

30 total –quorum is 16. Received 17 votes so majority test passed. Need 2/3s of 17 or 12 so technical content approved but emergency nature is not approved.

Approved – technical content - 17

Disapproved – technical content - 0

Approved – emergency nature - 8

Disapproved – emergency nature - 9

Abstain – 2

TIA 1300 Comments

- The substantiation provided is insufficient to warrant an emergency modification to the Code, and this modification could be accomplished during the next normal Code cycle.

- I will be abstaining. The TIA process should be reserved for public safety or extreme hardship considerations.

– The LP type cable is permitted to be used, but not required by the NEC; therefore, I don't feel that an emergency exists.

- I disagree with TIA 1300's emergency nature, as the NEC does not require LP type cable to be used.

– I believe this can be addressed adequately in the next normal Code cycle.

- I represent the American Chemistry Council on the NEC and although I believe I am only vote limited in SCC18 to CMP5 I am being asked to vote in their process and do not believe it is appropriate to vote twice on the same issue.

Steven Townsend –

- I disagree with the emergency situation.

- The substantiation provided with TIA 1300 does not reference any identified issues experienced in the field, so the emergency nature of TIA 1300 is not warranted.

- The Type LP cable is permitted to be used by the NEC, but not required, so I don't feel that an emergency exists.

-While the technical merits of the proposed change, there is no urgency for a TIA. The current language “shall be permitted to supply power to equipment at a current level up to the marked ampere limit” does not mandate the cable type, therefore, no emergency.