## Comment 10

10.	GB	107 - 120	716.1	the classification ESI rather than SELV. SELV is now equivalent to ES1 but they are not quite the same and it is necessary to check that ES1 may meet the requirements of 716 for SELV / PELV sources, or whether any clarifications are required since it's necessary to make it clear in the relevant place about the ES1 limit.  There is perhaps a concern that analogue telephony is ES2 (and that this may not meet SELV), so we need to be very careful we haven't prohibited standard telephone cables (either from external or internal exchange) from being patched over structured cabling systems. At present this	note in the Scope to clarify that that analogue telephony is ES2 as defined in IEC 62368 and that this may not meet SELV requirements as defined in IEC	"This standard does not apply to the use of cables and accessories within the core and access networks eg Private Branch Exchange (PBX)"
-----	----	--------------	-------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

## Text from CDV:

This Standard does not apply to the use of cables and accessories within the core and access networks eg Private Branch Exchange (PBX).

Version 2.5 Page 1

## Comment 45

45. GB 201 716.523. The statement on line 201-Replace the text	Accepted – specified by
1.101 203 is not completely accurate and risks the restatement of 11801-1 requirements as a requirement of 716. 203 8.1 or Category 8.2 shall not exceed 60°C With "ISO/IEC 11801-1 specifies the maximum operating temperature for cables complying with requirements for Category 7, Category 6, Category 6A, Category 8.2 shall not exceed 60°C With "ISO/IEC 11801-1 specifies the maximum operating temperature for cables complying with requirements for Category 5, Category 6A, Category 7, Category 7, Category 6A, Category 8.2 as 60°C."	JEC 11801

The text states: "ISO/IEC 11801-1 specifies the maximum operating temperature for cables complying with requirements for Category 5, Category 6, Category 6A, Category 7, Category 7A, Category 8.1 or Category 8.2 as 60°C." This implies a hard limit of 60°C. The text needs to say: "ISO/IEC 11801-1 specifies the LOWEST maximum operating temperature..."

Version 2.5 Page 2

## IEC61140

LAST ITEM IS IEC61140 vs IEEE60950/62368 with respect to SELV.

Digging into 64/2413/CDV, '61140' does not appear in the draft. It does appear in the comments, 64/2403/CC. All three of the comments point out that 61140, section 6.7 is 'Protection by SELV **SYSTEM**'. The comments merely request 60364 follow in kind.

Consider this issue closed.

Version 2.5 Page 3