

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 00 SC 0 P0 L0 MyBallot # 1641
COORDINATION, EDITORIAL

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

Please check to make sure that all changes made to past amendments have been incorporated correctly.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Need to check merge of EFM cross-references on Bibliography. In some cases (Annex 4A) it appears these were text rather than cross-references and are now incorrect due to additions to the Bibliography during the merge.

Cl 00 SC 0 P0 L0 MyBallot # 1638
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type GR Comment Status R

Section 5 comments in pdf.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The commenter withdrew this comment and supplied the comments contained in this pdf in responsive format prior to the ballot deadline.

Cl 00 SC 0 P0 L0 MyBallot # 119
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type GR Comment Status R

Comments on Section 3.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The commenter withdrew this comment and supplied the comments contained in this pdf in responsive format prior to the ballot deadline.

Cl 00 SC 0 P0 L0 MyBallot # 118
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type GR Comment Status R

Comments on Section 4, as notes in pdf.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The commenter withdrew this comment and supplied the comments contained in this pdf in responsive format prior to the ballot deadline.

Cl 00 SC 0 P0 L0 MyBallot # 117
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type GR Comment Status R

Section 2 comments, in pdf.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The commenter withdrew this comment and supplied the comments contained in this pdf in responsive format prior to the ballot deadline.

Cl 00 SC 0 P0 L0 MyBallot # 116
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type GR Comment Status R

Changes to Section 1, in attached pdf.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The commenter withdrew this comment and supplied the comments contained in this pdf in responsive format prior to the ballot deadline.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 00 SC 0 P0 L0 MyBallot # 115
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type GR Comment Status A
 Comments to be coming, by 13Jan2005 deadline.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT.

The comment arrived by the deadline.

Cl 00 SC 0 P0 L0 MyBallot # 110
 BRADSHAW, P D

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

Seeing as how the ballot closing has been postponed, and I have been looking hard at open-drain circuits, I just did a survey on the spelling of those resistive elements used in that environment. The result: "pull-up", 8 occurrences, "pullup" 2 occurrences (but one is apparently hyphenated to "pull-up" across a line break), "pull up" 7 occurrences. I prefer the hyphenated form, which would also appear to have won a Florida-US-Supreme-Court type victory.

The statistics for "pull-down" (5 occurrences, including plurals) vs. "pulldown" (1 occurrence, in a PICS) vs. "pull down" (0 occurrence) are even more mandative.

SuggestedRemedy

Change all occurrences of "pullup" and "pull up" to "pull-up", and of "pulldown" to "pull-down".

Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 00 SC 0 P0 L0 MyBallot # 159
 COORDINATION, SCC14

Comment Type G Comment Status A
 This large standard appears to have been prepared in conformity with metric usage as specified in IEEE/ASTM SI 10 - 2002. No problems for SCC14.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 00 SC 0 P1 L1 MyBallot # 124
 BOOTH, MR BRAD J

Comment Type G Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 Bookmarks for the annexes are not nested correctly.

SuggestedRemedy
 Correct for readability.

Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 00 SC 0 P26 L10 MyBallot # 98
 MALYKH, NICOLAI V

Comment Type E Comment Status R
 Remove reference to 802.3 as meaningless

SuggestedRemedy

Within the scope of this standard, this mode of operation allows for simultaneous communication between a pair of stations, provided that the Physical Layer is capable of supporting simultaneous transmission and reception without interference.

Proposed Response Response Status C
 REJECT.

All definitions are eventually gathered up and included in IEEE 100 Dictionary. Due to this we want to preserve the context.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 00 SC 0 P26 L28 MyBallot # 97
 MALYKH, NICOLAI V

Comment Type E Comment Status R
 Remove reference to 802.3 as meaningless

SuggestedRemedy

Multiple simultaneous transmissions in a half duplex mode CSMA/CD LAN result in interference, requiring resolution by the CSMA/CD access control protocol.

Proposed Response Response Status C
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #98.

Cl 00 SC i P0 L17 MyBallot # 160
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ1/1-i-17: The title is inconsistent with page #1, leading one to (I believe falsely) conclude that these mean different things.

SuggestedRemedy

==> Part 3: Carrier xense multiple zccess with collision detection (CSMA/CD) access method and physical layer specifications OR Part 3: Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Detection (CSMA/CD) access method and physical layer specifications And d

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The title both here and on page 1 will be corrected to match exactly that stated on the IEEE P802.3REVam PAR, "Information technology -- Telecommunications and information exchange between systems -- Local and metropolitan area networks -- specific requirements Part 3: Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Detection (CSMA/CD) Access Method and Physical Layer Specifications".

The PAR is available on the web at the URL:
 [<http://standards.ieee.org/board/nes/projects/802-3-REVam.pdf>].

Cl 00 SC iii P0 L45 MyBallot # 161
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/2-iii-45: There is no table of contents. I suspect this is because its too hard to do automatically, due to the excessively long clause names, and too error prone to do manually. However, that is not a good excuse.

SuggestedRemedy

Add table of contents, changing excessively long clause titles so they do not wrap when it is automatically generated.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

The table of contents is part of the frontmatter and therefore is not within the scope of an initial sponsor ballot. Table of contents is added is during preparation for publication - the length of the Clause titles has never been raised as an issue by the IEEE-SA Editorial staff.

Cl 01 SC 1.1 P1 L30 MyBallot # 162
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/3-1-30: The funny capitalization leads one to believe this is a special form of "international standard" when that is not the case.

SuggestedRemedy

International Standard ==> international standard

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 01 SC 1.1.1.2 Full duplex oper P2 L14 MyBallot # 4
MORALES, JOSE

Comment Type T Comment Status R

"The most common configuration envisioned for full duplex operation consists of a central bridge (also known as a switch) with a dedicated LAN connecting each bridge port to a single device.

A switch doesn't have to be a bridge (it can be a layer 3 switch). To operate in full duplex it is mandatory to be connected to a switch (bridge or not bridge).

SuggestedRemedy

"The most common configuration envisioned for full duplex operation consists of a central switch with a dedicated LAN connecting each port to a single device."

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

We believe that the current text is accurate. This is the most common configuration. There is no attempt to provide a exhaustive list of configuration here.

Cl 01 SC 1.1.2 P2 L28 MyBallot # 163
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/4-2-28: In 1-ii, the capitalization was "media access control", which is proper and inconsistent.

SuggestedRemedy

Media Access Control ==> media access control

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

We consider that the use of capitalization for important and significant terms is useful, and intend to continue its use. Such terms must be distinguished from ordinary English usage; in the context of this standard, they are proper nouns. This standard has undergone editorial coordination review by the IEEE Editorial staff many time during its development, the first version was published in 1985, and this capitalization has never been raised as an issue by them.

The usage on page ii, line 17 will be corrected, that is media access control ==> Media Access Control.

Cl 01 SC 1.1.2 P3 L7 MyBallot # 164
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/5-3-7: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.

SuggestedRemedy

Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 01 SC 1.1.2.2 P4 L8 MyBallot # 45
DAWE, PIERS J G

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

Comment: Draft has some interfaces (AUI, MMI, XAUI) 'highly recommended', others are just 'recommended'. Not sure if this is a deliberate message or an accident. For established items, it probably doesn't matter whether the standard says 'highly', and a standard should not be nuancing shades of gray.

SuggestedRemedy

For consistency, remove the remaining 'highly's.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 01 SC 1.2.1 P6 L14 MyBallot # 165
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/6-6-14: Tw, Td, and Tb are not described.

SuggestedRemedy

Describe them and illustrate their usage. Also, I think there are more operators than * and + that need to be described. If so, define them in a consistent fashion.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

Additional description of Tw, Td, and Tb for their usage in each Clause is provided in each Clause. The general definition here is appropriate for Clause 1 and need not be enhanced.

The additional operators are defined in subclause 21.5.4.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 01 SC 1.2.1 P6 L9 MyBallot # 166
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/7-6-9: Figures should not have intermixed ALL CAPS and Lower Case, as per IEEE Style Manual.

SuggestedRemedy

TERMS TO ENTER STATE ==> Terms to enter state (etc.)

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 01 SC 1.2.3 P13 L4 MyBallot # 11
 KAROCKI, PIOTR

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

"<data rate in Mb/s> <medium type> <maximum segment length (100 m)>" is valid only for small percentage of all physical layer type (only for 10base2 and 10base5).

SuggestedRemedy

Change third section to "<additional distinction>"

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This will be changed to read "<data rate> <medium type> <additional distinction>"

The data rate, if only a number, is in Mb/s, if suffixed by a "G" is in Gb/s. The medium type indicates how encoded data is transmitted on the media (e.g., BASE is baseband). The additional distinction identifies the medium characteristics and in some cases the type of PCS encoding used (e.g., "T" for twisted pair, "S" for short wavelength optics, "X" for a block PCS coding used for that speed of operation). Expansions for defined Physical Layer types are included in 1.4.

Cl 01 SC 1.2.3 P13 L44 MyBallot # 12
 KAROCKI, PIOTR

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

Add "medium type" valid options

SuggestedRemedy

"base means baseband, broad means broadband, pass mean .." (as in 10Pass-TS)

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This text will be updated to use a e.g. so it no longer has to be a maintained list. See MyBallot comment #11.

Cl 01 SC 1.2.5 P8 L4 MyBallot # 168
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/9-8-4: The notation is too redundant, in that only the subscript notation is needed, except in code, where the language defines the format and meaning.

SuggestedRemedy

Eliminate the 0x prefix, and use the more standard subscript(16) in its place.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The 0x prefix has not caused problems in the industry and the committee believes that the risk of introducing errors in attempting the recommended change is not justified by the perceived benefit.

Cl 01 SC 1.2.5 P8 L4 MyBallot # 167
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/8-8-4: The notation does not describe a common usage, which is the format of type: xx-yy-zz, which is often used to describe OUI and MAC addresses.

SuggestedRemedy

Define the xx-yy-zz hex notation, as per definitions available in RPR.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

This format has not caused problems in the industry and the committee believes that the risk of introducing errors in attempting the recommended change is not justified by the perceived benefit.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 01 SC 1.4 P20 L0 MyBallot # 13
KAROCKI, PIOTR

Comment Type G Comment Status R

For "historical reasons", maybe it is worth to add (to every PHY definition):
a) year it was added to 802.3
b) years it was commonly used (e.g. "used mainly in 1995-1996, considered obsolete in 802.3:2000 revision")
c) maybe some more info (e.g. "only used in <brandname> equipment")

Now someone can remember this info - soon no one can tell.

And, add explanation for every PHY name (10base-F: F from Fiber (?); 10base-FB: from Fiber Bridge (?), etc.)

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The dates when Clauses were added is covered in the historical list of projects in the introduction (non normative). The other requested information is very subjective and no appropriate for inclusion in this document.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.10 P15 L23 MyBallot # 47
DAWE, PIERS J G

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Problem with inconsistent punctuation. Through most of the document and the references, single-mode fiber is hyphenated, but multimode is one word. The exceptions should be cleaned up, if doing so does not put the schedule at risk. Don't use a blind global replace! - see below.

SuggestedRemedy

In 1.4.10 1.4.12 1.4.18 1.4.22 and 1.4.23, 'single mode' should be 'single-mode'. Also 52.6 52.7 (twice) 52.8 52.9.6.3 52.14.1 (twice) 52.14.2 (twice) 52.14.2.1 52.14.4 53.14.1 53.14.3, 59.7.10, 59.9.3 (twice), 60.7.9 (this subclause is mis-numbered), and 60.10.4.7. In 59.9.3, 'multi-mode' should be changed to 'multimode'. By contrast, in 37.1.1 'a single mode of operation' should NOT be changed.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

To do this would put the schedule at risk and therefore we do not want to do this at this time.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.110 P22 L9 MyBallot # 174
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/15-22-9: In 1-ii, the capitalization was "media access control", which is proper and inconsistent.

SuggestedRemedy

Media Access Control ==> media access control

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #163.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.148 P24 L45 MyBallot # 175
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/16-24-45: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization makes it seem different, which it is not.

SuggestedRemedy

Extinction Ratio ==> extinction ratio

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.153 P25 L6 MyBallot # 176
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/17-25-6: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization makes it seem different, which it is not.

SuggestedRemedy

Extinction Ratio ==> extinction ratio

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See Myballot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 01 SC 1.4.206 P28 L40 MyBallot # 177
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/18-28-40: The capitalization is inconsistent, leading one to believe there are two types of MACs.

SuggestedRemedy

Media Access Control ==> media access control Here and throughout (except where this is already lower case).

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

We consider that the use of capitalization for important and significant terms is useful, and intend to continue its use. Such terms must be distinguished from ordinary English usage; in the context of this standard, they are proper nouns. This standard has undergone editorial coordination review by the IEEE Editorial staff many time during its development, the first version was published in 1985, and this capitalization has never been raised as an issue by them.

The committee recommends that the IEEE-SA editor correct this globally, that is 'media access control' ==>' Media Access Control'.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.224 P29 L38 MyBallot # 178
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/19-29-38: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization makes it seem different, which it is not.

SuggestedRemedy

Non-Return-to-Zero, Invert on Ones (NRZI): ==> non-return-to-zero, invert on ones (NRZI):

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.230 P30 L4 MyBallot # 179
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/20-30-4: This is not the standard way of defining acronyms.

SuggestedRemedy

Define acronyms in the acronym subclause

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

NLP - Normal Link Pulse is indeed defined in the acronyms subclause (see subclause 1.5).

Cl 01 SC 1.4.253 P31 L26 MyBallot # 180
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/21-31-26: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization makes it seem different, which it is not.

SuggestedRemedy

Passive-Star Coupler ==> passive-star coupler

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.275 P33 L1 MyBallot # 183
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/24-33-1: In 1-ii, the capitalization was "media access control", which is proper and inconsistent.

SuggestedRemedy

Media Access Control ==> media access control

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #163.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 01 SC 1.4.288 P33 L43 MyBallot # 182
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/23-33-43: In 1-ii, the capitalization was "media access control", which is proper and inconsistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Media Access Control ==> media access control
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #163.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.301 P34 L34 MyBallot # 184
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/25-34-34: In 1-ii, the capitalization was "media access control", which is proper and inconsistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Media Access Control ==> media access control
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #163.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.288 P33 L46 MyBallot # 181
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/22-33-46: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization makes it seem different, which it is not.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Reflectance ==> reflectance
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.325 P36 L28 MyBallot # 185
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/26-38-28: In 1-ii, the capitalization was "media access control", which is proper and inconsistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Media Access Control ==> media access control
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #163.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.301 P34 L31 MyBallot # 56
 DAWE, PIERS J G
 Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 Definition of rms spectral width is misleading. As defined by ANSI/EIA/TIA 455-127, it's not the range but half the range (unfortunately - a cause of confusion).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Option 1: 'The standard deviation of an optical spectrum, as defined by ANSI/EIA/TIA 455-127-1991 (FOTP-127) [B8].'
 Option 2: 'A measure of the optical wavelength range as defined by ANSI/EIA/TIA 455-127-1991 (FOTP-127) [B8].'
 Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Option 2 will be used.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.330 P42 L45 MyBallot # 9
 KAROCKI, PIOTR
 Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 intermixed "MBd" and "MBaud" - should be all the same (MBd, MBaud, or mega baud).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change all to MBd, and add to baud definition its abbreviation (I know, everyone knows what means Bd, but for clarity...)
 Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 01 SC 1.4.4 P15 L3 MyBallot # 169
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ1/10-15-3: Inconsistent notation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use Figure-1b or Figure.1b, but not both notations.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change 'Figure 17.3' to read 'Clause 17'.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.72 P19 L24 MyBallot # 170
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/11-19-24: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization makes it seem different, which it is not.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Binary Phase Shift Keying ==> binary phase shift keying
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.76 P19 L39 MyBallot # 172
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/13-19-39: In 1-ii, the capitalization was "media access control", which is proper and inconsistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Media Access Control ==> media access control
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #163.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.78 P19 L44 MyBallot # 171
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/12-19-44: In 1-ii, the capitalization was "media access control", which is proper and inconsistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Media Access Control ==> media access control
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #163.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.82 P20 L1 MyBallot # 173
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/14-20-1: In 1-ii, the capitalization was "media access control", which is proper and inconsistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Media Access Control ==> media access control
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #163.

Cl 01 SC 1.5 P40 L39 MyBallot # 186
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/27-40-39: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization makes it seem different, which it is not.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Multiplexer ==> multiplexer
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 01 SC 1.5 P41 L18 MyBallot # 189
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/30-41-18: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization makes it seem different, which it is not.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Phase Locked Loop ==> phase locked loop
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 01 SC 1.5 P41 L8 MyBallot # 190
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/31-41-8: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization makes it seem different, which it is not.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Printed Circuit Board ==> printed circuit board
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 01 SC 1.5 P41 L27 MyBallot # 188
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/29-41-27: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization makes it seem different, which it is not.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Pseudo Random Bit Sequence ==> pseudo random bit sequence
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 01 SC 1.5 P42 L12 MyBallot # 192
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/33-42-12: Wrong definition.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Type/Length/Value ==> Type/Length value
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 This is not related to the Type/Length filed interpretation but an widely used encoding for protocols data within a frame that consists of a Type field, a Length field and a Value field.

Cl 01 SC 1.5 P41 L49 MyBallot # 187
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/28-41-49: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization makes it seem different, which it is not.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Signal To Noise Ratio ==> signal to noise ratio
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 01 SC 1.5 P42 L16 MyBallot # 191
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/32-42-16: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization makes it seem different, which it is not.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Unit Interval ==> unit interval
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 01 SC 1.5 P43 L1 MyBallot # 194
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/35-43-1: In 1-ii, the capitalization was "media access control", which is proper and inconsistent.

SuggestedRemedy
 Media Access Control ==> Media access control

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #163.

Cl 02 SC 2.1 P43 L5 MyBallot # 193
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/34-43-5: In 1-ii, the capitalization was "media access control", which is proper and inconsistent.

SuggestedRemedy
 Media Access Control ==> media access control

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #163.

Cl 02 SC 2.3.4.4 P49 L1 MyBallot # 196
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/37-49-1: Great! This is the proper use of this inconsistent capitalization. However, should include the acronym on first usage, even if in the title.

SuggestedRemedy
 Media access control ==> media access control (MAC)

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #200.

Cl 03 SC 3.1.1 P49 L21 MyBallot # 195
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R
 DVJ1/36-49-21: This figure is _extremely_ confusing. The industry places the MSB on the left and the LSB on the right. Doing otherwise is _highly_ confusing.

SuggestedRemedy
 Show the MSB on the left, change notation to: TRANSMITTED LSB-to-MSB

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

The ability of hundreds of implementers to independently build interoperable MACs with hundreds of millions of installed product demonstrates that the representation used is not a problem.

Changing this figure at this point in the maturity of Ethernet would be highly confusing to the entire community.

Cl 03 SC 3.2.3 P50 L21 MyBallot # 99
 MALYKH, NICOLAI V

Comment Type E Comment Status R
 Mistype error

SuggestedRemedy
 The Destination Address field shall specify the destination addresse(es) for which the frame is intended.

Proposed Response Response Status C
 REJECT.

The singular is addressee and the plural addressees therefore the current text 'addressee(s)' is correct.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 03 SC 3.2.3 P50 L34 MyBallot # 197
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ1/38-50-34: As Mick Seaman has noted, the transmission order for multibit interconnects is *_very_* hard to define.

SuggestedRemedy

Show these bits in their MSB-to-LSB order and illustrate how they are transmitted on a bit-serial media.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The ability of hundreds of implementers to independently build interoperable MACs with hundreds of millions of installed product demonstrates that the representation used is not a problem.

It should also be noted that many of the IEEE Std 802.3 PHYs are not serial and therefore adding a figure that illustrated a bit mapping to a serial transmission would be highly confusing to the entire community.

Cl 03 SC 3.2.8 P52 L23 MyBallot # 10
 KAROCKI, PIOTR

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

"LLC data" - but clause 3.2.7 has title "Data and Pad fields", and Figure 3.1 (page 55) has "Mac client data". And, on Figure 1.1 (page 9) is "LLC or other MAC client". So, it can be "other MAC client" 's data, not only LLC data.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "MAC client data" or simply to "data".

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to simply to "data".

Cl 03 SC 3.5 P54 L8 MyBallot # 198
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/39-54-8: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.

SuggestedRemedy

Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 03 SC 3.5 P59 L33 MyBallot # 44
 BARRASS, HUGH

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Items b & c in enumerated list imply that the Qtag always increases the frame size - i.e. that the PAD field should be unchanged by the addition of the Qtag and the new "effective" minimum frame size is therefore 68 octets. This is contradicted by the diagram in Fig 3-1 that shows [MAC CLIENT DATA & PAD] as being 42-1500 octets (instead of 46-1500 octets) and by 3.5.7 that states "For tagged MAC frames, the value of n in the PAD field calculation may be either the length of the MAC Client Data or the combined length of MAC Client Data and QTag Prefix."

SuggestedRemedy

change 3.5 item c) to "The length of the frame might be extended by 4 octets by the QTag Prefix." add after item b) "The Pad field might be reduced by 4 bytes to allow for the addition of a Qtag field."

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Figure 3-1 'MAC frame format' does not show [MAC CLIENT DATA & PAD] as being 42-1500, it shows 46-1500. If you have Tagged frame where the MAC CLIENT DATA & PAD is 42 bytes and the Tag is stripped the MAC sending the stripped frame must add additional PAD to meet the 46 byte minimum shown in Figure 3-1.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 03 SC 3.5.9 P57 L1 MyBallot # 199
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/40-57-1: Inconsisten with clause 3 title.

SuggestedRemedy
 Media Access Control ==> Media access control (MAC)

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #200.

Cl 04 SC 4.1.2.1.1 P64 L44 MyBallot # 14
 KAROCKI, PIOTR

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 Only question (as I didnt read whole standard yet): "In half duplex mode, at an operating speed of 1000 Mb/s, the minimum frame size is insufficient" - of 1Gb/s, and only this speed? In 10Gb/s it is sufficient?

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

In answer to your question, the minimum frame size is sufficient for operation at 10Gb/s as 10Gb/s only supports full-duplex operation.

Cl 04 SC 4.1.3 P60 L31 MyBallot # 200
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/41-60-31: Inconsistent with Clause 3 title.

SuggestedRemedy
 Media Access Control ==> media access control

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

The capitalization of the Clause 3 title will be corrected to match Clause 4, that is "Media access control frame structure" ==> "Media Access Control frame structure".

We consider that the use of capitalization for important and significant terms is useful, and intend to continue its use. Such terms must be distinguished from ordinary English usage; in the context of this standard, they are proper nouns. This standard has undergone editorial coordination review by the IEEE Editorial staff many time during its development, the first version was published in 1985, and this capitalization has never been raised as an issue by them.

The committee recommends that the IEEE-SA editor correct this globally, that is 'media access control' ==>' Media Access Control'.

Cl 04 SC 4.2.2.3 P63 L21 MyBallot # 100
 BROWN, BENJAMIN J

Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 Part 1: The first figure referenced in this clause is Figure 4-2

SuggestedRemedy
 All figure references should be corrected to be in order

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 04 SC 4.2.3.4 P71 L35 MyBallot # 201
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ1/42-71-35: What is this proper noun? Its not defined in the glossary.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Duration of Carrier Event ==> duration of carrier event
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT.
 This also needs to be corrected on line 7 of this page.

Cl 04 SC 4.3.3 P90 L22 MyBallot # 202
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 DVJ1/43-90-22: These unfilled table cells are confusing and look like possible don't cares.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Eliminate the Function column, since it can be included in the title. 2) Straddle other cells as appropriate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #203.

Cl 04 SC 4.3.3 P90 L22 MyBallot # 203
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ1/44-90-22: These unfilled table cells are confusing and look like possible don't cares.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Eliminate the Function column, since it can be included in the title. 2) Straddle other cells as appropriate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 The lines will be eliminated such that this becomes a two line table. The information under the headings are in fact lists.

Cl 06 SC 6.1 P113 L13 MyBallot # 204
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/45-113-13: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 06 SC 6.3.1.1.2 P114 L38 MyBallot # 205
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/46-114-38: Inconsistent with Clause 3 title.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Media Access Control ==> media access control
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #200.

Cl 07 SC 7.1 P117 L22 MyBallot # 206
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/47-117-22: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 07 SC 7.2.1 P119 L9 MyBallot # 207
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/48-119-9: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 07 SC 7.2.1.1.5 P121 L33 MyBallot # 208
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/49-121-33: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 07 SC 7.2.1.1.5 P122 L15 MyBallot # 210
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ1/51-122-15: Breaking a names across and arrow is unacceptable: it looks like two names.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Don't break: SET_MONITOR_MODE across the arrow.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT.

Cl 07 SC 7.2.1.1.5 P122 L29 MyBallot # 209
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/50-122-29: Special words should not be hyphenated across lines.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make the special (italics) work nonbreaking: normal.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 07 SC 7.2.2.2.3 P127 L27 MyBallot # 211
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/52-127-27: Be consistent on message names: use an underscore always.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) ACTIVATE PHYSICAL ==> ACTIVATE_PHYSICAL 2) DEACTIVATE PHYSICAL ==> DEACTIVATE_PHYSICAL and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

The standard is in wide use with many interoperable implementations, and the current style has not been a detriment to interoperable implementation. Message names have been used in many different design systems.

Also, the committee has an obligation to both past and current users of the standard. In this case, the message names are likely to have been used in product documentation, and a change would be a disservice to users of the current standard.

Cl 07 SC 7.2.4.2 P129 L27 MyBallot # 212
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R
 DVJ1/53-129-27: State machine names should be computer compatible and easily parsed, as well as consistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 BIT_BUCKET ==> BIT_BUCKET (similar changes throughout)
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 07 SC 7.2.4.2 P129 L40 MyBallot # 213
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **A** IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

DVJ1/54-129-40: Based on the early state machine definition, '+' is a logical symbol, which is not (i believe) what is intended.

SuggestedRemedy

Use consistent state machine notation throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The definition in subclause 1.2 and 21.5 for '+' will be changed to read '+ logical OR, arithmetic addition'.

Cl 07 SC 7.2.4.6 P131 L11 MyBallot # 214
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R**

DVJ1/55-131-11: State machine names should be computer compatible and easily parsed, as well as consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

NO ERROR ==> NO_ERROR (similar changes throughout)

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 07 SC 7.3.1.1 P132 L10 MyBallot # 215
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R**

DVJ1/56-132-10: State machine names should be computer compatible and easily parsed, as well as consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

RECEIVE COLLISION ==> RECEIVE_COLLISION (similar changes throughout)

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 08 SC 8.3.1.3 P158 L11 MyBallot # 217
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

DVJ1/58-158-11: Be consistent, compatible with code, and clear.

SuggestedRemedy

POWER ON ==> POWER_ON

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #255.

Cl 08 SC 8.3.1.3 P158 L4 MyBallot # 216
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

DVJ1/57-158-4: Be consistent, compatible with code, and clear.

SuggestedRemedy

Choose one of: RESET IDLE POWER_ON And use consistently as the start state of all machines. IDLE is used too many other ways, so RESET may be preferred.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #255.

Cl 08 SC 8.3.1.3 P159 L13 MyBallot # 218
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

DVJ1/59-159-13: Be consistent, compatible with code, and clear.

SuggestedRemedy

Transmitter Idle ==> TRANSMITTER_IDLE

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #255.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 08 SC 8.3.1.3 P161 L2 MyBallot # 220
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/61-161-2: Be consistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Power On ==> PowerOn
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #255.

Cl 08 SC 8.3.1.3 P161 L4 MyBallot # 219
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/60-161-4: Be consistent, compatible with code, and clear.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NO OUTPUT ==> NO_OUTPUT
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #255.

Cl 08 SC 8.3.1.3 P162 L22 MyBallot # 222
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/63-162-22: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 SC 8.3.1.3 P162 L33 MyBallot # 221
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/62-162-33: Bad alignment
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put a line break before the first note, so that the numbered items are consistently aligned.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 SC 8.4.1.1 P164 L39 MyBallot # 224
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/65-164-39: Bad hyphen
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use nonbreaking hyphen.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 SC 8.4.1.1 P164 L43 MyBallot # 223
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/64-164-43: Confusing syntax
 SuggestedRemedy
 8.4.2.1.1 b ==> 8.4.2.1.1 (b) and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 08 SC 8.4.1.5 P165 L27 MyBallot # 225
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/66-165-27: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 SC 8.4.2.1.3 P166 L39 MyBallot # 226
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/67-166-39: Confusing cross-reference

SuggestedRemedy

8.4.2.1d) ==> 8.4.2.1(d) or 8.4.2.1-d Here and throughtout.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 SC 8.5.3.1 P169 L1 MyBallot # 227
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/68-169-1: Inconsistent italics. There is no need for italics in these headings, and this confuses the meaning of italics used to connotate special meanings other places.

SuggestedRemedy

Eliminate italics in list-item names.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #255.

Cl 08 SC 8.5.3.2.2 P169 L39 MyBallot # 228
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/69-169-39: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 SC 8.6.2.1 P171 L10 MyBallot # 230
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/71-171-10: Inconsistent normative statements.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 'recommendations' to 'should', with appropriate surrounding wording.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #255.

Cl 08 SC 8.6.2.3 P171 L52 MyBallot # 229
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/70-171-52: Inconsistent italics. There is no need for italics in these headings, and this confuses the meaning of italics used to connotate special meanings other places.

SuggestedRemedy

Eliminate italics in list-item names.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #255.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 08 **SC 8.7.3.1** **P174** **L 20** MyBallot # **231**
 JAMES, DAVID V
Comment Type **ER** **Comment Status** **A** *IEEE-SA Editor*
 DVJ1/72-174-20: Bad line break.
SuggestedRemedy
 Use nonbreaking space within '1 GHz'
Proposed Response **Response Status** **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 **SC 8.7.5** **P175** **L 1** MyBallot # **232**
 JAMES, DAVID V
Comment Type **ER** **Comment Status** **A** *IEEE-SA Editor*
 DVJ1/73-175-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence.
Proposed Response **Response Status** **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 **SC 8.7.5** **P175** **L 1** MyBallot # **233**
 JAMES, DAVID V
Comment Type **ER** **Comment Status** **R**
 DVJ1/74-175-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).
SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 8, ... ==> ... Clause 68
Proposed Response **Response Status** **W**
 REJECT.

The commenter's assumption is wrong. Long titles can be produced in a TOC (see IEEE Std 802.3-2002). The TOC is produced as part of the publication process, it is part of the front matter and therefore not within the scope of this ballot. A TOC will be produced by the publication editor prior to publication.
 In the case of a PICS title, the PICS is intended for use as a separate document -- filled out by an implementer. The clause name is useful to the recipients of the completed PICS.

Cl 08 **SC 8.8.3.2** **P176** **L 26** MyBallot # **234**
 JAMES, DAVID V
Comment Type **ER** **Comment Status** **A** *IEEE-SA Editor*
 DVJ1/75-176-26: Inconsistent capitalization, which looks like a typo. Also, inconsistent sentence structure.
SuggestedRemedy
 PIXIT (Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing) ==> protocol implementation extra information for testing (PIXIT)
Proposed Response **Response Status** **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 08 SC 8.8.6.1 P178 L13 MyBallot # 237
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/78-178-13: A subclause number with "/2" is very confusing.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Explain with a footnote. 2) Delete. Here and throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The number after the '/' references the PICS item which is a major capability option.

As noted at the beginning of the clause, the content is not recommended for new implementations. Consequently, changes to the clause will only make them less familiar to the users of the clause that created and use those legacy implementations. In addition, the committee consensus is that risks of introducing errors when making the proposed change to a stable part of the standard outweigh any benefits.

Cl 08 SC 8.8.6.1 P178 L5 MyBallot # 235
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/76-178-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

NoRemedySupplied

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 SC 8.8.6.10 P184 L5 MyBallot # 248
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/89-184-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

NoRemedySupplied

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 SC 8.8.6.10 P184 L9 MyBallot # 246
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/87-184-9: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

This entry in the table is empty because there is no value or comment necessary. The committee believes this is obvious to the reader and that the addition of extra characters decreases readability.

Cl 08 SC 8.8.6.11 P184 L35 MyBallot # 247
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/88-184-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

NoRemedySupplied

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 SC 8.8.6.2 P178 L23 MyBallot # 236
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/77-178-23: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

NoRemedySupplied

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

CI 08 SC 8.8.6.3 P179 L5 MyBallot # 239
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/80-179-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 08 SC 8.8.6.5 P180 L38 MyBallot # 241
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/82-180-38: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 08 SC 8.8.6.3 P179 L6 MyBallot # 238
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/79-179-6: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #246.

CI 08 SC 8.8.6.6 P181 L4 MyBallot # 242
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/83-181-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 08 SC 8.8.6.4 P180 L6 MyBallot # 240
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/81-180-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 08 SC 8.8.6.7 P182 L4 MyBallot # 243
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/84-182-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 08 SC 8.8.6.8 P183 L4 MyBallot # 244
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/85-183-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 SC 8.8.7.2 P185 L18 MyBallot # 249
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/90-185-18: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 SC 8.8.6.9 P183 L29 MyBallot # 245
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/86-183-29: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 SC 8.8.7.3 P186 L3 MyBallot # 251
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/92-186-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 SC 8.8.7.1 P185 L7 MyBallot # 250
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/91-185-7: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 SC 8.8.7.4 P187 L4 MyBallot # 253
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/94-187-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 08 SC 8.8.7.5 P187 L35 MyBallot # 252
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/93-187-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 SC 8.8.7.6 P188 L16 MyBallot # 255
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/96-188-16: This table is very confusing, with the header switch in the center.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Split into two tables, so each has its own header. 2) Sort the pins in numerical order.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 As noted at the beginning of the clause, the content is not recommended for new implementations. Consequently, changes to the clause will only make them less familiar to the users of the clause that created and use those legacy implementations. In addition, the committee consensus is that risks of introducing errors when making the proposed change to a stable part of the standard outweigh any benefits.

Cl 08 SC 8.8.7.6 P188 L4 MyBallot # 254
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/95-188-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 SC 8.8.8.1 P189 L24 MyBallot # 257
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/98-189-24: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #246.

