NOTES FROM IEEE 802.3ak 10GBASE-CX4 Task Force Interim Meeting May 20-21, 2003

INTRODUCTION and OVERVIEW

Herb Van Deusen volunteered to be secretary for this meeting

Agenda was reviewed. Dan emphasized the importance of meeting attendees being present for the entire meeting so that enough group members are available to approve any actions at the end of the meeting.

Minutes of the February interim approved by voice affirmation.

Dan reviewed guidelines for IEEE meetings regarding patents and appropriate areas of discussion.

Meeting Objectives were presented.

Dan reviewed the schedule/ timeline:

Schedule is pretty much on track.

PRESENTATIONS REGARDING RECOMMENDATIONS ON COMMENTS

Concern raised about presentations not being on the web site prior to the meeting. Presentations were interrupted while electronic copies were made available to attendees

Purpose of the meeting should be to resolve comments so presentations must be aimed at helping to clarify issues raised by the comments.

Bob Grow presented information on how to become part of the ballot pool to be eligible to vote on ballots. IEEE membership and Standards association membership are required.

Loss Compensation using Analog Pre-emphasis (Clark Foley)

Addresses comments on Transmit Template Section 54.7.3.6

- Clark showed that data could be transmitted with little increase in jitter using analog preemphasis and fixed receive equalization.
- Characterization of transmitter output template must consider acquisition technique
- Suggest that the spec should not exclude this type of implementation. Clark has a proposed template.

10GBASE-CX4 Link Analysis (Howard Baumer)

Addressed comments made by Kevin Brown re: comment 388

Questions raised about return loss specification

- Questions about formula for determining Multi Disturber Equi-Level Far End Crosstalk (MDELFext) may not represent worst case crosstalk.
- Insertion loss plots of bulk cable seemed atypical for cables. Asserted that loss curves don't show square root of frequency dependency for skin effect.
- MDELFext specification to high to have link work
- Return loss too high
- Transmit amplitude range is too large creating too much Next
- Transmit amplitude is too large. creating too much Fext
- Proposes adoption of transmit template back to 36% pre-emphasis.

10GBASE-CX4 Crosstalk Impact on System Performance (Petre Popescu)

 Recommends restricting the output level of the driver to 1000 mV max in order to reduce crosstalk.

10GBASE-CX4 Full System Performance (Ze'ev Roth)

- Analysis here leads to recommendation to increase pre-emphasis to 55%
- Recommends improving connector immunity to crosstalk.

Jitter Budget (Steve Dreyer)

Addresses comment 500 regarding jitter specification

- Different combinations of Rj and Dj with the same Tj will yield the same statistics in terms
 of impact on bit error rate based on the analysis at BER of 1 X 10⁻¹².
- Recommends keeping current spec for Tj and Dj components.

Characterization of 802.3ak cable assemblies (Dean Vermeersch, Henri Merkelo)

- Tyco has done some connector and cable assembly modeling and offers those assemblies free of charge by contacting Dean.
- Measurements made at high frequencies are very fixture dependent.
- Showed software based eye pattern measurement technique which uses only the pulse generator from a Tektronix 11801 TDR output. Results correlate well with measurements made using true pattern generators. Likewise, through software, frequency domain characteristics can be derived from using just the 11801 pulse generator.
- Dean is having trouble finding a cable supplier that can supply cables where each pair in the cable is good and consistent with the other lines in the cable.
- Recommendation made that it may be necessary to put a limit on the range of loss values that may exist in a cable. Also suggested that a spec be put around phase in addition to loss
- Dean recommends a measurement of differential to common mode conversion spec.

Comment Resolutions

Decision was made to resolve TR comments first followed by T Comments

- Comment made not to include any company names on documents that are found on the web site.
- Question about MAU type for Section 30B was raised but deferred until it could be clarified
- Tuesday session was adjourned at 8:15 PM

TR comments (not complete listing)

