C/ 44	SC 44.5		P 1678	L	# 12	C/ <b>47</b>	SC	47.3.3.6	P 339	L 3839	# 99008			
Dawe, Pier	rs Agilent			Baumer, Howard Broadcom Corp.										
Comment	Type E	Comment Stat	tus A			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status R		XAUI (D3.1) NC - Done			
Please	stop table fr	om flowing over page b	oreak.			The c	urrent ti	ransmit jitter	specification allows for the n	ear end random	n jitter to be has high as			
Suggested	Remedy					allows	ns and Dj=0 a	ind Rj=Tj-Dj	(actual) Rj can then equal Tj.	For near end l	Rj=0.35UI=112ps pk-pk			
General remedy may be in the Frame template.							which is 8ps rms {112/14}. For the far end Rj=0.55UI=176ps pk-pk which is 12.6ps rms.) This							
Response Response Status C						puts an unque purdon on the Receiver to be able to handle this large pure random jitter. A maximum random jitter should be specified.								
ACCE	PT.					Suggestee	dReme	dy						
C/ <b>46</b>	SC 46.4		P <b>278</b>	L <b>40</b>	# 9	Add a	maxim	um random	jitter specification that is not l	based on the de	eterminstic jitter and add			
Vadim Sha	in	N	EC Electro	nics Inc.		the constraint that the sum of the Rj & Dj has to be less than the Tj.Second to last sentence (lines 38-39) modified to read: "The maximum peak to peak random jitter, defined as 14 * rms random jitter, shall be less than 0.22UI. The sum of the measured deterministic and measured peak to peak random jitter shall be less than the total jitter". Table 47-1 in subclause 47.3.3 on page 334 will need to be updated with the maximum random jitter.								
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Sta	tus R											
Update	e Table 46-6 eters shown	related with Figure 46- on the Figure.	10 (even it	is just informativ	e) to describe all									
Suggested	SuggestedRemedy							Response Response Status U						
To add	To add the following row to the table:							REJECT. The working group desires further investigation of an appropriate RJ limit. The editor						
SYMB	OL PARAI	AETER MIN.	MAX.	UNITS		asks that the commentor determine an KJ limit acceptable to the working group and then resubmitted this comment.								
Voh_d	c DC out	DC output logic high Vddq-0.4 - V					As of Neuropher 45, 2004, the comparison has previded as assumptions the last 5							
Vol_dc 0.4 V	DC outp	ut logic low -	ogic low - logic high Vddq-0.5 -			months justifying a need for a change, and the committee is satisfied with the current specifications.								
Voh_a	c AC out	out logic high Vddq-0		V										
Vol_ac 0.5 V	AC outp	ut logic low -				C/ <b>47</b>	SC	47.3.4.5	P 342	L 2937	# 99009			
Response		Response Stat	us C			Baumer, H	loward		Broadcom Cor	p.				
REJEC	CT. This com	ment relates to text in	the docum	ent that has no c	hange indicated in it. This	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status R		XAUI (D3.1) NC - Done			
comme	ent will be re	submitted by the edito	r in sponso	or ballot.		There is no specific random jitter specified for the receiver jitter tolerance. This results in the								
CI <b>47</b>	SC 3.4.5		Ρ	L	# 16	Suggester	Romo	dv	in my comment #99008.					
Gaither, Ju	stin	Xi	linx			Duggesiet Add th	ne follov	vina sentan	ce to subclause 47 3 4 5 betw	een the senten	ce on specifying Di and			
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Stat	tus <b>D</b>			the se	ntence	specifyint T	j: "The maximum peak to pea	k random jitter,	defined as 14 * rms			
Comm	ent 99007 w	as accepted for resolut	ion to reso	lve the Output In	npedance specification.	rando	m jitter,	shall be les	ss than 0.22UI."					
Howev	er, the input	Impedance should reci	eve similai	treatment.		Response	l		Response Status U					
SuggestedRemedy							CT. Se	e response	to #99008.					
Chang	e the input ir	ipedance specification	similar to	the output imped	ance specification.									