Cl 08 SC 8.8.8.1 P189 L24 MyBallot # 260
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/101-189-24: Confusing/unnecessary notation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Eliminate the "a)", etc in descriptions. If this is a necessary part of the cross reference, then include this after the subclause number. The same changes throughtout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #255.

Cl 08 SC 8.8.8.1 P189 L37 MyBallot # 259
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/100-189-37: Confusing/unnecessary notation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Eliminate the "a)", etc in descriptions. If this is a necessary part of the cross reference, then include this after the subclause number. The same changes throughtout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #255.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 08 SC **8.8.8.1** P**189** L**42** MyBallot # **256**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/97-189-42: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 SC **8.8.8.1** P**189** L**6** MyBallot # **258**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/99-189-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 SC **8.8.8.1** P**190** L**15** MyBallot # **261**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**
 DVJ1/102-190-15: Confusing/unnecessary notation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Eliminate the "a)", etc in descriptions. If this is a necessary part of the cross reference, then include this after the subclause number. The same changes throughtout.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #255.

Cl 09 SC **9.1** P**191** L**38** MyBallot # **262**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/103-191-38: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 09 SC **9.6.6.2** P**203** L**4** MyBallot # **263**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**
 DVJ1/104-203-4: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 COUNT CLEAR ==> COUNT_CLEAR and similar changes throughtout.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 09 SC **9.9.1.1** P**206** L**37** MyBallot # **264**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**
 DVJ1/105-206-37: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #267.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 09 SC 9.9.4.1.5 P215 L8 MyBallot # 266
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R
 DVJ1/107-215-8: I'm surprized this doesn't have a RESET state, when others do. What's up?

SuggestedRemedy
 Explain the difference between IDLE and RESET states, or use RESET notation throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

As noted at the beginning of subclause 9.9, the content has been superceded by Clause 18. Consequently, changes to the subclause will only make them less familiar to the users of the subclause that created and use those legacy implementations. In addition, the committee consensus is that risks of introducing errors when making the proposed change to a stable part of the standard outweigh any benefits.

Cl 09 SC 9.9.4.1.5 P215 L9 MyBallot # 265
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R
 DVJ1/106-215-9: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.

SuggestedRemedy
 TRANSMIT_TIMER C ==> TRANSMIT_TIMER_C

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 09 SC 9.9.6.2 P219 L27 MyBallot # 267
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/108-219-27: Misleading capitalization errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Bit Loss ==> Bit loss Invalid Bits ==> Invalid bits Steady-State Propagation Delay==>Steady-state propagation delay Start-Up Delay==>Start-up delay

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

As noted at the beginning of subclause 9.9, the content has been superceded by Clause 18. Consequently, changes to the subclause will only make them less familiar to the users of the subclause that created and use those legacy implementations. In addition, the committee consensus is that risks of introducing errors when making the proposed change to a stable part of the standard outweigh any benefits.

Cl 10 SC 10.1.1 P223 L24 MyBallot # 268
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/109-223-24: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.

SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 10 SC 10.3.1.1 P226 L29 MyBallot # 269
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/110-226-29: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy
 OUTPUT_IDLE ==> OUTPUT_IDLE and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 10 SC 10.3.2.2 P229 L36 MyBallot # 270
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/111-229-36: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy
 UNJAB_WAIT ==> UNJAB_WAIT and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 10 SC 10.4.1.3 P 232 L 49 MyBallot # 271
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/112-232-49: Font is wrong and inconsistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==> 8-point Arial
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 11 SC 11.1.1 P 243 L 28 MyBallot # 274
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/115-243-28: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 10 SC 10.4.1.3 P 232 L 49 MyBallot # 272
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/113-232-49: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 11 SC 11.1.1 P 244 L 11 MyBallot # 275
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/116-244-11: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 10 SC 10.6 P 237 L 29 MyBallot # 273
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/114-237-29: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 11 SC 11.2.3.1 P 251 L 4 MyBallot # 276
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R
 DVJ1/117-251-4: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 BEGIN RX ==> BEGIN_RX and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 11 SC 11.3.1.2.3 P 256 L 39 MyBallot # 277
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/118-256-39: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 12 SC 12.2.1 P 273 L 18 MyBallot # 280
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/121-273-18: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 11 SC 11.3.1.2.4 P 257 L 25 MyBallot # 278
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/119-257-25: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 12 SC 12.2.3.2 P 275 L 25 MyBallot # 281
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/122-275-25: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 12 SC 12.1.2 P 271 L 24 MyBallot # 279
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/120-271-24: Inconsistent with Clause 3 title.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Media Access Control ==> media access control
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #200.

Cl 12 SC 12.3.2.3 P 279 L 16 MyBallot # 282
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/123-279-16: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 FETCH BIT ==> FETACH_BIT and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #255.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 12 SC 12.3.2.3.3 P280 L40 MyBallot # 283
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/124-280-40: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ERROR HOLD ==> ERROR_HOLD and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #255.

Cl 12 SC 12.4.3.2.3 P287 L8 MyBallot # 286
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/127-287-8: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 UPWARD ACTIVE ==> UPWARD_ACTIVE and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #255.

Cl 12 SC 12.3.2.3.3 P280 L8 MyBallot # 284
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/125-280-8: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 BIT BUCKET ==> BIT_BUCKET and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #255.

Cl 12 SC 12.4.3.2.3 P288 L46 MyBallot # 287
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/128-288-46: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 DOWNWARD ACTIVE ==> DOWNWARD_ACTIVE and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #255.

Cl 12 SC 12.3.2.3.5 P281 L9 MyBallot # 285
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/126-281-9: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 CARRIER OFF HOLD ==> CARRIER_OFF_HOLD and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #255.

Cl 12 SC 12.4.3.2.3 P288 L9 MyBallot # 288
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/129-288-9: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 JABBER WATCH ==> JABBER_WATCH and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #255.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 12 SC 12.5.3.1.2 P 294 L 44 MyBallot # 289
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/130-294-44: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 12 SC 12.5.3.1.6 P 296 L 20 MyBallot # 292
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/133-296-20: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 12 SC 12.5.3.1.4 P 295 L 17 MyBallot # 291
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/132-295-17: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 12 SC 12.5.3.2.5 P 298 L 11 MyBallot # 293
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/134-298-11: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 12 SC 12.5.3.1.5 P 295 L 35 MyBallot # 290
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/131-295-35: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 13 SC 13.3 P 309 L 3 MyBallot # 294
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/135-309-3: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Repeater Set ==> Repeater set Link Segments ==> Link segments
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 13 SC 13.3 P310 L12 MyBallot # 298
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/139-310-12: Confusing/unnecessary abbreviations

SuggestedRemedy
 Coax Seg ==> Coax segment
 Link Seg ==> Link segment

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

This figure has been in existence for many years. The uncounted number of users, and hundreds of millions of installed devices, indicate configuration of proper Ethernet topologies has not been impeded by these abbreviations.

Cl 13 SC 13.3 P310 L23 MyBallot # 297
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/138-310-23: Misleading capitalization errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Repeater Set ==> Repeater set Link Segment ==> Link segment Coax ... Segment ==> Coax ... segment Fiber Optic Link ==> Fiber optic link

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 13 SC 13.3 P310 L38 MyBallot # 296
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ1/137-310-38: Right side of figure is missing

SuggestedRemedy
 Fix it.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT.

Cl 13 SC 13.3 P310 L44 MyBallot # 295
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ1/136-310-44: Bottom side of figure is missing.

SuggestedRemedy
 Fix it

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT.

Cl 13 SC 13.3 P310 L7 MyBallot # 299
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/140-310-7: Misleading capitalization errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Repeater Set ==> Repeater set Link Segments ==> Link segments

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 13 SC 13.3 P311 L7 MyBallot # 300
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/141-311-7: Misleading capitalization errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Repeater Set ==> Repeater set Link Segment ==> Link segment Fiber Optic Link ==> Fiber optic link

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 13 SC 13.3 P312 L18 MyBallot # 301
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/142-312-18: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Repeater Set ==> Repeater set Fiber Optic Mixing Segment==> Fiber optic mixing segment
 Fiber Optic Link Segment==> Fiber optic link segment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 13 SC 13.3 P313 L13 MyBallot # 302
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/143-313-13: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Repeater Set ==> Repeater set Fiber Optic Mixing Segment==> Fiber optic mixing segment
 Fiber Optic Link Segment==> Fiber optic link segment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 13 SC 13.4.1.2 P314 L51 MyBallot # 303
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/144-314-51: The mathematical meaningof "Round" - "Trip" is not what was intended.
 SuggestedRemedy
 A convention for these field names is needed. Suggestion is either: Round-Trip ==>
 roundTrip OR 'Round Trip', using single quotes
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 This text has been in existence for many years. The uncounted number of users, and
 hundreds of millions of installed devices, indicate configuration of proper Ethernet topologies
 has not been impeded by this name.

Cl 14 SC 14.1.1 P317 L29 MyBallot # 305
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/146-317-29: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.1.1 P317 L39 MyBallot # 304
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/145-317-39: Confusing term: is this a proper noun? Is this a special pair?
 SuggestedRemedy
 Twisted-Pair Link Segment ==> Twisted-pair link segment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.1.2 P318 L29 MyBallot # 307
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ1/148-318-29: The meaning of "See 1.4" is unclear. What is there? Other definitions?
 Clearer definitions?
 SuggestedRemedy
 Clarify, with something like: See 1.4 for additional definitions
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Will add the text 'See 1.4 for additional definitions'.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.1.2 P318 L31 MyBallot # 306
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ1/147-318-31: Funny text-processor errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Delete these "<=0>" symbols. I suspect its nonstandard FrameMaker template usage problems.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT.

Cl 14 SC 14.1.3.1 P319 L11 MyBallot # 309
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/150-319-11: Twisted-Pair Link Segment

SuggestedRemedy
 Twisted-Pair Link Segment==>Twisted-pair link segment Twisted-Pair Link Segment==>Twisted-pair link

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.1.3.1 P319 L32 MyBallot # 308
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/149-319-32: Twisted Pairs

SuggestedRemedy
 Twisted Pairs==>Twisted pairs
 Twisted-Pair Link Segment==>Twisted-pair link segment
 Twisted-Pair Link==>Twisted-pair link

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.1.3.1 P319 L8 MyBallot # 310
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/151-319-8: Twisted Pairs

SuggestedRemedy
 Twisted pairs

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.1 P349 L8 MyBallot # 345
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/186-349-8: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.1.3 P349 L41 MyBallot # 344
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/185-349-41: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.3 P351 L37 MyBallot # 348
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/189-351-37: Inconsistent capitalization, which looks like a typo. Also, inconsistent sentence structure.

SuggestedRemedy

PIXIT (Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing) ==> protocol implementation extra information for testing (PIXIT)

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.1 P352 L10 MyBallot # 353
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/194-352-10: Inconsistent notation.

SuggestedRemedy

Put letters before numbers, as done elsewhere.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The format for PICS tables has changed during the decades in which IEEE Std 802.3 has been used. As pointed out in various comments, PICS formats vary within this document, including column headings, column order and PICS item numbering. Consideration must be given to both the legacy users of the document and future users of the document. Completed PICS may also be used in contracts.

The IEEE P802.3REVam task force believes conversion of older PICs tables to current format would create too high a probability of introducing errors in very stable portions of the standard and make the material less familiar to legacy users.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.1 P352 L12 MyBallot # 349
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/190-352-12: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.1 P352 L6 MyBallot # 354
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/195-352-6: No column label

SuggestedRemedy

Provide column label

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.1 P352 L6 MyBallot # 351
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/192-352-6: Inconsistent table header names/order.

SuggestedRemedy

All of the PICS should have tables with the same header names and orders. Do this!

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.1 P352 L6 MyBallot # 350
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/191-352-6: Inconsistent table header fonts.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make these bold.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.10 P357 L21 MyBallot # 388
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/229-357-21: No column label
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide column label
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.1 P352 L7 MyBallot # 352
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/193-352-7: Inconsistent title
 SuggestedRemedy
 Imp ==> Status
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.10 P357 L24 MyBallot # 383
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/224-357-24: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.1 P352 L9 MyBallot # 355
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/196-352-9: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #246.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.11 P357 L30 MyBallot # 387
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/228-357-30: No column label
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide column label
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.11 P357 L32 MyBallot # 386
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/227-357-32: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.

SuggestedRemedy
 Add a couple of prefix letters.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.11 P357 L33 MyBallot # 382
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/223-357-33: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.11 P357 L38 MyBallot # 381
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/222-357-38: No column label

SuggestedRemedy
 Provide column label

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.12 P358 L27 MyBallot # 390
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/231-358-27: Confusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.12 P358 L4 MyBallot # 393
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/234-358-4: No column label

SuggestedRemedy
 Provide column label

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.12 P358 L6 MyBallot # 392
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/233-358-6: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.

SuggestedRemedy
 Add a couple of prefix letters.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.12 P358 L9 MyBallot # 391
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/232-358-9: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.13 P359 L4 MyBallot # 398
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/239-359-4: No column label
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide column label
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.13 P359 L19 MyBallot # 394
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/235-359-19: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.13 P359 L7 MyBallot # 397
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/238-359-7: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a couple of prefix letters.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.13 P359 L29 MyBallot # 395
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/236-359-29: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.13 P359 L8 MyBallot # 396
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/237-359-8: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.14 P360 L11 MyBallot # 400
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/241-360-11: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.14 P360 L23 MyBallot # 399
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/240-360-23: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.14 P360 L34 MyBallot # 405
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/246-360-34: No column label
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide column label
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.14 P360 L36 MyBallot # 404
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/245-360-36: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row
 can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a couple of prefix letters.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.14 P360 L38 MyBallot # 401
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/242-360-38: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.14 P360 L5 MyBallot # 406
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/247-360-5: No column label
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide column label
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.14 P360 L7 MyBallot # 403
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/244-360-7: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a couple of prefix letters.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.14 P360 L8 MyBallot # 402
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/243-360-8: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.2 P353 L4 MyBallot # 361
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/202-353-4: No column label

SuggestedRemedy

Provide column label

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.2 P353 L6 MyBallot # 358
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/199-353-6: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a couple of prefix letters.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.2 P353 L8 MyBallot # 357
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/198-353-8: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.3 P353 L29 MyBallot # 360
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/201-353-29: No column label

SuggestedRemedy

Provide column label

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.3 P353 L30 MyBallot # 359
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/200-353-30: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a couple of prefix letters.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.3 P353 L32 MyBallot # 356
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/197-353-32: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.4 P354 L11 MyBallot # 363
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/204-354-11: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.4 P354 L7 MyBallot # 367
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/208-354-7: No column label
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide column label
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.4 P354 L9 MyBallot # 364
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/205-354-9: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a couple of prefix letters.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.5 P354 L29 MyBallot # 366
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/207-354-29: No column label
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide column label
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.5 P354 L30 MyBallot # 365
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/206-354-30: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a couple of prefix letters.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.5 P354 L33 MyBallot # 362
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/203-354-33: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.6 P355 L10 MyBallot # 369
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/210-355-10: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.6 P355 L11 MyBallot # 373
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/214-355-11: No column label
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide column label
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.6 P355 L7 MyBallot # 374
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/215-355-7: No column label
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide column label
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.6 P355 L9 MyBallot # 370
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/211-355-9: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a couple of prefix letters.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.7 P355 L23 MyBallot # 372
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/213-355-23: No column label
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide column label
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.8 P356 L23 MyBallot # 376
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/217-356-23: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.7 P355 L25 MyBallot # 371
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/212-355-25: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a couple of prefix letters.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.8 P356 L28 MyBallot # 377
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/218-356-28: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.7 P355 L27 MyBallot # 368
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/209-355-27: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.8 P356 L4 MyBallot # 380
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/221-356-4: No column label
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide column label
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.8 P356 L6 MyBallot # 379
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/220-356-6: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a couple of prefix letters.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.8 P356 L8 MyBallot # 378
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/219-356-8: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.8 P356 L9 MyBallot # 375
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/216-356-9: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.9 P357 L3 MyBallot # 389
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/230-357-3: No column label
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide column label
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.9 P357 L6 MyBallot # 385
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/226-357-6: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a couple of prefix letters.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.9 P357 L7 MyBallot # 384
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/225-357-7: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6 P361 L4 MyBallot # 413
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/254-361-4: Unclear notation
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: a) Define the meaning of the "/1" notation. b) Eliminatge the "/1" notation.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #237.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.1 P361 L10 MyBallot # 412
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/253-361-10: No column label
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide column label
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.1 P361 L11 MyBallot # 409
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/250-361-11: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a couple of prefix letters.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.1 P361 L13 MyBallot # 408
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/249-361-13: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.2 P361 L23 MyBallot # 411
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/252-361-23: No column label
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide column label
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.2 P361 L26 MyBallot # 410
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/251-361-26: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a couple of prefix letters.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.2 P361 L26 MyBallot # 407
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/248-361-26: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.3 P362 L5 MyBallot # 420
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/261-362-5: No column label
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide column label
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.3 P362 L6 MyBallot # 417
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/258-362-6: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a couple of prefix letters.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.3 P362 L8 MyBallot # 416
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/257-362-8: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.4 P362 L35 MyBallot # 419
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/260-362-35: No column label
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide column label
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.4 P362 L37 MyBallot # 418
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/259-362-37: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a couple of prefix letters.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.4 P362 L39 MyBallot # 415
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/256-362-39: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.4 P362 L43 MyBallot # 414
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/255-362-43: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.5 P363 L4 MyBallot # 427
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/268-363-4: No column label
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide column label
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.5 P363 L6 MyBallot # 424
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/265-363-6: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a couple of prefix letters.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.5 P363 L8 MyBallot # 423
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/264-363-8: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.6 P363 L17 MyBallot # 426
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/267-363-17: No column label
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide column label
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.6 P363 L20 MyBallot # 425
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/266-363-20: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a couple of prefix letters.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.6 P363 L21 MyBallot # 422
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/263-363-21: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.6 P363 L34 MyBallot # 421
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/262-363-34: Confusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.7.1 P364 L10 MyBallot # 431
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/272-364-10: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a couple of prefix letters.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.7.1 P364 L12 MyBallot # 430
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/271-364-12: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.7.1 P364 L24 MyBallot # 429
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/270-364-24: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.7.1 P364 L27 MyBallot # 428
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/269-364-27: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.7.1 P364 L60 MyBallot # 432
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/273-364-60: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a couple of prefix letters.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.7.1 P364 L7 MyBallot # 433
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/274-364-7: No column label
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide column label
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.7.1 P365 L1 MyBallot # 440
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/281-365-1: Confusing typo
 SuggestedRemedy
 able ==> capable
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.8 P365 L11 MyBallot # 434
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/275-365-11: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.8 P365 L6 MyBallot # 439
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/280-365-6: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.8 P365 L6 MyBallot # 435
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/276-365-6: Elsewhere this column is called Support.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Imp ==> Support
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.8 P365 L6 MyBallot # 438
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/279-365-6: There is no column header.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a column header.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.8 P365 L8 MyBallot # 436
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/277-365-8: These blank cells, in the Imp column, look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.8 P365 L9 MyBallot # 437
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/278-365-9: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a couple of prefix letters.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.2.1.8 P326 L4 MyBallot # 311
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/152-326-4: Twisted Pairs
 SuggestedRemedy
 Twisted pairs
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 We could find no instance of the text 'Twisted Pairs' at the location this comment indicated, in fact a search of the entire IEEE P802.3REVam section 1 pdf found no instances of 'Twisted Pairs', only 'twisted pairs'.

Cl 14 SC 14.2.3.2 P328 L1 MyBallot # 315
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/156-328-1: Inconsistent notation
 SuggestedRemedy
 Power On ==> PowerOn (as is done elsewhere)
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

The recommended changes do not significantly improve the readability of the document and would only change where inconsistencies appear. There are more usages of Power On in the standard than uses of PowerOn. Many different forms of power on are used interchangeably within the standard (e.g., Power On, PowerOn, power-on, etc.). This has not been a significant problem to implementers as evidenced by the large number of interoperable implementations.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.2.3.2 P328 L32 MyBallot # 314
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/155-328-32: Inconsistent notation
 SuggestedRemedy
 Power On ==> PowerOn (as is done elsewhere)
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #313.

Cl 14 SC 14.2.3.2 P328 L32 MyBallot # 313
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/154-328-32: Inconsistent notation
 SuggestedRemedy
 Power On ==> PowerOn (as is done elsewhere)
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 The recommended changes do not significantly improve the readability of the document and would only change where inconsistencies appear. There are more usages of Power On in the standard than uses of PowerOn. Many different forms of power on are used interchangeably within the standard (e.g., Power On, PowerOn, power-on, etc.). This has not been a significant problem to implementers as evidenced by the large number of interoperable implementations.

Cl 14 SC 14.2.3.2 P328 L37 MyBallot # 319
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R
 DVJ1/160-328-37: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NO OUTPUT ==> NO_OUTPUT and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 14 SC 14.2.3.2 P328 L42 MyBallot # 312
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/153-328-42: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NO OUTPUT ==> NO_OUTPUT
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 14 SC 14.2.3.2 P328 L48 MyBallot # 317
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/158-328-48: Confusing capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 Transmit State Machine ==> Transmit state machine
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.2.3.2 P328 L48 MyBallot # 316
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/157-328-48: Confusing capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 ReceiveState Machine ==> Receive state machine
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.2.3.2 P 328 L 49 MyBallot # 318
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/159-328-49: ACTIVATE PHYSICAL ==> ACTIVATE_PHYSICAL
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 14 SC 14.2.3.2 P 329 L 3 MyBallot # 320
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/161-329-3: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 SQE TEST WAIT ==> SQE_TEST_WAIT
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 14 SC 14.3 P 330 L 1 MyBallot # 321
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/162-330-1: Inconsistent notation
 SuggestedRemedy
 Power On ==> PowerOn
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #313.

Cl 14 SC 14.3 P 330 L 12 MyBallot # 322
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/163-330-12: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NON-JABBER OUTPUT ==> NON_JABBER_OUTPUT And, similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 14 SC 14.3.1 P 331 L 13 MyBallot # 324
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/165-331-13: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 LINK TEST FAIL RESET==> LINK_TEST_FAIL_RESET And, similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 14 SC 14.3.1 P 331 L 2 MyBallot # 323
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/164-331-2: Inconsistent notation
 SuggestedRemedy
 Power On ==> PowerOn
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #313.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.3.1.2.1 P333 L23 MyBallot # 326
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/167-333-23: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.3.1.2.1 P333 L53 MyBallot # 325
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/166-333-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.3.1.2.2 P335 L27 MyBallot # 327
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/168-335-27: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.3.1.2.2 P335 L30 MyBallot # 328
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/169-335-30: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.3.1.2.6 P337 L28 MyBallot # 329
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/170-337-28: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.3.1.2.6 P337 L7 MyBallot # 330
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/171-337-7: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.3.1.2.7 P 338 L 22 MyBallot # 331
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/172-338-22: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.3.1.3.5 P 340 L 38 MyBallot # 334
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/175-340-38: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.3.1.2.7 P 338 L 8 MyBallot # 332
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/173-338-8: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.3.1.3.5 P 340 L 9 MyBallot # 335
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/176-340-9: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.3.1.3.1 P 339 L 6 MyBallot # 333
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/174-339-6: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.4.4.1 P 343 L 38 MyBallot # 336
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/177-343-38: Illegal equation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 $7 \log \implies 7x \log$ where x is the multiply symbol
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 This is an acceptable mathematical formal.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.5.1 P344 L10 MyBallot # 337
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/178-344-10: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.5.1 P344 L8 MyBallot # 338
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/179-344-8: columns too wide
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make them slightly wider than content.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.9 P348 L11 MyBallot # 339
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/180-348-11: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Symbol" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.9 P348 L11 MyBallot # 342
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/183-348-11: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Steady-State Propagation Delay ==> Steady-state propagation delay
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.9 P348 L11 MyBallot # 341
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/182-348-11: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Invalid Bits ==> Invalid bits
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.9 P348 L11 MyBallot # 340
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/181-348-11: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Bit Loss ==> Bit loss
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 14 SC 14.9 P348 L8 MyBallot # 343
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/184-348-8: Start-up Delay
 SuggestedRemedy
 Start-up delay
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.9 P349 L1 MyBallot # 347
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/188-349-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).
 SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 14, ... ==> ... Clause 14
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 14 SC 14.9 P349 L1 MyBallot # 346
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/187-349-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.1.1.2 P368 L14 MyBallot # 441
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/282-368-14: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.1.1.2 P368 L45 MyBallot # 442
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/283-368-45: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.1.1.2 P369 L6 MyBallot # 444
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/285-369-6: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Repeater ==> repeater
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 15 SC 15.1.1.2 P369 L7 MyBallot # 445
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/286-369-7: Inconsistent with Clause 3 title.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Media Access Control ==> media access control
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #200.

Cl 15 SC 15.1.1.2 P369 L9 MyBallot # 443
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/284-369-9: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.1.3.2 P370 L22 MyBallot # 446
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/287-370-22: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Wall Outlet ==> Wall outlet Patch Panel ==> Patch panel Fiber Optic Mixing Segment ==> Fiber optic mixing segment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.1.3.2 P370 L24 MyBallot # 448
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/289-370-24: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.1.3.2 P370 L3 MyBallot # 447
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/288-370-3: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Wall Outlet ==> Wall outlet Duplex Fiber ==> Duplex fiber Patch Panel ==> Patch panel Fiber Optic Link Segment --> Fiber optic link segment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.11 P375 L18 MyBallot # 461
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/302-375-18: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.11 P375 L31 MyBallot # 459
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/300-375-31: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Reference" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.11 P376 L17 MyBallot # 462
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/303-376-17: This blank row is distracting.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Eliminate the blank row.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.11 P375 L43 MyBallot # 458
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/299-375-43: Excessively wide columns
 SuggestedRemedy
 Narrow the columns
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.11.2 P376 L36 MyBallot # 463
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/304-376-36: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.11 P375 L50 MyBallot # 460
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/301-375-50: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.11.2 P377 L16 MyBallot # 466
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/307-377-16: Excessively wide columns
 SuggestedRemedy
 Narrow the columns
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.11.2 P377 L28 MyBallot # 464
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/305-377-28: This blank row is distracting.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Eliminate the blank row.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.
 Consider a different line to differentiate the two sections.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.11.2 P377 L6 MyBallot # 465
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/306-377-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Reference" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.11.3 P378 L14 MyBallot # 470
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/311-378-14: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.11.3 P378 L34 MyBallot # 468
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/309-378-34: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Reference" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.11.3 P378 L36 MyBallot # 469
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/310-378-36: Excessively wide columns
 SuggestedRemedy
 Narrow the columns
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.11.3 P378 L46 MyBallot # 467
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/308-378-46: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.11.3 P379 L1 MyBallot # 471
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/312-379-1: Looks like two distinct tables.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Include the "continued" marker in the table header, so the continued portions of the table are well identified.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.11.3 P379 L18 MyBallot # 472
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/313-379-18: This blank row is distracting.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Eliminate the blank row.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.8 P373 L16 MyBallot # 456
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/297-373-16: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows, with a straddled left column as needed.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 This is common practice in the industry for datasheets and reduces the need to include redundant information that reduces readability.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.8 P373 L23 MyBallot # 455
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/296-373-23: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows, with a straddled left column as needed.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #456.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.8 P373 L27 MyBallot # 454
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/295-373-27: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows, with a straddled left column as needed.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #456.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.8 P373 L31 MyBallot # 453
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/294-373-31: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows, with a straddled left column as needed.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #456.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.8 P373 L36 MyBallot # 452
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/293-373-36: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows, with a straddled left column as needed.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #456.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.8 P373 L49 MyBallot # 449
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/290-373-49: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows, with a straddled left column as needed.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #456.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.8 P373 L41 MyBallot # 451
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/292-373-41: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows, with a straddled left column as needed.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #456.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.8 P373 L8 MyBallot # 457
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/298-373-8: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows, with a straddled left column as needed.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #456.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.8 P373 L45 MyBallot # 450
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/291-373-45: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows, with a straddled left column as needed.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #456.

Cl 15 SC 15.3.2.2 P381 L48 MyBallot # 474
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/315-381-48: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 15 SC 15.3.2.2 P 381 L 50 MyBallot # 473
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/314-381-50: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.7.1 P 386 L 1 MyBallot # 475
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/316-386-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).
 SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 15, ... ==> ... Clause 15
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 15 SC 15.8.4.2 P 389 L 5 MyBallot # 478
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/319-389-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.8.6 P 389 L 26 MyBallot # 476
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/317-389-26: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.8.6 P 389 L 27 MyBallot # 477
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/318-389-27: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.8.6.2 P 390 L 5 MyBallot # 481
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/322-390-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 15 SC 15.8.6.3 P 390 L 17 MyBallot # 480
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/321-390-17: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.2.2.2 P 393 L 14 MyBallot # 484
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/325-393-14: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 15 SC 15.8.6.3 P 390 L 40 MyBallot # 479
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/320-390-40: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.2.2.2 P 393 L 7 MyBallot # 483
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/324-393-7: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.1.1.1 P 391 L 35 MyBallot # 482
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/323-391-35: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Star ==> star
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Cl 16 SC 16.3.1.1.2 P 395 L 41 MyBallot # 485
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ1/326-395-41: Is this a authority, or the IEEE/RA?
 SuggestedRemedy
 a registration authority ==> the IEEE Registration Authority
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT.

With one exception, all occurrences of "10BASE-FP Star" have a capitalized "S" in star. The exception is where "10BASE-FP star" is used in a heading (subclause 16.6.7.4). Base on this, and since the IEEE P802.3REVam Task Force believes that this comment is editorial, it will be forwarded to the publication editor.

The commenter should note that IEEE editorial staff has indicated that all such comments will only be considered after RevCom / Standards Board approval during the preparation for publication.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 16 SC 16.3.1.1.2 P395 L41 MyBallot # 486
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R**

DVJ1/327-395-41: The definition of a 12-bit Manufacturer IE is very confusing, since the footnote and general assumption is that the IEEE/RAC assigns 24-bit OUIs.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Make this very clear that this is not an OUI. 2) Make it clear how to get one. 3) Provide a detailed example of the layout, from the IEEE/RAC supplied value to the specified value.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

As noted at the beginning of the clause, the content is not recommended for new implementations. Consequently, changes to the clause will only make them less familiar to the users of the clause that created and use those legacy implementations. In addition, the committee consensus is that risks of introducing errors when making the proposed change to a stable part of the standard outweigh any benefits.

Cl 16 SC 16.3.4.2 P397 L49 MyBallot # 487
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/328-397-49: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.

SuggestedRemedy

Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.3.9.3 P404 L9 MyBallot # 488
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

DVJ1/329-404-9: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

IDENTIFY 2 ==> IDENTIFY_2 and similar changes throughout

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 16 SC 16.3.9.3 P405 L35 MyBallot # 489
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

DVJ1/330-405-35: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

START LINK TEST ==> START_LINK_TEST and similar changes throughout

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 16 SC 16.3.9.3 P405 L9 MyBallot # 490
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

DVJ1/331-405-9: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

OUTPUT DETECTED ==> OUTPUT_DETECTED and similar changes throughout

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 16 SC 16.3.9.3 P406 L13 MyBallot # 492
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

DVJ1/333-406-13: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

NO-JABBER OUTPUT ==> NO_JABBER_OUTPUT and similar changes throughout

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 16 SC 16.3.9.3 P 406 L 5 MyBallot # 491
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/332-406-5: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.3.9.3 P 407 L 10 MyBallot # 493
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/334-407-10: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 WAIT FOR PHCRCV ==> WAIT_FOR_PHCRCV and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 16 SC 16.3.9.3 P 407 L 5 MyBallot # 494
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/335-407-5: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.4 P 408 L 10 MyBallot # 497
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/338-408-10: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Bit Loss ==> Bit loss Invalid Bits ==> Invalid bits Steady-State Prop.Delay==>Steady-state prop.delay
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.4 P 408 L 11 MyBallot # 496
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/337-408-11: Confusing table
 SuggestedRemedy
 Split the headers, so each column has its own header.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.4 P 408 L 20 MyBallot # 495
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/336-408-20: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #246.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 16 SC 16.5.2.3 P 410 L1 MyBallot # 499
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/340-410-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.5.2.3 P 410 L1 MyBallot # 500
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/341-410-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 16, ... ==> ... Clause 16

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.1 P 410 L12 MyBallot # 498
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/339-410-12: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.4.2 P 413 L 16 MyBallot # 501
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/342-413-16: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.4.2 P 413 L 4 MyBallot # 502
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/343-413-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.12 P 418 L 21 MyBallot # 516
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/357-418-21: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.15 P 419 L 3 MyBallot # 519
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/360-419-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.12 P 418 L 3 MyBallot # 517
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/358-418-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.16 P 419 L 21 MyBallot # 518
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/359-419-21: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.12 P 418 L 32 MyBallot # 515
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/356-418-32: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.17 P 420 L 15 MyBallot # 521
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/362-420-15: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.17 P 420 L 3 MyBallot # 522
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/363-420-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.20 P 421 L 20 MyBallot # 524
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/365-421-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.17 P 420 L 36 MyBallot # 520
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/361-420-36: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.20 P 421 L 3 MyBallot # 525
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/366-421-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.2 P 414 L 4 MyBallot # 503
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/344-414-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.20 P 421 L 33 MyBallot # 523
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/364-421-33: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.23 P 422 L 3 MyBallot # 527
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/368-422-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.25 P 423 L 4 MyBallot # 530
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/371-423-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.24 P 422 L 31 MyBallot # 526
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/367-422-31: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.26 P 423 L 35 MyBallot # 529
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/370-423-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.25 P 423 L 17 MyBallot # 528
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/369-423-17: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #456.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.27 P 424 L 4 MyBallot # 534
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/375-424-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.28 P 424 L 28 MyBallot # 533
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/374-424-28: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.3 P 415 L 3 MyBallot # 509
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/350-415-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.29 P 424 L 37 MyBallot # 532
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/373-424-37: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.30 P 425 L 16 MyBallot # 536
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/377-425-16: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.29 P 424 L 44 MyBallot # 531
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/372-424-44: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.30 P 425 L 35 MyBallot # 535
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/376-425-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.30 P 425 L 4 MyBallot # 537
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/378-425-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.30 P 426 L 32 MyBallot # 538
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/379-426-32: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.30 P 426 L 20 MyBallot # 539
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/380-426-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.4 P 415 L 24 MyBallot # 508
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/349-415-24: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.30 P 426 L 3 MyBallot # 540
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/381-426-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.5 P 415 L 32 MyBallot # 504
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/345-415-32: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.5 P415 L34 MyBallot # 506
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/347-415-34: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1031.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.5 P415 L38 MyBallot # 505
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/346-415-38: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.6 P415 L51 MyBallot # 507
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/348-415-51: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.6 P416 L19 MyBallot # 511
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/352-416-19: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.6 P416 L3 MyBallot # 512
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/353-416-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.6 P416 L36 MyBallot # 510
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/351-416-36: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.9 P 417 L 26 MyBallot # 514
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/355-417-26: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 16 SC 16.6.6.9 P 417 L 40 MyBallot # 513
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/354-417-40: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.3.10.2 P 436 L 10 MyBallot # 542
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/383-436-10: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial. (I think IEEE limits are no smaller than 6 point, even in extreme cases).
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.3.10.2 P 436 L 11 MyBallot # 544
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/385-436-11: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 LOW LIGHT ==> LOW_LIGHT and similar changes
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 17 SC 17.3.10.2 P 436 L 31 MyBallot # 541
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ1/382-436-31: There are too many arrow heads.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Fix your graphics.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT.

