C/ 00 SC 0 P0LO MyBallot # 119 C/ 00 SC 0  $P\mathbf{0}$ LO MyBallot # 116 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type GR Comment Status R Comment Type GR Comment Status R Comments on Section 3. Changes to Section 1, in attached pdf. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. The commenter withdrew this comment and supplied the comments contained in this pdf in The commenter withdrew this comment and supplied the comments contained in this pdf in responsive format prior to the ballot deadline. responsive format prior to the ballot deadline. C/ 00 SC 0  $P\mathbf{0}$ LO MyBallot # 118 C/ 00 SC 0  $P\mathbf{0}$ LO MyBallot # 115 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Type GR Comment Status A Comment Type GR Comments on Section 4, as notes in pdf. Comments to be coming, by 13Jan2005 deadline. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT. The commenter withdrew this comment and supplied the comments contained in this pdf in The comment arrived by the deadline. responsive format prior to the ballot deadline. P**0** C/ 00 SC 0 L 0 MvBallot # 1638 SC 0 C/ 00  $P\mathbf{0}$ L 0 MyBallot # 117 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type GR Comment Status R Comment Type GR Comment Status R Section 5 comments in pdf. Section 2 comments, in pdf. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. The commenter withdrew this comment and supplied the comments contained in this pdf in The commenter withdrew this comment and supplied the comments contained in this pdf in responsive format prior to the ballot deadline. responsive format prior to the ballot deadline.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **00** SC **i** P**0** L**17** MyBallot # 160

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

DVJ1/1-i-17: The title is inconsistent with page #1, leading one to (I believe falsely) conclude that these mean different things.

SuggestedRemedy

==> Part 3: Carrier xense multiple zccess with collision detection (CSMA/CD) access method and physical layer specifications OR Part 3: Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Detection (CSMA/CD) access method and physical layer specifications And d

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The title both here and on page 1 will be corrected to match exactly that stated on the IEEE P802.3REVam PAR, "Information technology -- Telecommunications and information exchange between systems -- Local and metropolitan area networks -- specific requirements Part 3: Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Detection (CSMA/CD) Access Method and Physical Layer Specifications".

The PAR is available on the web at the URL: [ http://standards.ieee.org/board/nes/projects/802-3-REVam.pdf ].

Cl **00** SC **iii** P**0** L**45** MyBallot # 161

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/2-iii-45: There is no table of contents. I suspect this is because its too hard to do automatically, due to the excessively long clause names, and too error prone to do manually. However, that is not a good excuse.

SuggestedRemedy

Add table of contents, changing excessively long clause titles so they do not wrap when it is automatically generated.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The table of contents is part of the frontmatter and therefore is not within the scope of an initial sponsor ballot. Table of contents is added is during preparation for publication - the length of the Clause titles has never been raised as an issue by the IEEE-SA Editorial staff.

Cl **01** SC **1.1** P1 L**30** MyBallot # 162

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ1/3-1-30: The funny capitalization leads one to believe this is a special form of

"international standard" when that is not the case.

SuggestedRemedy

International Standard ==> international standard

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **01** SC **1.1.2** P**2** L**28** MyBallot # 163

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/4-2-28: In 1-ii, the capitalization was "media access control", which is proper and inconsistent.

SuggestedRemedy

Media Access Control ==> media access control

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

We consider that the use of capitalization for important and significant terms is useful, and intend to continue its use. Such terms must be distinguished from ordinary English usage; in the context of this standard, they are proper nouns. This standard has undergone editorial coordination review by the IEEE Editorial staff many time during its development, the first version was published in 1985, and this capitalization has never been raised as an issue by them.

The usage on page ii, line 17 will be corrected, that is media access control ==> Media Access Control.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 01 SC 1.1.2 P3L7 MvBallot # 164 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/5-3-7: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure. SuggestedRemedy Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 01 SC 1.2.1 P**6** L14 MyBallot # 165 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/6-6-14: Tw. Td. and Tb are not described SuggestedRemedy Describe them and illustrate their usage. Also, I think there are more operators than \* and + that need to be described. If so, define them in a consistent fashion. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. Additional description of Tw, Td, and Tb for their usage in each Clause is provided in each Clause. The general definition here is appropriate for Clause 1 and need not be enhanced. The additional operators are defined in subclause 21.5.4. C/ 01 SC 1.2.1 P6 L9 MyBallot # 166

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER

DVJ1/7-6-9: Figures should not have intermixed ALL CAPS and Lower Case, as per IEEE Style Manual.

SuggestedRemedy

TERMS TO ENTER STATE ==> Terms to enter state (etc.)

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

SC 1.2.5 C/ 01

P8

MyBallot # 167

L4

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ1/8-8-4: The notation does not describe a common usage, which is the format of type: xx-vv-zz, which is often used to describe OUI and MAC addresses.

SuggestedRemedy

Define the xx-yy-zz hex notation, as per definitions available in RPR.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

This format has not caused problems in the industry and the committee believes that the risk of introducing errors in attempting the recommended change is not justified by the perceived benefit.

C/ 01 SC 1.2.5 P8 L4 MyBallot # 168

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/9-8-4: The notation is too redundant, in that only the subscript notation is needed, except in code, where the language defines the format and meaning.

SugaestedRemedy

Eliminate the 0x prefix, and use the more standard subscript(16) in its place.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The 0x prefix has not caused problems in the industry and the committee believes that the risk of introducing errors in attempting the recommended change is not justified by the perceived benefit.

C/ 01 SC 1.4.110 P 22 L9 MyBallot # 174 JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R ER

DVJ1/15-22-9: In 1-ii, the capitalization was "media access control", which is proper and inconsistent.

SuggestedRemedy

Media Access Control ==> media access control

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #163.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 3 of 319

C/ 01 SC 1.4.110

Cl 01 SC 1.4.148 P24 L45 MyBallot # 175
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/16-24-45: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization makes it seem different, which it is not.

SuggestedRemedy

Extinction Ratio ==> extinction ratio

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.153 P25 L6 MyBallot # 176

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ1/17-25-6: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization

DVJ1/17-25-6: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization makes it seem different, which it is not.

SuggestedRemedy

Extinction Ratio ==> extinction ratio

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See Myballot comment #1900.

C/ 01 SC 1.4.206 P28 L40

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/18-28-40: The capitalization is inconsistent, leading one to believe there are two types of MACs.

SuggestedRemedy

Media Access Control ==> media access control Here and throughout (except where this is already lower case).

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

We consider that the use of capitalization for important and significant terms is useful, and intend to continue its use. Such terms must be distinguished from ordinary English usage; in the context of this standard, they are proper nouns. This standard has undergone editorial coordination review by the IEEE Editorial staff many time during its development, the first version was published in 1985, and this capitalization has never been raised as an issue by them.

The committee recommends that the IEEE-SA editor correct this globally, that is 'media access control' ==>' Media Access Control'.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.224 P29 L38 MyBallot # [178

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

MyBallot # 177

DVJ1/19-29-38: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization makes it seem different, which it is not.

SuggestedRemedy

Non-Return-to-Zero, Invert on Ones (NRZI): ==> non-return-to-zero, invert on ones (NRZI):

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 01 SC 1.4.230 P30 L4 MyBallot # 179 C/ 01 SC 1.4.288 P33 L 43 MyBallot # 182 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Status R Comment Type DVJ1/20-30-4: This is not the standard way of defining acronyms. DVJ1/23-33-43: In 1-ii, the capitalization was "media access control", which is proper and inconsistent. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Define acronyms in the acronym subclause Media Access Control ==> media access control Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. NLP - Normal Link Pulse is indeed defined in the acronyms subclause (see subclause 1.5). See MyBallot comment #163. C/ 01 SC 1.4.253 P31 L 26 MyBallot # 180 C/ 01 SC 1.4.288 P33 / 46 MyBallot # 181 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor DVJ1/21-31-26: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization DVJ1/22-33-46: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization makes it seem different, which it is not. makes it seem different, which it is not. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Passive-Star Coupler ==> passive-star coupler Reflectance ==> reflectance Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 01 SC 1.4.275 P33 L 1 MyBallot # 183 C/ 01 SC 1.4.301 P34 L 34 MyBallot # 184 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status R ER Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/24-33-1: In 1-ii, the capitalization was "media access control", which is proper and DVJ1/25-34-34: In 1-ii, the capitalization was "media access control", which is proper and inconsistent. inconsistent. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Media Access Control ==> media access control Media Access Control ==> media access control Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #163.

See MyBallot comment #163.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 5 of 319

C/ 01 SC 1.4.301

C/ 01 SC 1.4.325 P36 MyBallot # 185 C/ 01 SC 1.4.76 P19 L 39 MyBallot # 172 L 28 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ1/26-36-28: In 1-ii, the capitalization was "media access control", which is proper and DVJ1/13-19-39: In 1-ii, the capitalization was "media access control", which is proper and inconsistent. inconsistent. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Media Access Control ==> media access control Media Access Control ==> media access control Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #163. See MyBallot comment #163. C/ 01 SC 1.4.4 P15 L3 MyBallot # 169 C/ 01 SC 1.4.78 P19 1 44 MyBallot # 171 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ1/10-15-3: Inconsistent notation. DVJ1/12-19-44: In 1-ii, the capitalization was "media access control", which is proper and inconsistent. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use Figure-1b or Figure.1b, but not both notations. Media Access Control ==> media access control Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. Change 'Figure 17.3' to read 'Clause 17'. See MyBallot comment #163. C/ 01 SC 1.4.72 P19 L 24 MyBallot # 170 SC 1.4.82 C/ 01 P 20 / 1 MyBallot # 173 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor ER Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/11-19-24: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization DVJ1/14-20-1: In 1-ii, the capitalization was "media access control", which is proper and makes it seem different, which it is not. inconsistent. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Binary Phase Shift Keying ==> binary phase shift keying Media Access Control ==> media access control Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1900.

See MyBallot comment #163.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 6 of 319

C/ 01 SC 1.4.82

C/ 01 SC 1.5 P40 MyBallot # 186 C/ 01 SC 1.5 P41 MyBallot # 187 L 39 L 49 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ1/27-40-39: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization DVJ1/28-41-49: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization makes it seem different, which it is not. makes it seem different, which it is not. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Multiplexer ==> multiplexer Signal To Noise Ratio ==> signal to noise ratio Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 01 SC 1.5 P41 / 18 MyBallot # 189 C/ 01 SC 1.5 P41 **L8** MyBallot # 190 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor DVJ1/30-41-18: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization DVJ1/31-41-8: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization makes it seem different, which it is not. makes it seem different, which it is not. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Phase Locked Loop ==> phase locked loop Printed Circuit Board ==> printed circuit board Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 01 SC 1.5 P41 L 27 MyBallot # 188 C/ 01 SC 1.5 P42 L12 MyBallot # 192 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/29-41-27: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization DVJ1/33-42-12: Wrong definition. makes it seem different, which it is not. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Type/Length/Value ==> Type/Length value Pseudo Random Bit Sequence ==> pseudo random bit sequence Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This is not related to the Type/Length filed interpretation but an widely used encoding for protocols data within a frame that consists of a Type field, a Length field and a Value field.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 7 of 319

C/ 01 SC 1.5

Cl **01** SC **1.5** P**42** L **16** MyBallot # 191

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/32-42-16: This is an industry standard term, not a proper noun. The capitalization makes it seem different, which it is not.

SuggestedRemedy

Unit Interval ==> unit interval

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **01** SC **1.5** P **43** L **1** MyBallot # 194

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/35-43-1: In 1-ii, the capitalization was "media access control", which is proper and inconsistent.

SuggestedRemedy

Media Access Control ==> Media access control

Proposed Response Response Status **W** 

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #163.

Cl **02** SC **2.1** P**43** L**5** MyBallot # 193

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/34-43-5: In 1-ii, the capitalization was "media access control", which is proper and inconsistent.

SuggestedRemedy

Media Access Control ==> media access control

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #163.

C/ 02 SC 2.3.4.4

P49

L1 MyBallo

MyBallot # 196

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/37-49-1: Great! This is the proper use of this inconsistent capitalization. However, should include the acronym on first usage, even if in the title.

SuggestedRemedy

Media access control ==> media access control (MAC)

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #200.

Cl 03 SC 3.1.1 P49 L21 MyBallot # 195

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ1/36-49-21: This figure is \_extremely\_ confusing. The industry places the MSB on the left and the LSB on the right. Doing otherwise is \_highly\_ confusing.

SuggestedRemedy

Show the MSB on the left, change notation to: TRANSMITTED LSB-to-MSB

Proposed Response Status **W** 

REJECT.

The ability of hundreds of implementers to independently build interoperable MACs with hundreds of millions of installed product demonstrates that the representation used is not a problem.

Changing this figure at this point in the maturity of Ethernet would be highly confusing to the entire community.

C/ 03 SC 3.2.3 P50 MyBallot # 197 L 34 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ1/38-50-34: As Mick Seaman has noted, the transmission order for multibit interconnects is very hard to define.

SuggestedRemedy

Show these bits in their MSB-to-LSB order and illustrate how they are transmitted on a bitserial media

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

The ability of hundreds of implementers to independently build interoperable MACs with hundreds of millions of installed product demonstrates that the representation used is not a problem.

It should also be noted that many of the IEEE Std 802.3 PHYs are not serial and therefore adding a figure that illustrated a bit mapping to a serial transmission would be highly confusing to the entire community.

CI 03 SC 3.5 P 54 18 MyBallot # 198 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/39-54-8: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.

SuggestedRemedy

Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 03 SC 3.5.9 P**57** L1 MyBallot # 199 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/40-57-1: Inconsisten with clause 3 title.

Media Access Control ==> Media access control (MAC)

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MvBallot comment #200.

SuggestedRemedy

C/ 04 SC 4.1.3 P60 MyBallot # 200 L 31 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ1/41-60-31: Inconsistent with Clause 3 title.

SuggestedRemedy

Media Access Control ==> media access control

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

The capitalization of the Clause 3 title will be corrected to match Clause 4, that is "Media access control frame structure" ==> "Media Access Control frame structure".

We consider that the use of capitalization for important and significant terms is useful, and intend to continue its use. Such terms must be distinguished from ordinary English usage: in the context of this standard, they are proper nouns. This standard has undergone editorial coordination review by the IEEE Editorial staff many time during its development, the first version was published in 1985, and this capitalization has never been raised as an issue by them.

The committee recommends that the IEEE-SA editor correct this globally, that is 'media access control' ==>' Media Access Control'.

CI 04 SC 4.2.2.3 P63 L 21 MyBallot # 100 BROWN, BENJAMIN J

IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Part 1: The first figure referenced in this clause is Figure 4-2

SuggestedRemedy

All figure references should be corrected to be in order

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 9 of 319 C/ 04 SC 4.2.2.3

C/ 04 SC 4.2.3.4 P71 MyBallot # 201 C/ 06 SC 6.1 P113 MyBallot # 204 L 35 L 13 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A Comment Status A DVJ1/42-71-35: What is this proper noun? Its not defined in the glossary. DVJ1/45-113-13: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Duration of Carrier Event ==> duration of carrier event Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This also needs to be corrected on line 7 of this page. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 04 SC 4.3.3 P90 L 22 MyBallot # 203 C/ 06 SC 6.3.1.1.2 P114 L 38 MyBallot # 205 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status A Comment Type ER IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/44-90-22: These unfilled table cells are confusing and look like possible don't cares. DVJ1/46-114-38: Inconsistent with Clause 3 title. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 1) Eliminate the Function column, since it can be included in the title. 2) Straddle other cells Media Access Control ==> media access control as appropriate. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #200. The lines will be eliminated such that this becomes a two line table. The information under the headings are in fact lists. CI 07 SC 7.1 P117 L 22 MyBallot # 206 JAMES, DAVID V C/ 04 SC 4.3.3 P90 L 22 MyBallot # 202 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor JAMES, DAVID V DVJ1/47-117-22: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure. Comment Type ER Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy DVJ1/43-90-22: These unfilled table cells are confusing and look like possible don't cares. Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures. SuggestedRemedv Proposed Response Response Status W 1) Eliminate the Function column, since it can be included in the title. 2) Straddle other cells as appropriate. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #203.

Response Status W

C/ 07 SC 7.2.1 P119 *L* 9 MyBallot # 207 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/48-119-9: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure. SuggestedRemedy Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 07 SC 7.2.1.1.5 P121 L 33 MyBallot # 208 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/49-121-33: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure. SuggestedRemedy Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. CI 07 SC 7.2.1.1.5 P122 L 15 MyBallot # 210 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor DVJ1/51-122-15: Breaking a names across and arrow is unacceptable: it looks like two names.

Don't break: SET MONITOR MODE across the arrow.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT.

SuggestedRemedy

CI 07 SC 7.2.1.1.5 P122 MyBallot # 209 L 29 JAMES, DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/50-122-29: Special words should not be hyphenated across lines.

SuggestedRemedy

Make the special (italics) work nonbreaking: normal.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 07 SC 7.2.2.3 P127 L 27 MyBallot # 211

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ1/52-127-27: Be consistent on message names: use an underscore always.

SuggestedRemedy

1) ACTIVATE PHYSICAL ==> ACTIVATE\_PHYSICAL 2) DEACTIVATE PHYSICAL ==> DEACTIVATE PHYSICAL and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

The standard is in wide use with many interoperable implementations, and the current style has not been a detriment to interoperable implementation. Message names have been used in many different design systems.

Also, the committee has an obligation to both past and current users of the standard. In this case, the message names are likely to have been used in product documentation, and a change would be a disservice to users of the current standard.

CI 07 SC 7.2.4.2 P129 L 27 MyBallot # 212

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ1/53-129-27: State machine names should be computer compatible and easily parsed, as well as consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

BIT BUCKET ==> BIT BUCKET (similar changes throughout)

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MvBallot comment #790.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 11 of 319

C/ 07

SC 7.2.4.2

C/ 07 SC 7.2.4.2 P129 MyBallot # 213 C/ 08 SC 8.3.1.3 P158 MyBallot # 217 L 40 L11 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ1/54-129-40: Based on the early state machine definition, '+' is a logical symbol, which DVJ1/58-158-11: Be consistent, compatible with code, and clear. is not (i believe) what is intended. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy POWER ON ==> POWER ON Use consistent state machine notation throughout. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #255. The definition in subclause 1.2 and 21.5 for '+' will be changed to read '+ logical OR, arithmetic addition'. C/ 08 SC 8.3.1.3 P158 L4 MyBallot # 216 JAMES. DAVID V C/ **07** SC 7.2.4.6 P131 L 11 MyBallot # 214 Comment Status R Comment Type ER JAMES. DAVID V DVJ1/57-158-4: Be consistent, compatible with code, and clear. Comment Status R Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy DVJ1/55-131-11: State machine names should be computer compatible and easily parsed. as well as consistent. Choose one of: RESET IDLE POWER\_ON And use consistently as the start state of all machines. IDLE is used too many other ways, so RESET may be preferred. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W NO ERROR ==> NO ERROR (similar changes throughout) REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #255. CI 08 SC 8.3.1.3 P159 L 13 MyBallot # 218 See MyBallot comment #790. JAMES, DAVID V CI 07 SC 7.3.1.1 P132 L 10 MyBallot # 215 Comment Status R Comment Type ER JAMES, DAVID V DVJ1/59-159-13: Be consistent, compatible with code, and clear. Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ1/56-132-10: State machine names should be computer compatible and easily parsed, as well as consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

RECEIVE COLLISION ==> RECEIVE COLLISION (similar changes throughout)

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

REJECT.

See MvBallot comment #255.

Transmitter Idle ==> TRANSMITTER IDLE

Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 12 of 319

C/ 08 SC 8.3.1.3

C/ 08 SC 8.3.1.3 P161 L2 MyBallot # 220 C/ 08 SC 8.3.1.3 P162 L 33 MyBallot # 221 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/61-161-2: Be consistent. DVJ1/62-162-33: Bad alignment SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Power On ==> PowerOn Put a line break before the first note, so that the numbered items are consistently aligned. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #255. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 08 SC 8.3.1.3 P161 L4 MyBallot # 219 C/ 08 SC 8.4.1.1 P164 L39 MyBallot # 224 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ1/60-161-4: Be consistent, compatible with code, and clear. DVJ1/65-164-39: Bad hyphen SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy NO OUTPUT ==> NO\_OUTPUT Use nonbreaking hyphen. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #255. See MvBallot comment #1900. CI 08 C/ 08 SC 8.3.1.3 P162 L 22 MyBallot # 222 SC 8.4.1.1 P164 L 43 MyBallot # 223 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/63-162-22: Wrong font in figures. DVJ1/64-164-43: Confusing syntax SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial. 8.4.2.1.1 b) ==> 8.4.2.1.1 (b) and similar changes throughout. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 13 of 319

C/ 08 SC 8.4.1.1

SC 8.5.3.2.2 C/ 08 SC 8.4.1.5 P165 MyBallot # 225 C/ 08 P169 MyBallot # 228 L 27 L39 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Type DVJ1/66-165-27: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not DVJ1/69-169-39: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously. both simultaneously. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900 C/ 08 SC 8.4.2.1.3 P166 L 39 MyBallot # 226 CI 08 SC 8.6.2.1 P171 L 10 MyBallot # 230 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Type DVJ1/67-166-39: Confusing cross-reference DVJ1/71-171-10: Inconsistent normative statements. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 8.4.2.1d) ==> 8.4.2.1(d) or 8.4.2.1-d Here and througout. Change 'recommendations' to 'should', with appropriate surrounding wording. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #255. C/ 08 SC 8.5.3.1 P169 L1 MyBallot # 227 C/ 08 SC 8.6.2.3 P171 L 52 MyBallot # 229 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/68-169-1: Inconsistent italics. There is no need for italics in these headings, and this DVJ1/70-171-52: Inconsistent italics. There is no need for italics in these headings, and this confuses the meaning of italics used to connotate special meanings other places. confuses the meaning of italics used to connotate special meanings other places. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Eliminate italics in list-item names. Eliminate italics in list-item names. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #255.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #255.

Page 14 of 319

C/ 08 SC 8.6.2.3

Cl 08 SC 8.7.3.1 P174 L20 MyBallot # 231

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ1/72-174-20: Bad line break.

SuggestedRemedy

Use nonbreaking space within '1 GHz'

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 SC 8.7.5 P175 L1 MyBallot # 233

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/74-175-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 8, ... ==> ... Clause 68

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

The commenter's assumption is wrong. Long titles can be produced in a TOC (see IEEE Std 802.3-2002). The TOC is produced as part of the publication process, it is part of the front matter and therefore not within the scope of this ballot. A TOC will be produced by the publication editor prior to publication.

In the case of a PICS title, the PICS is intended for use as a separate document -- filled out by an implementer. The clause name is useful to the recipients of the completed PICS.

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/73-175-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **08** SC **8.8.3.2** P**176** L**26** MyBallot # 234

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/75-176-26: Inconsistent capitalization, which looks like a typo. Also, inconsistent sentence structure.

SuggestedRemedy

PIXIT (Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing) ==> protocol implementation extra information for testing (PIXIT)

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 15 of 319

C/ 08

SC 8.8.3.2

Cl 08 SC 8.8.6.1 P178 L13 MyBallot # 237

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/78-178-13: A subclause number with "/2" is very confusing.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Explain with a footnote. 2) Delete. Here and throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**REJECT.

The number after the '/' references the PICS item which is a major capability option.

As noted at the beginning of the clause, the content is not recommended for new implementations. Consequently, changes to the clause will only make them less familiar to the users of the clause that created and use those legacy implementations. In addition, the committee consensus is that risks of introducing errors when making the proposed change to a stable part of the standard outweigh any benefits.