Response Response Status Z

C/ 48	SC Annex 48	B P 337	L <b>32</b>	# 18	C/ 48B	SC 48	B.3.2.1		P 343	L <b>34</b>	# 26
Bulent Lusira	ау	I ality Corporation			Lindsay, I	om			Stratos Lightw	ave	
Comment Ty "teseting"	/pe E J"	Comment Status A			<i>Comment</i> Clarify	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> internal P	E LL options	Comment S	Status A		
SuggestedRe "testing"	emedy				Suggested Last se	<i>Remedy</i> entence in	paragraph	should be "	Some TIA mod	dels have integra	ated Golden PLL and/or
Response		Response Status C			high-p	ass filtering	g algorithn	IS." Jaananaa S			
ACCEPT	Т.				Response			response s			
C/ <b>48B</b> Lindsay, Tom	48B SC 48B.2.1 P   dsay, Tom Stra		L 10	# 20	Changed to read: " some TIA models have integrated Golden PLL or high-pass filtering algorithms." "OR" grammatically includes an "AND".						
Comment Ty	/pe E	Comment Status A			CL 49B	SC Ei		4	D2/2	1	# 07
Wrong w	vord.				Lindsay T	om SC Fig	Jule 400-	+	F 343 Stratos Lightw	L	# 21
SuggestedRe	emedy				Commont		-	Commont S			
Replace	"expected" with	"specified high frequency value".			Figure does not maintain differential balance.						
Response		Response Status C									
ACCEPT	Т.				Suggested	ot that DA		un on diffor	ntial (hald line		DATA lobalize) all the
Cl <b>48B</b> Lindsay, Tom	SC <b>48B.2.1</b>	P <b>341</b> Stratos Lightwave	L <b>54</b>	# 24	way to least it want to	the TIA in does not so show tha	put, with a steer the te to the te	tap to the G ester astray. tail??	olden PLL.This I have done th	s offers no imple is with 2 hybrid c	mentation details, but at couplers, but I doubt we
<i>Comment Ty</i> Poor gra	<i>/pe</i> <b>E</b> Immar.	Comment Status A			Response		I	Response S	tatus Z		
SuggestedRe	emedy										
Remove	the word "stage	".			C/ 49	SC Fig	gures 49-1	2 and 49-1	P 368 and 3	369 <i>L</i> 44-47	# 10
Response		Response Status C			Pat I haler			_	Aglient		
ACCEPT	Т.				Comment	Type E		Comment S	Status A		
C/ 48B	SC 48B.3.1.3.	1 P 343	L <b>2</b>	# 25	Also a figures	pplies to pa in draft 3.	age 369 lir 2 and shou	uld have bee	ese editor's no en deleted after	tes were change that ballot.	bar substitues for the
Lindsay, Tom	n	Stratos Lightwave			Suggested	lRemedy					
Comment Ty Missing	/pe E word.	Comment Status A			Delete with se change	these two ending the e bars will	editor's no draft to sp be deleted	otes before s onsor ballot. and these r	ending to spor I don't think it notes are just te	nsor ballot unless should since I ex extual change ba	s that causes a problem xpect that the other ars.
SuggestedRe	emedy				Response		ŀ	Response S	tatus C		
Add "the	" to the beginnir	ng of the line.			ACCE	PT.					
Response ACCEP1	Т.	Response Status C									

C/ <b>50</b>	SC 50.6.4.2	P <b>407</b>	L 36	# 17	C/ 52	SC 52.1	4.2.1	P <b>472</b>	L 16	# 5		
Alexander	, Thomas	PMC-Sierra, I		Doug Coleman			Corning Cable System					
Comment	Type E	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Т	С	omment Status D				
Value K1 ar occur is not	Comment fields or d K2 that are spec red when the defau a technical change	f PICS items WT10 and WT1 ified in the clause text (50.3.2 ilt values assigned to the K1/l a in the draft.	1 do not match t .2).This was an e <2 octets were c	he normative values for editorial oversight that hanged to all-zeros. This	It is not appropriate to indicate a total connector and spliceloss for lengths greater than 30 km since they are engineered lengths. Engineer lengths imply total fiber, connector and splice loss can be defined by the enduser/designer to ensure compliance to the 11 dB total channel loss.							