Cl 17 SC 17.3.10.2 P 436 L 36 MyBallot # 545
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R
 DVJ1/386-436-36: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NON-JABBER INPUT ==> NON_JABBER_INPUT and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 17 SC 17.3.10.2 P436 L5 MyBallot # 543
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ1/384-436-5: There are too many arrow heads.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Fix your graphics.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT.

Cl 17 SC 17.3.10.2 P437 L5 MyBallot # 546
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ1/387-437-5: There are too many arrow heads.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Fix your graphics.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT.

Cl 17 SC 17.4 P438 L15 MyBallot # 547
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/388-438-15: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.4 P438 L33 MyBallot # 550
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/391-438-33: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic
 formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason
 one was not provided).
 SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 17, ... ==> ... Clause 17
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 17 SC 17.4 P438 L34 MyBallot # 548
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/389-438-34: Inconsistent pagination.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Insert page break before 17.5
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.1 P438 L39 MyBallot # 549
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/390-438-39: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are
 two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance
 statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and
 "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with
 Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.1 P441 L42 MyBallot # 552
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/393-441-42: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.1 P441 L44 MyBallot # 551
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/392-441-44: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.10 P446 L42 MyBallot # 569
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/410-446-42: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.10 P446 L5 MyBallot # 570
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/411-446-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.10 P447 L29 MyBallot # 572
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/413-447-29: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.10 P447 L4 MyBallot # 573
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/414-447-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.10 P 447 L 42 MyBallot # 571
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/412-447-42: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.17 P 448 L 31 MyBallot # 574
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/415-448-31: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.15 P 448 L 4 MyBallot # 576
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/417-448-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.18 P 449 L 26 MyBallot # 577
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/418-449-26: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.16 P 448 L 17 MyBallot # 575
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/416-448-17: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.18 P 449 L 4 MyBallot # 578
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/419-449-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.2 P 442 L 18 MyBallot # 556
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/397-442-18: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.2 P 442 L 36 MyBallot # 553
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/394-442-36: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.2 P 442 L 30 MyBallot # 555
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/396-442-30: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.2 P 442 L 5 MyBallot # 557
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/398-442-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.2 P 442 L 34 MyBallot # 554
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/395-442-34: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.20 P 450 L 5 MyBallot # 581
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/422-450-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.21 P 450 L 33 MyBallot # 580
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/421-450-33: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.24 P 451 L 14 MyBallot # 584
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/425-451-14: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.21 P 450 L 47 MyBallot # 579
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/420-450-47: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.24 P 451 L 27 MyBallot # 583
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/424-451-27: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.23 P 451 L 4 MyBallot # 585
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/426-451-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.24 P 451 L 41 MyBallot # 582
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/423-451-41: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.3 P 443 L 11 MyBallot # 560
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/401-443-11: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.3 P 443 L 4 MyBallot # 562
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/403-443-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.3 P 443 L 14 MyBallot # 559
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/400-443-14: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.4 P 443 L 34 MyBallot # 561
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/402-443-34: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.3 P 443 L 24 MyBallot # 558
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/399-443-24: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.5 P 444 L 26 MyBallot # 563
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/404-444-26: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.5 P 444 L 4 MyBallot # 565
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/406-444-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.5 P 445 L 4 MyBallot # 568
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/409-445-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.5 P 444 L 7 MyBallot # 564
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/405-444-7: Nonstandard confusing table.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows, as is done everywhere else.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.5 P 445 L 43 MyBallot # 566
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/407-445-43: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.5 P 445 L 25 MyBallot # 567
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/408-445-25: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P 462 L 12 MyBallot # 587
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/428-462-12: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P 462 L 42 MyBallot # 590
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R**
 DVJ1/431-462-42: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NO OUTPUT ==> NO_OUTPUT and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P 462 L 48 MyBallot # 588
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/429-462-48: Confusing capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 ReceiveState Machine ==> Receive state machine
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P 462 L 49 MyBallot # 589
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/430-462-49: Confusing capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 Transmit State Machine ==> Transmit state machine
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P 462 L 7 MyBallot # 586
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/427-462-7: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P 463 L 12 MyBallot # 592
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/433-463-12: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P 463 L 15 MyBallot # 593
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**
 DVJ1/434-463-15: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 OUTPUT DETECTED ==> OUTPUT_DETECTED and similar changes
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P463 L28 MyBallot # 594
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/435-463-28: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P464 L3 MyBallot # 596
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/437-464-3: Inconsistent terminology
 SuggestedRemedy
 Power On ==> PowerOn
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #313.

Cl 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P463 L4 MyBallot # 591
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/432-463-4: Inconsistent terminology
 SuggestedRemedy
 Power On ==> PowerOn
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #313.

Cl 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P465 L1 MyBallot # 599
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/440-465-1: Inconsistent terminology
 SuggestedRemedy
 Power On ==> PowerOn
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #313.

Cl 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P464 L13 MyBallot # 595
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/436-464-13: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NON-JABBER OUTPUT ==> NON_JABBER_OUTPUT and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P465 L15 MyBallot # 597
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/438-465-15: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P465 L3 MyBallot # 598
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/439-465-3: Inconsistent terminology
 SuggestedRemedy
 Power On ==> PowerOn
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #313.

Cl 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P465 L7 MyBallot # 600
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/441-465-7: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 LINK TEST PASS ==> LINK_TEST_PASS and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 18 SC 18.4 P466 L10 MyBallot # 603
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/444-466-10: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Bit Loss ==> Bit loss Invalid Bits ==> Invalid bits Steady-State Prop. Delay==>Steady-state prop. delay
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.4 P466 L12 MyBallot # 604
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/445-466-12: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.4 P466 L12 MyBallot # 602
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/443-466-12: Confusing headers
 SuggestedRemedy
 Separate Max and Var headers (unstraddle these two cells)
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.4 P466 L18 MyBallot # 601
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/442-466-18: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comments #871.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 18 SC 18.4 P 467 L1 MyBallot # 606
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/447-467-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

Protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma for Clause 18, Fiber optic medium attachment unit, type 10BASE-FL ==> Protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma for Clause 18

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.1 P 467 L 12 MyBallot # 605
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/446-467-12: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.4.2 P 471 L 33 MyBallot # 608
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/449-471-33: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.4.2 P 471 L 34 MyBallot # 607
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/448-471-34: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.15 P 477 L 21 MyBallot # 630
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/471-477-21: Confusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.15 P 477 L 32 MyBallot # 629
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/470-477-32: Confusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.15 P 477 L 5 MyBallot # 631
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/472-477-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.16 P 479 L 32 MyBallot # 634
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/475-479-32: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.16 P 478 L 27 MyBallot # 633
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/474-478-27: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.16 P 479 L 8 MyBallot # 635
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/476-479-8: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.16 P 478 L 6 MyBallot # 632
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/473-478-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.2 P 472 L 20 MyBallot # 612
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/453-472-20: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.2 P 472 L 29 MyBallot # 611
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/452-472-29: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.2 P 472 L 33 MyBallot # 610
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/451-472-33: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.2 P 472 L 38 MyBallot # 609
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/450-472-38: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.2 P 472 L 5 MyBallot # 613
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/454-472-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.20 P 480 L 6 MyBallot # 641
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/482-480-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.21 P 480 L 16 MyBallot # 636
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/477-480-16: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.21 P 480 L 17 MyBallot # 637
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/478-480-17: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.22 P 480 L 49 MyBallot # 638
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/479-480-49: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.21 P 480 L 20 MyBallot # 640
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/481-480-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.23 P 481 L 6 MyBallot # 644
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/485-481-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.21 P 480 L 29 MyBallot # 639
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/480-480-29: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.24 P 481 L 33 MyBallot # 643
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/484-481-33: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.25 P 481 L 40 MyBallot # 642
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/483-481-40: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.3 P 473 L 11 MyBallot # 618
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/459-473-11: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.26 P 482 L 19 MyBallot # 645
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/486-482-19: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.3 P 473 L 13 MyBallot # 617
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/458-473-13: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.26 P 482 L 5 MyBallot # 646
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/487-482-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.3 P 473 L 22 MyBallot # 616
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/457-473-22: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.3 P 473 L 6 MyBallot # 614
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/455-473-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.6 P 474 L 23 MyBallot # 621
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/462-474-23: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.4 P 473 L 34 MyBallot # 615
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/456-473-34: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.7 P 474 L 32 MyBallot # 620
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/461-474-32: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.5 P 474 L 6 MyBallot # 622
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/463-474-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.8 P 474 L 44 MyBallot # 619
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/460-474-44: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.8 P 475 L 30 MyBallot # 624
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/465-475-30: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.8 P 476 L 26 MyBallot # 627
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/468-476-26: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.8 P 475 L 45 MyBallot # 623
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/464-475-45: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.8 P 476 L 4 MyBallot # 628
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/469-476-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.8 P 475 L 5 MyBallot # 625
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/466-475-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.8 P 476 L 41 MyBallot # 626
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/467-476-41: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 19 SC 19.1.5 P 484 L 16 MyBallot # 650
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/491-484-16: Not proper noun
 SuggestedRemedy
 Performing Management Operations ==> Performing management operations
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 19 SC 19.1.5 P 484 L 19 MyBallot # 649
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/490-484-19: Not a proper noun, I think.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Notifications Emitted ==> Notifications emitted
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 19 SC 19.1.5 P 484 L 17 MyBallot # 651
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/492-484-17: Not proper noun
 SuggestedRemedy
 Management Object ==> Management object
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 19 SC 19.1.5 P 484 L 21 MyBallot # 648
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/489-484-21: Not a proper noun, I think.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Managed Objects ==> Managed objects
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 19 SC 19.1.5 P 484 L 17 MyBallot # 647
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/488-484-17: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all ""Item"" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 19 SC 19.2.2.2 P 486 L 34 MyBallot # 652
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/493-486-34: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 19 SC 19.2.2.2 P 489 L 5 MyBallot # 653
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/494-489-5: Inconsistent fonts
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use same font and size for all cells in this table.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 20 SC 20.2.1.3 P 503 L 3 MyBallot # 654
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/495-503-3: Inconsistent fonts
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use same font and size for all cells in this table.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 20 SC 20.2.1.3 P 503 L 9 MyBallot # 655
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/496-503-9: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows and columns.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 As noted at the beginning of the clause, this clause is deprecated by Clause 30.
 Consequently, changes to the clause will only make them less familiar to the users of the
 clause that created and use those legacy implementations.
 In addition, the committee consensus is that risks of introducing errors when making the
 proposed change to a stable part of the standard outweigh any benefits.

Cl 20 SC 20.2.2.3.1 P 507 L 36 MyBallot # 657
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/498-507-36: The Pascal programming language is irrelevant unless its a normative
 reference, since normative code is written in Pascal.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Remove this reference. 2) Make this a reference, listed up front, and modify
 Pascal code in this specification to conform to this reference.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

The ability of hundreds of implementers to independently build interoperable MACs with
 hundreds of millions of installed product demonstrates that the reference used is not a
 problem. Modifying the Pascal at this point in the maturity of Ethernet would be highly
 confusing to the entire community and unacceptable probability of introducing errors.

Cl 20 SC 20.2.2.3.1 P 507 L 8 MyBallot # 656
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/497-507-8: Annex A title is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Additional reference material ==> Bibliography
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 21 SC 21 P1 L1 MyBallot # 52
DAWE, PIERS J G

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

Page numbers give rise to ambiguity in references: if I say 'page 50 of 802.3', which of the five page 50s is that? And (stating the obvious) unintentional ambiguity in a standard is BAD. Page numbers should continue in one sequence through the five parts. If it helps, each part could start at n.100+1 - allowing revisions in one part to not trigger changes of page numbers in another. (By the way - thanks for the clickable links from section to section!)

SuggestedRemedy

Renumber the pages so there are no duplicate numbers. I think it's possible to do this with both the 'printed' numbers and the soft (pdf) numbers.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This suggestion will be passed onto the IEEE-SA Editor for consideration during preparation for publication.

Cl 21 SC 21.1.1 P2 L7 MyBallot # 683
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/1-2-7: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.

SuggestedRemedy

Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 21 SC 21.5.1 P3 L43 MyBallot # 684
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/2-3-43: This looks like typos.

SuggestedRemedy

.indication ==> ".indication" .request ==> ".request" (here and elsewhere)

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The consensus of the committee is that this is not a typo.

Cl 21 SC 21.5.3 P4 L46 MyBallot # 687
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/5-4-46: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 21 SC 21.5.3 P4 L46 MyBallot # 686
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/4-4-46: Inconsistent pagination. The PICS convention is to start on a new page, so the PICS can be copied w/o copyright problems.

SuggestedRemedy

Page break before 21.6

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 21 SC 21.6.1 P4 L51 MyBallot # 685
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/3-4-51: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 22 SC 22.1 P9 L 22 MyBallot # 688
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/6-9-22: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.3 P21 L 26 MyBallot # 696
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/14-21-26: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.1 P9 L 40 MyBallot # 689
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/7-9-40: Inconsistent with Clause 3 title.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Media Access Control ==> media access control
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #200.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.3 P21 L 36 MyBallot # 694
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/12-21-36: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 MAC's Serial Bit Stream ==> MAC's serial bit stream
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.1 P9 L 46 MyBallot # 22
 KAROCKI, PIOTR
 Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 "at 10Mb/s of above"
 SuggestedRemedy
 "at 10Mb/s or above"
 Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.3 P21 L 36 MyBallot # 693
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/11-21-36: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 First Bit ==> First bit
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 22 SC 22.2.3 P21 L37 MyBallot # 695
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/13-21-37: Very_very_confusing.

SuggestedRemedy
 Flip the octet so that the LSB is on the right.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

This diagram has not present a problem in the past and bits are clearly labeled. It is consensus of the committee that not only would it be more confusing to user of the specification to change this it would also run a high risk of introducing errors.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.3 P21 L40 MyBallot # 691
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/9-21-40: Misleading capitalization errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 First nibble ==> First nibble

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.3 P21 L40 MyBallot # 692
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/10-21-40: Misleading capitalization errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Second Nibble ==> Second nibble

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.3 P21 L45 MyBallot # 690
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/8-21-45: Misleading capitalization errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 MII Nibble Stream ==> MII nibble stream

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4 P24 L28 MyBallot # 698
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/16-24-28: Funky table

SuggestedRemedy
 Use two rows in the header, so MII and GMII can have separate headers.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4 P24 L29 MyBallot # 697
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/15-24-29: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P25 L10 MyBallot # 699
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/17-25-10: Very confusing pseudo column.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Make a separate column for 0,1 values. 2) Always place 0 first, for consistency with natural counting order.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #740.

CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P25 L10 MyBallot # 711
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/29-25-10: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as SC).
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1427.

CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P25 L12 MyBallot # 710
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/28-25-12: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P25 L15 MyBallot # 709
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/27-25-15: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P25 L19 MyBallot # 708
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/26-25-19: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P25 L22 MyBallot # 707
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/25-25-22: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P25 L24 MyBallot # 706
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/24-25-24: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P25 L31 MyBallot # 703
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/21-25-31: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P25 L27 MyBallot # 705
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/23-25-27: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P25 L33 MyBallot # 702
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/20-25-33: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P25 L29 MyBallot # 704
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/22-25-29: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P25 L38 MyBallot # 701
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/19-25-38: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P25 L42 MyBallot # 700
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/18-25-42: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P29 L20 MyBallot # 724
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/42-29-20: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P29 L18 MyBallot # 712
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/30-29-18: Very confusing pseudo column.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Make a separate column for 0,1 values. 2) Always place 0 first, for consistency with natural counting order.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #740.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P29 L23 MyBallot # 723
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/41-29-23: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P29 L18 MyBallot # 725
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/43-29-18: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as SC).
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P29 L25 MyBallot # 722
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/40-29-25: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P29 L27 MyBallot # 721
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/39-29-27: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P29 L34 MyBallot # 718
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/36-29-34: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P29 L30 MyBallot # 720
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/38-29-30: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P29 L36 MyBallot # 717
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/35-29-36: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P29 L31 MyBallot # 719
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/37-29-31: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P29 L39 MyBallot # 716
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/34-29-39: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P29 L44 MyBallot # 715
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/33-29-44: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P30 L12 MyBallot # 726
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/44-30-12: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P29 L49 MyBallot # 714
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/32-29-49: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P30 L5 MyBallot # 729
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/47-30-5: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P29 L51 MyBallot # 713
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/31-29-51: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P30 L7 MyBallot # 728
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/46-30-7: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P30 L9 MyBallot # 727
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/45-30-9: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.1 P33 L2 MyBallot # 730
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R
 DVJ2/48-33-2: This subclause is _very_ confusing. The IEEE/RAC assigns hex digits, not bits. Bit order is confusing due to mixed endian approach.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide an example of how the IEEE/RAC assigned hex values map to this register. Examples are available in the maintenance requests submitted by this reviewer to this standard.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 We reference IEEE Std 802 which defines how the IEEE/RAC assigned hex values map to bits. With that information this figure is sufficient.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 P34 L28 MyBallot # 732
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/50-34-28: Very confusing pseudo column.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Make a separate column for 0,1 values. 2) Always place 0 first, for consistency with natural counting order.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #740.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 P34 L28 MyBallot # 733
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/51-34-28: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as SC).
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 P34 L32 MyBallot # 731
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/49-34-32: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.12 P35 L13 MyBallot # 734
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/52-35-13: The table number is missing.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Table 22- ==> Table 22-N, where N is the right number.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.12 P35 L22 MyBallot # 735
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/53-35-22: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as SC).

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.4.1 P36 L10 MyBallot # 737
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/55-36-10: Confusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.4.1 P36 L12 MyBallot # 736
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/54-36-12: Confusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.4.1 P36 L3 MyBallot # 741
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/59-36-3: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as SC).

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.4.1 P36 L4 MyBallot # 740
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/58-36-4: Very confusing pseudo column.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Make a separate column for 0,1 values. 2) Always place 0 first, for consistency with natural counting order.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The current format is believed to best convey the desired information. The description of the bit(s) may be a simple 0 or 1, or as the case with Table 22-10, a multibit field, or as the case with Table 22-7, a disjoint multibit field. 0 and 1 columns don't work well for these multibit fields.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.4.1 P36 L5 MyBallot # 739
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/57-36-5: Confusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The current format is believed to best convey the desired information.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.4.1 P36 L8 MyBallot # 738
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/56-36-8: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.5.1 P37 L2 MyBallot # 742
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/60-37-2: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all columns.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.4.4.2 P43 L6 MyBallot # 743
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/61-43-6: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle the rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #246.

Cl 22 SC 22.6.5 P48 L8 MyBallot # 745
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/63-48-8: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Contact" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.6.5 P48 L9 MyBallot # 744
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/62-48-9: Very confusing table, with duplicate/contradictory columns.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make this look like two adjacent tables, but putting a narrow column in the center and making its lines clear.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT.

Cl 22 SC 22.6.5 P49 L1 MyBallot # 748
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/66-49-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 22 SC 22.6.5 P49 L1 MyBallot # 747
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/65-49-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 22, ... ==> ... Clause 22

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.1 P49 L7 MyBallot # 746
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/64-49-7: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.2.3 P50 L4 MyBallot # 749
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/67-50-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.1 P51 L7 MyBallot # 754
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/72-51-7: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.1 P51 L7 MyBallot # 755
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/73-51-7: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.1 P51 L8 MyBallot # 753
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/71-51-8: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #766.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.2 P51 L14 MyBallot # 756
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/74-51-14: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.2 P51 L15 MyBallot # 750
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/68-51-15: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.2 P51 L17 MyBallot # 752
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/70-51-17: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #766.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.2 P51 L54 MyBallot # 751
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/69-51-54: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P53 L25 MyBallot # 762
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/80-53-25: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P53 L26 MyBallot # 760
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/78-53-26: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P53 L28 MyBallot # 757
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/75-53-28: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #766.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P53 L53 MyBallot # 759
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/77-53-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P53 L6 MyBallot # 761
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/79-53-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P53 L8 MyBallot # 758
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/76-53-8: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #766.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P55 L38 MyBallot # 764
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/82-55-38: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #766.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P55 L51 MyBallot # 765
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/83-55-51: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

CI 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P55 L8 MyBallot # 763
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/81-55-8: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #766.

CI 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P56 L11 MyBallot # 768
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/86-56-11: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #766.

CI 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P56 L20 MyBallot # 767
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/85-56-20: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #766.

CI 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P56 L43 MyBallot # 766
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/84-56-43: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 The format for PICS tables has changed during the decades in which IEEE Std 802.3 has been used. As pointed out in various comments, PICS formats vary within this document, including column headings, column order and PICS item numbering. Consideration must be given to both the legacy users of the document and future users of the document. Completed PICS may also be used in contracts.
 The IEEE P802.3REVam task force believes conversion of older PICS tables to current format would create too high a probability of introducing errors in very stable portions of the standard and make the material less familiar to legacy users. The support column however will be made internally consistent.
 For the Value/Comment column the cell entry is empty because there is no value or comment necessary. The committee believes this is obvious to the reader and that the addition of extra characters decreases readability.

CI 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P56 L44 MyBallot # 769
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/87-56-44: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P57 L16 MyBallot # 771
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/89-57-16: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #766.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P57 L28 MyBallot # 770
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/88-57-28: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #766.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P57 L4 MyBallot # 773
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/91-57-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P57 L5 MyBallot # 772
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/90-57-5: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #766.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.5 P58 L10 MyBallot # 778
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/96-58-10: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #766.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.5 P58 L5 MyBallot # 777
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/95-58-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.5 P58 L7 MyBallot # 779
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/97-58-7: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #766.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.6 P58 L25 MyBallot # 780
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/98-58-25: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.6 P58 L27 MyBallot # 774
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/92-58-27: These blank "Support" cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #766.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.6 P58 L27 MyBallot # 776
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/94-58-27: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.6 P58 L29 MyBallot # 775
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/93-58-29: These blank row cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #766.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.6 P59 L3 MyBallot # 781
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/99-59-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.7 P 60 L 4 MyBallot # 783
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/101-60-4: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.7 P 60 L 5 MyBallot # 782
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/100-60-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.7 P 60 L 6 MyBallot # 784
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/102-60-6: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #766.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.8 P 60 L 28 MyBallot # 785
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/103-60-28: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.1.4 P 62 L 10 MyBallot # 786
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/104-62-10: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.1.4.1 P 63 L 10 MyBallot # 787
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/105-63-10: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 23 **SC 23.11.3** **P 120** **L 11** *MyBallot #* **804**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **R**

DVJ2/122-120-11: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1031.

Cl 23 **SC 23.11.3** **P 126** **L 33** *MyBallot #* **806**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **R**

DVJ2/124-126-33: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 23, ... ==> ... Clause 23

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 23 **SC 23.11.3** **P 126** **L 33** *MyBallot #* **807**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **A** *IEEE-SA Editor*

DVJ2/125-126-33: Inconsistent pagination. The PICS convention is to start on a new page, so the PICS can be copied w/o copyright problems.

SuggestedRemedy
 Page break before 21.6

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 **SC 23.11.3** **P 126** **L 33** *MyBallot #* **808**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **A** *IEEE-SA Editor*

DVJ2/126-126-33: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 **SC 23.12.1** **P 126** **L 41** *MyBallot #* **805**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **A** *IEEE-SA Editor*

DVJ2/123-126-41: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 23 SC 23.12.3 P128 L4 MyBallot # 813
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/131-128-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.3 P128 L8 MyBallot # 812
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/130-128-8: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4 P128 L31 MyBallot # 814
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/132-128-31: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4 P128 L32 MyBallot # 811
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/129-128-32: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4 P128 L39 MyBallot # 815
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/133-128-39: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4 P128 L39 MyBallot # 809
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/127-128-39: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4 P128 L41 MyBallot # 810
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/128-128-41: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.10 P133 L7 MyBallot # 834
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/152-133-7: These Support column blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.10 P133 L7 MyBallot # 837
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/155-133-7: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.11 P133 L44 MyBallot # 836
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/154-133-44: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.11 P133 L44 MyBallot # 838
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/156-133-44: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.11 P133 L44 MyBallot # 835
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/153-133-44: These Support column blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.12 P134 L5 MyBallot # 840
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/158-134-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.13 P134 L24 MyBallot # 841
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/159-134-24: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.13 P134 L26 MyBallot # 839
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/157-134-26: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.13 P137 L28 MyBallot # 844
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/162-137-28: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.13 P137 L30 MyBallot # 843
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/161-137-30: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.13 P137 L8 MyBallot # 842
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/160-137-8: These Support column blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.13 P138 L7 MyBallot # 845
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/163-138-7: These Support column blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.14 P138 L38 MyBallot # 846
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/164-138-38: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1031.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.14 P138 L33 MyBallot # 848
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/166-138-33: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.16 P139 L11 MyBallot # 849
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/167-139-11: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1031.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.14 P138 L35 MyBallot # 847
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/165-138-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.16 P139 L4 MyBallot # 851
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/169-139-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.16 P139 L6 MyBallot # 850
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/168-139-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.17 P140 L31 MyBallot # 852
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/170-140-31: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1031.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.17 P140 L4 MyBallot # 854
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/172-140-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.17 P140 L6 MyBallot # 853
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/171-140-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.3 P129 L4 MyBallot # 819
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/137-129-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.3 P129 L6 MyBallot # 820
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/138-129-6: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1031.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.4 P129 L32 MyBallot # 821
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/139-129-32: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.4 P129 L35 MyBallot # 817
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/135-129-35: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1031.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.4 P129 L35 MyBallot # 818
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/136-129-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.4 P129 L53 MyBallot # 816
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/134-129-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.4 P130 L18 MyBallot # 824
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/142-130-18: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.4 P130 L18 MyBallot # 822
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/140-130-18: These Support column blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.4 P130 L18 MyBallot # 823
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/141-130-18: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.6 P131 L4 MyBallot # 825
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/143-131-4: These Support column blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.6 P131 L7 MyBallot # 826
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/144-131-7: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.7 P131 L38 MyBallot # 827
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/145-131-38: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.7 P132 L4 MyBallot # 832
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/150-132-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.7 P132 L46 MyBallot # 833
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/151-132-46: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.7 P132 L47 MyBallot # 830
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/148-132-47: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.8 P132 L20 MyBallot # 831
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/149-132-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.7 P132 L47 MyBallot # 829
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/147-132-47: These Support column blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 23 SC 23.2.1.1 P66 L12 MyBallot # 788
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/106-66-12: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.7 P132 L5 MyBallot # 828
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/146-132-5: These Support column blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 23 SC 23.2.1.6 P70 L12 MyBallot # 789
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/107-70-12: Confusing capitalization; implying these are special proper nouns, not simply a "meaning".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Capitalize only first word in Meaning column.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1911.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 23 SC 23.2.4.5 P79 L12 MyBallot # 790
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/108-79-12: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

COLLECT NIBBLE 6N+5 ==> COLLECT_NIBBLE_6N_PLUS_5 and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The standard is in wide use with many interoperable implementations, and the current style has not been a detriment to interoperable implementation. Signal and state names have been used in many different design systems and there is no reason to favor the syntax requirements of a single programming language (i.e., C). The variable/signal naming is consistent with the state machine formats described within the standard (see Clause 1.2.1). Also, the committee has an obligation to both past and current users of the standard. In this case, the signal and state names are likely to have been used in product documentation, and a change would be a disservice to users of the current standard.

Cl 23 SC 23.2.4.5 P80 L8 MyBallot # 791
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/109-80-8: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

AWAITING INPUT ==> AWAITING_INPUT and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 23 SC 23.2.4.5 P81 L12 MyBallot # 792
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/110-81-12: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

PCS ERROR ==> PCS_ERROR and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 23 SC 23.4.1 P87 L7 MyBallot # 793
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/111-87-7: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.5.1.2.2 P99 L54 MyBallot # 794
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/112-99-54: The blank bottom line makes this look like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 23 SC 23.5.1.2.3 P101 L13 MyBallot # 795
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA editor
 DVJ2/113-101-13: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.5.1.2.4 P103 L6 MyBallot # 798
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/116-103-6: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.5.1.2.4 P102 L29 MyBallot # 796
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/114-102-29: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.5.1.2.7 P104 L19 MyBallot # 800
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/118-104-19: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.5.1.2.4 P102 L7 MyBallot # 797
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/115-102-7: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.5.1.2.7 P104 L29 MyBallot # 799
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/117-104-29: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 23 SC **23.5.1.2.9** P**105** L**13** MyBallot # **801**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/119-105-13: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC **23.5.1.3.4** P**107** L**35** MyBallot # **802**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/120-107-35: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC **23.5.1.3.4** P**108** L**11** MyBallot # **803**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/121-108-11: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 24 SC **24.1.3** P**142** L**9** MyBallot # **855**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/173-142-9: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 24 SC **24.1.7** P**144** L**33** MyBallot # **856**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/174-144-33: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 24 SC **24.1.7** P**144** L**8** MyBallot # **857**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/175-144-8: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 24 SC 24.1.7 P145 L15 MyBallot # 859
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/177-145-15: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 24 SC 24.1.7 P145 L8 MyBallot # 858
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/176-145-8: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 24 SC 24.2.4.1 P155 L17 MyBallot # 860
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/178-155-17: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 OUTPUT 2 ==> OUTPUT_2 or OUTPUT2 and similar changes
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 24 SC 24.2.4.2 P156 L13 MyBallot # 861
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/179-156-13: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 START STREAM J ==> START_STREAM_J and similar changes
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 24 SC 24.2.4.4.4 P158 L22 MyBallot # 862
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/180-158-22: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ALIGNED 1 ==> ALIGNED_1 or ALIGNED1 and similar throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 24 SC 24.2.4.4.4 P159 L15 MyBallot # 863
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/181-159-15: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 BAD SSD ==> BAD_SSD and similar throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 24 SC 24.2.4.4.4 P160 L23 MyBallot # 864
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/182-160-23: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy
 CARRIER SENSE OFF ==> CARRIER_SENSE_OFF and similar throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 24 SC 24.3.4.4 P169 L15 MyBallot # 865
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/183-169-15: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy
 RECEIVE NEXT BIT ==> RECEIVE_NEXT_BIT and similar throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1911.

Cl 24 SC 24.3.4.4 P170 L19 MyBallot # 866
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/184-170-19: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy
 LINK_READY ==> LINK_READY and similar throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1911.

Cl 24 SC 24.3.4.6 P171 L10 MyBallot # 867
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/185-171-10: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy
 CHECK_SIGNAL_DETECT ==> CHECK_SIGNAL_DETECT and similar throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1911.

Cl 24 SC 24.4.1 P172 L14 MyBallot # 868
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/186-172-14: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy
 GET BIT ==> GET_BIT and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1911.

Cl 24 SC 24.6.2 P175 L32 MyBallot # 872
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/190-175-32: These blank 1st column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Merge left column data entries so it's a single cell.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 24 SC 24.6.2 P175 L34 MyBallot # 871
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/189-175-34: These blank Min column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

This entry in the table is empty because there is no value necessary. This is common practice in the industry for datasheets. The committee believes this is obvious to the reader and that the addition of extra characters decreases readability.

Cl 24 SC 24.6.2 P175 L41 MyBallot # 870
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/188-175-41: These blank 1st column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Merge left column data entries so it's a single cell.

Cl 24 SC 24.6.2 P175 L41 MyBallot # 869
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/187-175-41: These blank "Min" column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #871.

Cl 24 SC 24.6.2 P175 L8 MyBallot # 874
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/192-175-8: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Merge left column data entries so it's a single cell.

Cl 24 SC 24.6.2 P175 L8 MyBallot # 873
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/191-175-8: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Merge left column data entries so it's a single cell.

Cl 24 SC 24.7 P177 L1 MyBallot # 877
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/195-177-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 24, ... ==> ... Clause 24

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 24 SC 24.7 P177 L1 MyBallot # 878
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/196-177-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 24 SC 24.7 P177 L1 MyBallot # 876
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/194-177-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 24 SC 24.8.1 P177 L9 MyBallot # 875
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/193-177-9: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 24 SC 24.8.2.3 P178 L4 MyBallot # 885
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/203-178-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 24 SC 24.8.2.3 P178 L6 MyBallot # 884
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/202-178-6: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 24 SC 24.8.2.3 P178 L7 MyBallot # 883
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/201-178-7: These blank right column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 24 SC 24.8.3 P178 L33 MyBallot # 880
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/198-178-33: These blank right column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 24 SC 24.8.3 P178 L31 MyBallot # 886
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/204-178-31: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 24 SC 24.8.3 P178 L42 MyBallot # 887
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA editor
 DVJ2/205-178-42: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 24 SC 24.8.3 P178 L31 MyBallot # 882
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/200-178-31: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 24 SC 24.8.3 P178 L42 MyBallot # 881
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/199-178-42: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 24 SC 24.8.3 P178 L42 MyBallot # 879
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/197-178-42: These blank right column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 24 SC 24.8.3.3 P179 L11 MyBallot # 889
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/207-179-11: These blank right column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 24 SC 24.8.3.3 P179 L25 MyBallot # 890
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/208-179-25: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 24 SC 24.8.3.3 P179 L26 MyBallot # 892
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/210-179-26: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 24 SC 24.8.3.3 P179 L29 MyBallot # 888
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/206-179-29: These blank right column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 24 SC 24.8.3.3 P179 L9 MyBallot # 891
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/209-179-9: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 25 SC 25.3 P181 L53 MyBallot # 893
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/211-181-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 25 SC 25.4.10 P186 L1 MyBallot # 895
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/213-186-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).
 SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 25, ... ==> ... Clause 25
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 25 SC 25.4.10 P186 L1 MyBallot # 896
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/214-186-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 25 SC 25.4.3 P183 L13 MyBallot # 894
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/212-183-13: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1079.

