

# Management & control of the sublayers

Piers Dawe

Irvine, January 2001

## What's all this about?

*Can't we just move the data?*

### Things to do

- Start up
- Data transport <-normal operation
- Shut down
- Testing
  - In the factory
  - In the field
  - Use of loopback
- Fault reporting

## Things to do

<i>Action</i>	<i>Suitable for standard</i>
Start up	Yes, need, could be trivial
• Data transport	Yes, need
• Shut down	Yes, need, could be trivial
• Testing	
– In the factory/test house	
• at qualification	only for proving compliance
• in production	only for proving compliance
– In the field	
• Installation	Want not need
• Diagnostics	Not part of the standard
– Use of loopback	Only for cause
• Fault reporting	Want not need

## Possible reasons for standardising something 1

- Interworking
  - Horizontal *across the medium*
  - Vertical *up/down the stack*
- Fault handling
  - Detection
  - Location
- Protection
  - of network
  - of people
- Parameter testing

## Possible reasons for standardising something 2

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interworking               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Horizontal</li> <li>– Vertical</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Fault handling               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Detection</li> <li>– Location</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Protection               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– of network</li> <li>– of people</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Parameter testing</li> </ul>	<p>Need Want</p> <p>Strong want Weaker want</p> <p>want need but not our standard</p> <p>Need, only for proving compliance</p>
---	--

## Ways to manage something

Physical

*“Harder”*

- e.g. pulling out a card
- Visible
  - e.g. panel lights
- Optical intervention
  - e.g. patchcord for loopback
- Electrical intervention
  - Pins or wires
  - Through data path
- MDIO
  - registers, software

*“Softer”*

# Candidate managed objects

	Serial or WWD M	Signal detect		Check signal code		Disable o/p		Loopback (divert o/p)		Modify data output		Raise or respond to auxiliary signal		Transport auxiliary signal		Communicate with MDIO	
		Tx side	Rx side	Tx side	Rx side	Tx side	Rx side	Tx side -> Rx side	Rx side -> Tx side	Tx side	Rx side	Tx side	Rx side	Tx side	Rx side	Tx side	Rx side
Higher layer(s)																	
RS																	
XGMII														(Y)	(Y)		
XGXS																	
XAU1		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
XGS																	
XGMII														(Y)	(Y)		
PCS S				Z	Y	Z	Z	Z	Z								O O
PCS W				Y	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z								O O
WIS																	
PMA S	X	O	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Z	O	(Y)	(Y)	X	X
PMA W	X	O	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Z	O	(Y)	(Y)	X	X
PMD S	X	O, Z	X	X	O	Z	X	X	X	X	O	O, Z	X	X	X	X	X
PMD W	X	O(1), Z	X	X	O(1), Z	X	X	X	X	X	O	O(1), Z	X	X	X	X	X
Medium	X	X	X	X	Y	Y	Y	Y, Z	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Y		Probably a good idea or easy to do															
X		Impossible, expensive, impractical or similar															
O		Should be optional															
(1)		One signal not four															
Z		No convincing reason yet proposed															
(Y)		e.g. sig. det. doesn't pass through SERDES or XGMII but can bypass it. Issues with pin count															
(blank)		(No comment)															

Summary: not all nice ideas are practical

# Work to do!

- Feature creep is bad news
- Each feature needs:
  - Good justification
  - Precise description of effect
  - Precise description of use
- PMD and PMA are analog
- Include features only when justified, which hasn't happened yet