0Passed

Motion #6

Study requests the Chair to present the PAR, CSD and objectives to the 802.3 Working Group, for
approval to form a 100G-EPON Task Force at the November 2015 plenary.Moved:Mark Laubach
Seconded:Mark Laubach
Technical ≥75%For:24Against:0Abstain:0Passed

Motion #7						
Move to Adjourn						
Moved:	Kevin Noll	Seconded:	Marek Hajduczenia			
Procedural > 50%						
Passed by voice without opposition						

12:15 PM Meeting was Adjourned

Attendees:

	1 0	·		0 0	
	LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	ORGANIZATION	Attended 9/14	Attended 9/15
1.	Brown	Alan	CommScope	Х	Х
2.	Chang	Ayla	Huawei	Х	Х
3.	Colella	Barry	Source Phontonics	Х	Х
4.	Dai	Eugene	Cox	Х	Х
5.	Dickinson	John	Bright House Networks	Х	Х
6.	ElBakoury	Hesham	Huawei	Х	Х
7.	Emmendorfer	Mike	Arris	Х	Х
8.	Hajduczenia	Marek	Bright House Networks	Х	Х
9.	Harstead	Ed	Alcatel-Lucent	Х	Х
10.	Knittle	Curtis	CableLabs	Х	Х
11.	Kolze	Tom	Broadcom	Х	
12.	Kramer	Glen	Broadcom	X	Х
13.	Laubach	Mark	Broadcom	Х	Х
14.	Lingle	Robert	OFS	X	Х
15.	Miguelez	Phil	Comcast	Х	Х
16.	Nikolich	Paul	802 Chair	Х	
17.	Noll	Kevin	Time Warner Cable	X	Х
18.	Peters	Michael	Sumitomo	Х	Х
19.	Powell	Bill	Alcatel-Lucent	Х	Х
20.	Remein	Duane	Huawei	Х	Х
21.	Salinger	Jorge	Comcast	Х	Х
22.	Tajima	Akio	NEC	Х	Х
23.	Tucker	Ryan	Charter	Х	Х
24.	Umeda	Daisuke	Sumitomo	Х	Х
25.	Wolff	Peter	Time Warner Cable	Х	Х
26.	Wu	Karl	Luster	Х	
27.	Xu	Yu	Huawei		Х
28.	Yuan	Liquan	ZTE	Х	

Bonita Springs, FL -- Next Gen EPON -- Meeting Sign In