Cl 25 SC 25.5.2.2 P187 L18 MyBallot # 898
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/216-187-18: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 25 SC 25.5.2.2 P187 L18 MyBallot # 903
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/221-187-18: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 25 SC 25.5.2.2 P187 L27 MyBallot # 904
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/222-187-27: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 25 SC 25.5.2.2 P187 L5 MyBallot # 902
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/220-187-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 25 SC 25.5.2.2 P187 L28 MyBallot # 897
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/215-187-28: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 25 SC 25.5.2.2 P187 L5 MyBallot # 899
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/217-187-5: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 25 SC 25.5.2.2 P187 L31 MyBallot # 900
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/218-187-31: These blank last column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 25 SC 25.5.2.2 P187 L6 MyBallot # 901
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/219-187-6: These blank last column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 25 **SC 25.5.4.3** **P188** **L4** *MyBallot #* **906**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **A** *IEEE-SA Editor*

DVJ2/224-188-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 25 **SC 25.5.4.3** **P188** **L5** *MyBallot #* **905**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **R**

DVJ2/223-188-5: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 26 **SC 26.3** **P189** **L53** *MyBallot #* **907**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **A** *IEEE-SA Editor*

DVJ2/225-189-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 26 **SC 26.4.2** **P192** **L1** *MyBallot #* **909**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **R**

DVJ2/227-192-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 25, ... ==> ... Clause 25

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 26 **SC 26.4.2** **P192** **L1** *MyBallot #* **908**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **A** *IEEE-SA Editor*

DVJ2/226-192-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 26 **SC 26.5.3** **P193** **L24** *MyBallot #* **913**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **A** *IEEE-SA Editor*

DVJ2/231-193-24: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 26 SC 26.5.3 P193 L24 MyBallot # 911
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/229-193-24: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 26 SC 26.5.3 P193 L33 MyBallot # 914
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/232-193-33: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 26 SC 26.5.3 P193 L34 MyBallot # 910
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/228-193-34: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 26 SC 26.5.3 P193 L6 MyBallot # 912
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/230-193-6: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.1.1 P195 L33 MyBallot # 915
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/233-195-33: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 27 SC 27.1.1 P195 L48 MyBallot # 102
 BROWN, BENJAMIN J
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R
 Part 2: The removal of the heading on this figure "LAN CSMA/CD LAYERS" during working group ballot was incorrect. All the layers shown on the right hand side of this figure are indeed CSMA/CD layers. They don't have to be layer 2 to be a CSMA/CD layer. CSMA/CD defines plenty within in layer 1. If it is the plural that is bothersome (because there is only 1 layer shown) then remove it.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Return the heading "LAN CSMA/CD LAYERS" to this figure.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 This heading is not present above the repeater in Clause 21 nor Clause 41 diagrams. The heading does not make sense without the stack of protocol layers.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 27 SC 27.3.2.2 P209 L3 MyBallot # 916
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/234-209-3: Inconsistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Power on ==> PowerOn
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #313.

Cl 27 SC 27.3.2.2 P210 L20 MyBallot # 917
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/235-210-20: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 FORCE ATTENTION ==> FORCE_ATTENTION and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 27 SC 27.3.2.2 P211 L21 MyBallot # 918
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/236-211-21: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 FORCE ATTENTION ==> FORCE_ATTENTION
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 27 SC 27.3.2.2 P212 L23 MyBallot # 919
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/237-212-23: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 REPEAT DATA ==> REPEAT_DATA and similar changes
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 27 SC 27.3.2.2 P213 L2 MyBallot # 921
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R
 DVJ2/239-213-2: Inconsistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Choose between: BEGIN begin = true begin == TRUE (and possible others) and do this everywhere.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

State machine variables are locally defined and in Clause 27 the following definition is provided:

```
begin
The Interprocess flag controlling state diagram initialization values.
Values: true
      false
```

On examination of the referenced Clause (clause 27) it does appear to be consistently begin = true.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 27 SC 27.3.2.2 P213 L43 MyBallot # 920
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/238-213-43: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy
 NON-JABBER INPUT ==> NON_JABBER_INPUT and similar changes

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 27 SC 27.3.2.2 P213 L5 MyBallot # 922
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/240-213-5: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy
 NO SOURCE DATA ==> NO_SOURCE_DATA and similar changes

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 27 SC 27.3.2.2 P214 L6 MyBallot # 923
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/241-214-6: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy
 CLEAR COUNTER ==> CLEAR_COUNTER and similar changes

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 27 SC 27.3.2.2 P215 L7 MyBallot # 924
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/242-215-7: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy
 LINK UNSTABLE ==> LINK_UNSTABLE and similar changes

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 27 SC 27.3.2.2 P216 L27 MyBallot # 925
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/243-216-27: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy
 REPEAT DATA ==> REPEAT_DATA and similar changes

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 27 SC 27.6 P220 L1 MyBallot # 927
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/245-220-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 27, ... ==> ... Clause 27

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

CI 27 SC 27.6 P220 L1 MyBallot # 928
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/246-220-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 27 SC 27.7.1 P220 L7 MyBallot # 926
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/244-220-7: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 27 SC 27.7.2.2 P221 L5 MyBallot # 931
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/249-221-5: These blank right column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

CI 27 SC 27.7.4.1 P221 L30 MyBallot # 932
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/250-221-30: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 27 SC 27.7.4.1 P221 L32 MyBallot # 930
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/248-221-32: These blank right column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

CI 27 SC 27.7.4.1 P221 L32 MyBallot # 929
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/247-221-32: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.10 P227 L3 MyBallot # 955
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/273-227-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.10 P227 L4 MyBallot # 957
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/275-227-4: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.11 P227 L34 MyBallot # 958
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/276-227-34: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.11 P227 L34 MyBallot # 956
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/274-227-34: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.12 P228 L3 MyBallot # 960
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/278-228-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.12 P228 L4 MyBallot # 959
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/277-228-4: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.2 P222 L3 MyBallot # 937
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/255-222-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.3 P222 L20 MyBallot # 938
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/256-222-20: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.2 P222 L4 MyBallot # 934
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/252-222-4: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.3 P222 L20 MyBallot # 933
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/251-222-20: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.2 P222 L5 MyBallot # 936
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/254-222-5: These blank right column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.3 P222 L22 MyBallot # 935
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/253-222-22: These blank right column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.4 P223 L3 MyBallot # 941
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/259-223-3: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.4 P223 L5 MyBallot # 943
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/261-223-5: These blank right column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.5 P223 L33 MyBallot # 940
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/258-223-33: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.5 P223 L34 MyBallot # 944
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/262-223-34: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.5 P223 L36 MyBallot # 942
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/260-223-36: These blank right column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.5 P223 L51 MyBallot # 939
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/257-223-51: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.5 P224 L18 MyBallot # 947
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/265-224-18: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.5 P224 L18 MyBallot # 945
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/263-224-18: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.5 P224 L3 MyBallot # 946
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/264-224-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.7 P225 L3 MyBallot # 950
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/268-225-3: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.7 P225 L36 MyBallot # 951
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/269-225-36: These blank right column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.8 P225 L41 MyBallot # 952
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/270-225-41: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.8 P 225 L 42 MyBallot # 949
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/267-225-42: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.8 P 225 L 52 MyBallot # 948
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/266-225-52: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.8 P 226 L 29 MyBallot # 954
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/272-226-29: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.8 P 226 L 30 MyBallot # 953
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/271-226-30: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 28 SC 28.1.2 P 231 L 11 MyBallot # 961
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/279-231-11: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.

SuggestedRemedy
 Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.1.4.3 P 232 L 36 MyBallot # 34
 KAROCKI, PIOTR

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 "The system administrator/installer must take the cabling capability into consideration when configuring a hub ports advertised capability. That is, the advertised capability of a hub port should not result in an operational mode that is not compatible with the cabling." - but in Definitions (1.5) hub is related only with 1base5.

SuggestedRemedy
 Change "hub" to "repeater".

Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 28 SC 28.2.1.1.2 P 234 L 12 MyBallot # 963
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/281-234-12: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Bit Position ==> Bit position Pulse Position ==> Pulse position
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.2.1.1.2 P 234 L 7 MyBallot # 964
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/282-234-7: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 First Bit on Wire ==> First bit on wire
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.2.1.1.2 P 234 L 21 MyBallot # 962
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/280-234-21: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Clock Pulse ==> Clock pulse Data Pulse ==> Data pulse
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.2.4.1.1 P 243 L 0 MyBallot # 42
 BARRASS, HUGH
 Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 Incorrect reference
 SuggestedRemedy
 reference should be to 22.2.4.1
 Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 28 SC 28.2.1.1.2 P 234 L 3 MyBallot # 965
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/283-234-3: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Clock Pulses ==> Clock pulses
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.2.4.1.2 P 243 L 28 MyBallot # 43
 BARRASS, HUGH
 Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 incorrect reference
 SuggestedRemedy
 reference should be to 22.2.4.2
 Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3REvAm Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 28 SC 28.2.4.1.3 P244 L3 MyBallot # 968
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/286-244-3: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (suc

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 28 SC 28.2.4.1.3 P244 L5 MyBallot # 967
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REvAm Editor

DVJ2/285-244-5: There is no table footnote describing the meaning of the R/W entry, as provided elsewhere.

SuggestedRemedy

Provide such a footnote, for consistency and clarity.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT.

Cl 28 SC 28.2.4.1.4 P244 L42 MyBallot # 966
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/284-244-42: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 28 SC 28.2.4.1.4 P245 L3 MyBallot # 970
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/288-245-3: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 28 SC 28.2.4.1.5 P245 L31 MyBallot # 969
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/287-245-31: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 28 SC 28.2.4.1.6 P247 L7 MyBallot # 971
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/289-247-7: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 28 SC 28.3.1 P258 L23 MyBallot # 972
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/290-258-23: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #871.

Cl 28 SC 28.3.4 P260 L14 MyBallot # 973
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/291-260-14: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy
 TRANSMIT ABILITY ==> TRANSMIT_ABILITY and similar changes

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 28 SC 28.3.4 P261 L13 MyBallot # 974
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/292-261-13: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy
 FLP PASS ==> FLP_PASS and similar changes

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 28 SC 28.3.4 P262 L1 MyBallot # 975
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/293-262-1: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy
 TRANSMIT DISABLE ==> TRANSMIT_DISABLE and similar changes

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 28 SC 28.3.4 P263 L14 MyBallot # 976
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/294-263-14: State machine names should be single words, for clarity and consistency.

SuggestedRemedy
 NLP TEST FAIL COUNT ==> NLP_TEST_FAIL_COUNT and similar changes throughout

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 28 SC 28.4 P264 L1 MyBallot # 979
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/297-264-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 28 SC 28.5 P 264 L 3 MyBallot # 977
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/295-264-3: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 28, ... ==> ... Clause 28

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.1 P 264 L 9 MyBallot # 978
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/296-264-9: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.2.2 P 265 L 30 MyBallot # 980
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/298-265-30: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.2.2 P 265 L 30 MyBallot # 982
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/300-265-30: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The format for PICS tables has changed during the decades in which IEEE Std 802.3 has been used. As pointed out in various comments, PICS formats vary within this document, including column headings, column order and PICS item numbering. Consideration must be given to both the legacy users of the document and future users of the document. Completed PICS may also be used in contracts.

The IEEE P802.3REVam task force believes conversion of older PICS tables to current format would create too high a probability of introducing errors in very stable portions of the standard and make the material less familiar to legacy users. The support column cells within the Clause however will be made consistent.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.2.2 P 265 L 5 MyBallot # 983
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/301-265-5: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #982.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 28 SC 28.5.2.2 P265 L5 MyBallot # 981
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/299-265-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.10 P277 L13 MyBallot # 999
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/317-277-13: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #982.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.10 P277 L14 MyBallot # 1001
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/319-277-14: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.10 P278 L7 MyBallot # 1003
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A
 DVJ2/321-278-7: The comment on item #12 is useless, since the current OUI definitions are based on HEX, not counted bits.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Delete this but provide a cross-reference to a detailed def. 3) Explain this, using a hex-digit example, in text following the table.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 A cross reference to the related Figure 28C-1.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.2 P266 L5 MyBallot # 985
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/303-266-5: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #982.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.2 P266 L5 MyBallot # 987
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/305-266-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.3 P266 L25 MyBallot # 984
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/302-266-25: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #982.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.3 P266 L25 MyBallot # 986
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/304-266-25: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.3 P268 L5 MyBallot # 988
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/306-268-5: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #982.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.3 P268 L6 MyBallot # 989
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/307-268-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.5 P269 L5 MyBallot # 990
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/308-269-5: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #982.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.5 P269 L5 MyBallot # 991
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/309-269-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.5 P271 L43 MyBallot # 994
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/312-271-43: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.5 P271 L44 MyBallot # 993
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/311-271-44: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #982.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.5 P271 L58 MyBallot # 992
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/310-271-58: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all ""Item"" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.7 P274 L4 MyBallot # 996
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/314-274-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.7 P274 L6 MyBallot # 995
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/313-274-6: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #982.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.7 P275 L23 MyBallot # 997
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/315-275-23: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #982.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.7 P275 L24 MyBallot # 998
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/316-275-24: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.9 P277 L5 MyBallot # 1000
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/318-277-5: These blank Support column cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #982.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.9 P277 L5 MyBallot # 1002
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/320-277-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28D SC 28D.4 P616 L25 MyBallot # 1115
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ2/433-616-25: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #982.

Cl 29 SC 29.1 P279 L32 MyBallot # 1004
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/322-279-32: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Multiport Bridge==>Multiport bridge
 Colision Domain ==> Collision domain
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 29 SC 29.1 P279 L51 MyBallot # 35
 KAROCKI, PIOTR
 Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 No space: "in 13.1through 13.4"
 SuggestedRemedy
 "in 13.1 through 13.4"
 Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 29 SC 29.1 P 280 L 9 MyBallot # 1005
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/323-280-9: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Multiport Bridge ==> Multiport bridge
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 29 SC 29.3 P 282 L 13 MyBallot # 1006
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 DVJ2/324-282-13: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Repeater Set ==> Repeater set
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 29 SC 29.3.1.1 P 283 L 39 MyBallot # 1007
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/325-283-39: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 29 SC 29.3.1.2 P 284 L 3 MyBallot # 1009
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/327-284-3: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 29 SC 29.3.1.2 P 284 L 4 MyBallot # 1008
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 DVJ2/326-284-4: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 End Segment ==> End segment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 29 SC 29.3.1.2 P 285 L 4 MyBallot # 1011
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/329-285-4: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #871.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 29 SC 29.3.1.2 P285 L53 MyBallot # 1010
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/328-285-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 29 SC 29.4 P288 L6 MyBallot # 1012
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/330-288-6: Extra blank page.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the excess ones.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 30 SC 30.1.4 P291 L33 MyBallot # 1013
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/331-291-33: Misleading capitalization errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Capitalize only first word of heading.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 30 SC 30.11.2.1 P420 L30 MyBallot # 1
 BECK, MICHAEL A

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

There is no Clause 30 object to count the TC-CRC errors in the PME. It seems that it has been overlooked, as we do have a coding violations counter in the PME capability.

SuggestedRemedy

Add an object to count the TC-CRC errors in the PME capability. It should reference the variable TC_crc_error in subclause 61.3.3.8 and the associated "10P/2B TC CRC error register" (6.24.15:0) in subclause 45.2.6.11.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

There are many other error counters related to 10P/2B PHYs that are provided in Clause 45 but are not included in Clause 30. If there is a desire to enhance the Clause 30 MIB to include additional counters this should be performed as a separate project.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.2.1 P296 L10 MyBallot # 1014
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/332-296-10: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.15 P375 L41 MyBallot # 36
 SCHNEIDERHEINZE, BURKART

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 reference to 10PASS-TS not correct in this chapter, FEC related issues of chapter 30.5.1.1.15 only relevant for 1000BASE-PX PHYs, for 10PASS-TS a counter with the identical behaviour is defined in 30.11.2.1.8 (FEC for 10PASS-TS should be defined at PME level since 1 MAU may consists out of various PME's)

SuggestedRemedy
 remove reference to 10PASS-TS

Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.16 P376 L5 MyBallot # 37
 SCHNEIDERHEINZE, BURKART

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 reference to 10PASS-TS not correct in this chapter, FEC related issues of chapter 30.5.1.1.16 only relevant for 1000BASE-PX PHYs, for 10PASS-TS a counter with the identical behaviour is defined in 30.11.2.1.9 (FEC for 10PASS-TS should be defined at PME level since 1 MAU may consists out of various PME's)

SuggestedRemedy
 remove reference to 10PASS-TS

Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 30A SC 30A.1.2 P620 L27 MyBallot # 23
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 Missing ';'.

SuggestedRemedy
 Change the text:
 See BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS in 30.3.1.1.1
 to read:
 See BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS in 30.3.1.1.1;

Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 30A SC 30A.15.2 P690 L35 MyBallot # 26
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 Addition ';' which will cause a syntax error.

SuggestedRemedy
 Cahnge the text:
 {iso(1) std(0) iso8802(8802) csma(3) csmacdmgt(30) attribute(7)sectionSESThreshold(183)};
 to read:
 {iso(1) std(0) iso8802(8802) csma(3) csmacdmgt(30) attribute(7)sectionSESThreshold(183)}

Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 30A SC 30A.15.2 P690 L35 MyBallot # 25
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 Addition ';' which will cause a syntax error.

SuggestedRemedy
 Cahnge the text:
 {iso(1) std(0) iso8802(8802) csma(3) csmacdmgt(30) attribute(7)sectionSESThreshold(183)};
 to read:
 {iso(1) std(0) iso8802(8802) csma(3) csmacdmgt(30) attribute(7)sectionSESThreshold(183)}

Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 30A SC 30A.15.2 P 690 L 38 MyBallot # 29
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Comments and notes have to appear within the behaviour description.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text:

NOTE-This counter has a maximum increment rate of 1 count per second.;
bSectionSESThreshold BEHAVIOUR
DEFINED AS See BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS in 30.8.1.1.3;

to read:

bSectionSESThreshold BEHAVIOUR
DEFINED AS See "BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS" in 30.8.1.1.3
NOTE-This counter has a maximum increment rate of 1 count per second.;

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This text belongs with the attribute above - there is syntax error with this attribute that makes it appear that this text belongs to this attribute. See MyBallot comment #26.

Cl 30A SC 30A.15.2 P 695 L 4 MyBallot # 30
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

Comments and notes have to appear within the behaviour description.

SuggestedRemedy

"Change the text:

NOTE-This counter has a maximum increment rate of 1 count per second.;

bPathESsBEHAVIOUR
DEFINED AS See ""BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS"" in 30.8.1.1.21;

to read:

bPathESsBEHAVIOUR
DEFINED AS See ""BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS"" in 30.8.1.1.21
NOTE-This counter has a maximum increment rate of 1 count per second.;

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The actual problem is an additional semi colon at the end of the registration arc for the attribute above. This will be removed instead.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 30A SC 30A.16.1 P 696 L 52 MyBallot # 31
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The consolidation of Subclause 30A.16.1 and 30A.16.2 seems to have gone wrong.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace all the text in subclause 30A.16.1 and from the start of 30A.16.2 to bPSEAdminState with the following:

30A.16.1 PSE, formal definition
oPSE MANAGED OBJECT CLASS
DERIVED FROM CCITT Rec. X.721 (1992) | ISO/IEC 10165-2 :
1992:top;
CHARACTERIZED BY
pPSEBasic PACKAGE
ATTRIBUTES aPSEID GET,
aPSEAdminState GET,
aPSEPowerPairsControlAbility GET,
aPSEPowerPairs GET-REPLACE,
aPSEPowerDetectionStatus GET;
ACTIONS acPSEAdminControl;
;
;
CONDITIONAL PACKAGES
pPSERecommended PACKAGE
ATTRIBUTES aPSEPowerClassification GET,
aPSEInvalidSignatureCounter GET,
aPSEPowerDeniedCounter GET,
IEEE
CSMA/CD Std 802.3af-2003
Copyright 2003 IEEE. All rights reserved. 19
aPSEOverLoadCounter GET,
aPSEShortCounter GET,
aPSEMPSAbsentCounter GET;
REGISTERED AS {iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) ieee802dot3(10006)
csmacdmgt(30) package(4)
pseRecommendedPkg(27)};
PRESENT IF The recommended package is implemented;
REGISTERED AS {iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) ieee802dot3(10006) csmacdmgt(30)
managedObjectClass(3) pseObjectClass(15)};
nbPSE-repeaterPortName NAME BINDING
SUBORDINATE OBJECT CLASS oPSE;
NAMED BY SUPERIOR OBJECT CLASS oRepeaterPorts AND SUBCLASSES;
WITH ATTRIBUTE aPSEID;
REGISTERED AS {iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) ieee802dot3(10006) csmacdmgt(30)
nameBinding(6) pse-repeaterPortName(26)};
nbPSE-dteName NAME BINDING
SUBORDINATE OBJECT CLASS oPSE;
NAMED BY SUPERIOR OBJECT CLASS oPHYEntity AND SUBCLASSES;

WITH ATTRIBUTE aPSEID;
REGISTERED AS {iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) ieee802dot3(10006) csmacdmgt(30)
nameBinding(6) pse-dteName(27)};
nbPSE-pseGroupName NAME BINDING
SUBORDINATE OBJECT CLASS oPSE;
NAMED BY SUPERIOR OBJECT CLASS oPSEGroup AND SUBCLASSES;
WITH ATTRIBUTE aPSEID;
REGISTERED AS {iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) ieee802dot3(10006) csmacdmgt(30)
nameBinding(6) pse-pseGroupName(28)};
30A.16.2 PSE attributes
aPSEID ATTRIBUTE
WITH ATTRIBUTE SYNTAX IEEE802Dot3-MgmtAttributeModule.OneOfName;
MATCHES FOR EQUALITY;
BEHAVIOUR bPSEID;
REGISTERED AS {iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) ieee802dot3(10006) csmacdmgt(30)
attribute(7) pseID(209)};
bPSEID BEHAVIOUR
DEFINED AS See BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS in 30.9.1.1.1;
aPSEAdminState ATTRIBUTE
WITH ATTRIBUTE SYNTAX IEEE802Dot3-
MgmtAttributeModule.PortAdminState;
MATCHES FOR EQUALITY;
BEHAVIOUR bPSEAdminState;
REGISTERED AS {iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) ieee802dot3(10006) csmacdmgt(30)
attribute(7) pseAdminState(210)};

Note that the above text is directly from IEEE Std 802.3af-2003 and the arcs will need to be updated to use the new root.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT.

Merge error.

Cl 30A SC 30A.16.1 P 697 L 0 MyBallot # 139
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The PSE object should bind to the oRepeaterPort and not oRepeaterPorts as it does at the moment as oRepeaterPorts doesn't exist.

SuggestedRemedy

NAMED BY SUPERIOR OBJECT CLASS oRepeaterPorts AND SUBCLASSES;

Should read:

NAMED BY SUPERIOR OBJECT CLASS oRepeaterPort AND SUBCLASSES;

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 30A SC 30A.16.2 P 698 L 0 MyBallot # 140
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The attributes aPSEPowerPairsControlAbility, aPSEPowerPairs, aPSEPowerDetectionStatus and aPSEPowerClassification are missing from Annex 30A.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following text :

BEHAVIOUR bPSEAdminState;
REGISTERED AS {iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) ieee802dot3(10006) csmacdmgt(30) attribute(7) pseAdminState(210)};

bPSEAdminState BEHAVIOUR
DEFINED AS See "BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS" in 30.9.1.1.2;

aPSEPowerPairsControlAbility ATTRIBUTE
WITH ATTRIBUTE SYNTAX IEEE802Dot3-MgmtAttributeModule.PairCtrlAbility;
MATCHES FOR EQUALITY;
BEHAVIOUR bPSEPowerPairsControlAbility;
REGISTERED AS {iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) ieee802dot3(10006) csmacdmgt(30) attribute(7) psePowerPairsControlAbility(211)};

bPSEPowerPairsControlAbility BEHAVIOUR
DEFINED AS See "BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS" in 30.9.1.1.3;

aPSEPowerPairs ATTRIBUTE
WITH ATTRIBUTE SYNTAX IEEE802Dot3-MgmtAttributeModule.PSEPowerPairs;
MATCHES FOR EQUALITY;
BEHAVIOUR bPSEPowerPairs;
REGISTERED AS {iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) ieee802dot3(10006) csmacdmgt(30) attribute(7) psePowerPairs(212)};

bPSEPowerPairs BEHAVIOUR
DEFINED AS See "BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS" in 30.9.1.1.4;

aPSEPowerDetectionStatus ATTRIBUTE
WITH ATTRIBUTE SYNTAX IEEE802Dot3-MgmtAttributeModule.DetectStatus;
MATCHES FOR EQUALITY;
BEHAVIOUR bPSEPowerDetectionStatus;

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Merge error.

Cl 30A SC 30A.16.2 P 699 L 20 MyBallot # 32
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The consolidation of Subclause 30A.16.2 seems to have gone wrong.

SuggestedRemedy

The text:

aSectionESs ATTRIBUTE

shoudl read:

aPSEInvalidSignatureCounter ATTRIBUTE

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT.

Merge error.

Cl 30A SC 30A.17 P 701 L 0 MyBallot # 138
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

It seems to be a syntax error to have a space prior to a close " in an Object Class specification.

SuggestedRemedy

In the following cases remove the space prior to the close ":

[1]
SUBORDINATE OBJECT CLASS oMidSpan;
NAMED BY SUPERIOR OBJECT CLASS ISO/IEC 10165-2 :system AND SUBCLASSES;

[2]
nbMidSpanMonitor NAME BINDING
SUBORDINATE OBJECT CLASS IEEE802.1F :oEWMAMetricMonitor;
NAMED BY SUPERIOR OBJECT CLASS ISO/IEC 10165-2 :system AND SUBCLASSES;

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 30A SC 30A.20.1 P30 L706 MyBallot # 33
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
The consolidation of Subclause 30A.20.1 and 30A.20.2 seems to have gone wrong.

SuggestedRemedy

The text:

ATTRIBUTES aMACControlIID GET,

should read:

ATTRIBUTES aOAMID GET,

and the text:

aMACControlIID ATTRIBUTE

should read:

aOAMID ATTRIBUTE

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT.

Merge error.

Cl 30A SC 30A.20.1 P706 L0 MyBallot # 137
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
Incorrect package contents - see IEEE Std 802.3ah-2004 for correct contents.

SuggestedRemedy

aOAMUnsupportedCodesTx GET,
aUnsupportedOpCodesReceived GET,
aOAMInformationTx GET,

should read:

aOAMUnsupportedCodesTx GET,
aOAMUnsupportedCodesRx GET,
aOAMInformationTx GET,

Proposed Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

Merge error.

Cl 30A SC 30A.20.1 P706 L0 MyBallot # 136
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
Incorrect package contents - see IEEE Std 802.3ah-2004 for correct contents.

SuggestedRemedy

aMACControlIID GET,
aOAMAdminState GET,
aMACControlFunctionsSupported GET-REPLACE,

Should read:

aOAMID GET,
aOAMAdminState GET,
aOAMMode GET-REPLACE,

Proposed Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

Merge error.

Cl 30A SC 30A.20.2 P707 L0 MyBallot # 133
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
Incorrect attribute name.

SuggestedRemedy

30A.20.2 OAM entity attributes
aMACControlIID ATTRIBUTE

Should read:

30A.20.2 OAM entity attributes
aOAMID ATTRIBUTE

Proposed Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

Merge error.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 30A SC 30A.20.2 P708 L0 MyBallot # 134
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
Incorrect attribute name.

SuggestedRemedy

DEFINED AS See BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS in 30.3.6.1.2;
aMACControlFunctionsSupported ATTRIBUTE

Should read

DEFINED AS See BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS in 30.3.6.1.2;
aOAMMode ATTRIBUTE

Proposed Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

Merge error.

Cl 30A SC 30A.20.2 P711 L0 MyBallot # 135
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
Incorrect attribute name.

SuggestedRemedy

DEFINED AS See BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS in 30.3.6.1.18;
aUnsupportedOpCodesReceived ATTRIBUTE

Should read:

DEFINED AS See BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS in 30.3.6.1.18;
aOAMUnsupportedCodesRx ATTRIBUTE

Proposed Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

Merge error.

Cl 30A SC 30A.4.1 P636 L48 MyBallot # 24
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
Duplication of the text 'BEHAVIOUR'.

SuggestedRemedy

The text:
BEHAVIOURBEHAVIOUR bMPCPMODE;
should read:
BEHAVIOUR bMPCPMODE;

Proposed Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

Cl 30B SC 30B.2 P731 L0 MyBallot # 113
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

There is an inconsistency between the OAM Discovery states across various clauses.
Clauses 57 and 30 include a state send local remote ok which is not included in subclause
30B.2. Therefore, when using the variable retrieval mechanisms of C57, the full set of
discovery states cannot be represented.

This comment was supplied by Matt Squire [msquire@hatterasnetworks.com].

SuggestedRemedy

In the OAMDiscoveryState, add a new state 'end local remote ok (6)'.

Proposed Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 30B SC 30B.2 P731 L0 MyBallot # 38
LYNSKEY, ERIC R

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
Missing Send_Local_Remote_OK discovery state in the enumeration

SuggestedRemedy

```
OAMDiscoveryState::=Enumerated{
link fault (1)
active send local (2)
passive wait (3)
send local remote (4)
send local remote ok (5)
send any (6)
}
```

or

```
OAMDiscoveryState::=Enumerated{
link fault (1)
active send local (2)
passive wait (3)
send local remote (4)
send any (5)
send local remote ok (6)
}
```

Proposed Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The second option will be implemented, see also MyBallot comment #113.

Cl 31 SC 31.4.1 P427 L20 MyBallot # 1015
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/333-427-20: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 31 SC 31.5.3.5 P430 L21 MyBallot # 1016
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
DVJ2/334-430-21: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

EX READY ==> RX_READY and similar changes

Proposed Response Response Status W
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 31 SC 31.7 P432 L1 MyBallot # 1017
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/335-432-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 31 SC 31.8 P432 L2 MyBallot # 1018
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
DVJ2/336-432-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 31, ... ==> ... Clause 31

Proposed Response Response Status W
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 31B SC 31B.4.3 P781 L3 MyBallot # 1116
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/434-781-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 31B SC 31B.4.3 P781 L5 MyBallot # 1117
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/435-781-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 31B SC 31B.4.4 P781 L20 MyBallot # 1118
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/436-781-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 31B SC 31B.4.6 P782 L3 MyBallot # 1120
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/438-782-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 31B SC 31B.4.6 P782 L9 MyBallot # 1119
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/437-782-9: Consusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 31B SC 31B.4.6 P786 L20 MyBallot # 1121
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/439-786-20: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 31B SC 31B.4.6 P787 L38 MyBallot # 1122
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/440-787-38: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 31B SC 31B.4.6 P788 L4 MyBallot # 1125
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/443-788-4: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 31B SC 31B.4.6 P787 L8 MyBallot # 1123
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/441-787-8: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 31B SC 31B.4.6 P789 L8 MyBallot # 1126
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/444-789-8: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 31B SC 31B.4.6 P788 L30 MyBallot # 1124
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/442-788-30: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.1.2 P436 L8 MyBallot # 1019
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/337-436-8: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 32 SC 32.12.1 P507 L7 MyBallot # 1047
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/365-507-7: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1031.

Cl 32 SC 32.12.2 P507 L28 MyBallot # 1048
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/366-507-28: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #255.