Suggeste	dRemedy				Delete "and 1 dB for 40km".							
Change "Set to 00000001 binary" and "Set to 00010 binary" to "Set to 00000000 binary" and "Set to 00000 binary".							Re	esponse Status Z				
Response	e	Response Status C										
ACCE	EPT.				C/ 52	SC 52.1	5.3	P 475	L 30	# 13		
C/ 52	SC	Р	L	# 21	Dawe, Pie	rs		Agilent				
Lindsay, T	om	Stratos Lightw	ave		Comment	Туре Е	С	omment Status R				
Comment	Type E	Comment Status A			Obviously, these delay constraints don't apply to the cabling.							
The p	primary specification to center waveleng	n tables list Wavelength (rang th.	e), yet other note	es , tables, and figures	Suggestee Not "N	dRemedy /l" but manda	atory if not	INS, (or mandatory if any	of SR-EW). Sa	me goes for 52.15.4.9		
Suggeste	dRemedy				and 5	2.15.4.10. L	se ! for neo	gation. See Cl.21 for syn	tax, 36.7.4.5 for	an example.		
Add " 18.Ec Editor	Center" to Wavele litor should check f r should also check	ngth (range) in the primary tal or other instances. . for consistent spelling. I four	oles (52-7, 52-9, d at least one in	52-12, 52-14, 52-17, 52- stance of "centre".	Response REJE PMA :	CT. Delay c and PMD inc	<i>Re</i> ontraints ar luding up t	esponse Status <b>C</b> e specified in 52.2 and re o 2m of fiber. This is a n	efer to the roundt	rip delay through the ement.		
Response	e	Response Status C			C/ 52	SC 52.5		P 442	L 14	# 1		
ACCE	EPT.				Doug Cole	eman		Corning Cable	System			
C/ <b>52</b> Doug Cole	SC 52.14.2.1	P <b>472</b> Corning Cable	L 11 Svstem	# 6	Comment 400 N	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> IHz km is ex	C pressed inc	omment Status A				
Comment	Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status D	- ,		Sugaeste	dRemedv						
In agr	eement with SMF	total connector and splice loss	, it is notnecess	ary to specify a maximum	Insert	a dot betwe	en MHz and	d km.				
individual connector loss for MMF. As long as the 1.5 dB total connector and splice loss is met, it isn't necessary to specify maximum individual connector insertion loss values.						Response Response Status C						
Suggeste	dRemedy				ACCE	:P1.						
Delete	e "with a maximum	insertion oss of 0.75 dB										
Response	e	Response Status Z										

			F 002.546 L	Jan 3.4 Com	ments							
C/ 52 SC 52.6	P <b>448</b>	L	# 14	CI 52	SC Figure 5	52-13	P <b>464</b>	L	# 19			
Dawe, Piers	Agilent			Lindsay, Torr	ı		Stratos Lightw	ave				
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Comment Ty	rpe E	Comment	Status A					
Tables 52-14 and 52-1	5 are in the wrong subclause.			Missing	some arrows,	etc.						
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRe	emedy							
Box their ears and sen (blue text), can leave it floating away.	d them home! But if doing so v to next time. For the future, co	would cause unwa onsult chief editor	rranted revision marks about how to stop tables	In the str from the signal ch	ess conditionii filter block. I p aracterization	ng box, add an prefer these arro measurement	input arrow to th ows extend sligh box, extend the	ne coaxial cable b tly beyond the bo input arrow slight	lock and an output arrow rders of the box.In the y beyond the border of			
Response	Response Status C			the box.		_						
ACCEPT.						Response Response Status C						
C/ 52 SC 52.9.11.	2 P 465	L 2125	# 15	ACCEP								
Dawe, Piers	Agilent			C/ <b>52</b>	SC Table 52	2-10	P <b>445</b>	L 11	# 23			
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Lindsay, Torr	ı		Stratos Lightw	ave				
Dead links				Comment Ty	pe E	Comment	Status A					
SuggestedRemedy				The row	named "Alloca	ation for Penalt	ies" actually incl	udes margin.				