C/ 08 SC 8.8.6.1 P178 L5 MyBallot # 235

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ1/76-178-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy
NoRemedySupplied

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ1/89-184-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

NoRemedySupplied

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 SC 8.8.6.10

P 184

MyBallot # 246

*L* 9

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/87-184-9: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Status **W** 

REJECT.

This entry in the table is empty because there is no value or comment necessary. The committee believes this is obvious to the reader and that the addition of extra characters decreases readability.

Cl 08 SC 8.8.6.11 P184 L35 MyBallot # 247

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ1/88-184-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

NoRemedySupplied

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 08 SC 8.8.6.2 P178 L 23 MyBallot # 236

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ1/77-178-23: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

**NoRemedySupplied** 

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 08 SC 8.8.6.3 P179 **L** 5 MyBallot # 239 C/ 08 SC 8.8.6.5 P180 L 38 MyBallot # 241 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ1/80-179-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell DVJ1/82-180-38: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy **NoRemedySupplied NoRemedySupplied** Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 08 SC 8.8.6.3 P179 **L6** MyBallot # 238 C/ 08 SC 8.8.6.6 P181 L4 MyBallot # 242 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ1/79-179-6: These blank cells look like errors. DVJ1/83-181-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is **NoRemedySupplied** too difficult to generate. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #246. CI 08 SC 8.8.6.7 P182 L4 MyBallot # 243 C/ 08 SC 8.8.6.4 P180 **L6** MyBallot # 240 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor ER DVJ1/84-182-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/81-180-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy NoRemedySupplied NoRemedySupplied Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 17 of 319 C/ 08 SC 8.8.6.7

C/ 08 SC 8.8.6.8 P183 L4 MyBallot # 244 C/ 08 SC 8.8.7.2 P185 MyBallot # 249 L 18 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ1/85-183-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell DVJ1/90-185-18: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy **NoRemedySupplied NoRemedySupplied** Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 08 SC 8.8.6.9 P183 L 29 MyBallot # 245 C/ 08 SC 8.8.7.3 P186 L3 MyBallot # 251 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER DVJ1/86-183-29: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/92-186-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy **NoRemedySupplied NoRemedySupplied** Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 08 SC 8.8.7.1 P185 L7 MyBallot # 250 CI 08 SC 8.8.7.4 P187 L4 MyBallot # 253 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/91-185-7: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/94-187-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy NoRemedySupplied NoRemedySupplied Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 08 SC 8.8.7.5 P187 MyBallot # 252 L 35

JAMES, DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/93-187-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

**NoRemedySupplied** 

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 08 SC 8.8.7.6 P188 L16 MyBallot # 255

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/96-188-16: This table is very confusing, with the header switch in the center.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Split into two tables, so each has its own header. 2) Sort the pins in numerical order.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

As noted at the beginning of the clause, the content is not recommended for new implementations. Consequently, changes to the clause will only make them less familiar to the users of the clause that created and use those legacy implementations. In addition, the committee consensus is that risks of introducing errors when making the proposed change to a stable part of the standard outweigh any benefits.

C/ 08 SC 8.8.7.6 P188 L4 MyBallot # 254

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/95-188-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell

SuggestedRemedy

**NoRemedySupplied** 

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 08 SC 8.8.8.1

P189

MyBallot # 257

L 24

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ1/98-189-24: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

JAMES, DAVID V

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #246.

C/ 08 SC 8.8.8.1 P189 1 24 MyBallot # 260

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ1/101-189-24: Confusing/unnecessary notation.

SuggestedRemedy

Eliminate the "a)", etc in descriptions. If this is a necessary part of the cross reference, then include this after the subclause number. The same changes througout.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #255.

C/ 08 SC 8.8.8.1 P189 L37 MyBallot # 259

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/100-189-37: Confusing/unnecessary notation.

SuggestedRemedy

Eliminate the "a)", etc in descriptions. If this is a necessary part of the cross reference, then include this after the subclause number. The same changes througout.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #255.

C/ 08 SC 8.8.8.1 P189 L 42 MyBallot # 256 C/ 09 SC 9.1 P191 MyBallot # 262 L 38 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ1/97-189-42: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, DVJ1/103-191-38: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. which is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Proposed Response Response Status W Sponsor web site. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 09 SC 9.6.6.2 P 203 L4 MyBallot # 263 JAMES. DAVID V C/ 08 SC 8.8.8.1 P189 **L6** MyBallot # 258 Comment Status R JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER DVJ1/104-203-4: Inconsistent state machine state names; other places these are Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code. DVJ1/99-189-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy COUNT CLEAR ==> COUNT\_CLEAR and similar changes throughout. **NoRemedySupplied** Response Status W Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #790. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 09 SC 9.9.1.1 P 206 MyBallot # 264 L 37 C/ 08 SC 8.8.8.1 P190 / 15 MyBallot # 261 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/105-206-37: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. DVJ1/102-190-15: Confusing/unnecessary notation. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. Eliminate the "a)", etc in descriptions. If this is a necessary part of the cross reference, then

Proposed Response

See MyBallot comment #267.

REJECT.

Response Status W

include this after the subclause number. The same changes througout. Response Status W

Proposed Response

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #255.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 09 SC 9.9.4.1.5 P 215 **L8** MyBallot # 266 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ1/107-215-8; I'm surprized this doesn't have a RESET state, when others do. What's up?

SuggestedRemedy

Explain the difference between IDLE and RESET states, or use RESET notation throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

As noted at the beginning of subclause 9.9, the content has been superceded by Clause 18. Consequently, changes to the subclause will only make them less familiar to the users of the subclause that created and use those legacy implementations. In addition, the committee consensus is that risks of introducing errors when making the proposed change to a stable part of the standard outweigh any benefits.

C/ 09 SC 9.9.4.1.5 P 215 L9 MvBallot # 265

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ1/106-215-9: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

TRANSMIT TIMER C ==> TRANSMIT TIMER C

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MvBallot comment #790.

C/ 09 SC 9.9.6.2 P 219 L 27 MyBallot # 267

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/108-219-27: Misleading capitalization errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Bit Loss ==> Bit loss Invalid Bits ==> Invalid bits Steady-State Propagation Delay==>Steadystate propagation delay Start-Up Delay==>Start-up delay

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

As noted at the beginning of subclause 9.9, the content has been superceded by Clause 18. Consequently, changes to the subclause will only make them less familiar to the users of the subclause that created and use those legacy implementations. In addition, the committee consensus is that risks of introducing errors when making the proposed change to a stable part of the standard outweigh any benefits.

SC 10.1.1 C/ 10

P 223

MvBallot # 268 L 24

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/109-223-24: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.

SuggestedRemedy

Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 10 SC 10.3.1.1 P 226

L 29

MyBallot # 269

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type ER

DVJ1/110-226-29: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

OUTPUT IDLE ==> OUTPUT\_IDLE and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

C/ 10 SC 10.3.2.2 P 229

L 36

MyBallot # 270

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type

DVJ1/111-229-36: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SugaestedRemedy

UNJAB WAIT ==> UNJAB\_WAIT and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 10 SC 10.4.1.3 P 232 L 49 MyBallot # 271 C/ 11 SC 11.1.1 P 243 MyBallot # 274 L 28 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/112-232-49: Font is wrong and inconsistent. DVJ1/115-243-28: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==> 8-point Arial Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 10 SC 10.4.1.3 P 232 L 49 MyBallot # 272 C/ 11 SC 11.1.1 P 244 L 11 MyBallot # 275 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/113-232-49: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. DVJ1/116-244-11: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 10 SC 10.6 P 237 L 29 MyBallot # 273 C/ 11 SC 11.2.3.1 P 251 L4 MyBallot # 276 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ1/114-237-29: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. DVJ1/117-251-4: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. BEGIN RX ==> BEGIN\_RX and similar changes throughout. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

SC 11.3.1.2.3 C/ 11 P 256 MyBallot # 277 C/ 12 SC 12.2.1 P 273 MyBallot # 280 L 39 L 18 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/118-256-39: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. DVJ1/121-273-18: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 11 SC 11.3.1.2.4 P 257 L 25 MyBallot # 278 C/ 12 SC 12.2.3.2 P 275 L 25 MyBallot # 281 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/119-257-25: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. DVJ1/122-275-25: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 12 C/ 12 SC 12.1.2 P 271 L 24 MyBallot # 279 SC 12.3.2.3 P 279 L16 MyBallot # 282 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/120-271-24: Inconsistent with Clause 3 title. DVJ1/123-279-16: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Media Access Control ==> media access control FETCH BIT ==> FETACH\_BIT and similar changes throughout. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #255.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #200.

C/ 12 SC 12.3.2.3.3 P 280 MyBallot # 283 C/ 12 SC 12.4.3.2.3 P 287 **L8** MyBallot # 286 L 40 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ1/124-280-40: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are DVJ1/127-287-8: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code. ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ERROR HOLD ==> ERROR\_HOLD and similar changes throughout. UPWARD ACTIVE ==> UPWARD ACTIVE and similar changes throughout. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #255. See MyBallot comment #255. C/ 12 SC 12.3.2.3.3 P 280 18 MyBallot # 284 C/ 12 SC 12.4.3.2.3 P 288 / 46 MyBallot # 287 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ1/125-280-8: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are DVJ1/128-288-46: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code. ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy BIT BUCKET ==> BIT BUCKET and similar changes throughout. DOWNWARD ACTIVE ==> DOWNWARD ACTIVE and similar changes throughout. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #255. See MyBallot comment #255. C/ 12 SC 12.3.2.3.5 P 281 L9 MyBallot # 285 C/ 12 SC 12.4.3.2.3 P 288 L9 MyBallot # 288 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/126-281-9: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are DVJ1/129-288-9: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code. ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy CARRIER OFF HOLD ==> CARRIER\_OFF\_HOLD and similar changes throughout. JABBER WATCH ==> JABBER\_WATCH and similar changes throughout. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #255.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #255.

Page 24 of 319

C/ 12 SC 12.5.3.1.2 P 294 L 44 MyBallot # 289 C/ 12 SC 12.5.3.1.6 P 296 MyBallot # 292 L 20 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/130-294-44: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. DVJ1/133-296-20: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 12 SC 12.5.3.1.4 P 295 L 17 MyBallot # 291 C/ 12 SC 12.5.3.2.5 P 298 L 11 MyBallot # 293 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/132-295-17: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. DVJ1/134-298-11: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 12 SC 12.5.3.1.5 P 295 L 35 MyBallot # 290 C/ 13 SC 13.3 P309 L3 MyBallot # 294 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/131-295-35: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. DVJ1/135-309-3: Misleading capitalization errors. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. Repeater Set ==> Repeater set Link Segments ==> Link segments Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 13 SC 13.3 P310 L12 MyBallot # 298 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/139-310-12: Confusing/unnecessary abbreviations SuggestedRemedy Coax Seg ==> Coax segment Link Sea ==> Link seament Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. This figure has been in existence for many years. The uncounted number of users, and hundreds of millions of installed devices, indicate configuration of proper Ethernet topologies has not been impeded by these abbreviations. C/ 13 SC 13.3 P310 L 23 MyBallot # 297 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/138-310-23: Misleading capitalization errors. SuggestedRemedy Repeater Set ==> Repeater set Link Segment ==> Link segment Coax ... Segment ==> Coax ... segment Fiber Optic Link ==> Fiber optic link Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 13 SC 13.3 P310 MyBallot # 296 L 38 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/137-310-38: Right side of figure is missing

Response Status W

SuggestedRemedy Fix it.

Proposed Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 13 SC 13.3 P310 MyBallot # 295 L 44 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ1/136-310-44: Bottom side of figure is missing. SuggestedRemedy Fix it Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT. C/ 13 SC 13.3 P310 L7 MyBallot # 299 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor ER DVJ1/140-310-7: Misleading capitalization errors. SuggestedRemedy Repeater Set ==> Repeater set Link Segments ==> Link segments Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 13 SC 13.3 P311 L7 MyBallot # 300 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/141-311-7: Misleading capitalization errors. SuggestedRemedy Repeater Set ==> Repeater set Link Segment ==> Link segment Fiber Optic Link ==> Fiber optic link Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 26 of 319 C/ 13 SC 13.3

C/ 13 SC 13.3 P312 MvBallot # 301 L 18 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/142-312-18: Misleading capitalization errors SuggestedRemedy Repeater Set ==> Repeater set Fiber Optic Mixing Segment==> Fiber optic mixing segment Fiber Optic Link Seament==> Fiber optic link seament Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 13 SC 13.3 P313 / 13 MyBallot # 302 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

SuggestedRemedy

Repeater Set ==> Repeater set Fiber Optic Mixing Segment==> Fiber optic mixing segment Fiber Optic Link Segment==> Fiber optic link segment

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

DVJ1/143-313-13: Misleading capitalization errors.

ACCEL I IIII IIIII EE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 13 SC 13.4.1.2 P314 L51 MyBallot # 303

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/144-314-51: The mathematical meaning of "Round" - "Trip" is not what was intended.

SuggestedRemedy

A convention for these field names is needed. Suggestion is either: Round-Trip ==> roundTrip OR 'Round Trip', using single quotes

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

This text has been in existence for many years. The uncounted number of users, and hundreds of millions of installed devices, indicate configuration of proper Ethernet topologies has not been impeded by this name.

Cl 14 SC 14.1.1 P317 L29 MyBallot # 305

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ1/146-317-29: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.

SuggestedRemedy

Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.1.1 P317 L39 MyBallot # 304

JAMES, DAVID V

INICS, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ1/145-317-39: Confusing term: is this a proper noun? Is this a special pair?

SuggestedRemedy

Twisted-Pair Link Segment ==> Twisted-pair link segment

Proposed Response Response Status **W** 

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 14 SC 14.1.2 P318 L 29 MyBallot # 307

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
DVJ1/148-318-29: The meaning of "See 1.4" is unclear. What is there? Other definitions?
Clearer definitions?

SuggestedRemedy

Clarify, with something like: See 1.4 for additional definitions

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Will add the text 'See 1.4 for additional definitions'.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 27 of 319

Cl 14 SC 14.1.2

C/ 14 SC 14.1.2 P318 MyBallot # 306 L 31 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/147-318-31: Funny text-processor errors. SuggestedRemedy Delete these "<=0>" symbols. I suspect its nonstandard FrameMaker template usage problems. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT. SC 14.1.3.1 C/ 14 P319 L 11 MvBallot # 309 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/150-319-11: Twisted-Pair Link Segment

SuggestedRemedy

Twisted-Pair Link Segment==>Twisted-pair link segment Twisted-Pair Link Seament==>Twisted-pair link

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 14 SC 14.1.3.1 P319 L 32 MyBallot # 308

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/149-319-32: Twisted Pairs

SuggestedRemedy

Twisted Pairs==>Twisted pairs

Twisted-Pair Link Segment==>Twisted-pair link segment

Twisted-Pair Link==>Twisted-pair link

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.1.3.1

P319

MyBallot # 310

**L8** 

IFEE-SA Editor

Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ1/151-319-8: Twisted Pairs

SuggestedRemedy

JAMES, DAVID V

Twisted pairs

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.1 P349 L8 MyBallot # 345

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type ER

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/186-349-8: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SugaestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.1.3 P349 L 41 MyBallot # 344

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/185-349-41: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 28 of 319

C/ 14 SC 14.10.1.3

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.3 P351 L37 MyBallot # 348

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/189-351-37: Inconsistent capitalization, which looks like a typo. Also, inconsistent sentence structure.

SuggestedRemedy

PIXIT (Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing) ==> protocol implementation extra information for testing (PIXIT)

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.1 P352 L10 MyBallot # 353

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
DVJ1/194-352-10: Inconsistent notation.

SuggestedRemedy

Put letters before numbers, as done elsewhere.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

The format for PICS tables has changed during the decades in which IEEE Std 802.3 has been used. As pointed out in various comments, PICS formats vary within this document, including column headings, column order and PICS item numbering. Consideration must be given to both the legacy users of the document and future users of the document. Completed PICS may also be used in contracts.

The IEEE P802.3REVam task force believes conversion of older PICs tables to current format would create too high a probability of introducing errors in very stable portions of the standard and make the material less familiar to legacy users.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.1 P352 L12 MyBallot # 349

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ1/190-352-12: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.1 P352 L6 MyBallot # 354

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/195-352-6: No column label

SuggestedRemedy

Provide column label

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.1 P352 L6 MyBallot # 351

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/192-352-6: Inconsistent table header names/order.

SuggestedRemedy

All of the PICS should have tables with the same header names and orders. Do this!

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 29 of 319

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.1

SC 14.10.4.5.10 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.1 P352 **L6** MyBallot # 350 Cl 14 P357 MyBallot # 388 L 21 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IFEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/191-352-6: Inconsistent table header fonts. DVJ1/229-357-21: No column label SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make these bold. Provide column label Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #353. MyBallot # 352 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.1 P352 L7 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.10 P357 L 24 MyBallot # 383 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ1/193-352-7: Inconsistent title DVJ1/224-357-24: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Imp ==> Status Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #353. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 14 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.1 P352 L9 MyBallot # 355 SC 14.10.4.5.11 P357 L 30 MyBallot # 387 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/196-352-9: These blank cells look like errors. DVJ1/228-357-30: No column label SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is Provide column label too difficult to generate. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #246.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.11 P357 L 32 MyBallot # 386 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/227-357-32: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a couple of prefex letters.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.11 P357 L 33 MyBallot # 382

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/223-357-33: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.11 P357 L 38 MyBallot # 381

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R ER DVJ1/222-357-38: No column label

SuggestedRemedy

Provide column label

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.12 P358

L 27

MyBallot # 390

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/231-358-27: Confusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.12 P358

L4

MyBallot # 393

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/234-358-4: No column label

SuggestedRemedy

Provide column label

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.12 P358

L6

MyBallot # 392

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/233-358-6: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a couple of prefex letters.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 31 of 319 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.12

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.12 P358 *L* 9 MyBallot # 391 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/232-358-9: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Response Status W Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

MyBallot # 394 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.13 P359 L19 JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type ER DVJ1/235-359-19: Confusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.13 P359 L 29 MyBallot # 395

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/236-359-29: Confusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

SC 14.10.4.5.13 Cl 14 P359

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/239-359-4: No column label

SuggestedRemedy

Provide column label

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.13 P359 L7 MyBallot # 397

L4

MyBallot # 398

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type ER

DVJ1/238-359-7: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a couple of prefex letters.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.13 P359 MyBallot # 396 L8

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type IEEE-SA Editor ER

DVJ1/237-359-8: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 32 of 319 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.13

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.14 P360 L11 MyBallot # 400 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/241-360-11: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #353. C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.14 P360 L 23 MyBallot # 399 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/240-360-23: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #353. C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.14 P360 L 34 MyBallot # 405 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/246-360-34: No column label SuggestedRemedy Provide column label Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.14 P360 L 36 MyBallot # 404 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/245-360-36: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes. SuggestedRemedy Add a couple of prefex letters. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #353. C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.14 P360 L 38 MyBallot # 401 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/242-360-38: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Response Status W Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.14 P360 **L** 5 MyBallot # 406 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/247-360-5: No column label

SuggestedRemedy Provide column label

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 33 of 319 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.14

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.14 P360 L7 MyBallot # 403 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.2 P353 L6 MyBallot # 358 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/244-360-7: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can DVJ1/199-353-6: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes. be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a couple of prefex letters. Add a couple of prefex letters. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #353. See MyBallot comment #353. C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.14 P360 18 MyBallot # 402 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.2 P353 **L8** MyBallot # 357 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/243-360-8: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/198-353-8: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.2 P353 MyBallot # 361 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.3 P353 L 29 MyBallot # 360 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R ER DVJ1/202-353-4: No column label DVJ1/201-353-29: No column label SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy

See MyBallot comment #353.

Response Status W

Provide column label

Proposed Response

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Response Status W

Provide column label

Proposed Response

REJECT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 34 of 319

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.3

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.3 P353 L 30 MyBallot # 359 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/200-353-30: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a couple of prefex letters.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.3 P353 L 32 MyBallot # 356

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/197-353-32: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.4 P354 L11 MyBallot # 363

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor ER DVJ1/204-354-11: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.4 P354

MyBallot # 367

L7

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/208-354-7: No column label

SuggestedRemedy

Provide column label

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.4 P354

L9

MyBallot # 364

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type ER

DVJ1/205-354-9: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a couple of prefex letters.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.5 P354

L 29

MyBallot # 366

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/207-354-29: No column label

SuggestedRemedy

Provide column label

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 35 of 319 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.5

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.5 P354 L 30 MyBallot # 365 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/206-354-30: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a couple of prefex letters.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.5 P354 L 33 MyBallot # 362

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/203-354-33: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.6 P355 MyBallot # 369 L 10

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor ER DVJ1/210-355-10: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.6

P355

L 11

MyBallot # 373

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/214-355-11: No column label

SuggestedRemedy

Provide column label

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.6 P355

L7

MyBallot # 374

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/215-355-7: No column label

SuggestedRemedy

Provide column label

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.6 P355

L9

MyBallot # 370

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/211-355-9: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a couple of prefex letters.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 36 of 319 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.6

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.7 P355 L 23 MyBallot # 372 Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.8 P356 L 23 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/213-355-23: No column label DVJ1/217-356-23: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Provide column label Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #353. See MyBallot comment #353. C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.7 P355 L 25 MyBallot # 371 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.8 P356 L 28 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Status R Comment Type ER DVJ1/212-355-25: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row DVJ1/218-356-28: Confusing table rows. can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Add a couple of prefex letters. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #353. See MyBallot comment #353. C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.8 P356 L4 JAMES, DAVID V

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.7 P355 L 27 MyBallot # 368 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor ER DVJ1/209-355-27: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Provide column label Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #353.

ER

DVJ1/221-356-4: No column label

Comment Status R

Comment Type

SuggestedRemedy

MyBallot # 376

MyBallot # 377

MyBallot # 380

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.8 P356 **L6** MyBallot # 379 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/220-356-6: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a couple of prefex letters.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.8 P356 **L8** MyBallot # 378

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/219-356-8: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.8 P356 **L9** MyBallot # 375

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R ER DVJ1/216-356-9: Confusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.9 P357

MyBallot # 389

L3

**L6** 

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/230-357-3: No column label

SuggestedRemedy

Provide column label

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.9

MyBallot # 385

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type ER

DVJ1/226-357-6: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.

P357

SuggestedRemedy

Add a couple of prefex letters.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.9 P357

L7 MyBallot # 384

IEEE-SA Editor

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A ER

DVJ1/225-357-7: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 38 of 319

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.5.9

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.6 P361 L4 MyBallot # 413 Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.1 P361 L 13 MyBallot # 408 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/254-361-4: Unclear notation DVJ1/249-361-13: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: a) Define the meaning of the "/1" notation. b) Eliminatge the "/1" notation. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #237. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.6.1 P361 L 10 MyBallot # 412 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.6.2 P361 L 23 MyBallot # 411 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ1/253-361-10: No column label DVJ1/252-361-23: No column label SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Provide column label Provide column label Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #353. See MvBallot comment #353. C/ 14 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.6.1 P361 L 11 MyBallot # 409 SC 14.10.4.6.2 P361 L 26 MyBallot # 410 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/250-361-11: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row DVJ1/251-361-26: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes. can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a couple of prefex letters. Add a couple of prefex letters. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.6.2 P361 L 26 MyBallot # 407 Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.3 P362 **L8** MyBallot # 416 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/248-361-26: Confusing table rows. DVJ1/257-362-8: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #353. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.6.3 P362 L5 MyBallot # 420 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.6.4 P362 L 35 MyBallot # 419 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER DVJ1/261-362-5: No column label DVJ1/260-362-35: No column label SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Provide column label Provide column label Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #353. See MvBallot comment #353. C/ 14 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.6.3 P362 L6 MyBallot # 417 SC 14.10.4.6.4 P362 L 37 MyBallot # 418 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/258-362-6: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can DVJ1/259-362-37: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes. can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a couple of prefex letters. Add a couple of prefex letters. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.6.4 P362 MyBallot # 415 L 39 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/256-362-39: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Response Status W Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.6.4 P362 L 43 MyBallot # 414 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Type ER DVJ1/255-362-43: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #353. C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.6.5 P363 L4 MyBallot # 427 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/268-363-4: No column label SuggestedRemedy Provide column label

Response Status W

Proposed Response

See MyBallot comment #353.