Cl 32 SC 32.12.2 P508 L1 MyBallot # 1051
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/369-508-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13 P508 L3 MyBallot # 1050
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/368-508-3: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 66, ... ==> ... Clause 66

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 32 SC 32.13 P508 L7 MyBallot # 1049
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/367-508-7: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13.3 P509 L27 MyBallot # 1052
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/370-509-27: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 32 SC 32.13.4 P510 L4 MyBallot # 1053
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/371-510-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.13 P527 L22 MyBallot # 1074
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/392-527-22: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.11 P526 L22 MyBallot # 1072
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/390-526-22: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.14 P527 L36 MyBallot # 1075
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/393-527-36: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.12 P527 L4 MyBallot # 1073
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/391-527-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.2 P511 L35 MyBallot # 1054
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/372-511-35: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.3 P512 L4 MyBallot # 1055
JAMES, DAVID V
Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/373-512-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
SuggestedRemedy
Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.6 P515 L4 MyBallot # 1058
JAMES, DAVID V
Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/376-515-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
SuggestedRemedy
Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.4 P513 L4 MyBallot # 1056
JAMES, DAVID V
Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/374-513-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
SuggestedRemedy
Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.6 P516 L17 MyBallot # 1060
JAMES, DAVID V
Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/378-516-17: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
SuggestedRemedy
Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.5 P514 L5 MyBallot # 1057
JAMES, DAVID V
Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/375-514-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
SuggestedRemedy
Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.6 P516 L4 MyBallot # 1059
JAMES, DAVID V
Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/377-516-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
SuggestedRemedy
Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.8 P517 L4 MyBallot # 1062
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/380-517-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.8 P519 L53 MyBallot # 1064
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/382-519-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.8 P517 L53 MyBallot # 1061
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/379-517-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.8 P520 L50 MyBallot # 1065
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/383-520-50: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.8 P518 L54 MyBallot # 1063
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/381-518-54: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.8 P521 L47 MyBallot # 1066
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/384-521-47: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.8 P 522 L 48 MyBallot # 1067
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/385-522-48: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.9 P 524 L 48 MyBallot # 1069
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/387-524-48: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.9 P 524 L 5 MyBallot # 1070
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/388-524-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.9 P 524 L 58 MyBallot # 1068
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/386-524-58: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.9 P 525 L 4 MyBallot # 1071
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/389-525-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.2.5 P 445 L 19 MyBallot # 1020
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R
 DVJ2/338-445-19: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 SEND IDLE OR DATA ==> SEND_IDLE_OR_DATA and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 32 SC 32.3.6 P458 L5 MyBallot # 1022
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R
 DVJ2/340-458-5: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1st SSD VECTOR, ERROR ==> FIRST_SSD_VECTOR_ERROR and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 32 SC 32.3.6 P458 L8 MyBallot # 1021
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R
 DVJ2/339-458-8: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1st SSD VECTOR, ERROR ==> FIRST_SSD_VECTOR_ERROR and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 32 SC 32.3.6 P459 L11 MyBallot # 1023
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R
 DVJ2/341-459-11: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NON-IDLE DETECT ==> NON_IDLE_DETECT and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 32 SC 32.3.6 P459 L7 MyBallot # 1024
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R
 DVJ2/342-459-7: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 LINK FAILED ==> LINK_FAILED and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 32 SC 32.3.6 P460 L4 MyBallot # 1025
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/343-460-4: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 CARRIER SENSE OFF ==> CARRIER_SENSE_OFF and similar changes
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 32 SC 32.4.1.3.3 P463 L33 MyBallot # 1026
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/344-463-33: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 LINK NOT READY ==> LINK_NOT_READY and similar changes
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 32 SC 32.5.3.1 P 469 L 12 MyBallot # 1027
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/345-469-12: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 32 SC 32.5.3.1.6 P 470 L 30 MyBallot # 1028
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/346-470-30: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.2.4 P 479 L 53 MyBallot # 1029
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/347-479-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.2.4 P 480 L 41 MyBallot # 1031
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/349-480-41: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

This entry in the table is empty because there is no value or comment necessary. The committee consensus was that this is obvious to the reader and that the addition of extra characters decreases readability.

In addition, as noted at the beginning of the clause, the MAU/PHY is not recommended for new installations. Consequently, changes to the clause will only make them less familiar to the users of the clause that created and use those legacy implementations

Further, it was also the committee consensus that the risks of introducing errors when making the proposed change to a stable part of the standard outweigh any benefits.

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.2.4 P 480 L 53 MyBallot # 1030
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/348-480-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.3.1 P 482 L 18 MyBallot # 1032
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 DVJ2/350-482-18: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Capitalize only first word of heading.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.3.1 P 484 L 53 MyBallot # 1034
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/352-484-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages,
 which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC
 Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.3.1 P 484 L 55 MyBallot # 1033
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 DVJ2/351-484-55: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Capitalize only first word of heading.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.3.1 P 485 L 53 MyBallot # 1035
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/353-485-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages,
 which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC
 Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.3.1 P 486 L 54 MyBallot # 1036
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/354-486-54: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages,
 which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC
 Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.3.1 P 487 L 53 MyBallot # 1037
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/355-487-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages,
 which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC
 Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.3.1 P 488 L 54 MyBallot # 1038
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/356-488-54: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.3.1 P 489 L 54 MyBallot # 1039
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/357-489-54: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.3.1 P 490 L 53 MyBallot # 1040
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/358-490-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.3.1 P 491 L 53 MyBallot # 1041
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/359-491-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.3.1 P 492 L 54 MyBallot # 1042
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/360-492-54: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html>
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.3.6 P 495 L 6 MyBallot # 1043
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/361-495-6: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.4.2 P 496 L7 MyBallot # 1045
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/363-496-7: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.4.3 P 496 L 32 MyBallot # 1044
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/362-496-32: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.4.4 P 497 L7 MyBallot # 1046
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/364-497-7: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.2.1 P 532 L 28 MyBallot # 1077
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 DVJ2/395-532-28: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Capitalize only first word of heading.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.2.1 P 532 L 42 MyBallot # 1076
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 DVJ2/394-532-42: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Capitalize only first word of heading.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.2.1 P 532 L 5 MyBallot # 1078
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 DVJ2/396-532-5: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Capitalize only first word of heading.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 33 SC 33.2.10.1.2 P550 L33 MyBallot # 1080
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/398-550-33: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #246.

Cl 33 SC 33.2.10.1.2 P551 L23 MyBallot # 1081
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/399-551-23: Wrong font in figures.

SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.2.10.1.2 P551 L3 MyBallot # 1082
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/400-551-3: Wrong font in figures.

SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.2.2 P533 L12 MyBallot # 1079
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/397-533-12: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

The consensus of the committee was that the current format of the table is clear.

Cl 33 SC 33.3 P552 L26 MyBallot # 1083
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/401-552-26: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1079.

Cl 33 SC 33.3.3 P555 L15 MyBallot # 1084
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/402-555-15: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #246.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 33 SC 33.3.4 P557 L17 MyBallot # 1085
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/403-557-17: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #246.

Cl 33 SC 33.3.6 P560 L5 MyBallot # 1086
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/404-560-5: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #246.

Cl 33 SC 33.6.1.1 P571 L15 MyBallot # 1088
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/406-571-15: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 33 SC 33.6.1.1 P571 L20 MyBallot # 1087
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/405-571-20: Confusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #740.

Cl 33 SC 33.6.1.2.10 P575 L1 MyBallot # 1092
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/410-575-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.6.1.2.6 P573 L3 MyBallot # 1090
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/408-573-3: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 33 SC 33.6.1.2.6 P573 L7 MyBallot # 1089
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/407-573-7: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #740.

Cl 33 SC 33.7 P575 L2 MyBallot # 1093
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ2/411-575-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).
 SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 33, ... ==> ... Clause 33
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 33 SC 33.7.1 P575 L7 MyBallot # 1091
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/409-575-7: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.7.2.2 P576 L13 MyBallot # 1095
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/413-576-13: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.7.2.2 P576 L14 MyBallot # 1096
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/414-576-14: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.7.2.2 P576 L4 MyBallot # 1097
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/415-576-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 33 SC 33.7.2.2 P576 L5 MyBallot # 1094
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/412-576-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.7.2.2 P577 L6 MyBallot # 1098
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/416-577-6: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.7.2.2 P577 L15 MyBallot # 1099
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/417-577-15: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.7.2.2 P577 L7 MyBallot # 1101
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/419-577-7: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.7.2.2 P577 L16 MyBallot # 1100
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/418-577-16: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.3 P581 L4 MyBallot # 1102
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/420-581-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.3 P581 L4 MyBallot # 1103
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/421-581-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.4 P583 L4 MyBallot # 1105
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/423-583-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.4 P583 L5 MyBallot # 1104
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/422-583-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.5 P585 L3 MyBallot # 1106
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/424-585-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.5 P585 L4 MyBallot # 1107
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/425-585-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.6 P586 L5 MyBallot # 1112
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/430-586-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.6 P586 L5 MyBallot # 1108
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/426-586-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.7 P586 L20 MyBallot # 1111
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/429-586-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.7 P586 L41 MyBallot # 1110
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/428-586-41: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.7 P586 L41 MyBallot # 1109
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/427-586-41: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.9 P587 L4 MyBallot # 1114
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/432-587-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of ""Comment"".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.9 P587 L4 MyBallot # 1113
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/431-587-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 33C SC 33C.1.1 P792 L 24 MyBallot # 1128
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/446-792-24: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33C SC 33C.1.10 P803 L 18 MyBallot # 1140
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/458-803-18: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33C SC 33C.1.1 P792 L 30 MyBallot # 1127
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/445-792-30: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33C SC 33C.1.11 P804 L 10 MyBallot # 1141
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/459-804-10: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33C SC 33C.1.10 P802 L 12 MyBallot # 1139
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/457-802-12: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33C SC 33C.1.12 P805 L 12 MyBallot # 1142
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/460-805-12: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl **33C** SC **33C.1.2** P**793** L**15** MyBallot # **1130**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/448-793-15: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **33C** SC **33C.1.4** P**796** L**7** MyBallot # **1132**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/450-796-7: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **33C** SC **33C.1.2** P**793** L**25** MyBallot # **1129**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/447-793-25: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **33C** SC **33C.1.5** P**797** L**11** MyBallot # **1134**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/452-797-11: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **33C** SC **33C.1.4** P**795** L**7** MyBallot # **1131**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/449-795-7: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **33C** SC **33C.1.5** P**797** L**17** MyBallot # **1133**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/451-797-17: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 33C SC 33C.1.6 P798 L28 MyBallot # 1135
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/453-798-28: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33C SC 33C.1.9 P801 L20 MyBallot # 1138
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/456-801-20: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33C SC 33C.1.7 P799 L9 MyBallot # 1136
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/454-799-9: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33C SC 33C.2.1 P806 L9 MyBallot # 1143
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/461-806-9: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33C SC 33C.1.9 P800 L26 MyBallot # 1137
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/455-800-26: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33C SC 33C.2.1 P807 L17 MyBallot # 1144
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/462-807-17: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 33C SC 33C.2.2 P 808 L 18 MyBallot # 1146
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/464-808-18: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33C SC 33C.3.1 P 810 L 27 MyBallot # 1148
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/466-810-27: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial, here and throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33C SC 33C.2.2 P 808 L 29 MyBallot # 1145
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/463-808-29: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial, here and throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33C SC 33C.4.1 P 812 L 6 MyBallot # 1149
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/467-812-6: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial, here and throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33C SC 33C.3.1 P 809 L 38 MyBallot # 1147
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/465-809-38: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial, here and throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33C SC 33C.4.1 P 813 L 17 MyBallot # 1151
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/469-813-17: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial, here and throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl **33C** SC **33C.4.1** P**813** L**33** MyBallot # **1150**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/468-813-33: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial, here and throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **33C** SC **33C.5.1** P**817** L**11** MyBallot # **1154**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/472-817-11: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial, here and throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **33C** SC **33C.5.1** P**815** L**7** MyBallot # **1152**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/470-815-7: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial, here and throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **33D** SC **33D.1** P**819** L**37** MyBallot # **1155**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/473-819-37: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial, here and throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **33C** SC **33C.5.1** P**816** L**36** MyBallot # **1153**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/471-816-36: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial, here and throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **33D** SC **33D.1** P**819** L**9** MyBallot # **1156**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/474-819-9: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial, here and throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 33D SC 33D.1 P 820 L 8 MyBallot # 1157
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/475-820-8: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial, here and throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33D SC 33D.2 P 821 L 42 MyBallot # 1158
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ2/476-821-42: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial, here and throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 34 SC 34.1.1 P 2 L 8 MyBallot # 1159
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/1-2-8: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 34 SC 34.1.2 P 2 L 55 MyBallot # 121
 BOOTH, MR BRAD J
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Reference is to four pairs of Category 5 balanced copper cabling. One, this is incorrect and two, this should reference ISO/IEC terminology.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change Category 5 to be Class D.
 Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 The 1000BASE-T PHY (Clause 40) uses four pairs of balanced copper cabling, as specified in ISO/IEC 11801:1995 (Class D) and ANSI/EIA/TIA-568-A-1995 (Category 5), and tested for the additional performance parameters specified in ANSI/EIA/TIA-568-B1 Annex D.'.

Cl 34 SC 34.1.5 P 3 L 26 MyBallot # 123
 BOOTH, MR BRAD J
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Title doesnt fit subject matter and is confusing.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to read Auto-negotiation, type 1000BASE-T.
 Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change the title to be 'Auto-Negotiation on twisted pair'.

Cl 34 SC 34.1.6 P 3 L 36 MyBallot # 122
 BOOTH, MR BRAD J
 Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 Reference to 10/100/1000 Mb/s seems to imply an implementation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete 10/100/1000 Mb/s.
 Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 34 SC 34.4 P4 L3 MyBallot # 129
BOOTH, MR BRAD J

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Information in this section is out-of-date relative to ISO/IEC 11801:2002 and can be updated to reference the update ISO/IEC specification.

SuggestedRemedy

Change whole subclause to read Supported applications for Gigabit Ethernet can be found in ISO/IEC 11801:2002, Annex F.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The suggest text will be added by the 1995 related text will remain.

Cl 35 SC 35.1 P5 L21 MyBallot # 1160
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/2-5-21: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.

SuggestedRemedy

Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 35 SC 35.1 P5 L39 MyBallot # 1161
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/3-5-39: Inconsistent with Clause 3 title.

SuggestedRemedy

Media Access Control ==> media access control

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #200.

Cl 35 SC 35.4.2.3 P27 L7 MyBallot # 1162
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/4-27-7: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 35, ... ==> ... Clause 35

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 35 SC 35.5.2.3 P28 L20 MyBallot # 1165
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/7-28-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 35 SC 35.5.2.3 P28 L24 MyBallot # 1163
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/5-28-24: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 35 SC 35.5.3 P28 L38 MyBallot # 1164
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/6-28-38: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 35 SC 35.5.3 P30 L4 MyBallot # 1169
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/11-30-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 35 SC 35.5.3 P29 L4 MyBallot # 1167
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/9-29-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 35 SC 35.5.3.3 P30 L35 MyBallot # 1168
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/10-30-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 35 SC 35.5.3 P29 L5 MyBallot # 1166
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/8-29-5: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 35 SC 35.5.3.4 P31 L3 MyBallot # 1172
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/14-31-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 35 SC 35.5.3.5 P31 L11 MyBallot # 1171
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/13-31-11: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 35 SC 35.5.3.6 P31 L19 MyBallot # 1170
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/12-31-19: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 36 SC 36.1.5 P35 L9 MyBallot # 1173
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/15-35-9: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 36 SC 36.6 P75 L6 MyBallot # 1175
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/17-75-6: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 36 SC 36.7 P75 L8 MyBallot # 1176
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/18-75-8: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).
 SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 36, ... ==> ... Clause 36
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #233.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 36 SC 36.7.1 P75 L15 MyBallot # 1174
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/16-75-15: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 36 SC 36.7.3 P76 L21 MyBallot # 1180
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/22-76-21: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 36 SC 36.7.3 P76 L24 MyBallot # 1178
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/20-76-24: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.1 P76 L45 MyBallot # 1179
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/21-76-45: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.1 P76 L48 MyBallot # 1177
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/19-76-48: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.2 P77 L12 MyBallot # 1182
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/24-77-12: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.2 P77 L5 MyBallot # 1185
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/27-77-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.3 P77 L25 MyBallot # 1184
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/26-77-25: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P77 L43 MyBallot # 1183
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/25-77-43: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P77 L46 MyBallot # 1181
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/23-77-46: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P78 L15 MyBallot # 1195
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/37-78-15: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P78 L16 MyBallot # 1190
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/32-78-16: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P78 L18 MyBallot # 1188
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/30-78-18: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P78 L32 MyBallot # 1187
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/29-78-32: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P78 L29 MyBallot # 1191
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/33-78-29: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P78 L39 MyBallot # 1192
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/34-78-39: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P78 L30 MyBallot # 1194
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/36-78-30: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P78 L39 MyBallot # 1193
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/35-78-39: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P78 L4 MyBallot # 1189
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/31-78-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.'
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P78 L41 MyBallot # 1186
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/28-78-41: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P78 L5 MyBallot # 1196
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/38-78-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.1.2 P80 L19 MyBallot # 1197
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/39-80-19: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.2.5.1.3 P90 L3 MyBallot # 1199
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/41-90-3: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 37 SC 37.2.5.1.4 P90 L35 MyBallot # 1198
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/40-90-35: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 37 SC 37.2.5.1.5 P91 L4 MyBallot # 1200
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/42-91-4: This column (and others throughout) are `_very_` confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 37 SC 37.4 P97 L37 MyBallot # 1202
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/44-97-37: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.4 P97 L38 MyBallot # 1203
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/45-97-38: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 37, ... ==> ... Clause 37

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.1 P97 L43 MyBallot # 1201
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/43-97-43: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.3 P99 L35 MyBallot # 1206
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/48-99-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.3 P99 L36 MyBallot # 1205
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/47-99-36: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 37 SC 37.5.3 P99 L38 MyBallot # 1204
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/46-99-38: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.1 P100 L5 MyBallot # 1210
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/52-100-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.1 P100 L9 MyBallot # 1209
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/51-100-9: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2 P100 L15 MyBallot # 1211
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/53-100-15: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2 P100 L17 MyBallot # 1208
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/50-100-17: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.1 P100 L26 MyBallot # 1215
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/57-100-26: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.1 P100 L26 MyBallot # 1212
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/54-100-26: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.1 P100 L35 MyBallot # 1214
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/56-100-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.1 P100 L36 MyBallot # 1213
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/55-100-36: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.1 P100 L37 MyBallot # 1207
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/49-100-37: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.3 P101 L3 MyBallot # 1219
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/61-101-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.3 P101 L4 MyBallot # 1224
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/66-101-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.3 P101 L5 MyBallot # 1218
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/60-101-5: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.4 P101 L13 MyBallot # 1223
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/65-101-13: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.4 P101 L13 MyBallot # 1220
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/62-101-13: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.4 P101 L13 MyBallot # 1216
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/58-101-13: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.4 P101 L15 MyBallot # 1217
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/59-101-15: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.4 P101 L20 MyBallot # 1221
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/63-101-20: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.4 P101 L20 MyBallot # 1222
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/64-101-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.6 P102 L3 MyBallot # 1230
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/72-102-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.6 P102 L15 MyBallot # 1227
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/69-102-15: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.6 P102 L34 MyBallot # 1229
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/71-102-34: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.6 P102 L3 MyBallot # 1225
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/67-102-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.6 P102 L34 MyBallot # 1228
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/70-102-34: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.6 P102 L37 MyBallot # 1226
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/68-102-37: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 38 SC 38.11.4 P123 L1 MyBallot # 1232
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/74-123-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12 P123 L4 MyBallot # 1233
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/75-123-4: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).
 SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 38, ... ==> ... Clause 38
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.1 P123 L10 MyBallot # 1231
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/73-123-10: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.3 P124 L3 MyBallot # 1234
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/76-124-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.3 P124 L3 MyBallot # 1235
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/77-124-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 38 SC 38.12.3 P125 L1 MyBallot # 1240
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/82-125-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy
 Abbreviate this header, using clause/subclause number if necessary, and providing the detailed cross-reference name in following text.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4 P125 L33 MyBallot # 1238
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/80-125-33: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4 P125 L35 MyBallot # 1239
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/81-125-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4 P125 L7 MyBallot # 1236
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/78-125-7: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4 P125 L7 MyBallot # 1241
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/83-125-7: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4 P125 L9 MyBallot # 1237
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/79-125-9: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4.3 P126 L3 MyBallot # 1243
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/85-126-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4.3 P126 L4 MyBallot # 1247
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/89-126-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4.4 P126 L19 MyBallot # 1246
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/88-126-19: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4.4 P126 L19 MyBallot # 1244
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/84-126-19: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4.5 P126 L34 MyBallot # 1244
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/86-126-34: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4.5 P126 L35 MyBallot # 1245
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/87-126-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4.5 P127 L4 MyBallot # 1248
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/90-127-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4.5 P127 L4 MyBallot # 1249
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/91-127-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4.5 P128 L4 MyBallot # 1250
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/92-128-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4.5 P128 L4 MyBallot # 1251
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/93-128-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4.6 P129 L3 MyBallot # 1253
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/95-129-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4.6 P129 L4 MyBallot # 1252
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/94-129-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 39 SC 39.7 P144 L1 MyBallot # 1255
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/97-144-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 39 SC 39.7 P144 L1 MyBallot # 1256
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/98-144-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 39, ... ==> ... Clause 39

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 39 SC 39.8.1 P144 L7 MyBallot # 1254
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/96-144-7: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 39 SC 39.8.2.2 P145 L11 MyBallot # 1259
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/101-145-11: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 39 SC 39.8.2.2 P145 L28 MyBallot # 1260
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/102-145-28: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 39 SC 39.8.2.2 P145 L29 MyBallot # 1261
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/103-145-29: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 39 SC 39.8.2.2 P145 L4 MyBallot # 1262
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/104-145-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 39 SC 39.8.2.2 P145 L3 MyBallot # 1257
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/99-145-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 39 SC 39.8.4.2 P146 L4 MyBallot # 1263
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/105-146-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 39 SC 39.8.2.2 P145 L31 MyBallot # 1258
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/100-145-31: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 39 SC 39.8.4.2 P146 L4 MyBallot # 1264
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/106-146-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 39 SC 39.8.4.3 P147 L3 MyBallot # 1265
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/107-147-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 39 SC 39.8.4.3 P148 L3 MyBallot # 1268
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/110-148-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 39 SC 39.8.4.3 P147 L4 MyBallot # 1266
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/108-147-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 39 SC 39.8.4.3 P148 L3 MyBallot # 1269
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/111-148-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 39 SC 39.8.4.3 P148 L13 MyBallot # 1267
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/109-148-13: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 40 SC 40.1 P149 L14 MyBallot # 127
 BOOTH, MR BRAD J
 Comment Type G Comment Status R
 Is ISO/IEC 11801:1995 available for download or for purchase?
 SuggestedRemedy
 If it is not available, then the references to this version of 11801 should be updated.
 Proposed Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 See reference to ISO/IEC 11801:1995 in subclause 1.3 'Normative references'. ISO/IEC 11801:1995 is available for purchase from Deutsches Institut für Normung, Burggrafenstrasse 6, 10787 Berlin, Germany (www.din.de).

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 40 SC 40.1.1 P149 L 29 MyBallot # 125
 BOOTH, MR BRAD J
 Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 Need space between 1000 and Mb/s.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As per comment.
 Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 40 SC 40.1.1 P149 L 32 MyBallot # 126
 BOOTH, MR BRAD J
 Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 Item f) lists "... Category 5 balanced cabling as defined in 40.7." but ANSI/EIA/TIA defines categories of cabling, not 802.3.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete "Category 5" and insert "copper" between balanced and cabling.
 Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 40 SC 40.1.3 P150 L 7 MyBallot # 1270
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/112-150-7: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.10 P252 L 5 MyBallot # 1314
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/156-252-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.11 P252 L 32 MyBallot # 1313
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/155-252-32: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.11 P252 L 32 MyBallot # 1312
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/154-252-32: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 40 SC 40.12.2 P 234 L 41 MyBallot # 1279
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/121-234-41: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.2 P 234 L 42 MyBallot # 1278
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/120-234-42: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.2 P 234 L 43 MyBallot # 1277
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/119-234-43: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.2 P 235 L 1 MyBallot # 1280
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/122-235-1: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.2 P 235 L 1 MyBallot # 1285
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/127-235-1: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.2 P 235 L 4 MyBallot # 1281
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/123-235-4: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 40 SC 40.12.3 P 235 L 20 MyBallot # 1284
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/126-235-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.3 P 235 L 21 MyBallot # 1282
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/124-235-21: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.4 P 235 L 29 MyBallot # 1283
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/125-235-29: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.4 P 236 L 1 MyBallot # 1287
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/129-236-1: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.4 P 236 L 7 MyBallot # 1286
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/128-236-7: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.4 P 237 L 36 MyBallot # 1289
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/131-237-36: Confusing/unnecessary page break.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Insert page break so that following table does not break across pages.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 40 SC 40.12.4 P237 L7 MyBallot # 1288
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/130-237-7: These blank cells look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.4.1 P237 L39 MyBallot # 1290
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/132-237-39: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.4.1 P237 L40 MyBallot # 1291
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/133-237-40: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.4.1 P238 L1 MyBallot # 1292
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/134-238-1: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.4.2 P239 L4 MyBallot # 1295
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/137-239-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.5 P239 L26 MyBallot # 1293
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/135-239-26: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 40 SC 40.12.5 P 239 L 26 MyBallot # 1294
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/136-239-26: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.6.1 P 241 L 29 MyBallot # 1298
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/140-241-29: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.5 P 241 L 2 MyBallot # 1296
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/138-241-2: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.6.1 P 241 L 30 MyBallot # 1297
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/139-241-30: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.6 P 241 L 15 MyBallot # 1299
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/141-241-15: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.7 P 243 L 4 MyBallot # 1301
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/143-243-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 40 SC 40.12.7 P243 L4 MyBallot # 1300
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/142-243-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.7 P247 L2 MyBallot # 1304
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/146-247-2: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.7 P245 L1 MyBallot # 1302
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/144-245-1: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.7 P248 L1 MyBallot # 1305
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/147-248-1: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.7 P246 L2 MyBallot # 1303
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/145-246-2: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.8 P249 L4 MyBallot # 1307
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/149-249-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 40 SC 40.12.8 P249 L4 MyBallot # 1306
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/148-249-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.8 P250 L2 MyBallot # 1308
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/150-250-2: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.9 P250 L20 MyBallot # 1309
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/151-250-20: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.9 P250 L20 MyBallot # 1310
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/152-250-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.9 P251 L1 MyBallot # 1311
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/153-251-1: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.3.4 P183 L10 MyBallot # 1271
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R
 DVJ3/113-183-10: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 SSD1 VECTOR ==> SSD1_VECTOR and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 40 SC 40.3.4 P183 L5 MyBallot # 1272
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R**
 DVJ3/114-183-5: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.

SuggestedRemedy
 SSD1 VECTOR ==> SSD1_VECTOR and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 40 SC 40.3.4 P184 L15 MyBallot # 1274
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R**
 DVJ3/116-184-15: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.

SuggestedRemedy
 CARRIER EXTENSION with ERROR ==> CARRIER_EXTENSION_WITH_ERROR and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 40 SC 40.3.4 P184 L16 MyBallot # 1273
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R**
 DVJ3/115-184-16: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.

SuggestedRemedy
 NON-IDLE DETECT ==> NON_IDLE_DETECT and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 40 SC 40.4.4.2 P194 L11 MyBallot # 1275
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R**
 DVJ3/117-194-11: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.

SuggestedRemedy
 DISABLE 1000BASE-T TRANSMITTER ==> DISABLE_1000BASE_T_TRANSMITTER and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 40 SC 40.5.1.1 P197 L6 MyBallot # 1276
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**
 DVJ3/118-197-6: This is _great_. The use of "Type", rather than R/W, in the column header is good.

SuggestedRemedy
 Do this everywhere else there is a R/W column header.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 40 SC 40.6.1.2.1 P210 L24 MyBallot # 130
LYNSKEY, ERIC R

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

Testing this can be performed by calculating percent error or percent difference for points in the PMA Test Mode 1 waveform. Depending on what equation you use, you get slightly different results.

The percent error is calculated by using a measured value and an actual value. This equation is used when comparing actual measurements to an already-known, or reference, value,

Percent difference is calculated by using two measured values. In this case, there is no already-known value, there are two values that differ by a certain percent.

The standard should specify how this measurement is performed.

SuggestedRemedy

Change sentence to, "The absolute value of the peak of the waveforms at points A and B shall differ by less than 1% from the average of the absolute values of the peaks of the waveform at points A and B."

Proposed Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

Cl 40 SC 40.6.1.3.1 P221 L28 MyBallot # 132
BARRASS, HUGH

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

If the BER of the interface is 10^{-10} then the symbol error ratio should be $\sim 10^{-9}$ (for 4-D PAM 5).

SuggestedRemedy

Change "4-D symbol error ratio" to "bit error ratio."

Proposed Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

Cl 40C SC 40C.2.2 P373 L12 MyBallot # 1389
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ3/231-373-12: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

Base_Page_RX ==> BASE_PAGE_RX and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 41 SC 41.1.1 P253 L21 MyBallot # 1315
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/157-253-21: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.

SuggestedRemedy

Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.2.2.2 P264 L13 MyBallot # 1316
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ3/158-264-13: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

NON-JABBER INPUT ==> NON_JABBER_INPUT and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 41 SC 41.5 P269 L36 MyBallot # 1318
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/160-269-36: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.5 P269 L36 MyBallot # 1319
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/161-269-36: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 41, ... ==> ... Clause 41

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.1 P269 L42 MyBallot # 1317
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/159-269-42: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.2.2 P270 L35 MyBallot # 1321
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/163-270-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.2.2 P270 L36 MyBallot # 1320
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/162-270-36: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.1 P271 L7 MyBallot # 1324
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/166-271-7: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.11 P276 L19 MyBallot # 1338
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/180-276-19: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.10 P276 L3 MyBallot # 1336
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/178-276-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.11 P276 L20 MyBallot # 1337
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/179-276-20: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.10 P276 L4 MyBallot # 1339
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/181-276-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.12 P277 L3 MyBallot # 1341
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/183-277-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.12 P277 L3 MyBallot # 1340
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/182-277-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.2 P272 L37 MyBallot # 1325
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/167-272-37: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.2 P271 L26 MyBallot # 1322
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/164-271-26: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.2 P272 L37 MyBallot # 1326
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/168-272-37: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.2 P271 L26 MyBallot # 1323
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/165-271-26: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.2 P272 L4 MyBallot # 1327
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/169-272-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.5 P273 L4 MyBallot # 1330
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/172-273-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.6 P273 L23 MyBallot # 1329
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/171-273-23: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.6 P273 L23 MyBallot # 1328
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/170-273-23: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.7 P274 L3 MyBallot # 1332
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/174-274-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.7 P274 L3 MyBallot # 1331
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/173-274-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.7 P275 L35 MyBallot # 1333
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/175-275-35: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.7 P275 L35 MyBallot # 1334
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/176-275-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.7 P275 L4 MyBallot # 1335
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/177-275-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.6.4.2 P342 L1 MyBallot # 1343
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/185-342-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7 P342 L2 MyBallot # 1344
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/186-342-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).
 SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 43, ... ==> ... Clause 43
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.1 P342 L12 MyBallot # 1342
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/184-342-12: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.12 P346 L5 MyBallot # 1362
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/204-346-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 43 SC 43.7.12 P346 L5 MyBallot # 1369
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/211-346-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.13 P346 L17 MyBallot # 1363
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/205-346-17: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.13 P346 L18 MyBallot # 1368
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/210-346-18: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.13 P346 L28 MyBallot # 1364
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/206-346-28: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.13 P346 L28 MyBallot # 1367
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/209-346-28: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.13 P346 L37 MyBallot # 1365
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/207-346-37: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 43 SC 43.7.13 P346 L38 MyBallot # 1366
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/208-346-38: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.16 P347 L4 MyBallot # 1370
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/212-347-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.16 P347 L4 MyBallot # 1375
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/217-347-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.17 P347 L17 MyBallot # 1371
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/213-347-17: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.17 P347 L17 MyBallot # 1374
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/216-347-17: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.18 P347 L30 MyBallot # 1372
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/214-347-30: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 43 SC 43.7.18 P347 L30 MyBallot # 1373
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/215-347-30: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.19 P348 L4 MyBallot # 1379
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/221-348-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.19 P348 L7 MyBallot # 1378
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/220-348-7: Consusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.2.1 P343 L19 MyBallot # 1346
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/188-343-19: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.2.1 P343 L20 MyBallot # 1347
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/189-343-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.2.1 P343 L4 MyBallot # 1348
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/190-343-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 43 SC 43.7.2.1 P343 L4 MyBallot # 1345
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/187-343-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.20 P348 L49 MyBallot # 1377
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/219-348-49: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.20 P348 L49 MyBallot # 1376
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/218-348-49: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.21 P349 L4 MyBallot # 1383
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/225-349-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.21 P349 L5 MyBallot # 1382
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/224-349-5: Consusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.22 P349 L43 MyBallot # 1381
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/223-349-43: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 43 SC 43.7.22 P349 L43 MyBallot # 1380
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/222-349-43: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.24 P350 L36 MyBallot # 1384
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/226-350-36: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.23 P350 L4 MyBallot # 1386
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/228-350-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.25 P351 L4 MyBallot # 1388
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/230-351-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.24 P350 L36 MyBallot # 1385
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/227-350-36: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.25 P351 L5 MyBallot # 1387
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/229-351-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 43 SC 43.7.5 P344 L4 MyBallot # 1355
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/197-344-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.5 P344 L4 MyBallot # 1349
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/191-344-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.5 P344 L9 MyBallot # 1354
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/196-344-9: Consusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.6 P344 L22 MyBallot # 1350
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/192-344-22: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.6 P344 L24 MyBallot # 1353
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/195-344-24: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.7 P344 L33 MyBallot # 1351
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/193-344-33: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 43 SC 43.7.7 P344 L34 MyBallot # 1352
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/194-344-34: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.7 P345 L31 MyBallot # 1359
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/201-345-31: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.7 P345 L18 MyBallot # 1360
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/202-345-18: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.7 P345 L5 MyBallot # 1361
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/203-345-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.7 P345 L18 MyBallot # 1356
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/198-345-18: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.7 P345 L50 MyBallot # 1357
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/199-345-50: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 43 SC 43.7.7 P345 L50 MyBallot # 1358
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/200-345-50: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43B SC 43B.5 P382 L1 MyBallot # 1391
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/233-382-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43B SC 43B.5 P382 L2 MyBallot # 1392
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ3/234-382-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).
 SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 43B, ... ==> ... Clause 43B
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 43B SC 43B.6.1 P382 L8 MyBallot # 1390
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/232-382-8: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43B SC 43B.6.2.3 P383 L4 MyBallot # 1393
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/235-383-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43B SC 43B.6.2.3 P383 L4 MyBallot # 1395
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/237-383-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 43B SC 43B.6.2.4 P383 L19 MyBallot # 1394
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ3/236-383-19: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 44 SC 44.1.4.1 P2 L52 MyBallot # 1396
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/1-2-52: Inconsistent with Clause 3 title.

SuggestedRemedy
 Media Access Control ==> media access control

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #200.

Cl 44 SC 44.5 P6 L42 MyBallot # 128
 BOOTH, MR BRAD J

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 Information is out-of-date.

SuggestedRemedy
 See attached file for proposed changes to 44.5.

Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.1.3 P17 L30 MyBallot # 59
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 '45.2.1.11' is an incorrect reference.

SuggestedRemedy
 Change to '45.2.1.12' ?

Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.10 P27 L48 MyBallot # 1402
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/7-27-48: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12 P28 L21 MyBallot # 1404
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/9-28-21: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12 P28 L23 MyBallot # 63
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

The first letter after the '=' symbol in tables should be capitalized.

