P802.3ae Draft 3.4 Comments


Please stop table from flowing over page break.
SuggestedRemedy
General remedy may be in the Frame template.
Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

| Cl 46 | SC 46.4 | P278 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Vadim Shain | NEC Electronics Inc. | \# |

Comment Type E Comment Status R
Update Table 46-6 related with Figure 46-10 (even it is just informative) to describe all parameters shown on the Figure.
SuggestedRemedy

| To add the following row to the table: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SYMBOL | PARAMETER | MIN. | MAX. | UNITS |
| Voh_dc | DC output logic high | Vddq-0.4 | - | V |
| Vol_dc | DC output logic low | - |  |  |
| 0.4 V |  |  |  |  |
| Voh_ac | AC output logic high | Vddq-0.5 | - | V |
| Vol_ac | AC output logic low | - |  |  |
| 0.5 V |  |  |  |  |

Response Response Status C
REJECT. This comment relates to text in the document that has no change indicated in it. This comment will be re-submitted by the editor in sponsor ballot.

| Cl 47 | SC 3.4.5 | $P$ | $L$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gaither, Justin |  | Xilinx |  |
| Comment Type | T | Comment Status D |  |

Comment 99007 was accepted for resolution to resolve the Output Impedance specification.
However, the input impedance should recieve similar treatment.
SuggestedRemedy
Change the input impedance specification similar to the output impedance specification.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R XAUI (D3.1) NC - Done
The current transmit jitter specification allows for the near end random jitter to be has high as 8 ps rms and the far end random jitter to be has high as 12.6 ps rms . (Since the specification allows $D j=0$ and $R j=T j-D j$ (actual) $R j$ can then equal $T j$. For near end $R j=0.35 \mathrm{Ul}=112 p s p k-p k$ which is 8 ps rms $\{112 / 14\}$. For the far end $R j=0.55 \mathrm{Ul}=176 \mathrm{ps}$ pk-pk which is 12.6 ps rms .) This puts an undue burdon on the Receiver to be able to handle this large pure random jitter. A maximum random jitter should be specified.

## SuggestedRemedy

Add a maximum random jitter specification that is not based on the determinstic jitter and add the constraint that the sum of the Rj \& Dj has to be less than the Tj. Second to last sentence (lines 38-39) modified to read: "The maximum peak to peak random jitter, defined as 14 * rms random jitter, shall be less than 0.22 Ul . The sum of the measured deterministic and measured peak to peak random jitter shall be less than the total jitter".Table 47-1 in subclause 47.3.3 on page 334 will need to be updated with the maximum random jitter.

## Response

Response Status U

REJECT. The working group desires further investigation of an appropriate RJ limit. The editor asks that the commentor determine an RJ limit acceptable to the working group and then resubmitted this comment.

As of November 15, 2001, the commenter has provided no new information during the last 5 months justifying a need for a change, and the committee is satisfied with the current
specifications

| Cl 47 | SC 47.3.4.5 | P342 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Baumer, Howard | Broadcom Corp. | L 2937 |

Comment Type TR Comment Status R XAUI (D3.1) NC - Done
There is no specific random jitter specified for the receiver jitter tolerance. This results in the same problem illustrated in my comment \#99008.

## SuggestedRemedy

Add the following sentance to subclause 47.3.4.5 between the sentence on specifying $\operatorname{Dj}$ and the sentence specifyint Tj: "The maximum peak to peak random jitter, defined as 14 * rms random jitter, shall be less than 0.22UI."
Response Response Status U
REJECT. See response to \#99008.
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| Cl 50 | $S C$ 50.6.4.2 | $P 407$ | $L 36$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alexander, Thomas | PMC-Sierra, Inc. |  | 17 |

## Comment Type E Comment Status A

Value/Comment fields of PICS items WT10 and WT11 do not match the normative values for K 1 and K2 that are specified in the clause text (50.3.2.2). This was an editorial oversight that occurred when the default values assigned to the K1/K2 octets were changed to all-zeros. This is not a technical change in the draft
SuggestedRemedy
Change "Set to 00000001 binary" and "Set to 00010 binary" to "Set to 00000000 binary" and "Set to 00000 binary".

## Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

| $C l 52$ | $S C$ | $P$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Lindsay, Tom | Stratos Lightwave | \# 21 |

## Comment Type E Comment Status A

The primary specification tables list Wavelength (range), yet other notes, tables, and figures refer to center wavelength.

## SuggestedRemedy

Add "Center" to Wavelength (range) in the primary tables (52-7, 52-9, 52-12, 52-14, 52-17, 5218.Editor should check for other instances.