REJECT.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.6.5 P363 L6 MyBallot # 424 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/265-363-6: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes. SuggestedRemedy Add a couple of prefex letters. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #353. C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.6.5 P363 **L8** MyBallot # 423 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/264-363-8: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Response Status W Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.6.6 P363 L 17 MyBallot # 426 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/267-363-17: No column label SuggestedRemedy

Provide column label

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 41 of 319 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.6.6

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.6.6 P363 L 20 MyBallot # 425 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.7.1 P364 L 10 MyBallot # 431 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/266-363-20: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row DVJ1/272-364-10: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes. can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a couple of prefex letters. Add a couple of prefex letters. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #353. See MyBallot comment #353. C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.6.6 P363 L 21 MyBallot # 422 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.7.1 P364 L12 MyBallot # 430 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/263-363-21: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/271-364-12: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.6.6 P363 MyBallot # 421 C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.7.1 P364 L 24 MyBallot # 429 L 34 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor ER ER DVJ1/262-363-34: Confusing table rows. DVJ1/270-364-24: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Center all "Item" column cells.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.7.1 P364 MyBallot # 428 L 27 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/269-364-27: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.7.1 P364 L 60 MyBallot # 432 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/273-364-60: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a couple of prefex letters.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.7.1 P364 L7 MyBallot # 433

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R ER DVJ1/274-364-7: No column label

SuggestedRemedy

Provide column label

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.7.1 P365

L 1

MyBallot # 440

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/281-365-1: Confusing typo

SuggestedRemedy able ==> capable

JAMES, DAVID V

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.8 P365 L 11 MyBallot # 434

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ1/275-365-11: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.8 P365 L6 MyBallot # 435

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/276-365-6: Elsewhere this column is called Support.

SuggestedRemedy Imp ==> Support

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.8 P365 L6 MyBallot # 438

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/279-365-6: There is no column header.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a column header.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.8 P365 L6 MyBallot # 439

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/280-365-6: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.8 P365 L8 MyBallot # 436

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/277-365-8: These blank cells, in the Imp column, look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.4.8

P365

MyBallot # 437

L9

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/278-365-9: Other PICS tables use a few letters in front of the digit, so that the row can be quoted in an easy way, independent of table number changes.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a couple of prefex letters.

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 14 SC 14.2.1.8 P 326 L 4 MyBallot # 311

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/152-326-4: Twisted Pairs

SuggestedRemedy
Twisted pairs

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

We could find no instance of the text 'Twisted Pairs' at the location this comment indicated, in fact a search of the entire IEEE P802.3REVam section 1 pdf found no instances of 'Twisted Pairs', only 'twisted pairs'.

C/ 14 SC 14.2.3.2 P328 L1

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/156-328-1: Inconsistent notation

SuggestedRemedy

Power On ==> PowerOn (as is done elsewhere)

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

The recommended changes do not significantly improve the readability of the document and would only change where inconsistencies appear. There are more usages of Power On in the standard than uses of PowerOn. Many different forms of power on are used interchangably within the standard (e.g., Power On, PowerOn, power-on, etc.). This has not been a significant problem to implementers as evidenced by the large number of interoperable implementations.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 44 of 319

MyBallot # 315

C/ 14 SC 14.2.3.2

Cl 14 SC 14.2.3.2 P328 L32 MyBallot # 313

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
DVJ1/154-328-32: Inconsistent notation

SuggestedRemedy

Power On ==> PowerOn (as is done elsewhere)

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

The recommended changes do not significantly improve the readability of the document and would only change where inconsistencies appear. There are more usages of Power On in the standard than uses of PowerOn. Many different forms of power on are used interchangably within the standard (e.g., Power On, PowerOn, power-on, etc.). This has not been a significant problem to implementers as evidenced by the large number of interoperable implementations.

Cl 14 SC 14.2.3.2 P328 L32 MyBallot # 314

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
DVJ1/155-328-32: Inconsistent notation

SuggestedRemedy

Power On ==> PowerOn (as is done elsewhere)

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #313.

Cl 14 SC 14.2.3.2 P328 L37 MyBallot # 319

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ1/160-328-37: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.

Suggested Remedy

NO OUTPUT ==> NO\_OUTPUT and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

C/ 14 SC 14.2.3.2

P 3

2 MyBallot # 312

P328 L42 My

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/153-328-42: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

JAMES, DAVID V

NO OUTPUT ==> NO OUTPUT

Proposed Response Response Status **W** 

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 14 SC 14.2.3.2 P328 L48 MyBallot # 317

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/158-328-48: Confusing capitalization

SuggestedRemedy

Transmit State Machine ==> Transmit state machine

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 14 SC 14.2.3.2 P328 L48 MyBallot # 316

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ1/157-328-48: Confusing capitalization

SuggestedRemedy

ReceiveState Machine ==> Receive state machine

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

IEEE-SA Editor

C/ 14 SC 14.2.3.2 P328 L 49 MyBallot # 318 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/159-328-49: ACTIVATE PHYSICAL ==> ACTIVATE PHYSICAL SuggestedRemedy **NoRemedySupplied** Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #790. C/ 14 SC 14.2.3.2 P329 L3 MyBallot # 320 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Type ER DVJ1/161-329-3: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code. SuggestedRemedy SQE TEST WAIT ==> SQE\_TEST\_WAIT Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #790. C/ 14 SC 14.3 P330 L 1 MyBallot # 321 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status R ER DVJ1/162-330-1: Inconsistent notation SuggestedRemedy Power On ==> PowerOn

Response Status W

Proposed Response

See MyBallot comment #313.

REJECT.

Cl 14 SC 14.3 P330 L12 MyBallot # 322 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ1/163-330-12: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code. SuggestedRemedy NON-JABBER OUTPUT ==> NON JABBER OUTPUT And, similar changes throughout. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #790. C/ 14 SC 14.3.1 P331 L 13 MyBallot # 324 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ1/165-331-13: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code. SuggestedRemedy LINK TEST FAIL RESET ==> LINK TEST FAIL RESET And, similar changes throughout. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #790. C/ 14 SC 14.3.1 P331 L2 MyBallot # 323 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/164-331-2: Inconsistent notation

SuggestedRemedy

Power On ==> PowerOn

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #313.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 46 of 319 C/ 14 SC 14.3.1

C/ 14 SC 14.3.1.2.1 P333 MyBallot # 326 Cl 14 SC 14.3.1.2.2 P335 L 30 MyBallot # 328 L 23 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/167-333-23: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not DVJ1/169-335-30: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. both simultaneously. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Proposed Response Response Status W Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900. C/ 14 SC 14.3.1.2.6 P337 L 28 MyBallot # 329 JAMES. DAVID V C/ 14 SC 14.3.1.2.1 P333 L 53 MyBallot # 325 Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER DVJ1/170-337-28: Wrong font in figures. Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor SuggestedRemedy DVJ1/166-333-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect. Use 8-point Arial. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Sponsor web site. See MyBallot comment #1900. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 14 SC 14.3.1.2.6 P337 L7 MyBallot # 330 JAMES, DAVID V See MyBallot comment #1900. Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor C/ 14 MyBallot # 327 SC 14.3.1.2.2 P335 L 27 DVJ1/171-337-7: Wrong font in figures. JAMES, DAVID V SuggestedRemedy Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Use 8-point Arial. DVJ1/168-335-27: Wrong font in figures. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Use 8-point Arial.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Response Status W

Page 47 of 319

C/ 14 SC 14.3.1.2.6

C/ <b>14</b> SC <b>14.3.1.2.7</b> JAMES, DAVID V	P338 L22	MyBallot # 331	CI 14 SC 14.3.1.3.5 P 340  JAMES, DAVID V	L 38	MyBallot # 334
Comment Type ER Comment St DVJ1/172-338-22: Wrong font in figures		IEEE-SA Editor	Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/175-340-38: Wrong font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor
SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.			SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.		
Proposed Response Response Sta ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	atus <b>W</b>		Proposed Response Response Status <b>W</b> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
See MyBallot comment #1900.			See MyBallot comment #1900.		
CI 14 SC 14.3.1.2.7 JAMES, DAVID V	P338 L8	MyBallot # 332	CI 14 SC 14.3.1.3.5 P340 JAMES, DAVID V	L <b>9</b>	MyBallot # 335
Comment Type ER Comment St DVJ1/173-338-8: Wrong font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor	Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/176-340-9: Wrong font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor
SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.			SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.		
Proposed Response State ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	atus <b>W</b>		Proposed Response Response Status <b>W</b> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
See MyBallot comment #1900.			See MyBallot comment #1900.		
CI 14 SC 14.3.1.3.1 JAMES, DAVID V	P339 L6	MyBallot # 333	CI 14 SC 14.4.4.1 P343  JAMES, DAVID V	L 38	MyBallot # [336
Comment Type ER Comment St DVJ1/174-339-6: Wrong font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor	Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/177-343-38: Illegal equation.		
SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.			SuggestedRemedy 7 log ==> 7xlog where x is the multiply symbol		
Proposed Response State ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	atus <b>W</b>		Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.		
See MyBallot comment #1900.			This is an acceptable mathematical formal.		

C/ 14 SC 14.5.1 P344 L 10 MyBallot # 337 Cl 14 SC 14.9 P348 L 11 MyBallot # 342 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/178-344-10: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/183-348-11: Misleading capitalization errors. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Steady-State Propagation Delay ==> Steady-state propagation delay Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 14 SC 14.5.1 P344 **L8** MyBallot # 338 C/ 14 SC 14.9 P348 L 11 MyBallot # 341 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER DVJ1/179-344-8: columns too wide DVJ1/182-348-11: Misleading capitalization errors. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make them slightly wider than content. Invalid Bits ==> Invalid bits Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 14 C/ 14 SC 14.9 P348 L 11 MyBallot # 339 SC 14.9 P348 L11 MyBallot # 340 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/180-348-11: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/181-348-11: Misleading capitalization errors. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Bit Loss ==> Bit loss Center all "Symbol" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 14 SC 14.9 P348 **L8** MyBallot # 343 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/184-348-8: Start-up Delay SuggestedRemedy Start-up delay Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 14 SC 149 P349 L1 MyBallot # 346 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ1/187-349-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement" SuggestedRemedy Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 14 SC 14.9 P349 L 1 MyBallot # 347

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ1/188-349-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 14, ... ==> ... Clause 14

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

C/ 15 SC 15.1.1.2 P368 MyBallot # 441 L14

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type DVJ1/282-368-14: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 15 SC 15.1.1.2 P368 L 45 MyBallot # 442 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ1/283-368-45: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.

SuggestedRemedy

Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 15 SC 15.1.1.2 P369 **L6** MvBallot # 444

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/285-369-6: Misleading capitalization errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Repeater ==> repeater

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 15 SC 15.1.1.2 P369 L7 MyBallot # 445 C/ 15 SC 15.1.3.2 P370 MyBallot # 448 L 24 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/286-369-7: Inconsistent with Clause 3 title. DVJ1/289-370-24: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Media Access Control ==> media access control Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #200. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 15 SC 15.1.1.2 P369 L9 MyBallot # 443 C/ 15 SC 15.1.3.2 P370 L3 MyBallot # 447 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/284-369-9: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not DVJ1/288-370-3: Misleading capitalization errors. both simultaneously. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Wall Outlet ==> Wall outlet Duplex Fiber ==> Duplex fiber Patch Panel ==> Patch panel Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Fiber Optic Link Seament --> Fiber optic link seament Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 15 SC 15.2.1.11 P375 L 18 MvBallot # 461 C/ 15 SC 15.1.3.2 P370 L 22 MyBallot # 446 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/302-375-18: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. DVJ1/287-370-22: Misleading capitalization errors. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. Wall Outlet ==> Wall outlet Patch Panel ==> Patch panel Fiber Optic Mixing Segment ==> Proposed Response Response Status W

Flber optic mixing segment

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 15 SC 15.2.1.11 P375 MyBallot # 459 C/ 15 SC 15.2.1.11 P376 MyBallot # 462 L 31 L 17 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/300-375-31: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/303-376-17: This blank row is distracting. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Reference" column cells. Eliminate the blank row. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 15 SC 15.2.1.11 P375 L 43 MyBallot # 458 C/ 15 SC 15.2.1.11.2 P376 L36 MyBallot # 463 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/299-375-43: Excessively wide columns DVJ1/304-376-36: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Narrow the columns Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900. C/ 15 SC 15.2.1.11 P375 L 50 MyBallot # 460 C/ 15 SC 15.2.1.11.2 P377 L16 MyBallot # 466 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/301-375-50: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, DVJ1/307-377-16: Excessively wide columns which is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Narrow the columns 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Proposed Response Response Status W Sponsor web site. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 52 of 319

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.11.2

C/ 15 SC 15.2.1.11.2 P377 MyBallot # 464 C/ 15 SC 15.2.1.11.3 P378 MyBallot # 468 L 28 L 34 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/305-377-28: This blank row is distracting. DVJ1/309-378-34: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Reference" column cells. Eliminate the blank row. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 15 SC 15.2.1.11.3 P378 L36 MyBallot # 469 Consider a different line to differentiate the two sections. JAMES. DAVID V SC 15.2.1.11.2 C/ 15 P**377 L6** MyBallot # 465 IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A JAMES, DAVID V DVJ1/310-378-36: Excessively wide columns Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor SuggestedRemedy DVJ1/306-377-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. Narrow the columns SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Center all "Reference" column cells. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 15 SC 15.2.1.11.3 P378 L 46 MyBallot # 467 See MyBallot comment #1900. JAMES, DAVID V C/ 15 SC 15.2.1.11.3 P378 L14 MyBallot # 470 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor JAMES. DAVID V DVJ1/308-378-46: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER which is incorrect. DVJ1/311-378-14: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. Sponsor web site. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 53 of 319

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.11.3

C/ 15 SC 15.2.1.11.3 P379 L 1 MyBallot # 471 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/312-379-1: Looks like two distinct tables. SuggestedRemedy Include the "continued" marker in the table header, so the continued portions of the table are well identified. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 15 SC 15.2.1.11.3 P379 / 18 MyBallot # 472 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/313-379-18: This blank row is distracting. SuggestedRemedy Eliminate the blank row. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 15 SC 15.2.1.8 P373 L16 MyBallot # 456

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
DVJ1/297-373-16: Confusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows, with a straddled left column as needed.

Proposed Response Response Status W

This is common practice in the industry for datasheets and reduces the need to include redundant information that reduces readability.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.8 P373 L23 MyBallot # 455
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
DVJ1/296-373-23: Confusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows, with a straddled left column as needed.

Proposed Response Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #456.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.8 P373 L27 MyBallot # 454

JAMES, DAVID V

REJECT.

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
DVJ1/295-373-27: Confusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows, with a straddled left column as needed.

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #456.

Cl 15 SC 15.2.1.8 P373 L31 MyBallot # 453

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R
DVJ1/294-373-31: Confusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows, with a straddled left column as needed.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #456.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 15 SC 15.2.1.8 P373 L 36 MyBallot # 452 C/ 15 SC 15.2.1.8 P373 MyBallot # 449 L 49 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/293-373-36: Confusing table rows. DVJ1/290-373-49: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows, with a straddled left column as needed. Put lines between rows, with a straddled left column as needed. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #456. See MyBallot comment #456. C/ 15 SC 15.2.1.8 P373 L 41 MyBallot # 451 C/ 15 SC 15.2.1.8 P373 L8 MyBallot # 457 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ1/292-373-41: Confusing table rows. DVJ1/298-373-8: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows, with a straddled left column as needed. Put lines between rows, with a straddled left column as needed. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #456. See MyBallot comment #456. C/ 15 C/ 15 SC 15.2.1.8 P373 L 45 MyBallot # 450 SC 15.3.2.2 P381 L 48 MyBallot # 474 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/291-373-45: Confusing table rows. DVJ1/315-381-48: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows, with a straddled left column as needed. Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #456.

C/ 15 SC 15.3.2.2 P381 MyBallot # 473 C/ 15 SC 15.8.6 P389 MyBallot # 476 L 50 L 26 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IFEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type DVJ1/314-381-50: Wrong font in figures. DVJ1/317-389-26: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 15 SC 15.7.1 P386 L1 MyBallot # 475 JAMES. DAVID V C/ 15 SC 15.8.6 P389 L 27 MyBallot # 477 Comment Status R Comment Type ER JAMES, DAVID V DVJ1/316-386-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason DVJ1/318-389-27: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. one was not provided). SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. ... Clause 15, ... ==> ... Clause 15 Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #233. C/ 15 SC 15.8.6.2 P390 L5 MyBallot # 481 C/ 15 SC 15.8.4.2 P389 **L** 5 MyBallot # 478 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/322-390-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/319-389-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 56 of 319 SC 15.8.6.2

C/ 15 SC 15.8.6.3 P390 MvBallot # 480 L17 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/321-390-17: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 15 SC 15.8.6.3 P390 L 40 MyBallot # 479 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/320-390-40: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900.

C/ 16 SC 16.1.1.1 P391 L 35 MyBallot # 482

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/323-391-35: Misleading capitalization errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Star ==> star

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

With one exception, all occurrences of "10BASE-FP Star" have a capitalized "S" in star. The exception is where "10BASE-FP star" is used in a heading (subclause 16.6.7.4). Base on this, and since the IEEE P802.3REVam Task Force believes that this comment is editorial, it will be forwarded to the publication editor.

The commenter should note that IEEE editorial staff has indicated that all such comments will only be considered after RevCom / Standards Board approval during the preparation for publication.

C/ 16 SC 16.2.2.2

P393

L14

MvBallot # 484

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

SuggestedRemedy

Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures.

DVJ1/325-393-14: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 16 SC 16.2.2.2

P393

MyBallot # 483

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/324-393-7: Wrong font in figures.

SuggestedRemedy

Use 8-point Arial.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 16 SC 16.3.1.1.2 P395

L 41

17

MyBallot # 486

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ1/327-395-41: The definition of a 12-bit Manufacturer IE is very confusing, since the footnote and general assumption is that the IEEE/RAC assigns 24-bit OUIs.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Make this very clear that this is not an OUI. 2) Make it clear how to get one. 3) Provide a detailed example of the layout, from the IEEE/RAC supplied value to the specified value.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

As noted at the beginning of the clause, the content is not recommended for new implementations. Consequently, changes to the clause will only make them less familiar to the users of the clause that created and use those legacy implementations. In addition, the committee consensus is that risks of introducing errors when making the proposed change to a stable part of the standard outweigh any benefits.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 57 of 319

C/ 16 SC 16.3.1.1.2

C/ 16 SC 16.3.1.1.2 P395 MyBallot # 485 L 41 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ1/326-395-41: Is this a authority, or the IEEE/RA? SuggestedRemedy a registration authority ==> the IEEE Registration Authority Response Status W Proposed Response ACCEPT. C/ 16 SC 16.3.4.2 P397 L 49 MyBallot # 487 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/328-397-49: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. SuggestedRemedy Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 16 P404 MyBallot # 488 SC 16.3.9.3 L9 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/329-404-9: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code. SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

See MyBallot comment #790.

REJECT.

IDENTIFY 2 ==> IDENTIFY 2 and similar changes throughout Response Status W

C/ 16 SC 16.3.9.3 P405 L 35 MyBallot # 489 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ1/330-405-35: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code. SuggestedRemedy START LINK TEST ==> START LINK TEST and similar changes throughout Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #790. C/ 16 SC 16.3.9.3 P405 19 MyBallot # 490 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ1/331-405-9: Inconsistent state machine state names; other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code. SuggestedRemedy OUTPUT DETECTED ==> OUTPUT DETECTED and similar changes throughout Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #790. C/ 16 SC 16.3.9.3 P406 L 13 MyBallot # 492 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/333-406-13: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

NO-JABBER OUTPUT ==> NO\_JABBER\_OUTPUT and similar changes throughout

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

SuggestedRemedy

See MyBallot comment #790.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 58 of 319 C/ 16 SC 16.3.9.3

C/ 16 SC 16.3.9.3 P406 **L** 5 MyBallot # 491 C/ 16 SC 16.4 P408 MyBallot # 497 L 10 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ1/332-406-5: Wrong font in figures. DVJ1/338-408-10: Misleading capitalization errors. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial. Bit Loss ==> Bit loss Invalid Bits ==> Invalid bits Steady-State Prop.Delay==>Steady-state prop.delay Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 16 SC 16.3.9.3 P407 L 10 MyBallot # 493 C/ 16 SC 16.4 P408 L 11 MyBallot # 496 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/334-407-10: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are DVJ1/337-408-11: Confusing table ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy WAIT FOR PHCRCV ==> WAIT\_FOR\_PHCRCV and similar changes throughout. Split the headers, so each column has its own header. Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #790. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 16 SC 16.3.9.3 P407 **L** 5 MyBallot # 494 C/ 16 SC 16.4 P408 L 20 MyBallot # 495 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER ER DVJ1/335-407-5: Wrong font in figures. DVJ1/336-408-20: These blank cells look like errors. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial. Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #246.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 59 of 319

Cl 16 SC 16.4

C/ 16 SC 16.5.2.3 P410 L 1 MyBallot # 500 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R ER

DVJ1/341-410-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 16, ... ==> ... Clause 16

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

C/ 16 SC 16.5.2.3 P410 L1 MyBallot # 499 JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type ER

IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ1/340-410-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 16 SC 16.6.1 P410

MvBallot # 498 L12

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/339-410-12: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 16 SC 16.6.4.2 P413 L16 MyBallot # 501

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ1/342-413-16: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 16 SC 16.6.4.2 P413 L4 MyBallot # 502

JAMES, DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ1/343-413-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 60 of 319

C/ 16 SC 16.6.4.2

C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.12 P418 MyBallot # 516 C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.15 P419 L3 MyBallot # 519 L 21 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/357-418-21: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/360-419-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.12 P418 L3 MyBallot # 517 C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.16 P419 L 21 MyBallot # 518 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER DVJ1/358-418-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/359-419-21: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 16 C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.12 P418 L 32 MyBallot # 515 SC 16.6.6.17 P420 L 15 MyBallot # 521 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/356-418-32: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/362-420-15: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.17 P420 L3 MyBallot # 522 C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.20 P421 MyBallot # 524 L 20 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/363-420-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/365-421-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Response Status W Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.17 P420 L 36 MyBallot # 520 C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.20 P421 L3 MyBallot # 525 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER DVJ1/361-420-36: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/366-421-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.2 P414 L4 MyBallot # 503 C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.20 P421 L 33 MyBallot # 523 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/344-414-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/364-421-33: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.23 P422 L3 MyBallot # 527 C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.25 P423 L4 MyBallot # 530 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/368-422-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/371-423-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Response Status W Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.24 P422 L31 MyBallot # 526 C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.26 P423 L 35 MyBallot # 529 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER DVJ1/367-422-31: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/370-423-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 16 C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.25 P423 L17 MyBallot # 528 SC 16.6.6.27 P424 L4 MyBallot # 534 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/369-423-17: Confusing table rows. DVJ1/375-424-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #456.