SuggestedRemedy

Capitalise the first letter after all the '=' symbols in table 45-13.
Also apply to tables 45-14, 45-16, 45-17, 45-27, 45-28, 45-29, 45-30, 45-32, 45-33, 45-34, 45-35, 45-36, 45-39, 45-40, 45-46, 45-47, 45-48, 45-80, 45-81,45-83, 45-107, 45-110, 45-112, 45-116.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12 P28 L37 MyBallot # 64
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

PMA/PMD type selection is 5 bits long, but the table listing the different options for the field uses binary for bits 2:0 and collapses bits 4:3 into one column.

SuggestedRemedy

Either show a 5 column binary number for PMA/PMD type selection, or a Hexadecimal representation of the 5-bit field.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

A 5 column binary number for PMA/PMD type selection will be provided.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12.7 P29 L45 MyBallot # 65
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

PMA/PMD type selection is a five bit field, but line 45 states 'A value of 0010 may..' and line 49 states 'Values of 0011 and 0100 may ..'

SuggestedRemedy

Add an extra zero to the front of the four bit definitions to make them 5 bits long.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 P30 L10 MyBallot # 1405
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/10-30-10: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14 P32 L6 MyBallot # 1407
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/12-32-6: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14.1 P32 L22 MyBallot # 66
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

'45.2.1.14' is an incorrect reference.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to '45.2.1.15' ?

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to '45.2.1.15.1'.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14.2 P32 L32 MyBallot # 67
 LAW, DAVID J
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 '45.2.1.14' is an incorrect reference.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to '45.2.1.15' ?
 Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change to '45.2.1.15.2'.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.15 P32 L46 MyBallot # 1406
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/11-32-46: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.16 P33 L40 MyBallot # 1408
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/13-33-40: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.16 P34 L3 MyBallot # 1410
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/15-34-3: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.18 P34 L15 MyBallot # 68
 LAW, DAVID J
 Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 '45.2.1.13' is an incorrect reference.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to '45.2.1.14' ?
 Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.19 P34 L31 MyBallot # 1409
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/14-34-31: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.2.2 P18 L43 MyBallot # 60
 LAW, DAVID J
 Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 '45.2.1.12' is an incorrect reference.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to '45.2.1.13' ?
 Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.21 P35 L3 MyBallot # 1412
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/17-35-3: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.21.1 P35 L15 MyBallot # 69
 LAW, DAVID J
 Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 The sentence 'Writing to these bits on a 10PASS-TS PMA/PMD have no effect.' does not make sense.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Insert a 'shall' between 'PMA/PMD' and 'have no effect.' Update PICS if required.
 Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.23 P35 L46 MyBallot # 1411
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/16-35-46: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.24 P36 L11 MyBallot # 1414
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/19-36-11: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.27 P36 L49 MyBallot # 1413
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/18-36-49: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.28 P37 L11 MyBallot # 70
 LAW, DAVID J
 Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 '45.2.1.13' is an incorrect reference.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to '45.2.1.14' ?
 Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.29 P37 L27 MyBallot # 1415
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/20-37-27: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.3 P19 L6 MyBallot # 1398
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 DVJ4/3-19-6: Using a zero value incorrectly uses part of the number space assigned to Xerox.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change zero to all ones.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 The change as suggested could potentially make many device non-compatible. Instead text will be added that states an all zero value in this register means that the PMA/PMD device identifier is not supported.
 The all zero value in PHY identification registers has been in use since at least 1995 and has not caused problems in operation networks.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.31 P38 L19 MyBallot # 1417
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/22-38-19: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.31 P38 L4 MyBallot # 1418
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/23-38-4: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.32 P38 L41 MyBallot # 1416
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/21-38-41: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.33 P39 L10 MyBallot # 1420
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/25-39-10: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.34 P39 L30 MyBallot # 1419
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/24-39-30: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 P40 L7 MyBallot # 1422
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/27-40-7: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.36 P40 L26 MyBallot # 1421
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/26-40-26: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.37 P42 L6 MyBallot # 1423
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/28-42-6: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38 P43 L16 MyBallot # 71
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

Spurious space.

(Comment supplied by Edward Turner [ed.turner@quadrics.com])

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the space between '(' and 'see 45.2.1.35'

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38 P43 L25 MyBallot # 1424
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/29-43-25: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38.1 P43 L47 MyBallot # 72
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The sentence beginning 'This bit' and ending 'register (1.64)' is unclear and not written in the usual style for describing what a bit set to one indicates.

(Comment supplied by Edward Turner [ed.turner@quadrics.com])

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with 'When read as a one, bit 1.65.15 indicates that the values for this tone table have not been read since the last "Refresh tone status" command was issued by the 10P tone control action register (1.64).'

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38.6 P44 L12 MyBallot # 73
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

Name mismatch between here ('RX PSD') and table 45-34 ('Bit Load').

(Comment supplied by Edward Turner [ed.turner@quadrics.com])

SuggestedRemedy

Use the same name for bits 1.66.7:3 in the description and table.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.39 P44 L28 MyBallot # 1425
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/30-44-28: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.39.6 P45 L30 MyBallot # 74
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

Bits 1.68.3 and 1.68.2 are described as being reserved and so should be 'Reserved' bits.

(Comment supplied by Edward Turner [ed.turner@quadrics.com])

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the table entries for 1.68.3 and 1.68.2 with 'Reserved' and delete the text in sections 45.2.1.39.6 and 45.2.1.39.7

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4 P19 L18 MyBallot # 1397
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/2-19-18: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.40 P46 L10 MyBallot # 1426
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/31-46-10: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.40 P46 L5 MyBallot # 75
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 'Table 45-35' is an incorrect reference.

(Comment supplied by Edward Turner [ed.turner@quadrics.com])

SuggestedRemedy
 Change to 'Table 45-36'

Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.41 P47 L41 MyBallot # 1427
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/32-47-41: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

The use of the header 'R/W' for this column has not created implementation issues and is well understood by the implementation community. We believe changing this is more likely to cause confusion and error that leaving it the way it is.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.41 P47 L52 MyBallot # 76
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type E Comment Status R IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 IEEE abbreviation for 1024 bits per second is 'Kb/s' not 'kb/s'

(Comment supplied by Edward Turner [ed.turner@quadrics.com])

SuggestedRemedy
 Change to 'Kb/s' and replace other instances of 'kb/s' in this Clause.

Proposed Response Response Status C
 REJECT.

These values are 10^3 (1000) bits per second therefore kb/s is correct.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.42 P48 L6 MyBallot # 1430
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/35-48-6: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43 P48 L21 MyBallot # 1428
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/33-48-21: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to ""Type"", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43 P48 L28 MyBallot # 1429
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/34-48-28: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.44 P50 L6 MyBallot # 1431
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/36-50-6: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.44.1 P51 L43 MyBallot # 77
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 It's not strictly correct that the Minimum data rate registers are '1.81 through 87.14:8' since it's only registers 1.81, 1.83, 1.85, and 1.87. Registers 1.82, 1.84, and 1.86 don't contain Minimum data rate registers.

(Comment supplied by Edward Turner [ed.turner@quadrics.com])

SuggestedRemedy
 Change to '(1.81, 1.83, 1.85, 1.87. Bits 14:8)
 Also apply similar change to Section 45.2.1.44.2 (replacing '14:8' with '6:0')

Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.44.3 P52 L1 MyBallot # 78
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 It's not strictly correct that the data rate step registers are '1.82 through 88.13:7' since it's only registers 1.82, 1.84, 1.86, and 1.88. Registers 1.83, 1.85, and 1.87 don't contain data rate step registers.

(Comment supplied by Edward Turner [ed.turner@quadrics.com])

SuggestedRemedy
 Change to '(1.82, 1.84, 1.86, 1.88. Bits 13:7)
 Also apply similar change to Section 45.2.1.44.4 (replacing '13:7' with '6:2') and Section 45.2.1.44.5 (replacing '13:7' with '1:0')

Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.45 P52 L35 MyBallot # 1432
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/37-52-35: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.46 P52 L46 MyBallot # 79
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
Incorrect reference.

(Comment supplied by Edward Turner [ed.turner@quadrics.com])

SuggestedRemedy

Change '45.2.1.13' to '45.2.1.14'
Also apply this change to Section 45.2.1.48, P53 L24.
Also apply this change to Section 45.2.1.50, P53 L53.
Also apply this change to Section 45.2.1.52, P54 L28.
Also apply this change to Section 45.2.1.54, P55 L6.
Also apply a similar change to Section 45.2.1.55, P55 L19 (change '45.2.1.13.1' to '45.2.1.14.1').
Also apply this change to Section 45.2.1.56, P56 L9.

Proposed Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.47 P53 L11 MyBallot # 1434
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/39-53-11: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.49 P53 L40 MyBallot # 1433
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/38-53-40: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.5 P19 L48 MyBallot # 61
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

Other MMDs in Clause 45 have used the generic text 'The x devices in package registers are defined in Table 45-2' whereas the descriptive text for the PMA/PMD devices in package registers has been retained. The devices in package register described here is missing descriptions for bits 13 and 6.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the contents of section 45.2.1.5 and replace with the generic text for devices in package registers.

Proposed Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.51 P54 L15 MyBallot # 1436
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/41-54-15: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.53 P54 L45 MyBallot # 1435
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/40-54-45: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.55 P55 L26 MyBallot # 1437
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/42-55-26: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.57 P56 L23 MyBallot # 1438
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/43-56-23: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.58 P57 L6 MyBallot # 1439
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/44-57-6: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.58.1 P58 L42 MyBallot # 80
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

It's not strictly correct that the Minimum data rate registers are '1.102 through 108.14:8' since it's only registers 1.102, 1.104, 1.106, and 1.108. Registers 1.103, 1.105, and 1.107 don't contain Minimum data rate registers.

(Comment supplied by Edward Turner [ed.turner@quadrics.com])

SuggestedRemedy

Change to '(1.102, 1.104, 1.106, 1.108. Bits 14:8)'
 Also apply similar change to Section 45.2.1.58.2 (replacing '14:8' with '6:0')

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.58.3 P59 L1 MyBallot # 81
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

It's not strictly correct that the data rate step registers are '1.103 through 109.13:7' since it's only registers 1.103, 1.105, 1.107, and 1.109. Registers 1.104, 1.106, and 1.108 don't contain data rate step registers.

(Comment supplied by Edward Turner [ed.turner@quadrics.com])

SuggestedRemedy

Change to '(1.103, 1.105, 1.107, 1.109. Bits 13:7)'
 Also apply similar change to Section 45.2.1.58.4 (replacing '13:7' with '6:2').
 Also apply similar change to Section 45.2.1.58.5 (replacing '13:7' with '1:0').

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P20 L43 MyBallot # 1399
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/4-20-43: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P20 L47 MyBallot # 62
 LAW, DAVID J
 Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 No space between '1' or '0' digits and the '=' sign.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Insert a space before each '='.
 Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P22 L9 MyBallot # 1400
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/5-22-9: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.8 P25 L10 MyBallot # 1401
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/6-25-10: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.9.3 P27 L4 MyBallot # 1403
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/8-27-4: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.1.1 P61 L3 MyBallot # 1440
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/45-61-3: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.10 P66 L34 MyBallot # 1447
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/52-66-34: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.10 P66 L54 MyBallot # 1446
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

DVJ4/51-66-54: Line is missing on bottom of table. This could lead a reader to believe the row is continued on the next page, which is not true.

SuggestedRemedy

Fix it.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The table is continued on the next page, the table heading on the next page needs fixed.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.11 P69 L18 MyBallot # 1451
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/56-69-18: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.12 P69 L36 MyBallot # 1450
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/55-69-36: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.13 P70 L33 MyBallot # 1452
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/57-70-33: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.14 P71 L28 MyBallot # 1453
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/58-71-28: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.15 P72 L29 MyBallot # 1455
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/60-72-29: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.15 P72 L3 MyBallot # 1456
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/61-72-3: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.17 P72 L49 MyBallot # 1454
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/59-72-49: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.18 P74 L4 MyBallot # 1457
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/62-74-4: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.19 P75 L3 MyBallot # 1458
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/63-75-3: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.2 P62 L22 MyBallot # 1441
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/46-62-22: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.4 P63 L24 MyBallot # 1442
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/47-63-24: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.5 P63 L40 MyBallot # 82
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
Incorrect reference.

(Comment supplied by Edward Turner [ed.turner@quadrics.com])

SuggestedRemedy
Replace 'Table 45-7' with 'Table 45-2'.

Proposed Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.6.1 P64 L4 MyBallot # 1443
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
DVJ4/48-64-4: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy
Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.7 P65 L13 MyBallot # 1445
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
DVJ4/50-65-13: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy
Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.7.3 P65 L46 MyBallot # 1444
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
DVJ4/49-65-46: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy
Either: Change the header to ""Type"", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.8 P66 L17 MyBallot # 1449
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R
DVJ4/54-66-17: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy
1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials.

Proposed Response Response Status W
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1519.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.8 P66 L4 MyBallot # 1448
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
DVJ4/53-66-4: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy
Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3 P76 L58 MyBallot # 1459
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/64-76-58: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to ""Type"", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1 P77 L10 MyBallot # 1460
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/65-77-10: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.12 P86 L7 MyBallot # 1465
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/70-86-7: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.13 P87 L9 MyBallot # 1467
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/72-87-9: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.14 P87 L39 MyBallot # 1466
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/71-87-39: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.15 P88 L12 MyBallot # 1468
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/73-88-12: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.16 P89 L38 MyBallot # 1469
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/74-89-38: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17 P90 L4 MyBallot # 1471
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/76-90-4: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17.2 P90 L36 MyBallot # 1470
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/75-90-36: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.2.1 P78 L51 MyBallot # 83
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

Unclear what the references in '(see 45.2.3.17 and 45.2.3.21 through 45.2.4.5)' are supposed to refer to. The register in 45.2.3.17 is the 10P/2B capability register - it has no error indications. The register in 45.2.3.21 is the 10P/2B PAF RX Error register (should bit 3.1.7 be set to a one whenever register 45.2.3.21 is non zero?). The register in 45.2.4.5 is the PHY XS devices in package register - a completely different MMD.

(Comment supplied by Edward Turner [ed.turner@quadrics.com])

SuggestedRemedy

Delete 'any 10P/2B PCS registers indicate a fault (see 45.2.3.17 and 45.2.3.21 through 45.2.4.5)'. Insert text to specify exactly what sets bit 3.1.7 to a one. For example: 'any of the counters in registers 3.66 through to 3.73 are non zero'.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The correct register range is 45.2.3.21 to 45.2.3.28.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.20 P92 L34 MyBallot # 1472
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/77-92-34: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.20 P92 L6 MyBallot # 1473
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/78-92-6: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.21 P93 L7 MyBallot # 1476
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/81-93-7: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.22 P93 L27 MyBallot # 1475
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/80-93-27: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.23 P93 L44 MyBallot # 1474
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/79-93-44: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.24 P94 L7 MyBallot # 1479
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/84-94-7: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.25 P94 L13 MyBallot # 84
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

Registers 3.67 and 3.68 were 'small fragments' and 'large fragments' registers respectively. Registers 3.70, 3.71, 3.72, and 3.73 are singular 'fragment' rather than plural 'fragments'. The terminology should be applied consistently.

(Comment supplied by Edward Turner [ed.turner@quadrics.com])

SuggestedRemedy

Change register 3.70 to be the '10P/2B PAF bad fragments register' and update the text in section 45.2.3.25 to use plurals.
Register 3.71 (and associated text) should be '10P/2B PAF lost fragments register'.
Register 3.72 (and associated text) should be '10P/2B PAF lost starts of fragments register' (note additional 's' on 'start' too).
Register 3.73 (and associated text) should be '10P/2B PAF lost ends of fragments register' (note additional 's' on 'end' too).
Also update table 45-66 with the corrected register names.

Proposed Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.25 P94 L25 MyBallot # 1478
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/83-94-25: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.26 P94 L43 MyBallot # 1477
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/82-94-43: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.27 P95 L8 MyBallot # 1481
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/86-95-8: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.28 P95 L28 MyBallot # 1480
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/85-95-28: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.3 P79 L40 MyBallot # 1461
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R**

DVJ4/66-79-40: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1519.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.4.1 P80 L4 MyBallot # 1463
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

DVJ4/68-80-4: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.6 P80 L32 MyBallot # 1462
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

DVJ4/67-80-32: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.6.1 P80 L48 MyBallot # 27
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type **G** Comment Status **A** IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The PCS type selection bits referenced in the subclause are contained in the 10G PCS status 2 register, not the status register.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest the text '... advertised in the status register.' should read '... advertised in the 10G PCS status 2 register.'

Proposed Response Response Status **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Yes with US spelling.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.6.1 P80 L48 MyBallot # 28
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **A** IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The PCS type selection bits referenced in the subclause are contained in the 10G PCS status 2 register, not the status register.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest the text '... advertised in the status register.' should read '... advertised in the 10G PCS status 2 register.'

Proposed Response Response Status **C**

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.8 P82 L25 MyBallot # 1464
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R**

DVJ4/69-82-25: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1519.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.4.1.2 P97 L4 MyBallot # 1482
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/87-97-4: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.4.1.4 P98 L9 MyBallot # 1483
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/88-98-9: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.4.3 P98 L51 MyBallot # 1484
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ4/89-98-51: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1519.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.4.3 P99 L10 MyBallot # 1486
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/91-99-10: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.4.5 P99 L37 MyBallot # 1485
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/90-99-37: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.4.7 P100 L27 MyBallot # 1487
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ4/92-100-27: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1519.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.4.8.2 P102 L15 MyBallot # 1488
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/93-102-15: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.5.1.2 P104 L3 MyBallot # 1489
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/94-104-3: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.5.2 P105 L14 MyBallot # 1490
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/95-105-14: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.5.3 P106 L17 MyBallot # 1491
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/96-106-17: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.5.3 P106 L4 MyBallot # 1492
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ4/97-106-4: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1519.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.5.6.2 P107 L3 MyBallot # 1493
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/98-107-3: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.5.6.3 P107 L34 MyBallot # 1494
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R**

DVJ4/99-107-34: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1519.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.5.8.1 P108 L3 MyBallot # 1495
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

DVJ4/100-108-3: This column (and others throughout) are *_very_* confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.5.9 P109 L20 MyBallot # 1496
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

DVJ4/101-109-20: This column (and others throughout) are *_very_* confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.1 P111 L10 MyBallot # 1497
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

DVJ4/102-111-10: This column (and others throughout) are *_very_* confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.1.2 P112 L4 MyBallot # 90
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **A** IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

There is no PICS entry for the 'shall' in 'invalid settings shall be ignored'.

(Comment supplied by Edward Turner [ed.turner@quadrics.com])

SuggestedRemedy

Add a PICS entry for this 'shall'.

Proposed Response Response Status **C**

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.10 P116 L39 MyBallot # 1505
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

DVJ4/110-116-39: This column (and others throughout) are *_very_* confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.11 P117 L3 MyBallot # 1508
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/113-117-3: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.12 P117 L20 MyBallot # 1507
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/112-117-20: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.13 P117 L36 MyBallot # 1506
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/111-117-36: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.2 P112 L19 MyBallot # 1499
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ4/104-112-19: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1519.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.2 P112 L32 MyBallot # 1498
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/103-112-32: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.5 P113 L31 MyBallot # 1500
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/105-113-31: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.5 P113 L5 MyBallot # 1501
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R**

DVJ4/106-113-5: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1519.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.7 P114 L25 MyBallot # 1502
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

DVJ4/107-114-25: This column (and others throughout) are *_very_* confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.8 P115 L19 MyBallot # 1504
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

DVJ4/109-115-19: This column (and others throughout) are *_very_* confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.9 P115 L43 MyBallot # 1503
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

DVJ4/108-115-43: This column (and others throughout) are *_very_* confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.1 P118 L45 MyBallot # 1509
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

DVJ4/114-118-45: This column (and others throughout) are *_very_* confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.3 P119 L13 MyBallot # 1512
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

DVJ4/117-119-13: This column (and others throughout) are *_very_* confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.4 P119 L36 MyBallot # 1511
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/116-119-36: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.5 P119 L52 MyBallot # 1510
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/115-119-52: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.6 P120 L14 MyBallot # 1513
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/118-120-14: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.8.1 P120 L51 MyBallot # 1514
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ4/119-120-51: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1519.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.8.2 P121 L12 MyBallot # 1515
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/120-121-12: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.8.3 P121 L34 MyBallot # 1517
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ4/122-121-34: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1519.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.1 P121 L53 MyBallot # 1516
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R**

DVJ4/121-121-53: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1519.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.2 P122 L36 MyBallot # 1518
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

DVJ4/123-122-36: This column (and others throughout) are _very_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.3 P123 L5 MyBallot # 1519
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R**

DVJ4/124-123-5: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

Reference is made to subclause 22.2.4.3.1 where both description and illustration of the mapping is provided, IEEE Std 802-2001 provides the mapping from the IEEE/RAC hex digits to bits. The committee believes it is better to have one figure referenced multiple times rather than a figure repeater multiple time.

Cl 45 SC 45.4.1 P125 L10 MyBallot # 57
 DAWE, PIERS J G

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **A** IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

There's no reference to 45A.1 from 45.4 (or I think from anywhere) - I think one is needed. And the 'voltage translation device ... (see Annex 45A)' should be mentioned in the electrical section, not just in 45.3 Management frame structure.

SuggestedRemedy

Add two sentences to the first paragraph 'More information on the electrical interface is given in Annex 54A. Voltage translators between Clause 22 and Clause 45 are described in 45A.3 and 45A.4.' Extend the NOTE to give '... of 1.2 V (see Annex 45A.1).

Proposed Response Response Status **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

'..in Annex 54A.' will be changed to read '.. in Annex 45A.'

Cl 45 SC 45.4.1 P125 L25 MyBallot # 108
 BRADSHAW, P D

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **A**

The IOL specification on line 29 calls for >4 mA at a VI of 0.2V, while the VOL specification on line 25 calls for a VOL of <0.2V at an IOL of 100 uA. It is not clear what VI means here (and I cannot find a clue in Clause 22, specifically 22.4.3), but it would seem to mean VOL. In this case the specification on line 29 exceeds (and so supercedes) that on line 25.

SuggestedRemedy

- One of the following:-
1. Make clear how "VI" differs from "VOL"
 2. Change VI to VOL in line 29 (and to VOH in line 27)
 3. Perform Item 2. and also remove line 25.

Proposed Response Response Status **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

We will implement option 2.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.4.1 P125 L33 MyBallot # 58
DAWE, PIERS J G

Comment Type T Comment Status A

In Table 45-127 there's a term 'Bus loading' that appears not to be defined. It might be the capacitance that the backplane presents to the modules, or that all the modules present to the backplane, or the total capacitance between MDIO and [what?] and between MDC and [same what]. It's not defined in 22 either. As 45.4 doesn't explicitly address pluggability, the last reading might be intended.

SuggestedRemedy

Define 'Bus loading'.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

'Bus loading' will be changed to 'Total capacitive load'.

Cl 45 SC 45.4.1 P127 L1 MyBallot # 1521
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/126-127-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.4.1, 45.4.2 P125 L11 MyBallot # 105
BRADSHAW, P D

Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The 'NOTE' permits the use of a weak resistive pull up on the MDIO electrical interface. No definition (or even guidance as to the meaning) of "weak" is offered in Clause 45, but Clause 22 does offer some, in the NOTE to Table 22-13 (page 43, section 2, lines 35 to 40). Here a "resistive pull-up" of 1.5 kohm +/- 5% is allowed on MDIO, exactly matched by the allowed ILL on line 23. A "weak resistive pull-up" is allowed on COL, and the current specification implies (on a similar basis) a resistance of over 27 kohm. In passing, I would note that the XENPAK R3.0 spec, which references the Clause 45 spec for the "Transceiver Monitoring" interface (10.5), calls for 10-22k resistive pull-ups on "Low Speed Signals" in section 10.5.2, Figure 13 and Table 9, though not mentioning any value in the specific data for MDIO and MDC in Table 11, where some other pins are so mentioned.

The risetime implied by the >27 kohm Clause 22 "weak" pull-up and the Clause 45 maximum 470 pF bus capacitance is at least 12.7 usecs, almost 80 times the minimum MDC high time specified in 802.3 subclause 22.2.2.11 (and 30 times the full MDC period). (The worst case XENPAK-based numbers give about 7 usecs, 44 times the MDC high time.) Starting from the maximum VOL (unlikely limit at such a low current!), MDC will take ~1.5 usec to reach the VIL limit, and over 10usec more to reach the VIH limit. During a READ operation, the STA must clock in the data on the rising edge of MDC (45.4.2 line 45), while the MMD should change to the next bit after MDC reaches VIH of the same edge (Fig 45-4). With such a slow rise time, this would require an extremely precise (essentially impractical) VIH threshold for the MMD, unless the STA clocks in the data BEFORE the MDC reaches VIL (possible since the STA controls the MDC signal). So even very slow MDC clock rates have serious practical problems with this slow rise time.

The fall time (controlled by IOL per line 29, with the worst case capacitance) is under about 120 nsecs, and only the STA takes any action on this edge, so it causes much less of a problem.

If we assume that the pull-up on MDC (and MDIO) uses the "resistive pull-up" value of the NOTE to Table 22-13 (1.5 kohm), the timing issues above are reduced by factors of 18. The 1.2V VDD and 4mA IOL values of the 45.4.1 subclause allow a resistive pull-up of about 330 ohms, which would improve the timing issues above by a factor of over 80. However, there is still a problem with the MDC-to-MDIO-sourced-from-MMD minimum delay specification.

As the specification currently stands, the MDIO sourced by MMD must not change until MDC reaches within ~0 nV of VIH, but must change within 300 nsec of MDC reaching ~0 nV above VIH. In practice, a fast-rising MDC will mask this problem, provided that the actual delay from MDC crossing the actual MMD threshold to the MDIO changing is greater than the delay before MDC crosses the VIH minimum value, but the basic problem remains, since the latter number depends on the rate of rise of MDC.

SuggestedRemedy

1. In Line 11 in the Note to 45.4.1, change "open drain buffers and a weak resistive pull up" to "open drain buffers and a resistive pull-up" (NB this also fixes the [editorial] inconsistency between "pull-up" in Clause 22 and "pull up" in Clause 45).

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

2. In 45.4.2, line 45, insert "beginning of the " before "rising edge of MDC." (or change "with respect to" to "before the" in line 44, or other equivalent wording). Change Figure 45-4 on page 126 so that the "0 nsec MIN" delay is from MDC crossing VIL, while retaining the "300 nsec MAX" from MDC crossing VIH. (See attached drawing as a guide).

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
ACCEPT.

Cl 45 **SC 45.5** **P 127** **L 2** *MyBallot #* 1522
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **R**
DVJ4/127-127-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy
... Clause 45, ... ==> ... Clause 45

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 45 **SC 45.5.3** **P 127** **L 12** *MyBallot #* 1520
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **A** *IEEE-SA Editor*
DVJ4/125-127-12: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy
Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 **SC 45.5.4.3** **P 128** **L 12** *MyBallot #* 1525
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **R**
DVJ4/130-128-12: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 **SC 45.5.4.3** **P 128** **L 32** *MyBallot #* 91
BRADSHAW, P D

Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **R**
The *ODB item seems to me to be more logically placed in the NEXT table, that is in 45.5.5.1

SuggestedRemedy
Move the item to 45.5.5.1

Proposed Response *Response Status* **C**
REJECT.

This is a major capability/option and therefore should be included in the first table.

Cl 45 **SC 45.5.4.3** **P 128** **L 9** *MyBallot #* 1526
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **A** *IEEE-SA Editor*
DVJ4/131-128-9: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy
Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.5.4.3 P128 L9 MyBallot # 1528
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/133-128-9: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5 P128 L42 MyBallot # 1527
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/132-128-42: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5 P128 L42 MyBallot # 1523
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/128-128-42: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5 P128 L43 MyBallot # 1524
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/129-128-43: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.10 P145 L41 MyBallot # 1571
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/176-145-41: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.10 P145 L42 MyBallot # 1568
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/173-145-42: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.10 P145 L42 MyBallot # 1569
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/174-145-42: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.11 P146 L6 MyBallot # 1573
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/178-146-6: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.11 P146 L4 MyBallot # 1572
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/177-146-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.11 P147 L2 MyBallot # 1575
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/180-147-2: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.11 P146 L4 MyBallot # 1574
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/179-146-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.11 P147 L4 MyBallot # 1576
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/181-147-4: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.12 P148 L5 MyBallot # 1581
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/186-148-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.13 P148 L30 MyBallot # 1580
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/185-148-30: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.12 P148 L6 MyBallot # 1579
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/184-148-6: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.13 P148 L32 MyBallot # 1578
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/183-148-32: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.13 P148 L30 MyBallot # 1577
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/182-148-30: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.13 P149 L4 MyBallot # 1584
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/189-149-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.13 P149 L4 MyBallot # 1582
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/187-149-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.13 P149 L7 MyBallot # 1583
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/188-149-7: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15 P150 L16 MyBallot # 89
 LAW, DAVID J
 Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 TC5, TC6,TC7, TC8, TC9 point to the wrong location.
 (Comment supplied by Edward Turner [ed.turner@quadrics.com])
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change '45.2.1.1.1' to 45.2.6.1.1' and '45.2.1.1.3' to '45.2.6.1.3'.
 Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15 P150 L4 MyBallot # 1587
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/192-150-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15 P150 L4 MyBallot # 1585
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/190-150-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15 P150 L7 MyBallot # 1586
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/191-150-7: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15 P151 L3 MyBallot # 1590
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/195-151-3: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15 P151 L31 MyBallot # 1588
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/193-151-31: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15 P151 L31 MyBallot # 1591
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/196-151-31: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15 P151 L34 MyBallot # 1589
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/194-151-34: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.17 P152 L4 MyBallot # 1595
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/200-152-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.17 P152 L6 MyBallot # 1593
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/198-152-6: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.18 P152 L39 MyBallot # 1594
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/199-152-39: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.18 P152 L39 MyBallot # 1592
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/197-152-39: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.19 P153 L11 MyBallot # 1597
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/202-153-11: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.19 P153 L9 MyBallot # 1596
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/201-153-9: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.2 P129 L4 MyBallot # 1529
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/134-129-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.2 P129 L5 MyBallot # 1531
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/136-129-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.2 P129 L8 MyBallot # 1530
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/135-129-8: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P130 L10 MyBallot # 1532
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/137-130-10: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P130 L11 MyBallot # 1534
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/139-130-11: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P130 L13 MyBallot # 1533
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/138-130-13: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P131 L4 MyBallot # 1535
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/140-131-4: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P132 L46 MyBallot # 85
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 MM48, MM49, MM50 point to the wrong location.

(Comment supplied by Edward Turner [ed.turner@quadrics.com])

SuggestedRemedy
 Change '45.2.1.11.1' to 45.2.1.12.1'

Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P132 L5 MyBallot # 1536
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/141-132-5: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P133 L26 MyBallot # 86
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 MM59 points to the wrong location.

(Comment supplied by Edward Turner [ed.turner@quadrics.com])

SuggestedRemedy
 Change '45.2.1.12.1' to 45.2.1.13.1'

Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P133 L39 MyBallot # 87
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 MM64, MM65, MM66, MM67. MM68, MM69 point to the wrong location.

(Comment supplied by Edward Turner [ed.turner@quadrics.com])

SuggestedRemedy
 Change '45.2.1.13.1' to 45.2.1.14.1' and '45.2.1.13.2' to '45.2.1.14.2'

Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P133 L4 MyBallot # 1537
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/142-133-4: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P134 L3 MyBallot # 1538
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/143-134-3: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P135 L4 MyBallot # 1541
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/146-135-4: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

We assume you are referring to the empty cell in this table rather than a blank line.

This entry in the table is empty because there is no value or comment necessary. The committee believes this is obvious to the reader and that the addition of extra characters decreases readability.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.4 P135 L46 MyBallot # 1539
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/144-135-46: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.5 P136 L4 MyBallot # 1543
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/148-136-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.4 P135 L46 MyBallot # 1542
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/147-135-46: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.5 P136 L4 MyBallot # 1545
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/150-136-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.4 P135 L47 MyBallot # 1540
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/145-135-47: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.5 P136 L6 MyBallot # 1544
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/149-136-6: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.5 P137 L2 MyBallot # 1546
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/151-137-2: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.5 P137 L4 MyBallot # 1547
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/152-137-4: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.5 P138 L1 MyBallot # 1548
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/153-138-1: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.5 P138 L4 MyBallot # 1549
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/154-138-4: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.6 P139 L4 MyBallot # 1554
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/159-139-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.6 P139 L7 MyBallot # 1552
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/157-139-7: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.7 P139 L30 MyBallot # 1550
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/155-139-30: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.7 P139 L30 MyBallot # 1553
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/158-139-30: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.7 P139 L33 MyBallot # 1551
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/156-139-33: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.7 P140 L2 MyBallot # 1555
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/160-140-2: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.7 P140 L7 MyBallot # 1556
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/161-140-7: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.7 P141 L2 MyBallot # 1557
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/162-141-2: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.7 P141 L3 MyBallot # 1558
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/163-141-3: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.7 P142 L19 MyBallot # 88
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 RM52 does not point to a shall. Bit 15 in Section 45.2.3.17 is 'Reserved', and bit 15 in Section 45.2.3.18 does not have a 'shall'.

(Comment supplied by Edward Turner [ed.turner@quadrics.com])

SuggestedRemedy
 Delete RM52 or find a 'shall' to point it to.

Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Item RM52 will be deleted.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.7 P142 L2 MyBallot # 1559
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/164-142-2: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.7 P142 L46 MyBallot # 92
 BRADSHAW, P D

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 RM 61, RM63, RM65, RM67, RM69, RM71, RM73, RM75 all say 'Bits reset to zero when read of upon MMD reset.' It is clear from the origin of these PICS items that the "of" should be "or" in all cases. The corrections should extend to page 143 line 27

SuggestedRemedy
 Replace "of" by "or" in all odd RM from 61 to 75.

Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.7 P142 L5 MyBallot # 1560
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/165-142-5: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.7 P143 L4 MyBallot # 1563
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/168-143-4: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.8 P143 L36 MyBallot # 1564
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/169-143-36: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.8 P144 L5 MyBallot # 1567
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/172-144-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.8 P143 L36 MyBallot # 1561
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/166-143-36: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.8 P144 L5 MyBallot # 1565
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/170-144-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.8 P143 L38 MyBallot # 1562
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/167-143-38: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.8 P144 L7 MyBallot # 1566
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/171-144-7: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.8 P145 L4 MyBallot # 1570
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/175-145-4: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45A SC 45A.3, 45A.4 P438 L0 MyBallot # 109
 BRADSHAW, P D

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Both 45A.3 and 45A.4 describe a "protocol aware voltage translator" as being required to interface between Clause 22 and Clause 45 voltage and protocol devices. Both say that "the device must have knowledge of any Clause 22 PHY addresses present ...". However, it is possible to use a voltage translator that is protocol-independent, and will work perfectly well between Clause 22 and Clause 45 levels, using nothing more than appropriately-biased NMOS FETs between the groups of lines involved, and the pull-up resistors shown in Figures 45A-3 and 45A-4. I have attached two pages from an I2C specification document (from Philips Semiconductor), showing a suitable arrangement, though in that case interfacing between (open drain) 3.3V and 5V levels. By appropriate changes in the connections of the pull-up resistors, and the gate voltage bias on the FETS, the circuit can be readily changed to operate between 1.2V and 3.3V or 5V. Matched sets of such FETs are available from several suppliers, often including an additional FET that can be used to set the gate bias level to be corrected for the VGS threshold voltage level of the FETs. A succession of such protocol-independent circuits has been used successfully to interface MMD-protocol devices operating at 1.2V, 1.5V, 2.4V, and 3.3V, all the devices being able to talk to each other as needed. The only limitation involves the speed limits set by the various resistive pull-ups, which must (in some cases) be strong enough to pull the higher-voltage signals up high enough to function, while (in aggregate) not overloading the pulldown capability of the driver(s).

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the requirement (especially via the use of "must") of protocol-awareness in the descriptions of the level shifting circuits.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

This is an informative Annex and implementers may produce conformant behavior with simplified logic.

Cl 46 SC 46.1 P155 L28 MyBallot # 1598
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/203-155-28: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.

SuggestedRemedy

Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 46 SC 46.1 P155 L42 MyBallot # 1599
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/204-155-42: Inconsistent with Clause 3 title.

SuggestedRemedy

Media Access Control ==> media access control

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #200.

Cl 46 SC 46.4 P173 L1 MyBallot # 1601
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/206-173-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 46 SC 46.5 P173 L2 MyBallot # 1602
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/207-173-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 46, ... ==> ... Clause 46

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 46 SC 46.5.1 P173 L12 MyBallot # 1600
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/205-173-12: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 46 SC 46.5.2.3 P174 L21 MyBallot # 1606
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/211-174-21: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 46 SC 46.5.2.3 P174 L22 MyBallot # 1604
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/209-174-22: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 46 SC 46.5.3 P174 L38 MyBallot # 1603
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/208-174-38: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 46 SC 46.5.3 P174 L38 MyBallot # 1605
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/210-174-38: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 46 SC 46.5.3.2 P175 L4 MyBallot # 1607
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/212-175-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 46 SC 46.5.3.3 P176 L23 MyBallot # 1609
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/214-176-23: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 46 SC 46.5.3.2 P175 L5 MyBallot # 1608
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/213-175-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 46 SC 46.5.3.3 P176 L5 MyBallot # 1611
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/216-176-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 46 SC 46.5.3.3 P176 L23 MyBallot # 1610
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/215-176-23: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 46 SC 46.5.3.5 P177 L20 MyBallot # 1614
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/219-177-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 46 SC 46.5.3.6 P177 L41 MyBallot # 1613
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/218-177-41: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 46 SC 46.5.3.6 P177 L41 MyBallot # 1612
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/217-177-41: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 47 SC 47.1 P179 L15 MyBallot # 1615
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/220-179-15: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.

SuggestedRemedy

Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 47 SC 47.5 P190 L1 MyBallot # 1617
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/222-190-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 47 SC 47.5 P190 L1 MyBallot # 1618
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/223-190-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 47, ... ==> ... Clause 47

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

CI 47 SC 47.6.1 P190 L12 MyBallot # 1616
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/221-190-12: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 47 SC 47.6.2.2 P191 L17 MyBallot # 1619
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/224-191-17: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 47 SC 47.6.2.2 P191 L18 MyBallot # 1624
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/229-191-18: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 47 SC 47.6.2.2 P191 L20 MyBallot # 1621
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/226-191-20: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

CI 47 SC 47.6.2.2 P191 L26 MyBallot # 1623
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/228-191-26: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 47 SC 47.6.2.2 P191 L4 MyBallot # 1625
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/230-191-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 47 SC 47.6.2.2 P191 L40 MyBallot # 1622
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/227-191-40: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 47 SC 47.6.2.2 P191 L40 MyBallot # 1620
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/225-191-40: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 48 SC 48.6 P223 L1 MyBallot # 1627
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/232-223-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 48 SC 48.7 P223 L2 MyBallot # 1628
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/233-223-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma for Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) and Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer, type 10GBASE-X5 ==> Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma for Clause 48
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 48 SC 48.7.1 P223 L14 MyBallot # 1626
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/231-223-14: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 48 SC 48.7.2.2 P224 L20 MyBallot # 1633
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/238-224-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 48 SC 48.7.2.2 P224 L20 MyBallot # 1629
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/234-224-20: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 48 SC 48.7.2.2 P224 L29 MyBallot # 1631
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/236-224-29: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 48 SC 48.7.2.2 P224 L40 MyBallot # 1630
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/235-224-40: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 48 SC 48.7.2.2 P224 L44 MyBallot # 1632
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/237-224-44: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 48 SC 48.7.4.2 P225 L4 MyBallot # 1634
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/239-225-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 48 SC 48.7.4.3 P226 L20 MyBallot # 1636
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/241-226-20: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 48 SC 48.7.4.3 P226 L5 MyBallot # 1635
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/240-226-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 49 SC 49.1.2 P228 L10 MyBallot # 1637
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/242-228-10: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.

SuggestedRemedy

Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 49 SC 49.2.15 P251 L1 MyBallot # 1639
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/244-251-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 49 SC 49.3 P251 L2 MyBallot # 1640
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/245-251-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 49, ... ==> ... Clause 49

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 49 SC 49.3.1 P251 L12 MyBallot # 1638
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/243-251-12: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 49 SC 49.3.3 P252 L14 MyBallot # 1643
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/248-252-14: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 49 SC 49.3.3 P252 L14 MyBallot # 1641
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/246-252-14: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 49, ... ==> ... Clause 49

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 49 SC 49.3.3 P252 L5 MyBallot # 1644
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/249-252-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 49 SC 49.3.4.1 P252 L29 MyBallot # 1642
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/247-252-29: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 49 SC 49.3.4.2 P253 L18 MyBallot # 1645
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/250-253-18: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 49 SC 49.3.4.2 P253 L4 MyBallot # 1646
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/251-253-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 49 SC 49.3.5.1 P254 L19 MyBallot # 1648
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/253-254-19: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 49 SC 49.3.5.1 P254 L30 MyBallot # 1647
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/252-254-30: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 49 SC 49.3.5.1 P255 L27 MyBallot # 1650
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/255-255-27: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 49 SC 49.3.5.1 P254 L4 MyBallot # 1649
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/254-254-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 49 SC 49.3.5.1 P255 L4 MyBallot # 1652
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/257-255-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 49 SC 49.3.5.1 P255 L16 MyBallot # 1651
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/256-255-16: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 4A SC 4A.1.4 P575 L17 MyBallot # 101
 BROWN, BENJAMIN J
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 Part 1: The matching subclause in 4 was removed during working group ballot.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove this subclause and associated figure. Also, the figure references are to annex 9A, not 4A. These should be fixed throughout the annex.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 4A SC 4A.1.4 P575 L33 MyBallot # 677
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/518-575-33: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 4A SC 4A.2.1 P576 L26 MyBallot # 678
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ1/519-576-26: If the normative text is defined in Pascal, the Pascal code must be defined in a normative (not informative) reference.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Pick on of the reference to be the normative one, the other informative. 2) Change code to use only the normative Pascal code.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The two references are required for Pascal and the extensions to Pascal used in specifying the MAC. The pointer to the references is consistent with Clause 4 and the ability of hundreds of implementers to independently build interoperable Clause 4 MACs with hundreds of millions of installed product demonstrates the references used are not a problem.

Cl 4A SC 4A.2.2.2 P577 L24 MyBallot # 679
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ1/520-577-24: There is no technical reference for the definitions of "Concurrent Pascal"

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Provide a normative reference. 2) Change the code to use only the ANSI standard Pascal.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

Se MyBallot comment #678.

Cl 4A SC 4A.2.2.3 P578 L16 MyBallot # 680
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/521-578-16: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 4A SC 4A.2.2.3 P581 L49 MyBallot # 681
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ1/522-581-49: Confusing title

SuggestedRemedy

Merge the two quasi titles: "c) MAC sublayer" "Control flow" into one title. Do this in previous figures also.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The titles of Figures 4A-3a through 4A-3c will be corrected and that title that is part of the figure will be removed.

Cl 4A SC 4A.4.2 P596 L37 MyBallot # 682
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/523-596-37: These font sizes look wrong.

SuggestedRemedy

Fix them.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 50 SC 50.1.2 P258 L35 MyBallot # 1653
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/258-258-35: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 50 SC 50.5 P287 L1 MyBallot # 1655
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/260-287-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 50 SC 50.6 P287 L2 MyBallot # 1656
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/261-287-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).
 SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 50, ... ==> ... Clause 50
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 50 SC 50.6.1 P287 L12 MyBallot # 1654
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/259-287-12: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 50 SC 50.6.2.2 P289 L20 MyBallot # 1658
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/263-289-20: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 50 SC 50.6.2.2 P289 L35 MyBallot # 1657
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/262-289-35: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 50 SC 50.6.2.2 P 289 L 4 MyBallot # 1659
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/264-289-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 50 SC 50.6.2.2 P 290 L 2 MyBallot # 1661
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/266-290-2: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 50 SC 50.6.4.3 P 290 L 36 MyBallot # 1660
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/265-290-36: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 50 SC 50.6.4.4 P 291 L 44 MyBallot # 1662
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/267-291-44: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 50 SC 50.6.4.5 P 292 L 4 MyBallot # 1664
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/269-292-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 50 SC 50.6.4.6 P 292 L 36 MyBallot # 1663
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/268-292-36: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 50 SC 50.6.4.7 P293 L4 MyBallot # 1665
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/270-293-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 51 SC 51.1 P310 L2 MyBallot # 1668
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/273-310-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 51, ... ==> ... Clause 51

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 51 SC 51.10.1 P310 L12 MyBallot # 1666
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/271-310-12: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 51 SC 51.10.3 P312 L19 MyBallot # 1670
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/275-312-19: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 51 SC 51.10.3 P312 L4 MyBallot # 1674
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/279-312-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 51 SC 51.10.4.1 P312 L30 MyBallot # 1673
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/278-312-30: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 51 SC 51.10.4.1 P312 L32 MyBallot # 1671
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
DVJ4/276-312-32: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 51 SC 51.10.4.2 P312 L38 MyBallot # 1672
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ4/277-312-38: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 51 SC 51.10.4.2 P312 L41 MyBallot # 1669
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
DVJ4/274-312-41: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 51 SC 51.10.4.3 P313 L5 MyBallot # 1676
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ4/281-313-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 51 SC 51.10.4.3 P313 L8 MyBallot # 1675
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
DVJ4/280-313-8: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 51 SC 51.4 P298 L52 MyBallot # 50
DAWE, PIERS J G

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
I couldn't find SFI-4 in the references or bibliography

SuggestedRemedy

Add an entry for SFI-4 in Annex A. Here's what I found about its exact title: OIF-SFI4-01.0 - Proposal for a common electrical interface between SONET framer and serializer/deserializer parts for OC-192 interfaces
<http://www.oiforum.com/public/documents/OIF-SFI4-01.0.pdf> from <http://www.oiforum.com>
Optical Internetworking Forum 39355 California Street - Suite 307 Fremont, CA 94538

Proposed Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 51 SC 51.4 P299 L6 MyBallot # 49
DAWE, PIERS J G

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

I think we use b/s for the uncoded rate (e.g. 10 Gb/s) and Bd for the signaling (here called 'baud') rate.

SuggestedRemedy

Unless SFI-4 uses this terminology AND this table is intending to follow it, change Gb/s to GBd (twice in this table).

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 51 SC 51.9 P310 L1 MyBallot # 1667
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/272-310-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.14.4 P350 L41 MyBallot # 107
KOLESAR, PAUL R F

Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The referenced singlemode connector performance specification, IEC 61753-021-2, provides several classes of performance that differ by return loss. Of these classes, performance Class S matches the specifications of clause 52, by specifying a 26 dB return loss requirement.

The reference should be made more specific by including a designation of this performance class.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify the reference as follows:

b) IEC 61753-021-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class S.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT.

Cl 52 SC 52.14.4 P350 L43 MyBallot # 114
KOLESAR, PAUL R F

Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The resolution to comment #83 on the D1.0 ballot called for modification of the reference to IEC 61753-022-2 to add a specific performance class that is aligned with the specifications for multimode connectors within standard 802.3. This modification was not made where the reference is called out in clause 52. Make the modification as previously agreed. The agreed resolution is reproduced here for reference: Accept in principle.

Modify the clause 52 references as follows:

c) IEC 61753-022-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class M.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify the clause 52 references as follows:

c) IEC 61753-022-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class M.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 52 SC 52.14.4 P350 L43 MyBallot # 5
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The resolution to comment #83 on the previous ballot called for modification of the reference to IEC 61753-022-2 to add a specific performance class that is aligned with the specifications for multimode connectors within standard 802.3. This modification was not made where the reference is called out in clause 52. Make the modification as previously agreed. The agreed resolution is reproduced here for reference:

Accept in principle.

Modify the clause 52 and 53 references as follows:

c) IEC 61753-022-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class M.

This is based on the following email from e-mail from Paul Kolesar: I've obtained the standard and checked its contents. I believe it meets the expectations of IEEE P802.3ae where referenced in clauses 52.14.4 and 53.14.3, with one possible exception that deserves clarification in the referencing clauses. The exception has to do with the fact that 61753-022-2 specified two performance levels delineated by class designations M and N. Class M meets the 0.75 dB attenuation and 20 dB return loss requirements of 802.3 for multimode connectors, while class N does not. Class N attenuation is 1.25 dB max and has no return loss requirements. Therefore, I believe comment #83 should spawn additional action to insert a designation of Class M into the places where it is called out in clauses 52 and 53 (and any other places).

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest modifying the clause 52 and 53 references as follows:

c) IEC 61753-022-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class M.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT.

Cl 52 SC 52.14.4 P351 L1 MyBallot # 1678
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/283-351-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15 P351 L4 MyBallot # 1679
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/284-351-4: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 52, ... ==> ... Clause 52

Proposed Response Response Status W
REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 52 SC 52.15.1 P351 L15 MyBallot # 1677
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/282-351-15: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.2.3 P352 L21 MyBallot # 1681
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/286-352-21: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.2.3 P352 L21 MyBallot # 1680
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/285-352-21: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3 P353 L28 MyBallot # 1682
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/287-353-28: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3 P353 L28 MyBallot # 1684
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/289-353-28: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3 P353 L7 MyBallot # 1683
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/288-353-7: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3 P353 L8 MyBallot # 1685
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/290-353-8: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.10 P356 L36 MyBallot # 1700
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/305-356-36: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.10 P356 L36 MyBallot # 1698
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/303-356-36: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.11 P357 L4 MyBallot # 1705
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/310-357-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.11 P357 L4 MyBallot # 1703
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/308-357-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.12 P357 L18 MyBallot # 1704
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/309-357-18: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.12 P357 L18 MyBallot # 1702
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/307-357-18: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.4 P354 L16 MyBallot # 1687
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/292-354-16: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.3 P354 L4 MyBallot # 1688
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/293-354-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.4 P354 L16 MyBallot # 1690
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/295-354-16: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.3 P354 L5 MyBallot # 1691
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/296-354-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.5 P354 L27 MyBallot # 1686
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/291-354-27: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.5 P354 L28 MyBallot # 1689
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/294-354-28: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.7 P355 L16 MyBallot # 1696
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/301-355-16: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.6 P355 L4 MyBallot # 1694
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/299-355-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.7 P355 L16 MyBallot # 1693
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/298-355-16: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.6 P355 L5 MyBallot # 1697
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/302-355-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.8 P355 L29 MyBallot # 1692
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/297-355-29: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.8 P 355 L 29 MyBallot # 1695
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/300-355-29: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.9 P 356 L 4 MyBallot # 1701
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/306-356-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.9 P 356 L 4 MyBallot # 1699
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/304-356-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.4.14 P 350 L 40 MyBallot # 6
 LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The referenced singlemode connector performance specification, IEC 61753-021-2, provides several classes of performance that differ by return loss. Of these classes, performance Class S matches the specifications of clause 52, by specifying a 26 dB return loss requirement.

The reference should be made more specific by including a designation of this performance class.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify the reference as follows:

b) IEC 61753-021-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class S.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT.

Cl 52 SC 52.9.6.3 P 336 L 5 MyBallot # 51
 DAWE, PIERS J G

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

There's an error in terminology here that a reader has complained about. While PN is a noise power, PM is a power due to signal and noise and cannot be described as 'noise power'.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete 'noise' from the line ending in 'modulation on'.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 53 SC 53.14.3 P389 L19 MyBallot # 8
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The referenced singlemode connector performance specification, IEC 61753-021-2, provides several classes of performance that differ by return loss. Of these classes, performance Class S matches the specifications of clause 52, by specifying a 26 dB return loss requirement.

The reference should be made more specific by including a designation of this performance class.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify the reference as follows:

b) IEC 61753-021-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class S.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT.

Cl 53 SC 53.14.3 P389 L20 MyBallot # 111
KOLESAR, PAUL R F

Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The referenced singlemode connector performance specification, IEC 61753-021-2, provides several classes of performance that differ by return loss. Of these classes, performance Class S matches the specifications of clause 53, by specifying a 26 dB return loss requirement.

The reference should be made more specific by including a designation of this performance class.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify the reference as follows:

b) IEC 61753-021-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class S

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT.

Cl 53 SC 53.14.3 P389 L21 MyBallot # 7
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The resolution to comment #83 during the IEEE P802.3REVam D1.0 Working Group ballot called for modification of the reference to IEC 61753-022-2 to add a specific performance class that is aligned with the specifications for multimode connectors within standard 802.3. This modification was not made where the reference is called out in clause 52. Make the modification as previously agreed. The agreed resolution is reproduced here for reference:

Accept in principle.

Modify the clause 52 and 53 references as follows:

c) IEC 61753-022-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class M.

This is based on the following email from e-mail from Paul Kolesar: I've obtained the standard and checked its contents. I believe it meets the expectations of IEEE P802.3ae where referenced in clauses 52.14.4 and 53.14.3, with one possible exception that deserves clarification in the referencing clauses. The exception has to do with the fact that 61753-022-2 specified two performance levels delineated by class designations M and N. Class M meets the 0.75 dB attenuation and 20 dB return loss requirements of 802.3 for multimode connectors, while class N does not. Class N attenuation is 1.25 dB max and has no return loss requirements. Therefore, I believe comment #83 should spawn additional action to insert a designation of Class M into the places where it is called out in clauses 52 and 53 (and any other places).

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest modifying the clause 52 and 53 references as follows:

c) IEC 61753-022-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class M.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 53 SC 53.14.3 P389 L22 MyBallot # 112
 KOLESAR, PAUL R F

Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The resolution to comment #83 during the IEEE P802.3REVam D1.0 Working Group ballot called for modification of the reference to IEC 61753-022-2 to add a specific performance class that is aligned with the specifications for multimode connectors within standard 802.3. This modification was not made where the reference is called out in clause 53. Make the modification as previously agreed. The agreed resolution is reproduced here for reference: Accept in principle. Modify the clause 52 and 53 references as follows:
 c) IEC 61753-022-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class M.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify the clause 52 and 53 references as follows:
 c) IEC 61753-022-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class M.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT.

Cl 53 SC 53.14.3 P390 L1 MyBallot # 1707
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/312-390-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 53 SC 53.15 P390 L3 MyBallot # 1708
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/313-390-3: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 53, ... ==> ... Clause 53

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 53 SC 53.15.1 P390 L14 MyBallot # 1706
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/311-390-14: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 53 SC 53.15.3 P391 L21 MyBallot # 1709
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/314-391-21: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 53 SC 53.15.3 P391 L21 MyBallot # 1710
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/315-391-21: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 53 SC 53.15.4 P392 L7 MyBallot # 1711
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/316-392-7: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 53 SC 53.15.4 P392 L7 MyBallot # 1712
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/317-392-7: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 53 SC 53.15.4.2 P393 L5 MyBallot # 1714
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/319-393-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 53 SC 53.15.4.2 P393 L5 MyBallot # 1716
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/321-393-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 53 SC 53.15.4.3 P393 L0 MyBallot # 93
BRADSHAW, P D

Comment Type T Comment Status A

(This piece of section four has no line numbers).
MR6 & MR7 do not quite conform to Maintenance requests 1110 and 1111 respectively.
The requests called for "the transmit path" and "the receive path", while the revision has "any" instead of "the". There is only one path in either case. The origin subclauses use "any ... lane", which makes sense, since there are four lanes in each path.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: fix according to the original requests, using "the" instead of "any".
OR: replace the "path" with "lane"

My preference is the latter. Since the original requests were submitted by me, the original requestor will be happy with either resolution.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The word "path" will be replaced with "lane".

Cl 53 SC 53.15.4.3 P393 L18 MyBallot # 1715
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/320-393-18: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 53 SC 53.15.4.3 P393 L20 MyBallot # 1713
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/318-393-20: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 53 SC 53.15.4.4 P394 L4 MyBallot # 1718
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/323-394-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 53 SC 53.15.4.4 P394 L4 MyBallot # 1717
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/322-394-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 53 SC 53.15.4.5 P395 L4 MyBallot # 1719
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/324-395-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 53 SC 53.15.4.5 P 395 L4 MyBallot # 1720
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/325-395-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 53 SC 53.15.4.6 P 398 L5 MyBallot # 1722
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/327-398-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 53 SC 53.15.4.6 P 398 L5 MyBallot # 1721
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/326-398-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 54 SC 54.1 P 421 L3 MyBallot # 1725
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/330-421-3: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).
 SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 54, ... ==> ... Clause 54
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 54 SC 54.10.1 P 421 L8 MyBallot # 1723
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/328-421-8: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 54 SC 54.10.3 P 422 L4 MyBallot # 1729
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/334-422-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 54 SC 54.10.3 P 422 L 5 MyBallot # 1731
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/336-422-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 54 SC 54.10.3 P 422 L 6 MyBallot # 1727
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/332-422-6: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4 P 422 L 13 MyBallot # 1730
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/335-422-13: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4 P 422 L 13 MyBallot # 1728
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/333-422-13: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4 P 422 L 17 MyBallot # 1726
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/331-422-17: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4.1 P 423 L 5 MyBallot # 1732
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/337-423-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4.1 P 423 L 5 MyBallot # 1733
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/338-423-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4.1 P 424 L 2 MyBallot # 1735
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/340-424-2: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4.2 P 424 L 20 MyBallot # 1736
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/341-424-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4.2 P 424 L 21 MyBallot # 1734
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/339-424-21: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4.3 P 425 L 4 MyBallot # 1738
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/343-425-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4.3 P 425 L 4 MyBallot # 1739
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/344-425-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4.3 P 425 L 6 MyBallot # 1737
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ4/342-425-6: These blank lines look like errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4.4 P 426 L 4 MyBallot # 1741
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/346-426-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4.4 P 426 L 5 MyBallot # 1743
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/348-426-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4.5 P 426 L 21 MyBallot # 1742
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/347-426-21: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4.5 P 426 L 21 MyBallot # 1740
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/345-426-21: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4.6 P 427 L 4 MyBallot # 1745
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ4/350-427-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4.6 P 427 L 4 MyBallot # 1744
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/349-427-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 54 SC 54.5.4 P 404 L 0 MyBallot # 94
 BRADSHAW, P D

Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The remedy to the problem posed by 54.5.4 in 802.3ak does not work. At an ad hoc meeting of the concerned people at the November 04 maintenance meeting, I agreed to submit the attached change to the SIGNAL_DETECT function definition.

This comment should be a TR comment.

The attached file shows more detail related to this discussion.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace paragraphs 2 & 3 of 54.5.4 with the following:-

SIGNAL_DETECT is a global indicator of the presence of electrical signals on all four lanes. The PMD receiver is not required to verify whether a compliant 10GBASE-CX4 signal is being received; however, it shall assert SIGNAL_DETECT = OK within 100 s after the absolute differential peak-to-peak input voltage on each of the four lanes at the MDI has exceeded 175 mV for at least 1 UI (unit interval).

After any such assertion of SIGNAL_DETECT = OK, SIGNAL_DETECT = FAIL shall not be asserted for at least 250 usecs. The PMD shall have asserted SIGNAL_DETECT = FAIL when the absolute differential peak-to-peak input voltage on any of the four lanes at the MDI has dropped below 50 mV and has remained below 50 mV for longer than 500 s.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The suggested remedy will be implemented.

It should be noted however that there's a "100 s" in the first paragraph of the suggested remedy that should be a "100us" and a "500 s" in the second paragraph that should be a "500us" This seems to be a printout phenomena but it should be checked to make sure the final publication has these numbers as "100us" and "500us". In addition the text '250 usecs' will be changed to read '250us'.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 54 SC 54.5.4 P 404 L 5 MyBallot # 95
BRADSHAW, P D

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The conditions for asserting SIGNAL_DETECT = OK depend on the existence of an input signal in excess of a value for 1UI. The intent, as expressed in the 802.3ak Working Group, appeared to me to be as follows:-

If the (as defined) input level exceeds some threshold value, for a time that would occur at least during the time span of an IPG, then SIGNAL_DETECT = OK is to be asserted.

The minimum IPG will always have at least 2 runs of 3 or more 1s or 0s, including at least one run of 4 or more 1s or 0s. Assuming that the input signal (at least when SIGNAL_DETECT is "at risk") will have suffered high frequency attenuation, the first bit of such runs may be expected to fall below the threshold, but the later bits will be the "key" area for threshold detection. Thus there is a 'reasonable' expectation that an IPG will have 7 to 9 "full amplitude" bits in a 20 UI interval. I think it would be preferable to have the SIGNAL_DETECT = OK depend on at least 7 of 20 UI as the time criteria for assertion.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "at least 1 UI (unit interval) " by "at least 7 UI (unit interval) in any consecutive 20 UI block".

Proposed Response REJECT. Response Status W

This change will make it harder for SIGNAL_DETECT=OK to be asserted. The whole intent is to get SIGNAL_DETECT=OK as soon as possible. Stretching out the criteria from 1UI to 7UI does not solve any problem. In fact this comment does not state there is a problem with what is currently there. Since there is no problem stated there does not need to be a remedy and therefore this comment s/b rejected.

Cl 54 SC 54.9 P 421 L 1 MyBallot # 1724
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/329-421-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status W
See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.1 P 33 L 34 MyBallot # 1748
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ5/3-33-34: Its unclear what "left" and "right" mean.

SuggestedRemedy

Any mapping should be defined in terms of MSB and LSB. For clarity, the MSB and LSB should _always_ be on the left and right respectively.

Proposed Response REJECT. Response Status W

The terms "left" and "right" are self explanatory. The use of bit 0 on the left and bit 7 on the right is consistent with the mac frame format shown in Figure 3.1.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.4.1 P33 L37 MyBallot # 1747
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ5/2-33-37: Any mappings of the OUI must be defined in terms of hex digits, not bit numbers. Otherwise, errors can occur in interpretation, particularly since this standard has multiple bit counting schemes.

SuggestedRemedy

Define this accurately in terms of hex digit example. The Clause 9 reference doesn't help, since this is nearly unintelligible text.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

Hex digits are used as textual representations of bits and bytes. Transmission rules are defined in terms of bits/bytes. If the commenter feels Clause 9 of another standard (IEEE Std 802-2001) can be improved, address it there.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.1 P33 L43 MyBallot # 1746
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ5/1-33-43: Don't reference another document, which uses different notation than (many of the) notations within this specification.

SuggestedRemedy

Show the OUI layout in detail, or cross reference a part of this spec that does so. Should be defined in terms of hex-digit mappings, not bit mappings.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

Rather than recreate inconsistence descriptions of the OUI layout in multiple IEEE 802 MAC standards we think it is better to reference the one document, IEEE Std 802-2001 which all IEEE 802 documents are required to be consistent with per the IEEE 802 five criteria.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.2.1 P34 L51 MyBallot # 39
 LYNSKEY, ERIC R

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

Also includes page 35, line 10 and Table 57-3.

The Local Stable and Evaluating flags state that if the value of 0x3 is received it should be ignored and not change the last received value. This is understood as, the reception of 0x3 will not change the current state of the OAM Discovery process. However the Remote Stable and Evaluating flags state that if an OAMPDU is received from the DUT, the Local Stable and Evaluating flags shall be copied into the Remote Stable and Evaluating flags field.

A device can follow both of the above statements. It can receive the 0x3 value in the last received Local Stable and Evaluating flags and then ignore that value and copy it into the Remote Stable and Evaluating flags. However this is propagating an invalid/reserved value on the link. It does not accurately reflect how the station interpreted the received Local flags (since they were invalid).

Is this desired? Since the last received Local flags weren't valid, shouldn't the device transmit the last valid received Local Stable and Evaluating flags in the Remote Stable and Evaluating flags instead?

SuggestedRemedy

Change the Remote Stable and Evaluating flags to read:

When remote_state_valid is set to TRUE and the last received Local Stable and Local Evaluating values are not set to 0x3, the Remote Stable and Remote Evaluating values shall be a copy of the last received Local Stable and Local Evaluating values from the remote OAM peer.

If the last received Local Stable and Local Evaluating values are set to 0x3 the local OAM device shall set the Remote Stable and Remote Evaluating values to copies of the last received valid Local Stable and Local Evaluating values.

Otherwise, the Remote Stable and Remote Evaluating bits shall be set to 0.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The text '..last received Local Stable and Local Evaluating ..' will be changed to read '..last valid received Local Stable and Local Evaluating ..' in Table 57-3.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.6 P39 L31 MyBallot # 1749
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R**

DVJ5/4-39-31: If the remainder of the content is not specified, there is nothing to guarantee two things from the same company are misinterpreted, or each division will have to buy their own OUI.

SuggestedRemedy

Define to be a CDI, as specified by the IEEE/RAC.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

This comment has been discussed multiple times throughout the development of IEEE Std 802.3ah-2004. How organizations manage their OUI space is left to the organization. It is just as easy for an organization to misuse a CDI as it is to misuse a OUI.

Cl 57 SC 57.5.1 P39 L48 MyBallot # 40
 LYNSKEY, ERIC R

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **A** IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

Letter d) states:

If the length of a TLV is not equal to that defined for the Type, it should be ignored, and the remainder of the frame may be ignored.

If Types have a defined length, then why are there lengths in the first place? Aren't they implied by the type of TLV.

I was under the impression that typical TLV procedure involves all TLVs based on the same type to be of the same format but of varying lengths. Assuming the first TLV of type A is defined to be 4 bytes long. The second TLV defined for type A is 6 bytes long. The first 4 bytes of the second TLV must contain the first TLV. The 2 extra bytes are what distinguishes it as the second defined TLV of type A.

Currently each TLV is locked down to a specific length. Any new TLVs of that same Type must get a new Type value to distinguish itself. If this is the case, there is no use for the length field since each type is registered with a specific length value.

SuggestedRemedy

Change letter d) to read:

The OAM client parses each TLV according to the Length value. Any information beyond what is understood by the OAM client may be ignored. All remaining valid TLVs within the OAMPDU may be accepted.

Proposed Response Response Status **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

We do need to be careful in that TLVs are defined with a minimum set of information, that may be enhanced in later versions. You can't necessarily just process the first N bytes if you're expecting M, as that may result inconsistent behavior (for example, if you received 2 octets of a 4 octet field, or you received one of 4 expected fields, etc.). In addition TLVs could be of variable (Vendor specific for example).

Change (d) to read: "If the length of a TLV is less than that defined for the Type, that TLV should be ignored and the rest of the frame may be ignored. If the length of a TLV is greater than that defined for the Type, the expected fields of the TLV should be processed, and the remainder of the frame after the TLV should also be processed."