Make the following into	) links:			SuggestedRe Change	emedy row name to "	Allocation for n	enalties and ma	rain" This comme	nt also applies to Table			
c) Table 52-9, Table 5	2-14, Table 52-18			52-15, pa	age 448, line 3	39, and Table 5	2-19, page 451,	line 11.				
Thanks!				Response		Response	Status Z					
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C											
CL 52 SC 52 9 11	2 P / 65	/ 31	# 11	C/ 52	SC Table 52	2-10	P 445	L 5	# 4			
Dawe. Piers	Agilent	231	π []	Doug Colema	an _		Corning Cable	e System				
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Comment Ty 2000 MH	<i>pe</i> E Iz-km is not id	<i>Comment</i> lentifed as a las	Status <b>R</b> ser bandwidth.					
Sentence without end				SugaestedR	emedv							
SuggestedRemedy				Insert a f	ootnote that e	each stated ban	dwidth is OFL B	Wwith the except	ion that 2000 MHz-km is			
I think point f) should e	end with . rather than ; Maybe	point e) should er	nd "DCD; and"	a laser B	W value.							
Response ACCEPT. Ended bulle	Response Status <b>C</b> tf) with a period.			Response REJECT		Response	Status C					

C/ 52 SC Table 52	-6 P 442	L <b>23</b>	# 2	C/ 53	SC 53.14.2.1	P 510	L <b>39</b>	# 8			
Doug Coleman	Corning Cable	System		Doug Coler	nan	Corning Cat	ole System				
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Comment T	Гуре Т	Comment Status D					
MHz km is expressed ir	ncorrectly			In agre	ement with SMF	total connector and splice lo	ss, it is notnecessa	ary to specify a maximum			
SuggestedRemedy			individual connector loss for MIVIE. As long as the 1.5 dB total connector and splice loss is met, it isn't necessary to specify maximum individual connector insertion loss values.								
Insert a dot between MI	Hz and km.			SuggestedRemedy							
Response	Response Status C			Delete	with a maximum	n insertion oss of 0.75 dB					
ACCEPT.				Response		Response Status Z					
C/ 52 SC Table 52	-6 P 442	L <b>30</b>	# 3								
Doug Coleman	Corning Cable	System		C/ 53	SC Table 53	-9 P 492	L 17	# 7			
Comment Type E Comment Status R					Doug Coleman Corning Cable System						
2000 MHz-km is not ide	entifed as a laser bandwidth.			Comment T	Гуре Т	Comment Status D					
SuggestedRemedy				Curren	t text implies both	n MMF and SMF connectors	and splices areall	ocated a total 1.5 dB total			
Insert a footnote that ea a laser BW value.	ach stated bandwidth is OFL BV	Vwith the except	ion that 2000 MHz-km is	loss. S 510.	MF connectors a	nd splices are allocated 2.0	dB as discussed in	1 53.14.2.1, line 43, page			
Response	Response Status C			Suggested	Remedy						
REJECT.				Insert t	ext that SMF is a	llocated 2.0 dB total connec	torand splice loss.				
C/ 52 SC Table 52	-9 P 444	L <b>45</b>	# 22	Response		Response Status Z					
Lindsay, Tom	Stratos Lightwa	ave									
Comment Type E	Comment Status A										
I do not understand the this referring to damage	intention of the 1st footnote. W	e often associat	e "tolerate" with errors. Is								
SuggestedRemedy											
Please clarify whether t Table 52-14, page 448,	his intention is to avoid damage line 21.	or errors.This c	omment also applies to								
Response	Response Status C										
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	E. Add ", without damage," afte	er "tolerate" for ta	ables 52-9 and 52-14.								