Page 63 of 319 SC 16.6.6.27

C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.28 P424 MyBallot # 533 C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.3 P415 L3 MyBallot # 509 L 28 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/374-424-28: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/350-415-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Response Status W Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.29 P424 L 37 MyBallot # 532 C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.30 P425 L16 MyBallot # 536 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER DVJ1/373-424-37: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/377-425-16: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 16 C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.29 P424 L 44 MyBallot # 531 SC 16.6.6.30 P425 L 35 MyBallot # 535 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/372-424-44: Confusing table rows. DVJ1/376-425-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.30 P425 L4 MyBallot # 537 C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.30 P426 MyBallot # 538 L 32 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/378-425-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/379-426-32: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Response Status W Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.30 P426 L 20 MyBallot # 539 C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.4 P415 L 24 MyBallot # 508 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER DVJ1/380-426-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/349-415-24: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 16 C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.30 P426 L3 MyBallot # 540 SC 16.6.6.5 P415 L 32 MyBallot # 504 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/381-426-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/345-415-32: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.5 P415 MyBallot # 506 L 34 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/347-415-34: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1031.

C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.5 P415 L 38 MyBallot # 505

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/346-415-38: Confusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.6 P415 L 51 MyBallot # 507

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor ER DVJ1/348-415-51: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.6 P416

L 19

MyBallot # 511

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ1/352-416-19: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

JAMES, DAVID V

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.6 P416 L3 MyBallot # 512

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ1/353-416-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.6 P416 L36 MyBallot # 510

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/351-416-36: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.9 P417 MyBallot # 514 L 26 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/355-417-26; Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 16 SC 16.6.6.9 P417 L 40 MyBallot # 513 JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/354-417-40: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 17 SC 17.3.10.2 P436 L 10 MyBallot # 542 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/383-436-10: Wrong font in figures.

SuggestedRemedy

Use 8-point Arial. (I think IEEE limits are no smaller than 6 point, even in exctreme cases).

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.3.10.2

P436

L 11

MyBallot # 544

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type

Comment Status R

DVJ1/385-436-11: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

LOW LIGHT ==> LOW LIGHT and similar changes

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

C/ 17 SC 17.3.10.2 P436

L 31

MyBallot # 541

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

DVJ1/382-436-31: There are too many arrow heads.

SuggestedRemedy Fix your graphics.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

ACCEPT.

C/ 17 SC 17.3.10.2 P436

L36 MyBallot # 545

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ1/386-436-36: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

NON-JABBER INPUT ==> NON\_JABBER\_INPUT and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 17 SC 17.3.10.2 P436 **L** 5 MyBallot # 543 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/384-436-5: There are too many arrow heads. SuggestedRemedy Fix your graphics. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT. C/ 17 SC 17.3.10.2 P437 L5 MyBallot # 546 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Status A DVJ1/387-437-5: There are too many arrow heads. SuggestedRemedy Fix your graphics. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT. C/ 17 SC 17.4 P438 MyBallot # 547 L 15 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/388-438-15: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.4 P438 L 33 MyBallot # 550 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type

DVJ1/391-438-33: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 17, ... ==> ... Clause 17

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

C/ 17 SC 17.4 P438 L 34 MyBallot # 548 JAMES. DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/389-438-34: Inconsistent pagination.

SugaestedRemedy

Insert page break before 17.5

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.1 P438 L 39 MyBallot # 549

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/390-438-39: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.1 P441 L 42 MyBallot # 552 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/393-441-42: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.1 P441 L 44 MyBallot # 551 JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/392-441-44: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.10 P446 L 42 MyBallot # 569 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor ER DVJ1/410-446-42: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.10 P446 **L**5 MyBallot # 570 JAMES, DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/411-446-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.10 P447 L 29 MyBallot # 572 JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ1/413-447-29: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.10 P447 L4 MyBallot # 573

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/414-447-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 69 of 319 C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.10

C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.10 P 447 L 42 MyBallot # 571 Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.17 P448 MyBallot # 574 L 31 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/412-447-42: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/415-448-31: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Response Status W Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.15 P448 L4 MyBallot # 576 C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.18 P449 L 26 MyBallot # 577 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER DVJ1/417-448-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/418-449-26: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.16 P448 L 17 MyBallot # 575 C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.18 P449 L4 MyBallot # 578 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/416-448-17: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/419-449-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.2 P442 L 18 MyBallot # 556 Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.2 P442 L 36 MyBallot # 553 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/397-442-18: Confusing table rows. DVJ1/394-442-36: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #353. See MyBallot comment #353. C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.2 P442 L 30 MyBallot # 555 C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.2 P442 L5 MyBallot # 557 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ1/396-442-30: Confusing table rows. DVJ1/398-442-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #353. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 17 C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.2 P442 L 34 MyBallot # 554 SC 17.5.6.20 P450 L5 MyBallot # 581 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/395-442-34: Confusing table rows. DVJ1/422-450-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #353. See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.21 P450 MyBallot # 580 Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.24 P451 MyBallot # 584 L 33 L14 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/421-450-33: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/425-451-14: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Response Status W Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.21 P450 L 47 MyBallot # 579 C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.24 P451 L 27 MyBallot # 583 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER DVJ1/420-450-47: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/424-451-27: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.23 P 451 L4 MyBallot # 585 C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.24 P451 L 41 MyBallot # 582 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/426-451-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/423-451-41: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 72 of 319

C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.3 P443 L11 MyBallot # 560 Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.3 P443 L4 MyBallot # 562 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/401-443-11: Confusing table rows. DVJ1/403-443-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #353. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.3 P443 L14 MyBallot # 559 C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.4 P443 L 34 MyBallot # 561 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ1/400-443-14: Confusing table rows. DVJ1/402-443-34: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #353. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 17 C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.3 P443 L 24 MyBallot # 558 SC 17.5.6.5 P444 L 26 MyBallot # 563 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/399-443-24: Confusing table rows. DVJ1/404-444-26: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #353. See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.5 P444 L4 MyBallot # 565 Cl 17 SC 17.5.6.5 P445 L4 MyBallot # 568 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/406-444-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/409-445-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.5 P444 L7 MyBallot # 564 C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.5 P445 L 43 MyBallot # 566 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER DVJ1/405-444-7: Nonstandard confusing table. DVJ1/407-445-43: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows, as is done everywhere else. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 18 C/ 17 SC 17.5.6.5 P 445 L 25 MyBallot # 567 SC 18.3.2.1 P462 L 12 MyBallot # 587 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/408-445-25: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/428-462-12: Wrong font in figures. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Use 8-point Arial. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P462 L 42 MyBallot # 590 C/ 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P462 L7 MyBallot # 586 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/431-462-42: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent. DVJ1/427-462-7: Wrong font in figures. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy NO OUTPUT ==> NO OUTPUT and similar changes throughout. Use 8-point Arial. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #790. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P462 L 48 MyBallot # 588 C/ 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P463 L12 MyBallot # 592 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ1/429-462-48: Confusing capitalization DVJ1/433-463-12: Wrong font in figures. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ReceiveState Machine ==> Receive state machine Use 8-point Arial. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 18 C/ 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P462 L 49 MyBallot # 589 SC 18.3.2.1 P463 L 15 MyBallot # 593 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/430-462-49: Confusing capitalization DVJ1/434-463-15: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Transmit State Machine ==> Transmit state machine OUTPUT DETECTED ==> OUTPUT DETECTED and similar changes Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #790.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P463 L 28 MyBallot # 594 C/ 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P464 **L3** MyBallot # 596 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status R ER DVJ1/435-463-28: Wrong font in figures. DVJ1/437-464-3: Inconsistent terminology SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial. Power On ==> PowerOn Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #313. C/ 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P463 L4 MyBallot # 591 C/ 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P465 **L1** MyBallot # 599 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ1/432-463-4: Inconsistent terminology DVJ1/440-465-1: Inconsistent terminology SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Power On ==> PowerOn Power On ==> PowerOn Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #313. See MyBallot comment #313. C/ 18 C/ 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P464 L 13 MyBallot # 595 SC 18.3.2.1 P465 L 15 MyBallot # 597 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/436-464-13: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are DVJ1/438-465-15: Wrong font in figures. ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial. NON-JABBER OUTPUT ==> NON JABBER OUTPUT and similar changes throughout. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #790.

C/ 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P465 **L3** MyBallot # 598 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/439-465-3: Inconsistent terminology

SuggestedRemedy

Power On ==> PowerOn

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #313.

C/ 18 SC 18.3.2.1 P465 L7 MyBallot # 600

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type ER

DVJ1/441-465-7: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

LINK TEST PASS ==> LINK\_TEST\_PASS and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

C/ 18 SC 18.4 P466 MyBallot # 603 L 10

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor ER DVJ1/444-466-10: Misleading capitalization errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Bit Loss ==> Bit loss Invalid Bits ==> Invalid bits Steady-State Prop. Delay==>Steady-state prop. delay

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 18 SC 18.4 P466 MyBallot # 604 L12 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type DVJ1/445-466-12: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 18 SC 18.4 P466 L12 MyBallot # 602

JAMES. DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/443-466-12: Confusing headers

SuggestedRemedy

Separate Max and Var headers (unstraddle these two cells)

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 18 SC 18.4 P466 L18 MyBallot # 601

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/442-466-18: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comments #871.

C/ 18 SC 18.4 P467 L 1 MyBallot # 606 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R ER

DVJ1/447-467-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

Protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma for Clause 18, Fiber optic medium attachment unit, type 10BASE-FL ==> Protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma for Clause 18

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

C/ 18 SC 18.5.1 P467 L12 MyBallot # 605

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ1/446-467-12: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SugaestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 18 SC 18.5.4.2 P471 L 33 MyBallot # 608

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R ER

DVJ1/449-471-33: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 18 SC 18.5.4.2 P471

MyBallot # 607

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ1/448-471-34: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1900.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.15 P477

L 21

L 34

MyBallot # 630

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/471-477-21: Confusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MvBallot comment #353.

C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.15 P477

L32

MyBallot # 629

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/470-477-32: Confusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

See MvBallot comment #353.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 78 of 319 C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.15

C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.15 P 477 L5 MyBallot # 631 C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.16 P479 MyBallot # 634 L 32 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/472-477-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/475-479-32: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Response Status W Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.16 P478 L 27 MyBallot # 633 C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.16 P479 L8 MyBallot # 635 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER DVJ1/474-478-27: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/476-479-8: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 18 C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.16 P478 L6 MyBallot # 632 SC 18.5.6.2 P472 L 20 MyBallot # 612 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/473-478-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/453-472-20: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 79 of 319

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.2

C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.2 P472 MyBallot # 611 C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.2 P472 L 5 MyBallot # 613 L 29 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status A ER DVJ1/452-472-29: Confusing table rows. DVJ1/454-472-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #353. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.2 P472 L 33 MyBallot # 610 C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.20 P480 **L6** MyBallot # 641 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ1/451-472-33: Confusing table rows. DVJ1/482-480-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #353. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 18 C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.2 P472 L 38 MyBallot # 609 SC 18.5.6.21 P480 L16 MyBallot # 636 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/450-472-38: Confusing table rows. DVJ1/477-480-16: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all ""Item"" column cells. Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #353. See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.21 P480 MyBallot # 637 C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.22 P480 MyBallot # 638 L 17 L 49 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/478-480-17: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/479-480-49: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Response Status W Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.21 P480 L 20 MyBallot # 640 C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.23 P481 **L6** MyBallot # 644 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER DVJ1/481-480-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/485-481-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 18 C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.21 P480 L 29 MyBallot # 639 SC 18.5.6.24 P481 L33 MyBallot # 643 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/480-480-29: Confusing table rows. DVJ1/484-481-33: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.25 P481 L 40 MyBallot # 642 C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.3 P473 L 11 MyBallot # 618 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/483-481-40: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/459-473-11: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Put lines between rows. Response Status W Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #353. C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.26 P482 L19 MyBallot # 645 C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.3 P473 L 13 MyBallot # 617 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ1/486-482-19: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/458-473-13: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #353. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 18 C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.26 P482 L5 MyBallot # 646 SC 18.5.6.3 P473 L 22 MyBallot # 616 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/487-482-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/457-473-22: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.3 P473 **L6** MyBallot # 614 C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.6 P474 MyBallot # 621 L 23 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/455-473-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/462-474-23: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Response Status W Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.4 P473 L 34 MyBallot # 615 C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.7 P474 L 32 MyBallot # 620 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER DVJ1/456-473-34: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/461-474-32: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.5 P474 L6 MyBallot # 622 C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.8 P474 L 44 MyBallot # 619 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/463-474-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/460-474-44: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 83 of 319

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.8

C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.8 P475 MyBallot # 624 C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.8 P476 MyBallot # 627 L 30 L 26 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/465-475-30: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/468-476-26: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Response Status W Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.8 P475 L 45 MyBallot # 623 C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.8 P476 L4 MyBallot # 628 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER DVJ1/464-475-45: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/469-476-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.8 P475 L5 MyBallot # 625 C/ 18 SC 18.5.6.8 P476 L 41 MyBallot # 626 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/466-475-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/467-476-41: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 84 of 319

Cl 18 SC 18.5.6.8

C/ 19 SC 19.1.5 P484 MyBallot # 650 Cl 19 SC 19.1.5 P484 MyBallot # 649 L 16 L 19 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Status A DVJ1/491-484-16: Not proper noun DVJ1/490-484-19: Not a proper noun, I think. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Performing Management Operations ==> Performing management operations Notifications Emitted ==> Notifications emitted Response Status W Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 19 SC 19.1.5 P484 L 17 MyBallot # 651 C/ 19 SC 19.1.5 P484 L 21 MyBallot # 648 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/492-484-17: Not proper noun DVJ1/489-484-21: Not a proper noun, I think. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Management Object ==> Management object Managed Objects ==> Managed objects Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 19 SC 19.1.5 P484 L17 MyBallot # 647 Cl 19 SC 19.2.2.2 P486 L 34 MyBallot # 652 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/488-484-17: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/493-486-34: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all ""Item"" column cells. Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Proposed Response Response Status W Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 19 SC 19.2.2.2 P489 **L** 5 MyBallot # 653 C/ 20 SC 20.2.2.3.1 P507 L 36 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ1/494-489-5: Inconsistent fonts reference, since normative code is written in Pascal. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use same font and size for all cells in this table. Proposed Response Response Status W Pascal code in this specification to conform to this reference. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 20 SC 20.2.1.3 P 503 L3 MyBallot # 654 JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/495-503-3: Inconsistent fonts Cl 20 SC 20.2.2.3.1 P507 **L8** SuggestedRemedy JAMES, DAVID V Use same font and size for all cells in this table. Comment Status A Comment Type Proposed Response Response Status W DVJ1/497-507-8: Annex A title is incorrect. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy Additional reference material ==> Bibliography See MvBallot comment #1900. Proposed Response Response Status W Cl 20 SC 20.2.1.3 P503 L9 MyBallot # 655 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R See MyBallot comment #1900. DVJ1/496-503-9: Confusing table rows. Cl 21 SC 21.1.1 P 2 17 SuggestedRemedy JAMES, DAVID V Put lines between rows and columns. Comment Type Comment Status A Proposed Response Response Status W DVJ2/1-2-7: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure. REJECT. SuggestedRemedy Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.

As noted at the beginning of the clause, this clause is deprecated by Clause 30. Consequently, changes to the clause will only make them less familiar to the users of the clause that created and use those legacy implementations.

In addition, the committee consensus is that risks of introducing errors when making the proposed change to a stable part of the standard outweigh any benefits.

MyBallot # 657

DVJ1/498-507-36: The Pascal programming language is irrelevant unless its a normative

Either: 1) Remove this reference. 2) Make this a reference, listed up front, and modify

The ability of hundreds of implementers to independently build interoperable MACs with hundreds of millions of installed product demonstrates that the reference used is not a problem. Modifying the Pascal at this point in the maturity of Ethernet would be highly confusing to the entire community and unacceptable probability of introducing errors.

MyBallot # 656

IEEE-SA Editor

MvBallot # 683

IEEE-SA Editor

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 21 SC 21.5.1 P3L 43 MyBallot # 684 Cl 21 SC 21.6.1 P4 L 51 MyBallot # 685 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Status A DVJ2/2-3-43: This looks like typos. DVJ2/3-4-51: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" SuggestedRemedy and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement" .indication ==> ".indication" .request ==> ".request" (here and elsewhere) SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and REJECT. "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33. The consensus of the committee is that this is not a typo. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 21 SC 21.5.3 P 4 L 46 MyBallot # 686 JAMES. DAVID V See MyBallot comment #1900. Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor P**9** CI 22 SC 22.1 L 22 MyBallot # 688 DVJ2/4-4-46: Inconsistent pagination. The PICS convention is to start on a new page, so the JAMES. DAVID V PICS can be copied w/o copyright problems. Comment Type ER Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor SuggestedRemedy DVJ2/6-9-22: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure. Page break before 21.6 SugaestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W See MyBallot comment #1900. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 21 SC 21.5.3 P4 MyBallot # 687 L 46 See MyBallot comment #1900. JAMES, DAVID V CI 22 SC 22.1 P9Comment Type Comment Status A L 40 MyBallot # 689 IEEE-SA Editor JAMES, DAVID V DVJ2/5-4-46: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" Comment Type ER Comment Status R and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement" DVJ2/7-9-40: Inconsistent with Clause 3 title. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Media Access Control ==> media access control Section 1, page 444, line 33. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MvBallot comment #200.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

SC 22.2.3 Cl 22 SC 22.2.3 P 21 L 26 MyBallot # 696 Cl 22 P 21 MyBallot # 695 L 37 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status R ER DVJ2/14-21-26: Wrong font in figures. DVJ2/13-21-37: Very very confusing. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial. Flip the octet so that the LSB is on the right. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1900. This diagram has not present a problem in the past and bits are clearly labeled. It is consensus of the committee that not only would it be more confusing to user of the Cl 22 SC 22.2.3 P 21 L 36 MyBallot # 693 specification to change this it would also run a high risk of introducing errors. JAMES. DAVID V Cl 22 SC 22.2.3 P 21 L 40 MyBallot # 692 IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A JAMES, DAVID V DVJ2/11-21-36: Misleading capitalization errors. Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor SuggestedRemedy DVJ2/10-21-40: Misleading capitalization errors. First Bit ==> First bit SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Second Nibble ==> Second nibble ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 22 SC 22.2.3 P 21 L36 MyBallot # 694 See MyBallot comment #1900. JAMES, DAVID V CI 22 SC 22.2.3 P 21 L 40 MvBallot # 691 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor JAMES. DAVID V DVJ2/12-21-36: Misleading capitalization errors. IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A Comment Type ER SuggestedRemedy DVJ2/9-21-40: Misleading capitalization errors. MAC's Serial Bit Stream ==> MAC's serial bit stream SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W First nibble ==> First nibble ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.3 P 21 L 45 MyBallot # 690 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/8-21-45: Misleading capitalization errors. SuggestedRemedy MII Nibble Stream ==> MII nibble stream Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 22 SC 22.2.4 P 24 L 28 MyBallot # 698 JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/16-24-28: Funky table SuggestedRemedy Use two rows in the header, so MII and GMII can have separate headers. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. Cl 22 SC 22.2.4 P 24 L 29 MyBallot # 697 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ2/15-24-29: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P25 L10 MyBallot # 699

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/17-25-10: Very confusing pseudo column.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Make a separate column for 0,1 values. 2) Always place 0 first, for consistency with natural counting order.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #740.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P25 L10 MyBallot # 711

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/29-25-10: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as SC).

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P25 L12 MyBallot # 710

JAMES, DAVID V

SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows.

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #739.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 89 of 319

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P 25 L 15 MyBallot # 709 Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P 25 L 24 MyBallot # 706 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/27-25-15: Confusing table rows. DVJ2/24-25-24: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #739. See MyBallot comment #739. CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P 25 L19 MyBallot # 708 CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P 25 L 27 MyBallot # 705 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Status R Comment Type ER DVJ2/26-25-19: Confusing table rows. DVJ2/23-25-27: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #739. See MyBallot comment #739. CI 22 P**25** CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1 L 22 MyBallot # 707 SC 22.2.4.1 P 25 L 29 MyBallot # 704 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/25-25-22: Confusing table rows. DVJ2/22-25-29: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #739. See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P 25 MyBallot # 703 Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P 25 MyBallot # 700 L 31 L 42 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Status R ER DVJ2/21-25-31: Confusing table rows. DVJ2/18-25-42: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #739. See MyBallot comment #739. Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P 25 L 33 MyBallot # 702 CI 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P 29 L18 MyBallot # 725 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER DVJ2/20-25-33: Confusing table rows. DVJ2/43-29-18: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. Proposed Response Response Status W The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element REJECT. values (such as SC). Proposed Response Response Status W See MyBallot comment #739. REJECT. Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P 25 L38 MyBallot # 701 See MyBallot comment #1427. JAMES, DAVID V CI 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P 29 L18 MyBallot # 712 Comment Type ER Comment Status R JAMES, DAVID V DVJ2/19-25-38: Confusing table rows. Comment Type ER Comment Status R SuggestedRemedy DVJ2/30-29-18: Very confusing pseudo column. Put lines between rows. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W 1) Make a separate column for 0,1 values. 2) Always place 0 first, for consistency with REJECT. natural counting order. See MyBallot comment #739. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #740.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 91 of 319

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P 29 L 20 MyBallot # 724 Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P 29 L 27 MyBallot # 721 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/42-29-20: Confusing table rows. DVJ2/39-29-27: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #739. See MyBallot comment #739. CI 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P 29 L 23 MyBallot # 723 CI 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P 29 L 30 MyBallot # 720 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Status R Comment Type ER DVJ2/41-29-23: Confusing table rows. DVJ2/38-29-30: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #739. See MyBallot comment #739. CI 22 CI 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P 29 L 25 MyBallot # 722 SC 22.2.4.2 P 29 L 31 MyBallot # 719 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/40-29-25: Confusing table rows. DVJ2/37-29-31: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #739. See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P 29 L 34 MyBallot # 718 Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P 29 L 44 MyBallot # 715 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/36-29-34: Confusing table rows. DVJ2/33-29-44: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #739. See MyBallot comment #739. CI 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P 29 L 36 MyBallot # 717 CI 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P 29 L 49 MyBallot # 714 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Status R Comment Type ER DVJ2/35-29-36: Confusing table rows. DVJ2/32-29-49: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #739. See MyBallot comment #739. CI 22 CI 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P 29 L 39 MyBallot # 716 SC 22.2.4.2 P 29 L 51 MyBallot # 713 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/34-29-39: Confusing table rows. DVJ2/31-29-51: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #739. See MyBallot comment #739.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P30 L12 MyBallot # 726 Cl 22 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/44-30-12: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #739. CI 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P30 L5 MyBallot # 729 CI 22 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/47-30-5: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #739. Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P30 L7 MyBallot # 728 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Cl 22 DVJ2/46-30-7: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #739.

SC 22.2.4.2 P30 *L* 9 MyBallot # 727

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R ER

DVJ2/45-30-9: Confusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #739.

SC 22.2.4.3.1 P33 L2 MyBallot # 730

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ2/48-33-2: This subclause is \_very\_ confusing. The IEEE/RAC assigns hex digits, not bits. Bit order is confusing due to mixed endian approach.