•	C 58	Section 44.1.2 Comments were made about objective f) & g). Proposal made to		
		delete objective g) and rewrite g). A small group will go off and wordsmith some		
		proposed language. (Wording was determined)		
• C 62		Table 45.7		
• C 45 Table 45.8 was in question and will be modified per P. I		Table 45.8 was in question and will be modified per P. Bradshaw		
recommendation		recommendation		
•	C 331	Accepted in principle		
C 64 withdrawn				
•	• C 448 Accept			
C 462 Discussion around whether proposed new template can include		Discussion around whether proposed new template can include		
C 487		recommendations from 3 task force members who had proposed a change to		
C 511		the original template. Plan to incorporate files from these three members.		
Transmit		Discussion ensued around need to specify the pre-emphasis level. No		
Template		consensus was reached. Comments were accepted in principle.		
•	C346	Not accepted until new draft is completed for review.		
•	C 110	Accept in Principle will need confirmation from commentor		
C 287 C 287 in principle, Accept 335 as is		C 287 in principle, Accept 335 as is		
•	C 335			
•	C401	Accept in Principle		
•	C 307	Accept in Principle		
C 290 Eliminate references to cable time delay		Eliminate references to cable time delay		
•	C 116	Reject with explanation		
•	C 410			
•	C 411			
•	C 452	Reject with explanation		
•	C 357	Accept in Principle with rewording		
•	C 295			
•	C 388	Much discussion ensued around this issue. It is unclear if suppliers could meet		
		the revised specifications proposed in the presentation made by Howard		
		Baumer. It was suggested that at smaller group which includes cable		
		manufacturers get together to make a proposal to improve the specification with		
		challenging yet realistic values. New values for crosstalk and return loss will be		

	entered as suggested in Howard Baumer's presentation.	
• C 469	Accept in Principle. Test fixture Impedance currently spec'd at 50 ±1%. Issue	
	deferred for later discussion and consensus. (Dan has analyzed the fixture	
	design and has made suggestions to modify current wording)	
• C 467	Accept in Principle. Response will be addressed with respones to C 469	
• C 498	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
• C 487	given at this meeting.	
• C 257		
• C 465	Transmitter Jitter. Much discussion around this issue. Accept in principle.	
	Changes made to numbers and wording for Sect 54.7.3.8.	
•	Table 54-10 will be modified to address several comments	
• C 432	Test Point specification on Figure 54-2. Add sentence to first paragraph of	
	Section 54.8	
• C 484	Reject. Characteristic Impedance is not a complex quantity	
• C 351	Clarify if figures or formula take precedence	
& other		
similar		
• C299	ssembly shielding specification. Accept . Shield transfer Impedance is specified	
	in the specified in the referenced documents	
• C 36	Accept IEC 61706-3-113 will be the reference document for the connectors	
• C 100		
• C 459		
• C442	Reject. Pins numbering shown in the reference doc.	
• C 37		
	on that page. Will be redrawn in framemaker including pin numbers.	
• C124	Jitter Test method. Accept in Principle. Specified method is consistent with	
	method in existing IEEE specification. Annex 48B will be modified to call out	
0.101	CX4	
• C 101	Accept. Covered by C 388 response	
•		

Name

Name	eMail	Company
Yasunari Shida	y-shida@bp.jp.nec.com	NEC
Mitsutoshi Sugawara	sugawara@necelam.com	NEC
Howard Baumer	hbaumer@broadcom.com	Broadcom
Lee Harrison	lee.harrison@keyeye.com	
Bob Noseworth	ren@iol.unh.edu	UNH
Ze'ev Roth	zeevr@mysticom.com	Mysticom
Schelto van Doorn	schelto.vandoorn@intel.com	Intel
John DeAndrea	jdeandrea@iterrac.com	
Alan Iguchi	alan@vativ.com	
Peter Bradshaw	pbradshaw@bitblitz.com	Bit Blitz
Bob Grow	bob.grow@ieee.org	IEEE 802.3
Ken Naganuma	knaganuma@tokoam.com	
Herb Van Deusen	hvandeus@wlgore.com	Gore
Steve Dreyer	steve.dreyer@intel.com	Intel
Phil Marzolf	phil.marsolf@intel.com	Intel
Clark Foley	clarkf@mxim.com	Maxim
Geoff Thompson	thompson@ieee.org	
David Law	david_law@3com.com	3Com
Mike McCormack	mike_s_mccormack@3com.com	3Com
Tetsu Koyama	t-koyama@cb.jp.nec.com	NEC
Petre Popescu	popescu@quaketech.com	Quake
Dean Bermeersch	dean@tycoelectronics.com	Tyco
Henri Merkelo	hmi@atspeed.net	atSpeed Technologies
Adam Healey	ahealey@agere.com	Agere
Dan Dove	dan.dove@hp.com	HP
Gary Oleynick	goleynick@fciconnect.com	FCI
Jeff Warren	jwarren@extremenetworks.com	Extreme
Ted Rado	trado@analogixsemi.com	Analogix

P802.3ak Motion 1

Move that the resolutions to all closed comments from the D4.0 comment resolution database be incorporated into D4.01, and to charter the Editor to produce D4.01 for TF review.

- Technical 75%
- M: McCormack S: Bradshaw
- TF Members Y:18 N:0 A:0
- 802.3 voters Y:10 N:0 A:0
- Passes 5:44PM 21-MAY-03