Editor should also check for consistent spelling. I found at least one instance of "centre".
Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

| CI 52 | SC 52.14.2.1 | P472 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Doug Coleman | Corning Cable System | \# |

Comment Type T Comment Status D
In agreement with SMF total connector and splice loss, it is notnecessary to specify a maximum individual connector loss for MMF. As long as the 1.5 dB total connector and splice loss is met, it isn't necessary to specify maximum individual connector insertion loss values
SuggestedRemedy
Delete "with a maximum insertion oss of 0.75 dB ..
Response
Response Status
Z

| Cl 52 | $S C$ 52.14.2.1 | P472 | L 16 | \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doug Coleman |  | Corning Cable System |  |  |

## Comment Type T Comment Status D

It is not appropriate to indicate a total connector and spliceloss for lengths greater than 30 km since they are engineered lengths. Engineer lengths imply total fiber, connector and splice loss can be defined by the enduser/designer to ensure compliance to the 11 dB total channel loss.
SuggestedRemedy
Delete "and 1 dB for 40 km ".
Response Response Status
Z

| Cl 52 | SC 52.15.3 | P475 | L 30 | \# 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dawe, Piers |  | Agilent |  |  |

Comment Type E Comment Status R
Obviously, these delay constraints don't apply to the cabling.
SuggestedRemedy
Not "M" but mandatory if not INS, (or mandatory if any of SR-EW). Same goes for 52.15.4.9 and 52.15.4.10. Use! for negation. See Cl. 21 for syntax, 36.7.4.5 for an example.
Response
Response Status
C
REJECT. Delay contraints are specified in 52.2 and refer to the roundtrip delay through the PMA and PMD including up to 2 m of fiber. This is a mandatory requirement.

| $C I 52$ | SC 52.5 | P442 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Doug Coleman | Corning Cable System | 14 |

Comment Type E Comment Status A
400 MHz km is expressed incorrectly
SuggestedRemedy
Insert a dot between MHz and km .
Response
ACCEPT. Response Status $\mathbf{C}$

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
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Box their ears and send them home! But if doing so would cause unwarranted revision marks (blue text), can leave it to next time. For the future, consult chief editor about how to stop tables floating away.

## Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

| CI 52 | SC 52.9.11.2 | P465 | L2125 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Dawe, Piers |  | Agilent |  |
| Comment |  |  |  |

Comment Type E Comment Status A
Dead links
SuggestedRemedy

In the stress conditioning box, add an input arrow to the coaxial cable block and an output arrow from the filter block. I prefer these arrows extend slightly beyond the borders of the box.In the signal characterization measurement box, extend the input arrow slightly beyond the border of the box.

| Response <br> ACCEPT. |
| :--- |
| Response Status $\mathbf{C}$    <br> CI 52 SC Table 52-10 P445 L11 <br> Lindsay, Tom    |

Comment Type E Comment Status A
The row named "Allocation for Penalties" actually includes margin.

## SuggestedRemedy

Change row name to "Allocation for penalties and margin". This comment also applies to Table 52-15, page 448, line 39, and Table 52-19, page 451, line 11.
Response
Response Status

| Cl 52 SC | able 52-10 | P445 | L 5 | \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doug Coleman | Corning Cable System |  |  |  |
| Comment Type | E | Comment Status R |  |  |

$2000 \mathrm{MHz}-\mathrm{km}$ is not identifed as a laser bandwidth.
SuggestedRemedy
Insert a footnote that each stated bandwidth is OFL BWwith the exception that $2000 \mathrm{MHz}-\mathrm{km}$ is a laser BW value.
Response Response Status C
REJECT.
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SuggestedRemedy
Insert a footnote that each stated bandwidth is OFL BWwith the exception that $2000 \mathrm{MHz}-\mathrm{km}$ is a laser BW value.


Comment Type E Comment Status A
I do not understand the intention of the 1st footnote. We often associate "tolerate" with errors. Is this referring to damage?

SuggestedRemedy
Please clarify whether this intention is to avoid damage or errors. This comment also applies to Table 52-14, page 448, line 21.
Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add " ,without damage," after "tolerate" for tables 52-9 and 52-14.

| Cl 53 | SC 53.14.2.1 | P510 | L 39 | \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doug Coleman |  | Corning Cable System |  |  |

Corning Cable System
Comment Type T Comment Status D
In agreement with SMF total connector and splice loss, it is notnecessary to specify a maximum individual connector loss for MMF. As long as the 1.5 dB total connector and splice loss is met, it isn't necessary to specify maximum individual connector insertion loss values.
SuggestedRemedy
Delete "with a maximum insertion oss of 0.75 dB .
Response Response Status Z

| Cl 53 | SC Table 53-9 | P492 | $L \mathbf{L 1 7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doug Coleman | Corning Cable System | \# |  |

Comment Type T Comment Status D
Current text implies both MMF and SMF connectors and splices areallocated a total 1.5 dB total loss. SMF connectors and splices are allocated 2.0 dB as discussed in 53.14.2.1, line 43, page 510.

SuggestedRemedy
Insert text that SMF is allocated 2.0 dB total connectorand splice loss.
Response Response Status Z