SuggestedRemedy

Provide an example of how the IEEE/RAC assigned hex values map to this register. Examples are available in the maintenance requests submitted by this reviewer to this standard.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

We reference IEEE Std 802 which defines how the IEEE/RAC assigned hex values map to bits. With that information this figure is sufficient.

SC 22.2.4.3.11 P34 L 28 MyBallot # 733

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/51-34-28: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as SC).

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 P34 MyBallot # 732 L 28 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/50-34-28: Very confusing pseudo column. SuggestedRemedy 1) Make a separate column for 0,1 values. 2) Always place 0 first, for consistency with natural counting order. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #740. CI 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 P34 L 32 MyBallot # 731 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/49-34-32: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #739. CI 22 SC 22.2.4.3.12 P35 L 13 MyBallot # 734 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type ER DVJ2/52-35-13: The table number is missing. SuggestedRemedy Table 22- ==> Table 22-N, where N is the right number. Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT.

SC 22.2.4.3.12 Cl 22 P35 L 22 MyBallot # 735 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Type ER DVJ2/53-35-22: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as SC). Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. CI 22 SC 22.2.4.4.1 P36 MvBallot # 737 L 10 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/55-36-10: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #739. CI 22 SC 22.2.4.4.1 P36 L 12 MyBallot # 736 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/54-36-12: Confusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #739.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 95 of 319 CI 22 SC 22.2.4.4.1

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.4.1 P36 L3 MyBallot # 741 Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.4.1 P36 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/59-36-3: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ2/56-36-8: Confusing table rows. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. Proposed Response Response Status W The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as SC). REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status W See MyBallot comment #739. REJECT. CI 22 SC 22.2.4.5.1 P37 See MyBallot comment #1427. JAMES. DAVID V CI 22 SC 22.2.4.4.1 P36 L4 MvBallot # 740 Comment Type ER Comment Status A JAMES, DAVID V DVJ2/60-37-2: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. Comment Type ER Comment Status R SuggestedRemedy DVJ2/58-36-4: Very confusing pseudo column. Center all columns. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W 1) Make a separate column for 0.1 values. 2) Always place 0 first, for consistency with ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE natural counting order. See MyBallot comment #1900. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. Cl 22 SC 22.4.4.2 P43 JAMES, DAVID V The current format is believed to best convey the desired information. The description of the bit(s) may be a simple 0 or 1, or as the case with Table 22-10, a multibit field, or as the case Comment Type ER Comment Status R with Table 22-7, a disjoint multibit field. 0 and 1 columns don't work well for these multibit DVJ2/61-43-6: These blank cells look like errors. fields. SuggestedRemedy CI 22 SC 22.2.4.4.1 P36 L5 MvBallot # 739 Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is JAMES. DAVID V too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle the rows. Comment Type ER Comment Status R Proposed Response Response Status W DVJ2/57-36-5: Confusing table rows.

REJECT.

See MvBallot comment #246.

The current format is believed to best convey the desired information.

Response Status W

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

REJECT.

Put lines between rows.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 96 of 319

MyBallot # 738

MyBallot # 742

MyBallot # 743

IEEE-SA Editor

**L8** 

L2

**L6** 

Cl 22 SC 22.4.4.2

Cl 22 SC 22.6.5 P48 **L8** MyBallot # 745 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/63-48-8: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Contact" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 22 SC 22.6.5 P48 L9 MyBallot # 744 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor DVJ2/62-48-9: Very confusing table, with duplicate/contradictory columns. SuggestedRemedy Make this look like two adjacent tables, but putting a narrow column in the center and making its lines clear. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT. CI 22 SC 22.6.5 P49 L 1 MyBallot # 748 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ2/66-49-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement" SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1. page 444. line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.6.5 P49 L1 MyBallot # 747

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/65-49-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 22, ... ==> ... Clause 22

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.1 P49 L7 MyBallot # 746

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/64-49-7: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.2.3 P50 L4 MyBallot # 749

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/67-50-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 97 of 319

Cl 22 SC 22.7.2.3

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.1 P51 L7 MyBallot # 754 Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.2 P51 MyBallot # 756 L14 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor ER Comment Status A DVJ2/72-51-7: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ2/74-51-14: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.1 P51 L7 MyBallot # 755 See MyBallot comment #1900. JAMES. DAVID V CI 22 SC 22.7.3.2 P51 L 15 MyBallot # 750 Comment Type IEEE-SA Editor ER Comment Status A JAMES, DAVID V DVJ2/73-51-7: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER "Comment". DVJ2/68-51-15: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 22 SC 22.7.3.1 P51 **L8** MyBallot # 753 CI 22 SC 22.7.3.2 P51 L 17 MyBallot # 752 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor DVJ2/71-51-8: These blank cells look like errors. DVJ2/70-51-17: These blank cells look like errors. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. too difficult to generate. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #766.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #766.

Page 98 of 319

CI 22 SC 22.7.3.2

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.2 P51 MyBallot # 751 Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P53 MyBallot # 757 L 54 L 28 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type ER ER Comment Status A DVJ2/69-51-54: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages. DVJ2/75-53-28: These blank cells look like errors. which is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC too difficult to generate. Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #766. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P53 L 53 MyBallot # 759 CI 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P53 L 25 MyBallot # 762 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ2/77-53-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages. DVJ2/80-53-25: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of which is incorrect. "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. P53 L6 CI 22 SC 22.7.3.3 MvBallot # 761 CI 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P53 L 26 MyBallot # 760 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ2/79-53-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ2/78-53-26: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 99 of 319

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P53 L8 MyBallot # 758

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
DVJ2/76-53-8: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #766

CI 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P55 L38 MyBallot # 764

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor DVJ2/82-55-38: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #766.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P55 L51 MyBallot # 765

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/83-55-51: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3

P **55** 

MyBallot # 763

**L8** 

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

DVJ2/81-55-8: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

JAMES, DAVID V

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #766.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P56 L11 MyBallot # 768

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
DVJ2/86-56-11: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #766.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P56 L20 MyBallot # 767

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
DVJ2/85-56-20: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #766.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P56 MyBallot # 766 L 43 JAMES, DAVID V

IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/84-56-43: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The format for PICS tables has changed during the decades in which IEEE Std 802.3 has been used. As pointed out in various comments, PICS formats vary within this document, including column headings, column order and PICS item numbering. Consideration must be given to both the legacy users of the document and future users of the document. Completed PICS may also be used in contracts.

The IEEE P802.3REVam task force believes conversion of older PICs tables to current format would create too high a probability of introducing errors in very stable portions of the standard and make the material less familiar to legacy users. The support column however will be made internally consistent.

For the Value/Comment column the cell entry is empty because there is no value or comment necessary. The committee believes this is obvious to the reader and that the addition of extra characters decreases readability.

CI 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P56 MyBallot # 769 L 44

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/87-56-44: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen, 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P57 L16

JAMES, DAVID V

IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ2/89-57-16: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred), 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #766.

CI 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P57 L 28 MyBallot # 770

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor DVJ2/88-57-28: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #766.

CI 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P 57 L4 MvBallot # 773

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor DVJ2/91-57-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 101 of 319 Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3

MyBallot # 771

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.3 P 57 **L** 5 MyBallot # 772 Cl 22 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type IEEE P802.3REVam Editor ER Comment Status A DVJ2/90-57-5: These blank cells look like errors. SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #766 CI 22 SC 22.7.3.5 P58 / 10 MyBallot # 778 CI 22 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor DVJ2/96-58-10: These blank cells look like errors. SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #766. CI 22 SC 22.7.3.5 P58 L5 MvBallot # 777 CI 22 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ2/95-58-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

SC 22.7.3.5 P58 MyBallot # 779 L7 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type IEEE P802.3REVam Editor ER Comment Status A DVJ2/97-58-7: These blank cells look like errors. SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #766. SC 22.7.3.6 P58 L 25 MyBallot # 780 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor DVJ2/98-58-25: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. P58 L 27 SC 22.7.3.6 MvBallot # 776 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ2/94-58-27: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 102 of 319

CI 22 SC 22.7.3.6

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.6 P58 MyBallot # 774 L 27 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Status A

DVJ2/92-58-27: These blank "Support" cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #766.

CI 22 SC 22.7.3.6 P58 L 29 MyBallot # 775

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor DVJ2/93-58-29: These blank row cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #766.

P**59** CI 22 SC 22.7.3.6 L3 MyBallot # 781

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/99-59-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.7

P60

MyBallot # 783

IEEE-SA Editor

L4

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/101-60-4: Misleading capitalization errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 22 SC 22.7.3.7 P60 L5 MyBallot # 782

JAMES. DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/100-60-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.7 P60 L6 MyBallot # 784

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor DVJ2/102-60-6: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #766.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.8 P60 MyBallot # 785 Cl 23 SC 23.11.3 P120 MyBallot # 804 L 28 L11 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/103-60-28: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ2/122-120-11: These blank cells look like errors. of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are too difficult to generate. not Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1031. See MvBallot comment #1900. CI 23 SC 23.11.3 P126 L 33 MyBallot # 806 CI 23 SC 23.1.4 P62 L 10 MyBallot # 786 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ2/124-126-33: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic DVJ2/104-62-10: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure. formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures. ... Clause 23. ... ==> ... Clause 23 Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #233. Cl 23 SC 23.1.4.1 P63 L 10 MyBallot # 787 Cl 23 SC 23.11.3 P126 L 33 MyBallot # 807 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ2/105-63-10: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not DVJ2/125-126-33: Inconsistent pagination. The PICS convention is to start on a new page, both simultaneously. so the PICS can be copied w/o copyright problems. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS Page break before 21.6 Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 104 of 319

Cl 23 SC 23.11.3

Cl 23 SC 23.11.3 P126 MyBallot # 808 L 33 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER

DVJ2/126-126-33: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

#### SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.1 P126 L 41 MyBallot # 805

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ2/123-126-41: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

#### SugaestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.3 P128

MyBallot # 813

L4

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/131-128-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

#### SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.3 P128

**L8** 

MyBallot # 812

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type DVJ2/130-128-8: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

P128 CI 23 SC 23.12.4 L 31 MvBallot # 814

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A

IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ2/132-128-31: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 105 of 319

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4 P128 L 32 MyBallot # 811

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/129-128-32: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4 P128 L 39 MyBallot # 815

JAMES, DAVID V

JAIVIES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/133-128-39: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4 P128 L 39 MyBallot # 809

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/127-128-39: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 23 SC 23.12.4

P128

L 41 MyBallot # 810

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/128-128-41: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status **W** REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.10 P133 L7 MyBallot # 834

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/152-133-7: These Support column blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.10 P133 L7 MyBallot # 837

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/155-133-7: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.11 P133 MyBallot # 836 L 44 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A DVJ2/154-133-44: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.11 P133 L 44 MyBallot # 835 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/153-133-44: These Support column blank cells look like errors. SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #353. Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.11 P133 MyBallot # 838 L 44 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DV 12/156 133 44: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't holiove this is a distinct kind

 $\label{eq:DVJ2/156-133-44:Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".$ 

Suggested Remedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.12 P134 L5 MyBallot # 840

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/158-134-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.13 P134 L 24 MyBallot # 841

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor eve this is a distinct kind

DVJ2/159-134-24: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.13 P134 L26 MyBallot # 839

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/157-134-26: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.13 P137 MyBallot # 844 Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.13 P138 L7 MyBallot # 845 L 28 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ2/162-137-28: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ2/163-138-7: These Support column blank cells look like errors. of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are too difficult to generate. not. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #353. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 23 SC 23.12.4.14 P138 L 33 MyBallot # 848 CI 23 SC 23.12.4.13 P137 L 30 MyBallot # 843 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ2/166-138-33: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ2/161-137-30; Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. MyBallot # 842 Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.13 P137 18 CI 23 P138 L 35 SC 23.12.4.14 MvBallot # 847 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ2/160-137-8: These Support column blank cells look like errors. DVJ2/165-138-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is Center all "Item" column cells. too difficult to generate. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Page 108 of 319 SC 23.12.4.14

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.14 P138 L38 MyBallot # 846

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/164-138-38: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1031.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.16 P139 L11 MyBallot # 849

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/167-139-11: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1031.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.16 P139 L4 MyBallot # 851

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/169-139-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 23 SC 23.12.4.16

P139

L 6 MyBallot # 850

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/168-139-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.17 P140 L31 MyBallot # 852

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/170-140-31: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1031.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.17 P140 L4 MyBallot # 854

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/172-140-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.17 P140 **L6** MyBallot # 853 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A DVJ2/171-140-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.3 P129 L4 MyBallot # 819 JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/137-129-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.3 P129 L6 MyBallot # 820 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/138-129-6: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1031.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.4 P129 L32 MyBallot # 821

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/139-129-32: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.4 P129 L35 MyBallot # 817

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/135-129-35: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status **W** REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1031.

C/ 23 SC 23.12.4.4 P129 L35 MyBallot # 818

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/136-129-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 110 of 319

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.4

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.4 P129 MyBallot # 816 Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.4 P130 MvBallot # 822 L 53 L 18 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/134-129-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, DVJ2/140-130-18: These Support column blank cells look like errors. which is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC too difficult to generate. Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #353. See MvBallot comment #1900. CI 23 SC 23.12.4.6 P131 14 MyBallot # 825 CI 23 SC 23.12.4.4 P130 L18 MyBallot # 824 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ2/143-131-4: These Support column blank cells look like errors. DVJ2/142-130-18: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind SuggestedRemedy of "Comment". Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is SuggestedRemedy too difficult to generate. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #353. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.6 P131 17 MyBallot # 826 JAMES, DAVID V CI 23 SC 23.12.4.4 P130 L18 MyBallot # 823 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor JAMES, DAVID V DVJ2/144-131-7: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER SuggestedRemedy DVJ2/141-130-18: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. Center all "Item" column cells. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 111 of 319

C/ 23 SC 23.12.4.6

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.7 P131 MyBallot # 827 L 38 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ2/145-131-38: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind

of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 23 SC 23.12.4.7 P132 L4 MyBallot # 832 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ2/150-132-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Center all "Item" column cells.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.7 P132 L 46 MyBallot # 833

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/151-132-46: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.7 P132 MyBallot # 829 L 47 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ2/147-132-47: These Support column blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

CI 23 SC 23.12.4.7 P132 1 47 MyBallot # 830

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ2/148-132-47: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

SC 23.12.4.7 P132 **L** 5 MyBallot # 828 Cl 23

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ2/146-132-5: These Support column blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

Cl 23 SC 23.12.4.8 P132 L 20 MyBallot # 831

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/149-132-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.2.1.1 P66 L12 MyBallot # 788

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/106-66-12: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.2.1.6 P70 L12 MyBallot # 789

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/107-70-12: Confusing capitalization; implying these are special proper nouns, not simply a "meaning".

SuggestedRemedy

Capitalize only first word in Meaning column.

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1911.

Cl 23 SC 23.2.4.5

P**79** 

MyBallot # 790

L12

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/108-79-12: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

COLLECT\_NIBBLE 6N+5 ==> COLLECT\_NIBBLE\_6N\_PLUS\_5 and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The standard is in wide use with many interoperable implementations, and the current style has not been a detriment to interoperable implementation. Signal and state names have been used in many different design systems and there is no reason to favor the syntax requirements of a single programming language (i.e., C). The variable/signal naming is consistent with the state machine formats described within the standard (see Clause 1.2.1). Also, the committee has an obligation to both past and current users of the standard. In this case, the signal and state names are likely to have been used in product documentation, and a change would be a disservice to users of the current standard.

C/ 23 SC 23.2.4.5

P**80** 

L8

MyBallot # 791

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/109-80-8: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

AWAITING INPUT ==> AWAITING INPUT and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

SC 23.2.4.5

Cl 23

Cl 23 SC 23.2.4.5 P81 MyBallot # 792 L12 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ2/110-81-12: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are

ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

PCS ERROR ==> PCS ERROR and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 23 SC 23.4.1 P87 17 MyBallot # 793

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ2/111-87-7: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not

both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 23 SC 23.5.1.2.2 P99 L 54 MvBallot # 794

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/112-99-54: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 23 SC 23.5.1.2.3 P101

MvBallot # 795 L 13

Comment Status A

IEEE-SA editor

DVJ2/113-101-13: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900

CI 23 SC 23.5.1.2.4

L 29 MyBallot # 796

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/114-102-29: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

P102

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether.

P102

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 23 SC 23.5.1.2.4

L7 MyBallot # 797

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type ER

IEEE-SA Editor DVJ2/115-102-7: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not

both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 114 of 319

Cl 23 SC 23.5.1.2.4

SC 23.5.1.2.4 Cl 23 P103 MvBallot # 798 Cl 23 P105 MvBallot # 801 L6 SC 23.5.1.2.9 L 13 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ2/116-103-6: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not DVJ2/119-105-13: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously. both simultaneously. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900 CI 23 SC 23.5.1.2.7 P104 L 19 MyBallot # 800 CI 23 SC 23.5.1.3.4 P107 L 35 MyBallot # 802 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type DVJ2/118-104-19: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not DVJ2/120-107-35: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously. both simultaneously. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900 CI 23 SC 23.5.1.2.7 P104 L 29 MyBallot # 799 CI 23 SC 23.5.1.3.4 P108 L 11 MyBallot # 803 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Type ER DVJ2/117-104-29: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not DVJ2/121-108-11: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously. both simultaneously. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 115 of 319

Cl 23 SC 23.5.1.3.4

Cl 24 SC 24.1.3 P142 L9 MyBallot # 855

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/173-142-9: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.

SuggestedRemedy

Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.

Proposed Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 24 SC 24.1.7 P144 L33 MyBallot # 856

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/174-144-33: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 24 SC 24.1.7 P144 L8 MyBallot # 857

JAMES. DAVID V

- - /

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/175-144-8: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 24 SC 24.1.7

P 145

MyBallot # 859

L 15

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/177-145-15: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 24 SC 24.1.7 P145 L8 MyBallot # 858

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor
d Mixed Caps, but not

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{DVJ2/176\text{-}145\text{-}8}}$  : The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether.

Proposed Response Status **W** 

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **24** SC **24.2.4.1** P**155** L **17** MyBallot # 860

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/178-155-17: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

OUTPUT 2 ==> OUTPUT 2 or OUTPUT2 and similar changes

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

IEEE P802.3REVam I

Cl 24 SC 24.2.4.2 P156 L13 MyBallot # 861

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/179-156-13: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

START STREAM J ==> START\_STREAM\_J and similar changes

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 24 SC 24.2.4.4.4 P158 L 22 MyBallot # 862

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/180-158-22: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

ALIGNED 1 ==> ALIGNED 1 or ALIGNED1 and similar throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 24 SC 24.2.4.4.4 P159 L15 MyBallot # 863

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/181-159-15: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

BAD SSD ==> BAD\_SSD and similar throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 24 SC 24.2.4.4.4

P160

L23 MyBallot # 864

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/182-160-23: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

CARRIER SENSE OFF ==> CARRIER SENSE OFF and similar throughout.

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 24 SC 24.3.4.4 P169 L15 MyBallot # 865

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/183-169-15: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

RECEIVE NEXT BIT ==> RECEIVE NEXT BIT and similar throughout.

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1911.

Cl 24 SC 24.3.4.4 P170 L19 MyBallot # 866

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/184-170-19: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

LINK\_READY ==> LINK\_READY and similar throughout.

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1911.

Cl **24** SC **24.3.4.6** P**171** L**10** MyBallot # [867]

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/185-171-10: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

CHECK SIGNAL DETECT ==> CHECK SIGNAL DETECT and similar throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1911.

Cl 24 SC 24.4.1 P172 L14 MyBallot # 868

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/186-172-14: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

GET BIT ==> GET\_BIT and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Status **W** REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1911.

Cl 24 SC 24.6.2 P175 L32 MyBallot # 872

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor DVJ2/190-175-32: These blank 1st column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Merge left column data entries so it's a single cell.

Cl 24 SC 24.6.2

P175

MyBallot # 871

L 34

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/189-175-34: These blank Min column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

This entry in the table is empty because there is no value necessary. This is common practice in the industry for datasheets. The committee believes this is obvious to the reader and that the addition of extra characters decreases readability.

Cl 24 SC 24.6.2 P175 L41 MyBallot # 870

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

DVJ2/188-175-41: These blank 1st column cells look like errors

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Merge left column data entries so it's a single cell.

Cl 24 SC 24.6.2 P175 L41 MyBallot # 869

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/187-175-41: These blank "Min" column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #871.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 118 of 319

Cl 24

SC 24.6.2

Cl 24 SC 24.6.2 P175 L8 MyBallot # 874

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

DVJ2/192-175-8: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Merge left column data entries so it's a single cell.

Cl 24 SC 24.6.2 P175 L8 MyBallot # 873

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

DVJ2/191-175-8: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Merge left column data entries so it's a single cell.

Cl 24 SC 24.7 P177 L1 MyBallot # 878

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/196-177-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 24 SC 24.7

P177

L1 MyBallot # 877

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/195-177-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 24, ... ==> ... Clause 24

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 24 SC 24.7 P177 L1 MyBallot # 876

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/194-177-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments Cl 24 SC 24.8.1 P177 *L* 9 MyBallot # 875 Cl 24 SC 24.8.2.3 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Type ER DVJ2/193-177-9: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance SuggestedRemedy statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement" SuggestedRemedy Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and Proposed Response "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with REJECT Section 1, page 444, line 33. Proposed Response Response Status W See MyBallot comment #353. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Cl 24 SC 24.8.3 See MyBallot comment #1900. JAMES. DAVID V Cl 24 SC 24.8.2.3 P178 L4 MyBallot # 885 Comment Type JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor SuggestedRemedy DVJ2/203-178-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

Cl 24 SC 24.8.2.3 P178 L6 MvBallot # 884

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/202-178-6: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

DVJ2/204-178-31: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Comment Status R

DVJ2/201-178-7: These blank right column cells look like errors.

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

P178

L7

MyBallot # 883

Response Status W

P178 L 31 MyBallot # 882

Comment Status R

DVJ2/200-178-31: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

ER

Cl 24 SC 24.8.3 JAMES. DAVID V

P178

Comment Status A

L 31 MyBallot # 886

IEEE-SA Editor

SuggestedRemedy

of "Comment".

Comment Type

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 120 of 319 Cl 24 SC 24.8.3

Cl 24 SC 24.8.3 P178 MyBallot # 880 L 33 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/198-178-33: These blank right column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

CI 24 SC 24.8.3 P178 L 42 MyBallot # 881

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/199-178-42: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 24 SC 24.8.3 P178 L 42 MyBallot # 887

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA editor

DVJ2/205-178-42: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 24 SC 24.8.3 P178

MyBallot # 879 L 42

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ2/197-178-42: These blank right column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

CI 24 SC 24.8.3.3 P179

L 11 MyBallot # 889

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ2/207-179-11: These blank right column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 24 SC 24.8.3.3 P179

L 25 MyBallot # 890

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/208-179-25: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 121 of 319

Cl 24 SC 24.8.3.3

Cl 24 P179 MvBallot # 892 SC 24.8.3.3 L 26 JAMES, DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ2/210-179-26: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

CI 24 SC 24.8.3.3 P179 L 29 MyBallot # 888 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/206-179-29: These blank right column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

CI 24 SC 24.8.3.3 P179 19 MvBallot # 891

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/209-179-9: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

SC 25.3 Cl 25 P181 MvBallot # 893 L 53 JAMES, DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ2/211-181-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages.

SuggestedRemedy

which is incorrect.