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.6.5 P52 L1 MyBallot # 1751
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/6-52-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 57 SC 57.6.5 P52 L1 MyBallot # 1752
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/7-52-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 57, ... ==> ... Clause 57

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.1 P52 L7 MyBallot # 1750
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/5-52-7: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.2.2 P53 L1 MyBallot # 1754
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/9-53-1: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.2.2 P53 L2 MyBallot # 1756
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/11-53-2: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.1 P53 L31 MyBallot # 1753
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/8-53-31: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.1 P53 L32 MyBallot # 1755
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/10-53-32: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.1 P54 L1 MyBallot # 1758
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/13-54-1: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.2 P54 L31 MyBallot # 1759
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/14-54-31: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.2 P54 L31 MyBallot # 1757
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/12-54-31: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.3 P55 L3 MyBallot # 1761
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/16-55-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.3 P55 L3 MyBallot # 1760
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/15-55-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.5 P56 L40 MyBallot # 1762
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/17-56-40: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.4 P56 L3 MyBallot # 1763
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/18-56-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.5 P56 L40 MyBallot # 1764
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/19-56-40: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.4 P56 L4 MyBallot # 1765
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/20-56-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.6 P57 L13 MyBallot # 1766
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/21-57-13: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.6 P57 L13 MyBallot # 1768
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/23-57-13: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.5 P58 L4 MyBallot # 1771
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/26-58-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.6 P57 L3 MyBallot # 1767
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/22-57-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.5 P58 L4 MyBallot # 1770
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/25-58-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.6 P57 L3 MyBallot # 1769
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/24-57-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 58 SC 58.1 P91 L3 MyBallot # 1776
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ5/31-91-3: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).
 SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 58, ... ==> ... Clause 58
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #233.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 58 SC 58.10.1 P91 L10 MyBallot # 1774
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/29-91-10: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.2.3 P92 L3 MyBallot # 1778
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/33-92-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.2.3 P92 L3 MyBallot # 1780
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/35-92-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.3 P92 L31 MyBallot # 1777
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/32-92-31: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.3 P92 L31 MyBallot # 1779
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/34-92-31: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.3.2 P93 L19 MyBallot # 1785
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/40-93-19: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 58 SC 58.10.3.2 P93 L19 MyBallot # 1782
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/37-93-19: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.3.2 P93 L33 MyBallot # 1781
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/36-93-33: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.3.2 P93 L33 MyBallot # 1784
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/39-93-33: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.3.2 P93 L4 MyBallot # 1786
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/41-93-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.3.2 P93 L5 MyBallot # 1783
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/38-93-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.3.5 P94 L4 MyBallot # 1789
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/44-94-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 58 SC 58.10.3.5 P94 L4 MyBallot # 1792
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/47-94-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.3.7 P94 L43 MyBallot # 1790
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/45-94-43: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.3.6 P94 L27 MyBallot # 1788
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/43-94-27: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.3.7 P94 L43 MyBallot # 1787
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/42-94-43: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.3.6 P94 L27 MyBallot # 1791
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/46-94-27: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 58 SC 58.7.1.1 P70 L7 MyBallot # 1773
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/28-70-7: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 58 **SC 58.7.1.1** **P70** **L8** *MyBallot #* 1772
 JAMES, DAVID V
Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **A** *IEEE-SA Editor*
 DVJ5/27-70-8: The trailing 'r' on Number is confusing.
SuggestedRemedy
 Eliminate this break, in oneway oranother.
Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

 See MyBallot comment #1900.

 In addition it will also be recommended to the IEEE-SA editor that the superscript 'b' on line 13 related to '1st' codegroup' that is on a line of its own should also be fixed.

Cl 58 **SC 58.7.7.3** **P75** **L9** *MyBallot #* 55
 DAWE, PIERS J G
Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **A** *IEEE P802.3REVam Editor*
 Wrong cross-reference
SuggestedRemedy
 Reference to 59.9.1 should be to 59.7.1
Proposed Response *Response Status* **C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl 58 **SC 58.9.4** **P91** **L1** *MyBallot #* 1775
 JAMES, DAVID V
Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **A** *IEEE-SA Editor*
 DVJ5/30-91-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 59 **SC 59.1** **P118** **L3** *MyBallot #* 1795
 JAMES, DAVID V
Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **R**
 DVJ5/50-118-3: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).
SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 59, ... ==> ... Clause 59
Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
 REJECT.

 See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 59 **SC 59.10.1** **P118** **L9** *MyBallot #* 1793
 JAMES, DAVID V
Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **A** *IEEE-SA Editor*
 DVJ5/48-118-9: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 59 **SC 59.10.3** **P119** **L3** *MyBallot #* 1796
 JAMES, DAVID V
Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **A** *IEEE-SA Editor*
 DVJ5/51-119-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 59 SC 59.10.3 P119 L3 MyBallot # 1797
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/52-119-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.2 P120 L19 MyBallot # 1802
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/57-120-19: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.1 P120 L3 MyBallot # 1803
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/58-120-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.2 P120 L19 MyBallot # 1799
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/54-120-19: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.1 P120 L4 MyBallot # 1800
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/55-120-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.2 P120 L35 MyBallot # 1798
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/53-120-35: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.2 P120 L35 MyBallot # 1801
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/56-120-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.4 P121 L3 MyBallot # 1807
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/62-121-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.4 P121 L17 MyBallot # 1804
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/59-121-17: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.4 P121 L3 MyBallot # 1805
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/60-121-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.4 P121 L17 MyBallot # 1806
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/61-121-17: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.6 P122 L3 MyBallot # 1813
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/68-122-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.6 P122 L3 MyBallot # 1810
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/65-122-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.7 P122 L19 MyBallot # 1809
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/64-122-19: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.7 P122 L19 MyBallot # 1812
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/67-122-19: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.7 P122 L37 MyBallot # 1811
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/66-122-37: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.7 P122 L38 MyBallot # 1808
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/63-122-38: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 59 SC 59.7.9 P138 L11 MyBallot # 15
 LAW, DAVID J
 Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 Subclause number is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 59.7.9 should read 60.7.9.
 Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 Note: Between subclause 60.7.8 and 60.7.10 a subclause 59.7.9 appears.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 59 SC 59.9.5 P116 L45 MyBallot # 54
DAWE, PIERS J G

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

If you are going to fix the order of period and inverted comma once in this paragraph, don't leave the other two instances of the same problem!

SuggestedRemedy

On line 45, change Cable." to Cable". and on line 47, change assembly." to assembly". Similarly (3 times) in 38.11.4.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 59 SC 59.9.5 P118 L1 MyBallot # 1794
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/49-118-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.1 P145 L2 MyBallot # 1816
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/71-145-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 60, ... ==> ... Clause 60

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.1 P145 L9 MyBallot # 1814
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/69-145-9: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.3 P146 L26 MyBallot # 1819
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/74-146-26: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

Abbreviate the clause number, with subclause or clause number in title (in place of text) if necessary.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.3 P146 L4 MyBallot # 1821
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/76-146-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.10.3 P146 L4 MyBallot # 1818
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/73-146-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4 P146 L32 MyBallot # 1820
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/75-146-32: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4 P146 L32 MyBallot # 1817
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/72-146-32: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.2 P147 L22 MyBallot # 1826
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/81-147-22: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.2 P147 L23 MyBallot # 1823
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/78-147-23: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.2 P147 L4 MyBallot # 1824
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/79-147-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.2 P147 L4 MyBallot # 1827
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/82-147-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.2 P147 L41 MyBallot # 1822
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/77-147-41: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.2 P147 L42 MyBallot # 1825
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/80-147-42: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.5 P148 L4 MyBallot # 1829
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/84-148-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.5 P148 L5 MyBallot # 1831
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/86-148-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.6 P148 L23 MyBallot # 1828
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/83-148-23: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.6 P148 L23 MyBallot # 1830
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/85-148-23: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.7 P149 L4 MyBallot # 1833
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/88-149-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.7 P149 L4 MyBallot # 1835
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/90-149-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.8 P149 L21 MyBallot # 1832
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/87-149-21: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.8 P149 L21 MyBallot # 1834
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/89-149-21: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.8 P151 L1 MyBallot # 1836
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ5/91-151-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Shorten the title, expand it in the text that follows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #233.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.7.9 P138 L11 MyBallot # 48
 DAWE, PIERS J G
 Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 Within clause 60, '59.7.9' should be 60.7.9
 SuggestedRemedy
 Correct the subclause number
 Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 60 SC 60.9.4 P145 L1 MyBallot # 1815
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/70-145-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 61 SC 61.1 P199 L3 MyBallot # 1841
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ5/96-199-3: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).
 SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 61, ... ==> ... Clause 61
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 61 SC 61.1.3 P152 L31 MyBallot # 1837
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/92-152-31: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 61 SC 61.1.4.1.2 P154 L22 MyBallot # 106
 BECK, MICHAEL A

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **A** IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The text is confusing as to whether deference (MAC-PHY rate matching) is based on the state of the CRS signal on the MII, or on the value of the carrierSense variable at the abstract interface between the physical layer and the MAC. This confusion was introduced by an incomplete resolution of comment #556/D3.1 (EFM Sponsor Ballot).

The abstract interface between the physical layer and the MAC is defined in subclause 4.3.3. It consists of the ReceiveBit function, the TransmitBit and Wait procedures, and the variables collisionDetect, carrierSense, receiveDataValid, and transmitting. Section 1, page 91, line 36 states that "The Physical Layer sets carrierSense to true immediately upon detection of activity on the physical medium."

The deference mechanism is further explained in Annex 4A. Section 1, page 582, line 45 states: "When either transmitting or carrierSense is true, the MAC delays any pending transmission."

The specifications in Clause 61, on the other hand, rely on the MII-signal CRS. They specify how the PHY must set the MII signals to achieve MAC-PHY rate matching. The only statement on what the MAC will do, is in section 5, page 154, line 22: "Prior to transmission, the MAC checks the carrierSense variable (mapped from the MII signal CRS), and will not transmit another frame as long as CRS is asserted."

SuggestedRemedy

Add a sentence after the first sentence of 61.1.4.1.1: "For implementations that do not include an MII interface, all references to MII signals in this clause apply to the equivalent elements of the interface between the physical layer and the MAC as defined in 4.3.3."

Replace the quoted sentence in section 5, page 154, line 22, with: "Prior to transmission, the MAC checks the carrierSense variable (mapped from the MII signal CRS), and will not transmit another frame as long as carrierSense is asserted."

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The first part of the remedy is unnecessary as Clause 22 already states that logic implementation of the MII is mandatory regardless if the physical implementation is present.

The second part of the remedy will be implemented as it makes the current informative text more accurate.

Cl 61 SC 61.10.1 P199 L9 MyBallot # 1839
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/94-199-9: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 61 SC 61.10.3 P200 L27 MyBallot # 1845
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

DVJ5/100-200-27: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

Abbreviate, using clause number (instead of text) will follow-on text as necessary.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 61 SC 61.10.3 P200 L3 MyBallot # 1844
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/99-200-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 61 SC 61.10.3 P200 L4 MyBallot # 1847
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/102-200-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 61 SC 61.10.4 P200 L33 MyBallot # 1846
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/101-200-33: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 61 SC 61.10.3 P200 L4 MyBallot # 1842
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/97-200-4: The dark separators should be thinner in the header.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Apply the right Frame line widths (very thin) to between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 61 SC 61.10.4.2 P201 L4 MyBallot # 1849
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/104-201-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 61 SC 61.10.4 P200 L33 MyBallot # 1843
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/98-200-33: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 61 SC 61.10.4.2 P201 L4 MyBallot # 1848
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/103-201-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 61 SC 61.10.4.3 P202 L4 MyBallot # 1851
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/106-202-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 61 SC 61.10.4.4 P207 L22 MyBallot # 1853
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/108-207-22: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 61 SC 61.10.4.3 P202 L4 MyBallot # 1850
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/105-202-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 61 SC 61.2.2.2 P164 L37 MyBallot # 3
 BECK, MICHAEL A
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 Figure 61-9 suggests that the first byte following the preamble in a MAC frame that is to be fragmented, would end up being the first byte following the Fragmentation Header in the first fragment resulting from the PAF fragmentation. According to Figure 3-1, the first byte following the preamble is the SFD.
 On the other hand, 61.1.4.1.2 clearly states that "[t]he transmitter MAC-PHY Rate Matching function strips the Preamble and SFD fields from the MAC frame, and forwards the resulting data frame to the PME Aggregation Function or to the TC sublayer."
 SuggestedRemedy

The text in 61.1.4.1.2 shows the EFM Task Force's intent to strip preamble AND SFD from the MAC frame before handing it over to the PAF. Figure 61-9 should be modified accordingly.
 In the first line of Figure 61-9 ("From MAC"), insert an "SFD" field between the "preamble" field and the "Data frame" field. Align the tail of the down arrow with the boundary between the "SFD" field and the "Data frame" field.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

1. Preamble box needs to be split to include Preamble and SFD as suggested.
2. The middle arrow needs to point to the end of Fragment N.
3. Add a note that states 'This is one example of how a frame may be fragmented across multiple PMEs.'

Cl 61 SC 61.10.4.4 P207 L22 MyBallot # 1852
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/107-207-22: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 61 SC 61.3.3.1 P179 L27 MyBallot # 2
BECK, MICHAEL A

Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

There is a conflict between the text and the state diagram. As the state diagram takes precedence, the text should be modified.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the last sentence of this paragraph with the following text:
After the completed End of Frame or Idle codeword, only All Idle or All Idle Out-of-Sync codewords shall be transmitted until TC_link_state becomes TRUE again. After TC_link_state becomes true again, transmission of data can restart when a new fragment is available for transmission over the gamma-interface.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT.

Cl 61 SC 61.4.6 P194 L20 MyBallot # 41
KAROCKI, PIOTR

Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

"Appendix VI / G.994.1 - Bibliography" - but "not applicable", "referenced as is", or something else? This subclause is list of changes to appendixes, so some changes are made?

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The title 'Changes to ..' will be changed to read 'Applicability of ..'.

Cl 61 SC 61.4.7.2 P196 L54 MyBallot # 1838
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/93-196-54: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts altogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 61 SC 61.9 P199 L1 MyBallot # 1840
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/95-199-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 61 SC Figure 61-18 P188 L21 MyBallot # 96
LAW, DAVID J

Comment Type T Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

Figure 61-18 State diagram for 64/65-octet transmit function

State: ABORT_FRAGMENT has:

```
transmitZ(k,FALSE);
k<=k+1;
```

should be:

```
IF (k<64) THEN transmitZ(k,FALSE);
k<=k+1;
```

Without the IF qualifier, an extra byte=Z will be transmitted outside the codeword, i.e. between two valid codewords, i.e. the current codeword consisting of Sync/Start/data...will be 66 octets long.

(Comment based on e-mail supplied by Norayda Humphrey [humphrey@ti.com])

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

The situation described is very rare. For it to occur the fragment must be smaller than 64 octets, and the (too early) Tx_EOP occurs at the 64th position inside the codeword, i.e. directly before a new sync had to be sent (i.e. ABORT_FRAGMENT is entered from IDLE_TO_DATA1 with Tx_EOP = TRUE and k=64).

But to have a robust system, this issue should be fixed as proposed.

Cl 61B SC 61B.4.2.5 P379 L1 MyBallot # 1930
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/185-379-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 61B SC 61B.4.2.5 P379 L1 MyBallot # 1931
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/186-379-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 61B, ... ==> ... Clause 61B

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl **61B** SC **61B.5.1** P**379** L**7** MyBallot # **1929**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/184-379-7: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **61B** SC **61B.5.3** P**379** L**48** MyBallot # **1928**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/183-379-48: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **61B** SC **61B.5.3** P**379** L**48** MyBallot # **1927**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/182-379-48: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **61B** SC **61B.5.4** P**380** L**3** MyBallot # **1933**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/188-380-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **61B** SC **61B.5.4** P**380** L**3** MyBallot # **1932**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/187-380-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **62** SC **62.3.5.4** P**226** L**1** MyBallot # **1855**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/110-226-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 62 SC 62.4 P226 L3 MyBallot # 1856
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ5/111-226-3: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 62, ... ==> ... Clause 62

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 62 SC 62.4.1 P226 L9 MyBallot # 1854
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/109-226-9: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 62 SC 62.4.3 P227 L20 MyBallot # 1857
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/112-227-20: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 62 SC 62.4.3 P227 L22 MyBallot # 1859
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/114-227-22: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 62 SC 62.4.3 P227 L4 MyBallot # 1860
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/115-227-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 62 SC 62.4.3 P227 L4 MyBallot # 1858
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/113-227-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 62 SC 62.4.4.2 P228 L4 MyBallot # 1862
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/117-228-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 62 SC 62.4.4.2 P228 L5 MyBallot # 1861
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/116-228-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 62A SC 62A.4 P389 L1 MyBallot # 1936
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/191-389-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 62A SC 62A.5 P389 L2 MyBallot # 1937
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ5/192-389-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).
 SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 62A, ... ==> ... Clause 62A
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 62A SC 62A.5.1 P389 L7 MyBallot # 1935
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/190-389-7: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 62A SC 62A.5.3 P389 L49 MyBallot # 1934
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/189-389-49: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 62A SC **62A.5.4** P**390** L**4** MyBallot # **1938**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/193-390-4: Do something to avoid breaking the word Subclause in the heading; making vertical if necessary.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Fix it.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 62A SC **62A.5.4** P**390** L**5** MyBallot # **1939**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/194-390-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 62B SC **62B.4** P**396** L**1** MyBallot # **1941**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/196-396-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 62B SC **62B.5** P**396** L**2** MyBallot # **1942**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**
 DVJ5/197-396-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).
 SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 62B, ... ==> ... Clause 62B
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 62B SC **62B.5.1** P**396** L**7** MyBallot # **1940**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/195-396-7: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 62B SC **62B.5.3** P**397** L**3** MyBallot # **1944**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/199-397-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl **62B** SC **62B.5.3** P**397** L**4** MyBallot # **1946**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/201-397-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **62B** SC **62B.5.4** P**397** L**13** MyBallot # **1943**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/198-397-13: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **62B** SC **62B.5.4** P**397** L**17** MyBallot # **1945**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/200-397-17: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **63** SC **63.3.2.6** P**241** L**1** MyBallot # **1864**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/119-241-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **63** SC **63.4** P**241** L**3** MyBallot # **1865**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

DVJ5/120-241-3: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 63, ... ==> ... Clause 63

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 63 SC 63.4.1 P241 L9 MyBallot # 1863
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/118-241-9: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 63 SC 63.4.3 P242 L17 MyBallot # 1868
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/123-242-17: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 63 SC 63.4.3 P242 L17 MyBallot # 1866
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/121-242-17: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 63 SC 63.4.3 P242 L3 MyBallot # 1867
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/122-242-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 63 SC 63.4.3 P242 L4 MyBallot # 1869
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/124-242-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 63 SC 63.4.4.2 P243 L4 MyBallot # 1870
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/125-243-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 63 SC 63.4.4.2 P243 L5 MyBallot # 1871
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/126-243-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 63A SC 63A.4 P407 L1 MyBallot # 1948
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/203-407-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 63A SC 63A.4 P407 L2 MyBallot # 1949
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ5/204-407-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).
 SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 63A, ... ==> ... Clause 63A
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 63A SC 63A.5.1 P407 L8 MyBallot # 1947
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/202-407-8: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 63A SC 63A.5.3 P408 L4 MyBallot # 1951
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/206-408-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 63A SC 63A.5.3 P408 L4 MyBallot # 1953
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/208-408-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl **63A** SC **63A.5.4** P**408** L**15** MyBallot # **1950**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/205-408-15: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **63A** SC **63A.5.4** P**408** L**17** MyBallot # **1952**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/207-408-17: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **63B** SC **63B.4** P**412** L**1** MyBallot # **1955**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/210-412-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **63B** SC **63B.5** P**412** L**2** MyBallot # **1956**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

DVJ5/211-412-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 63B, ... ==> ... Clause 63B

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl **63B** SC **63B.5.1** P**412** L**7** MyBallot # **1954**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/209-412-7: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **63B** SC **63B.5.3** P**413** L**3** MyBallot # **1958**
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/213-413-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl **63B** SC **63B.5.3** P**413** L**3** MyBallot # **1959**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/214-413-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **63B** SC **63B.5.4** P**413** L**15** MyBallot # **1957**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/212-413-15: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **64** SC **64.2** P**249** L**32** MyBallot # **16**
 KRAMER, GLEN
 Comment Type **E** Comment Status **A** : P802.3REVam EPON Editor
 overlapping characters
 SuggestedRemedy
 fix the character spacing
 Proposed Response Response Status **C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl **64** SC **64.3.3.5** P**270** L**9** MyBallot # **17**
 KRAMER, GLEN
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **A** : P802.3REVam EPON Editor
 Figure 64-14 shows normal (non-discovery) GATEs being sent with MAC Control DA. But the description of MA_CONTROL.request primitive on p.270 says that normal GATE is transmitted with unicast MAC address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Correct the description of MA_CONTROL.request primitive to specify DA being MAC Control MAC address
 Proposed Response Response Status **C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl **64** SC **64.3.3.6** P**273** L**14** MyBallot # **1872**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R**
 DVJ5/127-273-14: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 SEND DISCOVERY WINDOW ==> SEND_DISCOVERY_WINDOW and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1911.

Cl **64** SC **64.3.3.6** P**274** L**11** MyBallot # **1873**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R**
 DVJ5/128-274-11: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ACCEPT REGISTER REQUEST ==> ACCEPT_REGISTER_REQUEST and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #1911.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.3.5.6 P287 L44 MyBallot # 21
KRAMER, GLEN

Comment Type GR Comment Status A : P802.3REVam EPON Editor

In Figure 64-29, transitions from state CHECK NEXT GRANT to HIDDEN GRANT and from CHECK NEXT GRANT to BACK TO BACK GRANT are incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

See the attached document Fig64_29_comment.pdf

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

This is a duplicate of MyBallot comment #18.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.5.6 P287 L44 MyBallot # 18
KRAMER, GLEN

Comment Type TR Comment Status A : P802.3REVam EPON Editor

In Figure 64-29, transitions from state CHECK NEXT GRANT to HIDDEN GRANT and from CHECK NEXT GRANT to BACK TO BACK GRANT are incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

See the attached document Fig64_29_comment.pdf

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.5 P297 L1 MyBallot # 1875
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/130-297-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.5 P297 L1 MyBallot # 1876
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/131-297-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 64, ... ==> ... Clause 64

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 64 SC 64.4.1 P297 L6 MyBallot # 1874
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/129-297-6: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 64 SC 64.4.3 P298 L17 MyBallot # 1878
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/133-298-17: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.4.3 P298 L18 MyBallot # 1881
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/136-298-18: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 64 SC 64.4.3 P298 L34 MyBallot # 1877
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/132-298-34: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 64 SC 64.4.3 P298 L34 MyBallot # 1880
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/135-298-34: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 64 SC 64.4.3 P298 L4 MyBallot # 1879
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/134-298-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 64 SC 64.4.3 P298 L4 MyBallot # 1882
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/137-298-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 64 SC 64.4.3 P299 L1 MyBallot # 1884
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/139-299-1: Confusing capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 State Machines ==> State machines
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.4.4.3 P 299 L 4 MyBallot # 1885
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/140-299-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 64 SC 64.4.4.4 P 300 L 4 MyBallot # 1887
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/142-300-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 64 SC 64.4.4.3 P 299 L 4 MyBallot # 1883
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/138-299-4: Confusing capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 65 SC 65.2.2.2.2 P 309 L 16 MyBallot # 103
 BROWN, BENJAMIN J
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A P802.3REVam EPON Editor
 Part 5: The change implemented for lIdle is not complete. This covers the case of /I/ but does not cover the case of /C/ - configuration ordered sets. These consist of /K/D/D/D/, a /K28.5/ followed by 3 data code-groups. If the intent is to turn off the laser during the transmission of configuration ordered_sets, this definition of lIdle doesn't do it.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace the definition with the following: "This function is used to determine whether tx_code-group is a code-group in /I/, the IDLE ordered_set, or /C/, the Configuration ordered_set."

Cl 64 SC 64.4.4.4 P 300 L 4 MyBallot # 1886
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/141-300-4: Confusing capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Editor will provide detailed text merging the suggested remedy of this comment and MyBallot comment #19.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 65 SC 65.2.2.2 P309 L16 MyBallot # 19
 KRAMER, GLEN

Comment Type TR Comment Status A : P802.3REVam EPON Editor
 Idle function suppose to return True for all idle sets as well as configuration ordered sets.
 In current specification, it will return False for the third and forth code-words of the
 configuration ordered sets.

SuggestedRemedy
 Modify the text as follows:
 This function returns true if tx_code-group is /K28.5/ or any code-group that follows a
 /K28.5/ or any of two code-groups that follow /K25.5/D21.5/ or /K28.5/D2.2/. Otherwise, the
 Idle function returns false.

Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editor will provide detailed text merging the suggested remedy of this comment and
 MyBallot comment #103.

Cl 65 SC 65.2.3.3.4 P314 L14 MyBallot # 1888
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/143-314-14: Undefined proper noun.

SuggestedRemedy
 Alignment Machine ==> Alignment machine

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 65 SC 65.2.3.5.1 P320 L42 MyBallot # 20
 KRAMER, GLEN

Comment Type TR Comment Status A : P802.3REVam EPON Editor
 In Figure 65-11, the first T_FEC delimiter will not be transmitted properly. It will transmit
 /T/R/R/K28.5/T/R/ missing the second code-word of the Idle ordered set.

SuggestedRemedy
 Insert an additional state (XMIT_T_FEC1_D) between XMIT_T_FEC1_TRRI and
 XMIT_T_FEC1_T. This state should contain statement ftx_code-group = tx_code-group.

Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 65 SC 65.2.3.5.3 P321 L39 MyBallot # 104
 BROWN, BENJAMIN J

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 Part 5: Figure 65-14 is out of order

SuggestedRemedy
 Re-order the figures in Clause 65 appropriately

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900 (possible anchor location or FrameMaker float problem).

Cl 65 SC 65.3.3 P326 L1 MyBallot # 1890
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 DVJ5/145-326-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are
 two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance
 statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and
 "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with
 Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 65 SC 65.4 P326 L4 MyBallot # 1891
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ5/146-326-4: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic
 formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason
 one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 65, ... ==> ... Clause 65

Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 65 SC 65.4.1 P 326 L 10 MyBallot # 1889
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/144-326-10: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3 P 327 L 14 MyBallot # 1894
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/149-327-14: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

Abbreviate, using clause number (instead of text) will follow-on text as necessary.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3 P 327 L 16 MyBallot # 1895
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/150-327-16: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3 P 327 L 23 MyBallot # 1896
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/151-327-23: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3 P 327 L 36 MyBallot # 1893
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/148-327-36: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3 P 327 L 4 MyBallot # 1892
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/147-327-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3 P 327 L 5 MyBallot # 1897
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/152-327-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.4.4 P 328 L 29 MyBallot # 1902
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/157-328-29: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.4.3 P 328 L 4 MyBallot # 1903
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/158-328-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.4.4 P 328 L 29 MyBallot # 1899
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/154-328-29: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.4.3 P 328 L 4 MyBallot # 1898
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/153-328-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.4.5 P 328 L 41 MyBallot # 1901
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/156-328-41: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 65 SC 65.4.4.5 P 328 L 41 MyBallot # 1900
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/155-328-41: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The IEEE P802.3REVam Task Force believes that this comment is one on editorial style, and does not affect the technical integrity of the standard. Editing does not take place during the balloting period. As pointed out in the IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual subclause 5.4.3.2: "It should be borne in mind that documents are professionally edited prior to publication." This editorial comment will be supplied to the Standards Project Editor.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.4.6 P 329 L 3 MyBallot # 1909
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/164-329-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.4.6 P 329 L 3 MyBallot # 1906
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/161-329-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.4.7 P 329 L 17 MyBallot # 1908
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/163-329-17: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.4.7 P 329 L 17 MyBallot # 1905
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/160-329-17: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.4.8 P 329 L 24 MyBallot # 1904
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/159-329-24: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 65 SC 65.4.4.8 P 329 L 25 MyBallot # 1907
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/162-329-25: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 66 SC 66.1.2.2 P 332 L 1 MyBallot # 1910
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/165-332-1: Inconsistent punctuation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 figure ==> Figure
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 66 SC 66.1.2.2 P 332 L 9 MyBallot # 1911
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R
 DVJ5/166-332-9: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 START ERROR J ==> START_ERROR_J and similar changes throughout.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 66 SC 66.3.2.3 P 336 L 1 MyBallot # 1914
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ5/169-336-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).
 SuggestedRemedy
 ... Clause 66, ... ==> ... Clause 66
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 66 SC 66.3.2.3 P 336 L 1 MyBallot # 1913
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/168-336-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 66 SC 66.4.1 P 336 L 9 MyBallot # 1912
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/167-336-9: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 66 SC 66.4.2.2 P 337 L 20 MyBallot # 1921
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/176-337-20: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

Abbreviate, using clause number (instead of text) will follow-on text as necessary.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 66 SC 66.4.2.2 P 337 L 3 MyBallot # 1918
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/173-337-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 66 SC 66.4.2.2 P 337 L 4 MyBallot # 1923
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/178-337-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 66 SC 66.4.4 P 337 L 25 MyBallot # 1922
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/177-337-25: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 66 SC 66.4.4 P 337 L 25 MyBallot # 1917
 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/172-337-25: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 66 SC 66.4.4 P 337 L 35 MyBallot # 1920
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/175-337-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 66 SC 66.4.4 P 337 L 48 MyBallot # 1919
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/174-337-48: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 66 SC 66.4.4 P 337 L 35 MyBallot # 1916
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/171-337-35: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 66 SC 66.4.4 P 338 L 4 MyBallot # 1924
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/179-338-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 66 SC 66.4.4 P 337 L 48 MyBallot # 1915
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/170-337-48: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 66 SC 66.4.4 P 338 L 4 MyBallot # 1925
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/180-338-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center all "Item" column cells.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl 67 **SC 67.3** **P341** **L34** MyBallot # **1926**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ5/181-341-34: Inconsistent capitalization. Is this a special meaning? I suspect not, but its unclear.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Hybrid Media Topologies ==> Hybrid media topologies
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 99 **SC 99** **P1** **L3** MyBallot # **46**
 DAWE, PIERS J G
 Comment Type **E** Comment Status **A**
 Comment: (This comment applies to page iv) Thank you for adding the table of symbols. Our process can be improved here: the editorial note to IEEE publication editor says 'This and the following page are to be placed at the end of the published standard ...'. But we expect to see, and ballot on, what is intended to be published, not a deliberate permutation of it. Sorting this out will help future projects.
 SuggestedRemedy
 If the positioning of this page is controversial, agree its final position. Then place it in its final position in time for the next draft, and simplify or remove the editorial note.
 Proposed Response Response Status **C**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 This is not part of the Standard and is only included as an aid to the volunteer editors and reviewers. The current agreement with the publication editor is to include an abbreviated table as part of the front matter.

Cl B **SC B.1.3** **P513** **L1** MyBallot # **659**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/500-513-1: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl B **SC B.1.4** **P513** **L35** MyBallot # **658**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/499-513-35: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl B **SC B.1.4.2** **P514** **L25** MyBallot # **660**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/501-514-25: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl B **SC B.1.4.2** **P514** **L45** MyBallot # **661**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/502-514-45: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl B **SC B.1.5.2** **P 516** **L 25** MyBallot # **662**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/503-516-25: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl B **SC B.2.1** **P 522** **L 17** MyBallot # **665**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/506-522-17: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl B **SC B.1.5.3** **P 518** **L 26** MyBallot # **663**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A**
 DVJ1/504-518-26: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 End ==> end Components ==> components Segment ==> segment
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl B **SC B.2.2** **P 523** **L 26** MyBallot # **666**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**
 DVJ1/507-523-26: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 REJECT.
 The consensus of the committee is that these tables are clear to the reader. They have been in existence for many years and their format has not been an issue. The risk of introducing an error is far to high for any perceived benefit on such a stable portion of the standard.

Cl B **SC B.1.5.3** **P 518** **L 31** MyBallot # **664**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/505-518-31: Wrong font in figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use 8-point Arial.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl B **SC B.2.3** **P 524** **L 13** MyBallot # **669**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**
 DVJ1/510-524-13: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #666.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl B SC B.2.3 P524 L29 MyBallot # 668
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/509-524-29: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #666.

Cl B SC B.2.3 P524 L48 MyBallot # 667
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/508-524-48: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #666.

Cl B SC B.4.1 P527 L14 MyBallot # 670
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/511-527-14: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #666.

Cl B SC B.4.2 P527 L33 MyBallot # 53
 DAWE, PIERS J G
 Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
 In the second row of the table, a 'less than or equals' sign seems to have over-printed a '1'.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Fix.
 Proposed Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl D SC D.3 P535 L9 MyBallot # 672
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R
 DVJ1/513-535-9: Confusing table rows.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put lines between rows and columns, making this a real table.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #666.

Cl D SC D.4.1 P535 L29 MyBallot # 671
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/512-535-29: Misleading capitalization errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Core ==> core
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl **D** SC **D.4.2.2** P **538** L **7** MyBallot # **674**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/515-538-7: Colen is unnecessary
 SuggestedRemedy
 Splitting: ==> Splitting and same for other cell entries.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **D** SC **D.4.2.2** P **538** L **9** MyBallot # **673**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1/514-538-9: Inconsistent alignment
 SuggestedRemedy
 Be consistent, either all left or right aligned.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **G** SC **G.1.1** P **545** L **9** MyBallot # **675**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**
 DVJ1/516-545-9: The title of this subclause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Abbreviate, using clause number (instead of text) will follow-on text as necessary.
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 REJECT.
 See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl **H** SC **H.4.2** P **570** L **38** MyBallot # **676**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**
 DVJ1/517-570-38: Illegal punctuation
 SuggestedRemedy
 -- ==> em dash, throughout
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 REJECT.
 This text is GDMO code. Em dash is not supported in GDMO. As such accepting the comment would cause a syntax violation.

Cl **x** SC **x.x.x** P **178** L **23** MyBallot # **142**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1-77/1-178-23: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **x** SC **x.x.x** P **178** L **5** MyBallot # **141**
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1-76/1-178-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status **W**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl x SC x.x.x P179 L5 MyBallot # 143
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1-80/1-179-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl x SC x.x.x P181 L4 MyBallot # 146
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1-83/1-181-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl x SC x.x.x P180 L38 MyBallot # 145
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1-82/1-180-38: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl x SC x.x.x P182 L4 MyBallot # 147
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1-84/1-182-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl x SC x.x.x P180 L6 MyBallot # 144
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1-81/1-180-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl x SC x.x.x P183 L29 MyBallot # 149
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1-86/1-183-29: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl x SC x.x.x P183 L4 MyBallot # 148
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1-85/1-183-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl x SC x.x.x P185 L18 MyBallot # 152
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1-90/1-185-18: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl x SC x.x.x P184 L35 MyBallot # 150
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1-88/1-184-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl x SC x.x.x P185 L7 MyBallot # 153
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1-91/1-185-7: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl x SC x.x.x P184 L5 MyBallot # 151
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1-89/1-184-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl x SC x.x.x P186 L3 MyBallot # 154
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1-92/1-186-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments

Cl x SC x.x.x P187 L35 MyBallot # 155
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1-93/1-187-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl x SC x.x.x P189 L6 MyBallot # 158
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1-99/1-189-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl x SC x.x.x P187 L4 MyBallot # 156
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1-94/1-187-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl x SC x.x.x P188 L4 MyBallot # 157
 JAMES, DAVID V
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
 DVJ1-95/1-188-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.
 SuggestedRemedy
 NoRemedySupplied
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See MyBallot comment #1900.