1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900

CI 25 SC 25.4.10 P186 L 1 MyBallot # 895 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type ER

DVJ2/213-186-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 25, ... ==> ... Clause 25

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MvBallot comment #233.

Cl 25 P186 SC 25.4.10 L1 MvBallot # 896

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ2/214-186-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 25 SC 25.4.3 P183 MyBallot # 894 L 13

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/212-183-13: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 2) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1079.

Cl 25 SC 25.5.2.2 P187 / 18 MyBallot # 898

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/216-187-18: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 25 SC 25.5.2.2 P187 L18 MyBallot # 903

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/221-187-18: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 25 SC 25.5.2.2

P187

MvBallot # 904

L 27

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/222-187-27: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900

CI 25 SC 25.5.2.2 P187 L 28 MyBallot # 897

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type

DVJ2/215-187-28: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

CI 25 SC 25.5.2.2 P187 L 31 MvBallot # 900

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type

DVJ2/218-187-31: These blank last column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 25 SC 25.5.2.2 P187 L5 MyBallot # 899

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/217-187-5: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 25 SC 25.5.2.2 P187 L5 MyBallot # 902

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/220-187-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **25** SC **25.5.2.2** P**187** L**6** MyBallot # 901

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/219-187-6: These blank last column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 25 SC 25.5.4.3

P188

L4

MyBallot # 906

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/224-188-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900

Cl 25 SC 25.5.4.3 P188 L5 MyBallot # 905

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/223-188-5: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 26 SC 26.3 P189 L53 MyBallot # 907

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/225-189-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 124 of 319

Cl 26

SC 26.3

Cl 26 SC 26.4.2 P192 L 1 MvBallot # 908 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ2/226-192-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are

two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 26 SC 26.4.2 P192 L1 MyBallot # 909

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/227-192-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SugaestedRemedy

... Clause 25. ... ==> ... Clause 25

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MvBallot comment #233.

Cl 26 SC 26.5.3 P193 MyBallot # 911 L 24

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/229-193-24: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

SC 26.5.3 Cl 26

P193

MvBallot # 913 L 24

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/231-193-24: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900

CI 26 SC 26.5.3 P193

L 33 MyBallot # 914

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/232-193-33: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 26 SC 26.5.3 P193

L 34

MyBallot # 910

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R ER

DVJ2/228-193-34: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 125 of 319

SC 26.5.3

Cl 26

Cl 26 SC 26.5.3 P193 **L6** MyBallot # 912 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/230-193-6: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.1.1 P195 L 33 MyBallot # 915

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor DVJ2/233-195-33: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.

SuggestedRemedy

Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 27 SC 27.1.1 P195 MyBallot # 102 L 48 BROWN, BENJAMIN J

Comment Status R

Comment Type TR

Part 2: The removal of the heading on this figure "LAN CSMA/CD LAYERS" during working group ballot was incorrect. All the layers shown on the right hand side of this figure are indeed CSMA/CD lavers. They don't have to be laver 2 to be a CSMA/CD laver. CSMA/CD defines plenty within in layer 1. If it is the plural that is bothersome (because there is only 1 layer shown) then remove it.

SugaestedRemedy

Return the heading "LAN CSMA/CD LAYERS" to this figure.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

This heading is not present above the repeater in Clause 21 nor Clause 41 diagrams. The heading does not make sense without the stack of protocol layers.

Cl 27 SC 27.3.2.2 P 209

L3

MyBallot # 916

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

SuggestedRemedy

Power on ==> PowerOn

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #313.

DVJ2/234-209-3: Inconsistent.

CI 27 SC 27.3.2.2 P210

L 20

MyBallot # 917

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/235-210-20: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

FORCE ATTENTION ==> FORCE\_ATTENTION and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

CI 27 SC 27.3.2.2 P 211

L 21

MyBallot # 918

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/236-211-21: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

FORCE ATTENTION ==> FORCE\_ATTENTION

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 126 of 319 CI 27 SC 27.3.2.2

Cl 27 SC 27.3.2.2 P212 MyBallot # 919 L 23 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/237-212-23: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

REPEAT DATA ==> REPEAT\_DATA and similar changes

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

CI 27 SC 27.3.2.2 P213 L 2 MyBallot # 921

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ2/239-213-2: Inconsistent.

SuggestedRemedy

Choose between: BEGIN begin = true begin == TRUE (and possible others) and do

this everywhere.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

State machine variables are locally defined and in Clause 27 the following definition is provided:

The Interprocess flag controlling state diagram initialization values.

Values: true false

On examination of the referenced Clause (clause 27) it does appear to be consistently begin = true.

Cl 27 SC 27.3.2.2

P213

MyBallot # 920 L 43

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ2/238-213-43: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

NON-JABBER INPUT ==> NON JABBER INPUT and similar changes

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

CI 27 SC 27.3.2.2 P213

L5

MyBallot # 922

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ2/240-213-5: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

NO SOURCE DATA ==> NO SOURCE DATA and similar changes

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

CI 27 SC 27.3.2.2 P214

**L6** 

MyBallot # 923

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/241-214-6: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

CLEAR COUNTER ==> CLEAR\_COUNTER and similar changes

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 127 of 319 CI 27 SC 27.3.2.2

Cl 27 SC 27.3.2.2 P215 L7 MyBallot # 924

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/242-215-7: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

LINK UNSTABLE ==> LINK UNSTABLE and similar changes

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 27 SC 27.3.2.2 P216 L27 MyBallot # 925

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/243-216-27: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

REPEAT DATA ==> REPEAT\_DATA and similar changes

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 27 SC 27.6 P220 L1 MyBallot # 928

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/246-220-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 27 SC 27.6

P **220** 

L1 MyBallot # 927

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/245-220-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 27, ... ==> ... Clause 27

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.1 P220 L7 MyBallot # 926

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/244-220-7: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/249-221-5: These blank right column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 128 of 319

CI 27 SC 27.7.2.2

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.1 P 221 MyBallot # 932 L 30 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ2/250-221-30: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 27 SC 27.7.4.1 P 221 L 32 MyBallot # 930 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/248-221-32: These blank right column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

CI 27 SC 27.7.4.1 P 221 L 32 MvBallot # 929

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/247-221-32: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.10 P 227 L3 MyBallot # 955 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/273-227-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900

CI 27 SC 27.7.4.10 P 227 L4 MyBallot # 957 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type

DVJ2/275-227-4: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

CI 27 SC 27.7.4.11 P 227 L 34 MvBallot # 956

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ2/274-227-34: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.11 P 227 MyBallot # 958 L 34 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ2/276-227-34: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

CI 27 SC 27.7.4.12 P 228 L3 MyBallot # 960 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ2/278-228-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 27 SC 27.7.4.12 P 228 L4 MyBallot # 959

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type ER DVJ2/277-228-4: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.2 P 222 L3 MvBallot # 937 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type DVJ2/255-222-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900

CI 27 SC 27.7.4.2 P222 L4 MyBallot # 934 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type

DVJ2/252-222-4: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

L5 CI 27 SC 27.7.4.2 P222 MvBallot # 936 JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ2/254-222-5: These blank right column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.3 P222 L20 MyBallot # 938

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/256-222-20: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MvBallot comment #1900.

CI 27 SC 27.7.4.3 P222 L20 MyBallot # 933
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/251-222-20: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.3 P222 L22 MyBallot # 935

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/253-222-22: These blank right column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.4 P223 L3 MyBallot # 941

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/259-223-3: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.4 P223 L5 MyBallot # 943

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/261-223-5: These blank right column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Status **W** 

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.5 P223 L33 MyBallot # 940

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/258-223-33: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.5 P 223 MyBallot # 944 Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.5 P 224 MvBallot # 947 L 34 L 18 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type DVJ2/262-223-34: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ2/265-224-18: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900 CI 27 SC 27.7.4.5 P 223 L 36 MyBallot # 942 CI 27 SC 27.7.4.5 P 224 L 18 MyBallot # 945 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Type DVJ2/260-223-36: These blank right column cells look like errors. DVJ2/263-224-18: These blank Support column cells look like errors. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant. too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #353. See MyBallot comment #353. CI 27 SC 27.7.4.5 P 223 L 51 MvBallot # 939 CI 27 SC 27.7.4.5 P 224 **L3** MvBallot # 946 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor DVJ2/257-223-51: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, DVJ2/264-224-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind which is incorrect. of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html not. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 132 of 319

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.5

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.7 P225 L3 MyBallot # 950

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/268-225-3: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.7 P225 L36 MyBallot # 951

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/269-225-36: These blank right column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.8 P225 L41 MyBallot # 952

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/270-225-41: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.8

P 225

L **42** MyBallot # 949

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/267-225-42: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.8 P225 L 52 MyBallot # 948

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ2/266-225-52: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.8 P226 L29 MyBallot # 954

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ2/272-226-29: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 27 SC 27.7.4.8 P 226 L 30 MyBallot # 953 Cl 28 SC 28.2.1.1.2 P 234 MyBallot # 962 L 21 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/271-226-30: These blank Support column cells look like errors. DVJ2/280-234-21: Misleading capitalization errors. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is Clock Pulse ==> Clock pulse Data Pulse ==> Data pulse too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #353. Cl 28 SC 28.2.1.1.2 P 234 L3 MyBallot # 965 CI 28 SC 28.1.2 P 231 / 11 MyBallot # 961 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor DVJ2/283-234-3: Misleading capitalization errors. DVJ2/279-231-11: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Clock Pulses ==> Clock pulses Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 28 SC 28.2.1.1.2 P 234 L7 MyBallot # 964 CI 28 SC 28.2.1.1.2 P 234 L 12 MyBallot # 963 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DV.I2/281-234-12: Misleading capitalization errors

DVJ2/281-234-12: Misleading capitalization errors. SuggestedRemedy

Bit Position ==> Bit position Pulse Position ==> Pulse position

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

SuggestedRemedy
First Bit on Wire ==> First bit on wire

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

DVJ2/282-234-7: Misleading capitalization errors.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 134 of 319

Cl 28 SC 28.2.1.1.2

Cl 28 SC 28.2.4.1.3 P244 L3 MyBallot # 968

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/286-244-3: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (suc

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 28 SC 28.2.4.1.3 P244 L5 MyBallot # 967

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

DVJ2/285-244-5: There is no table footnote describing the meaning of the R/W entry, as provided elsewhere.

SuggestedRemedy

Provide such a footnote, for consistency and clarity.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT.

Cl 28 SC 28.2.4.1.4 P244 L42 MyBallot # 966

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/284-244-42: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

C/ 28 SC 28.2.4.1.4

P **245** 

MyBallot # 970

L3

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/288-245-3: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MvBallot comment #1427.

Cl 28 SC 28.2.4.1.5 P245 L31 MyBallot # 969

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/287-245-31: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MvBallot comment #1427.

Cl 28 SC 28.2.4.1.6 P247 L7 MyBallot # 971

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/289-247-7: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 135 of 319

Cl 28 SC 28.2.4.1.6

Cl 28 SC 28.3.1 P 258 MyBallot # 972 L 23 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/290-258-23: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #871.

CI 28 SC 28.3.4 P 260 L14 MyBallot # 973

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/291-260-14: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

TRANSMIT ABILITY ==> TRANSMIT ABILITY and similar changes

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 28 SC 28.3.4 P 261 L 13 MyBallot # 974

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/292-261-13: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

FLP PASS ==> FLP\_PASS and similar changes

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 28 SC 28.3.4 P 262 L 1 MyBallot # 975

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ2/293-262-1: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

TRANSMIT DISABLE ==> TRANSMIT DISABLE and similar changes

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

CI 28 SC 28.3.4 P 263 / 14 MyBallot # 976

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ2/294-263-14: State machine names should be single words, for clarity and consistency,

SuggestedRemedy

NLP TEST FAIL COUNT ==> NLP\_TEST\_FAIL\_COUNT and similar changes throughout

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

CI 28 SC 28.4 P 264 MyBallot # 979 **L1** 

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/297-264-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.5 P264 L3 MyBallot # 977

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/295-264-3: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 28, ... ==> ... Clause 28

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.1 P 264 L 9 MyBallot # 978

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ2/296-264-9: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.2.2 P265 L30 MyBallot # 980

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/298-265-30: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.2.2 JAMES. DAVID V P **265** 

L30 MyBallo

MyBallot # 982

) = 0.... 002

Comment Type ER (

ER Comment Status A

IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

DVJ2/300-265-30: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The format for PICS tables has changed during the decades in which IEEE Std 802.3 has been used. As pointed out in various comments, PICS formats vary within this document, including column headings, column order and PICS item numbering. Consideration must be given to both the legacy users of the document and future users of the document. Completed PICS may also be used in contracts.

The IEEE P802.3REVam task force believes conversion of older PICS tables to current format would create too high a probability of introducing errors in very stable portions of the standard and make the material less familiar to legacy users. The support column cells within the Clause however will be made consistent.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.2.2 P265 L5 MyBallot # 981

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/299-265-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.2.2 P265 L5 MyBallot # 983

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor DVJ2/301-265-5: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #982.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.10 P277 L13 MyBallot # 999

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
DVJ2/317-277-13: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #982.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.10 P277 L14 MyBallot # 1001

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/319-277-14: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.10 P278 L7 MyBallot # 1003

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

DVJ2/321-278-7: The comment on item #12 is useless, since the current OUI definitions are based on HEX. not counted bits.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Delete this but provide a cross-reference to a detailed def. 3) Explan this, using a hex-digit example, in text following the table.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

A cross reference to the related Figure 28C-1.

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/305-266-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
DVJ2/303-266-5: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #982.

DVJ2/304-266-25: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.3 P 266 L 25 MyBallot # 984

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor DVJ2/302-266-25: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status **W** ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #982.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.3 P 268 L 5 MyBallot # 988

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor DVJ2/306-268-5: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #982.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.3 P268 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

**L6** 

MyBallot # 989

DVJ2/307-268-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.5 P 269 L 5 MyBallot # 991

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/309-269-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.5 P 269 L 5 MyBallot # 990

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor DVJ2/308-269-5: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #982.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.5 P 271 L 43 MyBallot # 994 JAMES, DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/312-271-43: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.5 P 271 L 44 MyBallot # 993 JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type

ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

DVJ2/311-271-44: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #982.

CI 28 SC 28.5.4.5 P 271 MyBallot # 992 L 58 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ2/310-271-58: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all ""Item"" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.7 P 274 L4 MyBallot # 996

JAMES, DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ2/314-274-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.7 P 274 L6 MyBallot # 995

JAMES. DAVID V

IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ2/313-274-6: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Response Status W Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #982.

CI 28 P 275 MyBallot # 997 SC 28.5.4.7 L 23 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type DVJ2/315-275-23: These blank Support column cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #982.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 140 of 319 Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.7

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.7 P 275 MyBallot # 998 L 24 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/316-275-24: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.9 P 277 **L** 5 MyBallot # 1000 JAMES. DAVID V IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/318-277-5: These blank Support column cells look like errors. SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #982.

CI 28 SC 28.5.4.9 P 277 L 5 MyBallot # 1002 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ2/320-277-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 28D SC 28D.4 P616 MyBallot # 1115 L 25 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Status A DVJ2/433-616-25: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #982.

Cl 29 SC 29.1 P 279 L 32 MyBallot # 1004

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ2/322-279-32: Misleading capitalization errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Multiport Bridge==>Multiport bridge Colision Domain ==> Collision domain

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 29 SC 29.1 P 280 L9 MyBallot # 1005

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ2/323-280-9: Misleading capitalization errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Multiport Bridge ==> Multiport bridge

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 29 SC 29.3 P282 L13 MyBallot # 1006

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ2/324-282-13: Misleading capitalization errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Repeater Set ==> Repeater set

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 29 SC 29.3.1.1 P283 L39 MyBallot # 1007

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/325-283-39: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 29 SC 29.3.1.2 P284 L3 MyBallot # 1009

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/327-284-3: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 29 SC 29.3.1.2

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ2/326-284-4: Misleading capitalization errors.

SuggestedRemedy

End Segment ==> End segment

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Se MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 29 SC 29.3.1.2 P285 L4 MyBallot # 1011

P 284

L4

MyBallot # 1008

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/329-285-4: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #871.

Cl 29 SC 29.3.1.2 P285 L53 MyBallot # 1010

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/328-285-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE-SA Editor

Cl 29 SC 29.4 P288 L6 MyBallot # 1012

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/330-288-6: Extra blank page.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the excess ones.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 30 SC 30.1.4 P291 L33 MyBallot # 1013

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ2/331-291-33: Misleading capitalization errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Capitalize only first word of heading.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

Cl 30 SC 30.11.2.1 P420 L 30 MyBallot # 1

BECK, MICHAEL A

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

There is no Clause 30 object to count the TC-CRC errors in the PME.

It seems that it has been overlooked, as we do have a coding violations counter in the PME capability.

SuggestedRemedy

Add an object to count the TC-CRC errors in the PME capability. It should reference the variable TC\_crc\_error in subclause 61.3.3.8 and the associated "10P/2B TC CRC error register" (6.24.15:0) in subclause

45.2.6.11.

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

There are many other error counters related to 10P/2B PHYs that are provided in Clause 45 but are not included in Clause 30. If there is a desire to enhance the Clause 30 MIB to include additional counters this should be performed as a separate project.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.2.1 P296 L10 MyBallot # 1014

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/332-296-10: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

WITH ATTRIBUTE aPSEID: C/ 30A SC 30A.16.1 P 696 MyBallot # 31 L 52 REGISTERED AS (iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) ieee802dot3(10006) csmacdmat(30) LAW. DAVID J nameBinding(6) pse-dteName(27)}; nbPSE-pseGroupName NAME BINDING Comment Type IEEE P802.3REVam Editor TR Comment Status A SUBORDINATE OBJECT CLASS oPSE: The consolidation of Subclause 30A.16.1 and 30A.16.2 seems to have gone wrong. NAMED BY SUPERIOR OBJECT CLASS oPSEGroup AND SUBCLASSES: WITH ATTRIBUTE aPSEID: SuggestedRemedy REGISTERED AS (iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) ieee802dot3(10006) csmacdmgt(30) Replace all the text in subclause 30A.16.1 and from the start of 30A.16.2 to nameBinding(6) pse-pseGroupName(28)}; bPSEAdminState with the following: 30A 16.2 PSF attributes aPSEID ATTRIBUTE 30A.16.1 PSE, formal definition WITH ATTRIBUTE SYNTAX IEEE802Dot3-MgmtAttributeModule.OneOfName; oPSF MANAGED OBJECT CLASS MATCHES FOR EQUALITY: DERIVED FROM CCITT Rec. X.721 (1992) | ISO/IEC 10165-2: BEHAVIOUR bPSEID: 1992:top: REGISTERED AS (iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) ieee802dot3(10006) csmacdmgt(30) CHARACTERIZED BY attribute(7) pseID(209)): pPSEBasic PACKAGE **bPSEID BEHAVIOUR** ATTRIBUTES aPSEID GET, DEFINED AS See BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS in 30.9.1.1.1: aPSEAdminState GET. aPSEAdminState ATTRIBUTE aPSEPowerPairsControlAbility GET, WITH ATTRIBUTE SYNTAX IEEE802Dot3aPSEPowerPairs GET-REPLACE. MamtAttributeModule.PortAdminState: aPSEPowerDetectionStatus GET: MATCHES FOR EQUALITY: ACTIONS acPSEAdminControl; BEHAVIOUR bPSEAdminState: REGISTERED AS (iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) ieee802dot3(10006) csmacdmat(30) attribute(7) pseAdminState(210)}; CONDITIONAL PACKAGES pPSERecommended PACKAGE Note that the above text is directly from IEEE Std 802.3af-2003 and the arcs will need to be ATTRIBUTES aPSEPowerClassification GET. updated to use the new root. aPSEInvalidSignatureCounter GET, Proposed Response Response Status W aPSEPowerDeniedCounter GET. IEEE ACCEPT. CSMA/CD Std 802.3af-2003 Copyright 2003 IEEE. All rights reserved. 19 Merge error. aPSEOverLoadCounter GET, aPSEShortCounter GET. aPSEMPSAbsentCounter GET: REGISTERED AS (iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) ieee802dot3(10006) csmacdmgt(30) package(4) pseRecommendedPkg(27)}: PRESENT IF The recommended package is implemented; REGISTERED AS (iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) ieee802dot3(10006) csmacdmqt(30) managedObjectClass(3) pseObjectClass(15)}; nbPSE-repeaterPortName NAME BINDING SUBORDINATE OBJECT CLASS oPSE: NAMED BY SUPERIOR OBJECT CLASS oRepeaterPorts AND SUBCLASSES: WITH ATTRIBUTE aPSEID: REGISTERED AS (iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) ieee802dot3(10006) csmacdmgt(30) nameBinding(6) pse-repeaterPortName(26)}; nbPSE-dteName NAME BINDING SUBORDINATE OBJECT CLASS oPSE: NAMED BY SUPERIOR OBJECT CLASS oPHYEntity AND SUBCLASSES;

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 30A SC 30A.16.2 P699 MyBallot # 32 L 20 LAW. DAVID J IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type TR Comment Status A The consolidation of Subclause 30A.16.2 seems to have gone wrong. SuggestedRemedy The text: aSectionESs ATTRIBUTE shoudl read: aPSEInvalidSignatureCounter ATTRIBUTE Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT. Merge error. C/ 30A SC 30A.20.1 P30 L706 MyBallot # 33 LAW, DAVID J IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type Comment Status A TR The consolidation of Subclause 30A.20.1 and 30A.20.2 seems to have gone wrong. SuggestedRemedy The text: ATTRIBUTES aMACControllD GET. should read: ATTRIBUTES aOAMID GET. and the text: aMACControlID ATTRIBUTE should read: aOAMID ATTRIBUTE Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT.

Merge error.

Cl 31 SC 31.4.1 P427 L20 MyBallot # 1015

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/333-427-20: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 31 SC 31.5.3.5 P430 L21 MyBallot # 1016

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/334-430-21: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

EX READY ==> RX\_READY and similar changes

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

NESECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 31 SC 31.7 P432 L1 MyBallot # 1017

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ2/335-432-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 145 of 319

Cl 31 SC 31.7

IFFF-SA Editor

C/ 31 SC 31.8 P432 L2 MyBallot # 1018 C/ 31B SC 31B.4.4 P781 MyBallot # 1118 L 20 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/336-432-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic DVJ2/436-781-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason SuggestedRemedy one was not provided). Center all "Item" column cells. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W ... Clause 31, ... ==> ... Clause 31 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #233. C/ 31B SC 31B.4.6 P782 L3 MyBallot # 1120 JAMES. DAVID V C/ 31B SC 31B.4.3 P781 L3 MyBallot # 1116 IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A JAMES. DAVID V DVJ2/438-782-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. Comment Status A Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor SuggestedRemedy DVJ2/434-781-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". Center all "Item" column cells. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 31B SC 31B.4.6 P782 L9 MyBallot # 1119 JAMES, DAVID V See MyBallot comment #1900. Comment Type ER Comment Status R P781 L5 C/ 31B SC 31B.4.3 MvBallot # 1117 DVJ2/437-782-9: Consusing table rows. JAMES. DAVID V SuggestedRemedy Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Put lines between rows. DVJ2/435-781-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy REJECT. Center all "Item" column cells. See MyBallot comment #353. Proposed Response Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 146 of 319 C/ 31B SC 31B.4.6

Cl <b>31B</b> SC <b>31B.4.6</b> JAMES, DAVID V	P <b>786</b>	L <b>20</b>	MyBallot # 1121	CI 31B SC 31B.4.6 P788 JAMES, DAVID V	L <b>30</b>	MyBallot # 1124
Comment Type ER DVJ2/439-786-20: Wrot	Comment Status A ng font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor	Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/442-788-30: Wrong font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor
SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.				SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.		
Proposed Response  ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status <b>W</b> E.			Proposed Response Response Status WACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	,	
See MyBallot comment	#1900.			See MyBallot comment #1900.		
C/ 31B SC 31B.4.6 JAMES, DAVID V	P <b>787</b>	L 38	MyBallot # 1122	CI 31B SC 31B.4.6 P788  JAMES, DAVID V	L 4	MyBallot # 1125
Comment Type ER DVJ2/440-787-38: Wrot	Comment Status A ng font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor	Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/443-788-4: Wrong font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor
SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.				SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.		
Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status <b>W</b> E.			Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	,	
See MyBallot comment	#1900.			See MyBallot comment #1900.		
C/ 31B SC 31B.4.6 JAMES, DAVID V	P <b>787</b>	L <b>8</b>	MyBallot # 1123	CI 31B SC 31B.4.6 P789 JAMES, DAVID V	L <b>8</b>	MyBallot # 1126
Comment Type ER DVJ2/441-787-8: Wron	Comment Status A g font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor	Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/444-789-8: Wrong font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor
SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.				SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.		
Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status <b>W</b> E.			Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	,	
See MyBallot comment	#1900.			See MyBallot comment #1900.		

Cl 32 SC 32.1.2 P436 L8 MyBallot # 1019

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ2/337-436-8: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.

SuggestedRemedy

Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.12.1 P507 L7 MyBallot # 1047

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/365-507-7: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1031.

Cl 32 SC 32.12.2 P507 L28 MyBallot # 1048

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/366-507-28: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #255.

Cl 32 SC 32.12.2 P508 L1 MyBallot # 1051

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/369-508-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are

two types of things perhaps a population "Protocol implementation conformance

two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13 P508 L3 MyBallot # 1050

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/368-508-3: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 66. ... ==> ... Clause 66

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 32 SC 32.13 P508 L7 MyBallot # 1049 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ2/367-508-7: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement" SuggestedRemedy Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 32 SC 32.13.3 P 509 L 27 MyBallot # 1052 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor DVJ2/370-509-27: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

C/ 32 SC 32.13.4 P510 L4 MvBallot # 1053

JAMES. DAVID V

IFFF-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/371-510-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.11

P526

MyBallot # 1072 L 22

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/390-526-22: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

JAMES, DAVID V

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

CI 32 SC 32.13.5.12 P 527

L4 MyBallot # 1073

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/391-527-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 32 SC 32.13.5.13

L 22

MyBallot # 1074

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type ER

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/392-527-22: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

P 527

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 149 of 319

CI 32 SC 32.13.5.13

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.14 P527 MyBallot # 1075 Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.4 P513 L4 MyBallot # 1056 L36 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type DVJ2/393-527-36: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ2/374-513-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900. CI 32 SC 32.13.5.2 P**511** L 35 MyBallot # 1054 CI 32 SC 32.13.5.5 P514 L5 MyBallot # 1057 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type DVJ2/372-511-35: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ2/375-514-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 32 SC 32.13.5.3 P**512** L4 MyBallot # 1055 CI 32 SC 32.13.5.6 P515 L4 MyBallot # 1058 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ2/373-512-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ2/376-515-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 150 of 319

C/ 32 SC 32.13.5.6

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.6 P516 MyBallot # 1060 Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.8 P517 MvBallot # 1061 L17 L 53 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ2/378-516-17: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ2/379-517-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, of "Comment". which is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html not. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900 CI 32 SC 32.13.5.6 P516 L4 MyBallot # 1059 CI 32 SC 32.13.5.8 P518 L 54 MyBallot # 1063 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ2/377-516-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ2/381-518-54: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, of "Comment". which is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 32 SC 32.13.5.8 P517 L4 MyBallot # 1062 CI 32 SC 32.13.5.8 P519 L 53 MyBallot # 1064 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ2/380-517-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ2/382-519-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, of "Comment". which is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 151 of 319

CI 32 SC 32.13.5.8

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.8 P520 MyBallot # 1065 Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.9 P524 MyBallot # 1069 L 50 L 48 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ2/383-520-50: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, DVJ2/387-524-48: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect. which is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900 CI 32 SC 32.13.5.8 P 521 L 47 MyBallot # 1066 CI 32 SC 32.13.5.9 P 524 L 5 MyBallot # 1070 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type DVJ2/384-521-47: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, DVJ2/388-524-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind which is incorrect. of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. MyBallot # 1067 CI 32 SC 32.13.5.8 P**522** L 48 CI 32 SC 32.13.5.9 P 524 L 58 MyBallot # 1068 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ2/385-522-48: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, DVJ2/386-524-58: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect. which is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.13.5.9 P525 L4 MyBallot # 1071 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ2/389-525-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 32 SC 32.2.5 P 445 L 19 MyBallot # 1020 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ2/338-445-19: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent. SuggestedRemedy SEND IDLE OR DATA ==> SEND IDLE OR DATA and similar changes throughout. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #790. C/ 32 SC 32.3.6 P458 15 MyBallot # 1022 JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ2/340-458-5: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

1st SSD VECTOR, ERROR ==> FIRST\_SSD\_VECTOR\_ERROR and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 32 SC 32.3.6 P458 **L8** MyBallot # 1021 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ2/339-458-8: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

1st SSD VECTOR, ERROR ==> FIRST SSD VECTOR ERROR and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

CI 32 SC 32.3.6 P459 / 11 MyBallot # 1023

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ2/341-459-11: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

NON-IDLE DETECT ==> NON\_IDLE\_DETECT and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

CI 32 SC 32.3.6 P459 L7 MyBallot # 1024

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ2/342-459-7: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

LINK FAILED ==> LINK FAILED and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 153 of 319 CI 32 SC 32.3.6

Cl 32 SC 32.3.6 P460 L4 MyBallot # 1025 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/343-460-4: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

CARRIER SENSE OFF ==> CARRIER SENSE OFF and similar changes

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 32 SC 32.4.1.3.3 P463 / 33 MyBallot # 1026

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/344-463-33: Inconsistent state machine state names: other places these are ALL\_CAPS, which has the benefit of being parsable and compatible with C code.

SuggestedRemedy

LINK NOT READY ==> LINK NOT READY and similar changes

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

C/ 32 SC 32.5.3.1 P469 L12 MyBallot # 1027

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/345-469-12: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 32 SC 32.5.3.1.6 P470

MyBallot # 1028

L 30

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type

DVJ2/346-470-30: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MvBallot comment #1427.

CI 32 SC 32.6.1.2.4

L 53 MvBallot # 1029

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/347-479-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.

P479

SuggestedRemedy

1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.2.4 P480 MyBallot # 1031 L 41

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/349-480-41: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

This entry in the table is empty because there is no value or comment necessary. The committee consensus was that this is obvious to the reader and that the addition of extra characters decreases readability.

In addition, as noted at the beginning of the clause, the MAU/PHY is not recommended for new installations. Consequently, changes to the clause will only make them less familiar to the users of the clause that created and use those legacy implementations

Further, it was also the committee consensus that the risks of introducing errors when making the proposed change to a stable part of the standard outweigh any benefits.

CI 32 SC 32.6.1.2.4 P480 L 53 MyBallot # 1030

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER

DVJ2/348-480-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.3.1

P482

L 18

MyBallot # 1032

Comment Status A Comment Type ER DVJ2/350-482-18: Misleading capitalization errors.

SuggestedRemedy

JAMES, DAVID V

Capitalize only first word of heading.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.3.1 P484 L 53 MyBallot # 1034

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/352-484-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages. which is incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.3.1 P484 / 55 MyBallot # 1033

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/351-484-55: Misleading capitalization errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Capitalize only first word of heading.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.3.1 P485 MyBallot # 1035 Cl 32 SC 32.6.1.3.1 P488 MvBallot # 1038 L 53 L 54 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ2/353-485-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, DVJ2/356-488-54: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect. which is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900 CI 32 SC 32.6.1.3.1 P486 L 54 MyBallot # 1036 CI 32 SC 32.6.1.3.1 P489 L 54 MyBallot # 1039 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ2/354-486-54: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, DVJ2/357-489-54: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect. which is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 32 SC 32.6.1.3.1 P487 L 53 MyBallot # 1037 CI 32 SC 32.6.1.3.1 P490 L 53 MyBallot # 1040 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ2/355-487-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, DVJ2/358-490-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, which is incorrect. which is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 156 of 319

Cl 32 P491 MyBallot # 1041 Cl 32 P496 MvBallot # 1045 SC 32.6.1.3.1 L 53 SC 32.6.1.4.2 L7 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ2/359-491-53: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages. DVJ2/363-496-7: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not which is incorrect. both simultaneously. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900 CI 32 SC 32.6.1.3.1 P492 L 54 MyBallot # 1042 CI 32 SC 32.6.1.4.3 P496 L 32 MyBallot # 1044 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type DVJ2/360-492-54: The blank bottom line makes this looks like the cell is split across pages, DVJ2/362-496-32: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not which is incorrect. both simultaneously. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. 1) Fix your templates, so this doesn't happen. 2) Use better templates, available on the MSC Sponsor web site: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/msc/WordProcessors.html Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 32 SC 32.6.1.3.6 P495 L6 MyBallot # 1043 CI 32 SC 32.6.1.4.4 P497 L7 MyBallot # 1046 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Type ER DVJ2/361-495-6: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not DVJ2/364-497-7: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously. both simultaneously. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 157 of 319

CI 32 SC 32.6.1.4.4

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.2.1 P532 L 28 MyBallot # 1077 Cl 33 SC 33.2.10.1.2 P550 L 33 MyBallot # 1080 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/395-532-28: Misleading capitalization errors. DVJ2/398-550-33: These blank cells look like errors. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Capitalize only first word of heading. Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #246. C/ 33 SC 33.2.1 P532 L 42 MyBallot # 1076 Cl 33 SC 33.2.10.1.2 P551 L 23 MyBallot # 1081 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ2/394-532-42: Misleading capitalization errors. DVJ2/399-551-23: Wrong font in figures. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Capitalize only first word of heading. Use 8-point Arial. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 33 SC 33.2.1 P532 L5 MyBallot # 1078 Cl 33 SC 33.2.10.1.2 P551 L3 MyBallot # 1082 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A ER DVJ2/396-532-5: Misleading capitalization errors. DVJ2/400-551-3: Wrong font in figures. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Capitalize only first word of heading. Use 8-point Arial. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 158 of 319 Cl 33 SC 33.2.10.1.2

IEEE-SA Editor

IEEE-SA Editor

Cl 33 SC 33.2.2 P533 L12 MyBallot # 1079

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/397-533-12: These blank cells look like errors.

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

SuggestedRemedy

The consensus of the committee was that the current format of the table is clear.

Cl 33 SC 33.3 P552 L 26 MyBallot # 1083

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/401-552-26: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1079.

Cl 33 SC 33.3.3 P555 L15 MyBallot # 1084

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/402-555-15: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #246.

C/ 33 SC 33.3.4

P**557** L**17** 

MyBallot # 1085

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/403-557-17: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #246.

Cl 33 SC 33.3.6 P560 L5 MyBallot # 1086

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/404-560-5: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. 3) Straddle cells, if that is meant.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #246.

Cl 33 SC 33.6.1.1 P571 L15 MyBallot # 1088

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/406-571-15: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 33 SC 33.6.1.1 P571 MyBallot # 1087 L 20 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/405-571-20: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #740. C/ 33 SC 33.6.1.2.10 P 575 L1 MyBallot # 1092 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ2/410-575-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement" SuggestedRemedy Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. CI 33 SC 33.6.1.2.6 P573 L3 MyBallot # 1090

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ2/408-573-3: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 33 SC 33.6.1.2.6

P573

L7

MyBallot # 1089

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ2/407-573-7: Confusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #740.

Cl 33 SC 33.7 P 575 L2 MyBallot # 1093

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ2/411-575-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SugaestedRemedy

... Clause 33, ... ==> ... Clause 33

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 33 SC 33.7.1 P575 L7 MyBallot # 1091

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ2/409-575-7: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.7.2.2 P576 MyBallot # 1095 Cl 33 SC 33.7.2.2 P576 **L**5 L 13 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ2/413-576-13: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ2/412-576-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 33 SC 33.7.2.2 P576 L14 MyBallot # 1096 CI 33 SC 33.7.2.2 P**577** L 15 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Type DVJ2/414-576-14: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ2/417-577-15: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 33 SC 33.7.2.2 P 576 14 MyBallot # 1097 JAMES. DAVID V CI 33 SC 33.7.2.2 P 577 L16 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor JAMES, DAVID V DVJ2/415-576-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. Comment Type ER Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy DVJ2/418-577-16: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. Center all "Item" column cells. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Center all "Item" column cells. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1900.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 161 of 319 Cl 33 SC 33.7.2.2

MyBallot # 1094

MyBallot # 1099

MyBallot # 1100

IEEE-SA Editor

IEEE-SA Editor

IEEE-SA Editor

Cl 33 SC 33.7.2.2 P577 **L6** MyBallot # 1098 Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.3 P581 L4 MyBallot # 1102 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ2/416-577-6: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ2/420-581-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 33 SC 33.7.2.2 P**577** L7 MyBallot # 1101 CI 33 SC 33.7.3.4 P 583 L4 MyBallot # 1105 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ2/419-577-7: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ2/423-583-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 33 SC 33.7.3.3 P581 14 MyBallot # 1103 Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.4 P 583 L5 MyBallot # 1104 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ2/422-583-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ2/421-581-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 162 of 319

Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.4

Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.5 P 585 L3 MyBallot # 1106 Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.6 P586 **L**5 MyBallot # 1108 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ2/424-585-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ2/426-586-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 33 SC 33.7.3.5 P 585 L4 MyBallot # 1107 CI 33 SC 33.7.3.7 P 586 L 20 MyBallot # 1111 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type DVJ2/425-585-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ2/429-586-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. MyBallot # 1112 C/ 33 SC 33.7.3.6 P 586 L5 Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.7 P586 L 41 MyBallot # 1109 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ2/430-586-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ2/427-586-41: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.7 P 586 L 41 MyBallot # 1110 C/ 33C SC 33C.1.1 P**792** MyBallot # 1128 L 24 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/428-586-41: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ2/446-792-24: Wrong font in figures. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Use 8-point Arial. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 33 SC 33.7.3.9 P587 L4 MyBallot # 1114 C/ 33C SC 33C.1.1 P**792** L 30 MyBallot # 1127 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ2/432-587-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ2/445-792-30: Wrong font in figures. of ""Comment"". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 33C SC 33C.1.10 P802 L 12 MyBallot # 1139 JAMES, DAVID V C/ 33 SC 33.7.3.9 P587 14 MyBallot # 1113 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor JAMES. DAVID V DVJ2/457-802-12: Wrong font in figures. Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ2/431-587-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind SuggestedRemedy of "Comment". Use 8-point Arial. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Response Status W

Page 164 of 319

Cl 33C SC 33C.1.10

C/ 33C SC 33C.1.10 JAMES, DAVID V	P <b>803</b>	L 18	MyBallot # 1140	CI 33C SC 33C.1.2 P JAMES, DAVID V	793 <i>L</i> 15	MyBallot # 1130
Comment Type ER Con DVJ2/458-803-18: Wrong font	nment Status A in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor	Comment Type ER Comment Status DVJ2/448-793-15: Wrong font in figures.	s <b>A</b>	IEEE-SA Editor
SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.				SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.		
Proposed Response Resp ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	oonse Status W			Proposed Response Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	s <b>W</b>	
See MyBallot comment #1900				See MyBallot comment #1900.		
C/ 33C SC 33C.1.11 JAMES, DAVID V	P <b>804</b>	L 10	MyBallot # [1141	CI 33C SC 33C.1.2 P JAMES, DAVID V	793 <i>L</i> <b>2</b> 5	MyBallot # 1129
Comment Type ER Com DVJ2/459-804-10: Wrong font	nment Status A in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor	Comment Type ER Comment Status DVJ2/447-793-25: Wrong font in figures.	s <b>A</b>	IEEE-SA Editor
SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.				SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.		
Proposed Response Resp ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	oonse Status W			Proposed Response Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	s <b>W</b>	
See MyBallot comment #1900				See MyBallot comment #1900.		
C/ 33C SC 33C.1.12 JAMES, DAVID V	P <b>805</b>	L 12	MyBallot # 1142	CI 33C SC 33C.1.4 P JAMES, DAVID V	795 L7	MyBallot # 1131
Comment Type ER Com DVJ2/460-805-12: Wrong font	nment Status A in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor	Comment Type ER Comment Status DVJ2/449-795-7: Wrong font in figures.	s <b>A</b>	IEEE-SA Editor
SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.				SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.		
Proposed Response Resp. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	oonse Status W			Proposed Response Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	s <b>W</b>	
See MyBallot comment #1900				See MyBallot comment #1900.		

CI 33C SC 33C.1.4 P796 JAMES, DAVID V	L <b>7</b>	MyBallot # 1132	CI 33C SC 33C.1.6 P798  JAMES, DAVID V	L <b>28</b>	MyBallot # 1135
Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/450-796-7: Wrong font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor	Comment Type <b>ER</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> DVJ2/453-798-28: Wrong font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor
SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.			SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.		
Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Response Response Status <b>W</b> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
See MyBallot comment #1900.			See MyBallot comment #1900.		
C/ 33C SC 33C.1.5 P797 JAMES, DAVID V	L11	MyBallot # 1134	CI 33C SC 33C.1.7 P799  JAMES, DAVID V	L <b>9</b>	MyBallot # 1136
Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/452-797-11: Wrong font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor	Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/454-799-9: Wrong font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor
SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.			SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.		
Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Response Response Status <b>W</b> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
See MyBallot comment #1900.			See MyBallot comment #1900.		
C/ 33C SC 33C.1.5 P797 JAMES, DAVID V	L17	MyBallot # 1133	CI 33C SC 33C.1.9 P800 JAMES, DAVID V	L <b>26</b>	MyBallot # 1137
Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/451-797-17: Wrong font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor	Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/455-800-26: Wrong font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor
SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.			SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.		
Proposed Response Response Status <b>W</b> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Response Response Status <b>W</b> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
See MyBallot comment #1900.			See MyBallot comment #1900.		

CI 33C SC 33C.1.9 JAMES, DAVID V	P <b>801</b>	L <b>20</b>	MyBallot # 1138	CI 33C SC 33C.2.2 JAMES, DAVID V	P808	<i>L</i> 18	MyBallot # 1146
Comment Type ER C DVJ2/456-801-20: Wrong fo	Comment Status A ont in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor	Comment Type ER DVJ2/464-808-18: Wron	Comment Status A g font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor
SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.				SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.			
Proposed Response Re ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	esponse Status W			Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status <b>W</b>		
See MyBallot comment #196	00.			See MyBallot comment #	<b>#1900</b> .		
CI 33C SC 33C.2.1 JAMES, DAVID V	P <b>806</b>	L <b>9</b>	MyBallot # 1143	C/ <b>33C</b> SC <b>33C.2.2</b> JAMES, DAVID V	P808	L <b>29</b>	MyBallot # 1145
Comment Type ER C DVJ2/461-806-9: Wrong fon	Comment Status A nt in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor	Comment Type ER DVJ2/463-808-29: Wron	Comment Status A g font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor
SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.				SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial, here a	nd throughout.		
Proposed Response Re ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	esponse Status W			Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status <b>W</b>		
See MyBallot comment #196	00.			See MyBallot comment #	<b>#1900</b> .		
CI 33C SC 33C.2.1 JAMES, DAVID V	P <b>807</b>	L17	MyBallot # 1144	C/ <b>33C</b> SC <b>33C.3.1</b> JAMES, DAVID V	P <b>809</b>	L 38	MyBallot # 1147
Comment Type ER C DVJ2/462-807-17: Wrong fo	Comment Status A ont in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor	Comment Type ER DVJ2/465-809-38: Wron	Comment Status A g font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor
SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial.				SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial, here a	nd throughout.		
Proposed Response Re ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	esponse Status W			Proposed Response  ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status <b>W</b>		
See MyBallot comment #196	00.			See MyBallot comment #	#1900.		

CI 33C SC 33C.3.1 P810 JAMES, DAVID V	L <b>27</b>	MyBallot # 1148	C/ 33C SC 33C.4.1 P813 JAMES, DAVID V	L <b>33</b>	MyBallot # [1150
Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/466-810-27: Wrong font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor	Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/468-813-33: Wrong font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor
SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial, here and throughout.			SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial, here and throughout.		
Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Response Response Status <b>W</b> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
See MyBallot comment #1900.			See MyBallot comment #1900.		
CI 33C SC 33C.4.1 P812 JAMES, DAVID V	L <b>6</b>	MyBallot # 1149	CI 33C SC 33C.5.1 P815 JAMES, DAVID V	L7	MyBallot # 1152
Comment Type <b>ER</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> DVJ2/467-812-6: Wrong font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor	Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ2/470-815-7: Wrong font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor
SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial, here and throughout.			SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial, here and throughout.		
Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Response Response Status <b>W</b> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
See MyBallot comment #1900.			See MyBallot comment #1900.		
CI 33C SC 33C.4.1 P813 JAMES, DAVID V	L 17	MyBallot # 1151	CI 33C SC 33C.5.1 P816 JAMES, DAVID V	L 36	MyBallot # 1153
Comment Type <b>ER</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> DVJ2/469-813-17: Wrong font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor	Comment Type <b>ER</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> DVJ2/471-816-36: Wrong font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor
SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial, here and throughout.			SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial, here and throughout.		
Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Response Response Status <b>W</b> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
See MyBallot comment #1900.			See MyBallot comment #1900.		

CI 33C SC 33C.5.1 JAMES, DAVID V	P <b>817</b>	L 11	MyBallot # 1154	CI 33D SC 33D.1 JAMES, DAVID V	P <b>820</b>	L <b>8</b>	MyBallot # 1157
Comment Type ER Com DVJ2/472-817-11: Wrong font i	nment Status A in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor	Comment Type ER DVJ2/475-820-8: Wro	Comment Status A ng font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor
SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial, here and thro	oughout.			SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial, here	and throughout.		
Proposed Response Resp ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	oonse Status <b>W</b>			Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status <b>W</b> LE.		
See MyBallot comment #1900.				See MyBallot commer	nt #1900.		
CI 33D SC 33D.1 JAMES, DAVID V	P819	L 37	MyBallot # 1155	CI 33D SC 33D.2 JAMES, DAVID V	P <b>821</b>	L <b>42</b>	MyBallot # 1158
Comment Type ER Com DVJ2/473-819-37: Wrong font i	nment Status A in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor	Comment Type ER DVJ2/476-821-42: Wr	Comment Status A ong font in figures.		IEEE-SA Editor
SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial, here and thro	oughout.			SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial, here	and throughout.		
Proposed Response Resp ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	oonse Status <b>W</b>			Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status <b>W</b> LE.		
See MyBallot comment #1900.				See MyBallot commer	nt #1900.		
C/ 33D SC 33D.1 JAMES, DAVID V	P819	L <b>9</b>	MyBallot # 1156	C/ <b>34</b> SC <b>34.1.1</b> JAMES, DAVID V	P <b>2</b>	L <b>8</b>	MyBallot # 1159
Comment Type ER Com DVJ2/474-819-9: Wrong font in	nment Status A		IEEE-SA Editor	Comment Type ER DVJ3/1-2-8: IEEE Styl	Comment Status A le Manual avoids ALL CAPS and	d Some Ca	IEEE-SA Editor aps in the same figure.
SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial, here and thro	oughout.			SuggestedRemedy  Don't use the ALL CA	PS notation, in this and other fig	ures.	
Proposed Response Resp ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	oonse Status W			Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status <b>W</b> LE.		
See MyBallot comment #1900.				See MyBallot commer	nt #1900.		

Cl 35 SC 35.1 P5 MyBallot # 1160 Cl 35 SC 35.5.2.3 P 28 MyBallot # 1165 L 21 L 20 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER ER Comment Status A Comment Status A DVJ3/2-5-21: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure. DVJ3/7-28-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 35 SC 35.1 P**5** L39 MyBallot # 1161 Cl 35 SC 35.5.2.3 P 28 L 24 MyBallot # 1163 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER DVJ3/3-5-39: Inconsistent with Clause 3 title. DVJ3/5-28-24: These blank lines look like errors. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Media Access Control ==> media access control Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #200. See MyBallot comment #1541. Cl 35 SC 35.4.2.3 P 27 L7 MyBallot # 1162 Cl 35 SC 35.5.3 P 28 MyBallot # 1164 L 38 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type DVJ3/4-27-7: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting DVJ3/6-28-38: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. ... Clause 35, ... ==> ... Clause 35 Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 35 SC 35.5.3 P 29 L4 MyBallot # 1167 Cl 35 SC 35.5.3.3 P30 L 35 MyBallot # 1168 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ3/9-29-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ3/10-30-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Response Status W Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 35 SC 35.5.3 P 29 L 5 MyBallot # 1166 Cl 35 SC 35.5.3.4 P31 L3 MyBallot # 1172 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER DVJ3/8-29-5: These blank lines look like errors. DVJ3/14-31-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is Center all "Item" column cells. too difficult to generate. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1541. CI 35 SC 35.5.3.5 P31 L11 MyBallot # 1171 Cl 35 SC 35.5.3 P30 L4 MyBallot # 1169 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor ER DVJ3/13-31-11: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ3/11-30-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 171 of 319

Cl 35 SC 35.5.3.5

SC 36.7 Cl 35 SC 35.5.3.6 P31 MyBallot # 1170 Cl 36 P75 **L8** MyBallot # 1176 L 19 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ3/12-31-19: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell DVJ3/18-75-8: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason SuggestedRemedy one was not provided). Center all "Item" column cells. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W ... Clause 36, ... ==> ... Clause 36 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 36 SC 36.1.5 P35 L9 MyBallot # 1173 See MyBallot comment #233. JAMES. DAVID V C/ 36 SC 36.7.1 P75 L 15 MvBallot # 1174 IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A JAMES. DAVID V DVJ3/15-35-9: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure. Comment Status A Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor SuggestedRemedy DVJ3/16-75-15: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures. statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement" Proposed Response Response Status W SugaestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with See MvBallot comment #1900. Section 1, page 444, line 33. Cl 36 SC 36.6 P75 L6 MyBallot # 1175 Proposed Response Response Status W JAMES, DAVID V ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor See MyBallot comment #1900. DVJ3/17-75-6: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance Cl 36 SC 36.7.3 P76 L 21 MyBallot # 1180 statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement" JAMES, DAVID V SuggestedRemedv Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and DVJ3/22-76-21: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

Page 172 of 319

C/ 36 SC 36.7.3

Cl 36 SC 36.7.3 P76 MyBallot # 1178 Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.2 P77 MyBallot # 1182 L 24 L12 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Type ER Comment Status R ER Comment Status R DVJ3/20-76-24: These blank lines look like errors. DVJ3/24-77-12: These blank lines look like errors. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. too difficult to generate. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1541. See MyBallot comment #1541. C/ 36 SC 36.7.4.1 P76 / 45 MyBallot # 1179 CI 36 SC 36.7.4.2 P77 L 5 MyBallot # 1185 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/27-77-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ3/21-76-45: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.1 P76 L 48 MyBallot # 1177 Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.3 P77 L 25 MyBallot # 1184 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R ER

DVJ3/19-76-48: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Center all "Item" column cells.

Comment Type

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Comment Status A

DVJ3/26-77-25: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 173 of 319 C/ 36 SC 36.7.4.3

IEEE-SA Editor

Cl 36

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type

"Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

SC 36.7.4.4

not. Do changes to make them all consistent."

not. Do changes to make them all consistent."

Response Status W

P78

DVJ3/32-78-16; Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are

P78

Comment Status A

Response Status W

Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P77 L 43 MyBallot # 1183 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ3/25-77-43: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P77 L 46 MyBallot # 1181 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ3/23-77-46: These blank cells look like errors. SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1541. Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P78 MyBallot # 1195 L 15 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ3/37-78-15: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy

Response Status W

Center all "Item" column cells.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Proposed Response

CI 36 SC 36.7.4.4 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Type DVJ3/30-78-18: These blank cells look like errors. SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #353. P78 CI 36 SC 36.7.4.4 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ3/33-78-29: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 174 of 319 C/ 36 SC 36.7.4.4

MyBallot # 1190

MyBallot # 1188

MvBallot # 1191

IFFF-SA Editor

IEEE-SA Editor

L16

L 18

L 29

Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P78 MyBallot # 1194 Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P78 MyBallot # 1193 L 30 L39 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ3/36-78-30: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell DVJ3/35-78-39: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P78 L32 MyBallot # 1187 C/ 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P78 L4 MyBallot # 1189 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ3/29-78-32: These blank cells look like errors. DVJ3/31-78-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are too difficult to generate. not. Do changes to make them all consistent.' Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1541. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P78 MyBallot # 1192 L 39 C/ 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P78 / 41 MyBallot # 1186 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ3/34-78-39: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of DVJ3/28-78-41: These blank cells look like errors. "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response

See MyBallot comment #1541.

REJECT.

Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Response Status W

Page 175 of 319

Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.4 P78 L5 MyBallot # 1196
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ3/38-78-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.1.2 P80 L19 MyBallot # 1197

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ3/39-80-19: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.

SuggestedRemedy

Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.2.5.1.3 P90 L3 MyBallot # 1199

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/41-90-3: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 37 SC 37.2.5.1.4 P90 L35 MyBallot # 1198

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/40-90-35: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

..\_\_\_\_.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 37 SC 37.2.5.1.5 P91 L4 MyBallot # 1200

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/42-91-4: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MvBallot comment #1427.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 37 SC 37.4 P97 L37 MyBallot # 1202

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/44-97-37: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

### SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.4 P97 L 38 MyBallot # 1203

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/45-97-38: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 37, ... ==> ... Clause 37

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.1 P97 L43 MyBallot # 1201

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/43-97-43: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

### SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.3 P99 L35 MyBallot # 1206

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ3/48-99-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.3 P99 L36 MyBallot # 1205

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/47-99-36: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.3 P99 MyBallot # 1204 L 38 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ3/46-99-38: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.1 P100 15 MyBallot # 1210

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/52-100-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 37 SC 37.5.4.1 P100 19 MvBallot # 1209

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ3/51-100-9: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2 P100 MyBallot # 1211 L 15 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/53-100-15: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900

CI 37 SC 37.5.4.2 P100 L 17 MyBallot # 1208 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type DVJ3/50-100-17: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

CI 37 SC 37.5.4.2.1 P100 L 26 MvBallot # 1212

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor DVJ3/54-100-26: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 178 of 319 SC 37.5.4.2.1

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.1 P100 L26 MyBallot # [1215]
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ3/57-100-26: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.1 P100 L35 MyBallot # 1214

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ3/56-100-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.1 P100 L36 MyBallot # 1213

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/55-100-36: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.1 P100 L37 MyBallot # 1207

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/49-100-37: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status **W** REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.3 P101 L3 MyBallot # 1219

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/61-101-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of
"Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.3 P101 L4 MyBallot # 1224

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ3/66-101-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.3 P101 **L** 5 MyBallot # 1218 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ3/60-101-5: These blank cells look like errors. SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1541. Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.4 P101 / 13 MyBallot # 1223 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/65-101-13: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 37 SC 37.5.4.2.4 P101 L 13 MyBallot # 1220 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ3/62-101-13: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.4 P101 MyBallot # 1216 L 13 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type DVJ3/58-101-13: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

CI 37 SC 37.5.4.2.4 P101 L 15 MyBallot # 1217 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type ER DVJ3/59-101-15: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

CI 37 P101 SC 37.5.4.2.4 L 20 MvBallot # 1221 JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A

IFFF-SA Editor DVJ3/63-101-20: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 180 of 319 Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.4

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.4 P101 MyBallot # 1222 Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.6 L 20 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ3/64-101-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 37 SC 37.5.4.2.6 P102 L 15 MyBallot # 1227 See MyBallot comment #1900. JAMES. DAVID V CI 37 SC 37.5.4.2.6 Comment Type ER Comment Status R JAMES, DAVID V DVJ3/69-102-15: These blank cells look like errors. Comment Type SuggestedRemedy of "Comment". Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. Proposed Response See MyBallot comment #1541. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. CI 37 SC 37.5.4.2.6 P102 MyBallot # 1230 L3 See MyBallot comment #1900. JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ3/72-102-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

P102 L3 MyBallot # 1225

Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/67-102-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are

Response Status W

P102 L 34 MyBallot # 1228

Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/70-102-34: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Response Status W

CI 37 SC 37.5.4.2.6 P102 L 34 MyBallot # 1229 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ3/71-102-34: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.6

Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.6 P102 MyBallot # 1226 L37 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ3/68-102-37: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 38 SC 38.11.4 P123 / 1 MyBallot # 1232

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/74-123-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12 P123 L4 MyBallot # 1233

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/75-123-4: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 38, ... ==> ... Clause 38

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.1 P123 MvBallot # 1231 L 10 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/73-123-10: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.3 P124 L3 MyBallot # 1235

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor DVJ3/77-124-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SugaestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 38 SC 38.12.3 P124 L3 MyBallot # 1234

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/76-124-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 182 of 319

Cl 38 SC 38.12.3

Cl 38 SC 38.12.3 P125 L 1 MyBallot # 1240 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R ER

DVJ3/82-125-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

Abbreviate this header, using clause/subclause number if necessary, and providing the detailed cross-reference name in following text.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

C/ 38 SC 38.12.4 P125 L 33 MvBallot # 1238

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/80-125-33: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 38 SC 38.12.4 P125 L 35 MvBallot # 1239

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/81-125-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4

P125

MyBallot # 1241

L7

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/83-125-7: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4 P125 17 MyBallot # 1236

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A

DVJ3/78-125-7: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4 P125 L9 MyBallot # 1237

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/79-125-9: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4.3 P126 L3 MyBallot # 1243 Cl 38 SC 38.12.4.4 P126 MyBallot # 1242 L 19 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Type DVJ3/85-126-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of DVJ3/84-126-19: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind "Comment". of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900. CI 38 SC 38.12.4.3 P126 L4 MyBallot # 1247 CI 38 SC 38.12.4.5 P126 L 34 MyBallot # 1244 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Type DVJ3/89-126-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell DVJ3/86-126-34: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W not. Do changes to make them all consistent. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 38 SC 38.12.4.4 P126 L19 MyBallot # 1246 JAMES. DAVID V CI 38 SC 38.12.4.5 P126 L 35 MyBallot # 1245 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor JAMES, DAVID V DVJ3/88-126-19: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. Comment Status A Comment Type ER SuggestedRemedy DVJ3/87-126-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. Center all "Item" column cells. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Center all "Item" column cells. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 184 of 319

IEEE-SA Editor

IEEE-SA Editor

IEEE-SA Editor

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4.5 P127 L4 MyBallot # 1249 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ3/91-127-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 38 SC 38.12.4.5 P127 L4 MyBallot # 1248 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/90-127-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 38 SC 38.12.4.5 P128 14 MyBallot # 1251 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/93-128-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4.5 P128 L4 MyBallot # 1250 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A Comment Type DVJ3/92-128-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. CI 38 SC 38.12.4.6 P129 L3 MyBallot # 1253 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A Comment Type ER DVJ3/95-129-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 38 SC 38.12.4.6 P129 14 MyBallot # 1252 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/94-129-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 185 of 319

Cl 38 SC 38.12.4.6

IEEE-SA Editor

IEEE-SA Editor

Cl 39 SC 39.7 P144 L 1 MyBallot # 1255 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER

DVJ3/97-144-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

#### SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 39 SC 39.7 P144 L1 MyBallot # 1256

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/98-144-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

# SugaestedRemedy

... Clause 39, ... ==> ... Clause 39

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 39 SC 39.8.1 P144 L7 MvBallot # 1254 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/96-144-7: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

#### SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 39 SC 39.8.2.2 P145 L 11 MyBallot # 1259

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ3/101-145-11: These blank cells look like errors.

# SugaestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred), 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

C/ 39 SC 39.8.2.2 P145 L 28 MyBallot # 1260

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/102-145-28: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

#### SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 39 SC 39.8.2.2 P145 L29 MyBallot # [1261]
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ3/103-145-29: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 39 SC 39.8.2.2 P145 L3 MyBallot # 1257

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ3/99-145-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of
"Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 39 SC 39.8.2.2 P145 L31 MyBallot # 1258

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/100-145-31: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 39 SC 39.8.2.2 P145 L4 MyBallot # 1262

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ3/104-145-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 39 SC 39.8.4.2 P146 L4 MyBallot # [1263

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/105-146-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 39 SC 39.8.4.2 P146 L4 MyBallot # 1264

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ3/106-146-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 187 of 319

Cl 39 SC 39.8.4.2

IEEE-SA Editor

Cl 39 SC 39.8.4.3 P147 L3 MyBallot # 1265 Cl 39 SC 39.8.4.3 P148 L3 MvBallot # 1268 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Type DVJ3/107-147-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ3/110-148-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900. C/ 39 SC 39.8.4.3 P147 L4 MyBallot # 1266 Cl 39 SC 39.8.4.3 P148 L3 MyBallot # 1269 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ3/108-147-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ3/111-148-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 40 C/ 39 SC 39.8.4.3 P148 L 13 MyBallot # 1267 SC 40.1.3 P150 17 MyBallot # 1270 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/109-148-13: These blank cells look like errors. DVJ3/112-150-7: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures. too difficult to generate. Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

See MyBallot comment #1541.

REJECT.

Response Status W

Page 188 of 319

C/ 40 SC 40.12.10 P 252 **L** 5 MyBallot # 1314 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A DVJ3/156-252-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 40 SC 40.12.11 P 252 L32 MyBallot # 1312

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/154-252-32: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 40 SC 40.12.11 P 252 L32 MyBallot # 1313

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/155-252-32: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 40 SC 40.12.2

P 234

MyBallot # 1279

L 41

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ3/121-234-41: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. IEEE-SA Editor

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 40 SC 40.12.2 P 234 L 42 MyBallot # 1278

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/120-234-42: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.2 P 234 L 43 MyBallot # 1277

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ3/119-234-43: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

C/ 40 SC 40.12.2 P 235 L 1 MyBallot # 1280 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/122-235-1: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 40 SC 40.12.2 P 235 L 1 MyBallot # 1285 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/127-235-1: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.2 P235 L4 MyBallot # 1281

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/123-235-4: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.3 P235 L20 MyBallot # 1284

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ3/126-235-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 40 SC 40.12.3 P235 L21 MyBallot # 1282

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/124-235-21: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.4 P235 L29 MyBallot # 1283

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/125-235-29: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.4 P 236 L1 MyBallot # 1287

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ3/129-236-1: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.4 P 236 L7 MyBallot # 1286

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/128-236-7: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.4 P237 L36 MyBallot # 1289

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ3/131-237-36: Confusing/unnecessary page break.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert page break so that following table does not break across pages.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 40 SC 40.12.4 P237 L7 MyBallot # 1288

JAMES, DAVID V

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

C/ 40 SC 40.12.4.1 P237 L39 MyBallot # 1290

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/132-237-39: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 40 SC 40.12.4.1 P237 L 40 MyBallot # 1291

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ3/133-237-40: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 191 of 319

C/ 40 SC 40.12.4.1

MvBallot # 1293

MyBallot # 1296

MyBallot # 1299

IEEE-SA Editor

IEEE-SA Editor

SC 40.12.6

C/ 40 SC 40.12.4.1 P 238 L 1 MyBallot # 1292 C/ 40 SC 40.12.5 P 239 L 26 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Type DVJ3/134-238-1: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ3/135-239-26: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900 C/ 40 SC 40.12.4.2 P 239 L4 MyBallot # 1295 C/ 40 SC 40.12.5 P 241 L2 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Type DVJ3/137-239-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ3/138-241-2: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W not. Do changes to make them all consistent. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 40 SC 40.12.5 P 239 L 26 MyBallot # 1294 JAMES. DAVID V C/ 40 SC 40.12.6 P 241 L 15 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor JAMES, DAVID V DVJ3/136-239-26: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. Comment Type Comment Status A ER SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Center all "Item" column cells.

IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/141-241-15: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general Page 192 of 319 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn C/ 40 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 40 SC 40.12.6.1 P 241 MyBallot # 1298 L 29 JAMES, DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ3/140-241-29: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 40 SC 40.12.6.1 P 241 L 30 MyBallot # 1297

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/139-241-30: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 40 SC 40.12.7 P 243 14 MyBallot # 1301 JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/143-243-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 40 SC 40.12.7 P 243 MyBallot # 1300 L4 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type DVJ3/142-243-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind

of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900

C/ 40 SC 40.12.7 P 245 L 1 MyBallot # 1302 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type DVJ3/144-245-1: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 40 SC 40.12.7 P 246 L 2 MyBallot # 1303 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type ER

IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/145-246-2: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 193 of 319

C/ 40 SC 40.12.7

C/ 40 SC 40.12.7 P 247 MyBallot # 1304 L 2 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ3/146-247-2: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. C/ 40 SC 40.12.7 P 248 L 1 MyBallot # 1305 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ3/147-248-1: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 40 SC 40.12.8 P 249 L4 MyBallot # 1307 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ3/149-249-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 40 SC 40.12.8 P 249 MyBallot # 1306 L4 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type DVJ3/148-249-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900 C/ 40 SC 40.12.8 P 250 L2 MyBallot # 1308 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type DVJ3/150-250-2: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900 C/ 40 SC 40.12.9 P 250 L 20 MyBallot # 1309 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A Comment Type ER

IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/151-250-20: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 194 of 319

C/ 40 SC 40.12.9

C/ 40 SC 40.12.9 P 250 MyBallot # 1310 L 20 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ3/152-250-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 40 SC 40.12.9 P 251 L1 MyBallot # 1311 JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ3/153-251-1: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. SC 40.3.4 C/ 40 P183 / 10 MyBallot # 1271 JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ3/113-183-10: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

SSD1 VECTOR ==> SSD1\_VECTOR and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

C/ 40 SC 40.3.4 P183 **L**5 MyBallot # 1272

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ3/114-183-5: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

SSD1 VECTOR ==> SSD1 VECTOR and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

C/ 40 SC 40.3.4 P184 L 15 MyBallot # 1274

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ3/116-184-15: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

CARRIER EXTENSION with ERROR ==> CARRIER\_EXTENSION\_WITH\_ERROR and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 40 SC 40.3.4 P184 L 16 MyBallot # 1273

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ3/115-184-16: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

NON-IDLE DETECT ==> NON IDLE DETECT and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

C/ 40 SC 40.4.4.2 P194 L11 MyBallot # 1275 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ3/117-194-11: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent. SuggestedRemedy DISABLE 1000BASE-T TRANSMITTER ==> DISABLE 1000BASE T TRANSMITTER and similar changes throughout. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #790. C/ 40 SC 40.5.1.1 P197 16 MyBallot # 1276 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ3/118-197-6: This is great . The use of "Type", rather than R/W, in the column header is good. SuggestedRemedy Do this everywhere else there is a R/W column header. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. C/ 40C SC 40C.2.2 P373 L12 MyBallot # 1389 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ3/231-373-12: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.

Base\_Page\_RX ==> BASE\_PAGE\_RX and similar changes throughout.

Response Status W

C/ 41

C/ 41 SC 41.1.1 P 253 MyBallot # 1315 L 21 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A DVJ3/157-253-21: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure. SuggestedRemedy Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 41 SC 41.2.2.2 P 264 L 13 MyBallot # 1316 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ3/158-264-13: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent. SuggestedRemedy NON-JABBER INPUT ==> NON\_JABBER\_INPUT and similar changes throughout. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MvBallot comment #790. SC 41.5 P 269 L36 MyBallot # 1319 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ3/161-269-36: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided). SuggestedRemedy ... Clause 41, ... ==> ... Clause 41

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MvBallot comment #233.

See MyBallot comment #790.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

REJECT.

C/ 41 SC 41.5 P 269 MyBallot # 1318 L36 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ3/160-269-36: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement" SuggestedRemedy Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 41 SC 41.6.1 P 269 L 42 MyBallot # 1317 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor DVJ3/159-269-42: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement" SugaestedRemedy Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with

Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

C/ 41 SC 41.6.2.2 P 270 L 35 MyBallot # 1321

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor ER DVJ3/163-270-35; Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

SC 41.6.2.2 C/ 41

P 270

MvBallot # 1320

L36

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/162-270-36: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

C/ 41 SC 41.6.4.1 P 271

L7 MyBallot # 1324

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type ER

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/166-271-7: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 41 SC 41.6.4.10

L3

MyBallot # 1336

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/178-276-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

P 276

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 197 of 319

C/ 41 SC 41.6.4.10

C/ 41 SC 41.6.4.10 P 276 L4 MyBallot # 1339 C/ 41 SC 41.6.4.12 P 277 L3 MyBallot # 1340 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A Comment Type DVJ3/181-276-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ3/182-277-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W not. Do changes to make them all consistent. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 41 SC 41.6.4.11 P 276 L19 MyBallot # 1338 JAMES. DAVID V C/ 41 SC 41.6.4.12 P 277 L3 MyBallot # 1341 IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A JAMES, DAVID V DVJ3/180-276-19: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER SuggestedRemedy DVJ3/183-277-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. Center all "Item" column cells. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Center all "Item" column cells. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 41 SC 41.6.4.11 P 276 L 20 MyBallot # 1337 JAMES, DAVID V C/ 41 SC 41.6.4.2 P 271 L 26 MyBallot # 1323 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor JAMES, DAVID V DVJ3/179-276-20: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor of "Comment". DVJ3/165-271-26: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1900.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.2 P271 L26 MyBallot # 1322

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/164-271-26: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.2 P272 L37 MyBallot # [1326]
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/168-272-37: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.2 P272 L37 MyBallot # 1325

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/167-272-37: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.2 P272 L4 MyBallot # 1327

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ3/169-272-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.5 P273 L4 MyBallot # 1330

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ3/172-273-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 41 SC 41.6.4.6 P273 L23 MyBallot # 1328

JAMES, DAVID V

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ3/170-273-23: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind

of "Comment".

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 41 SC 41.6.4.6 P 273 MyBallot # 1329 C/ 41 SC 41.6.4.7 P 275 MyBallot # 1334 L 23 L 35 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Type ER Comment Status A ER Comment Status A DVJ3/171-273-23: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ3/176-275-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 41 SC 41.6.4.7 P 274 L3 MyBallot # 1331 C/ 41 SC 41.6.4.7 P 275 L 35 MyBallot # 1333 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status A ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/173-274-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ3/175-275-35: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 41 SC 41.6.4.7 P 274 L3 MyBallot # 1332 C/ 41 SC 41.6.4.7 P 275 L4 MyBallot # 1335 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/174-274-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ3/177-275-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 200 of 319

Cl 43 SC 43.6.4.2 P342 L1 MyBallot # [1343]

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/185-342-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7 P342 L2 MyBallot # 1344

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/186-342-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 43, ... ==> ... Clause 43

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.1 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

P342

DVJ3/184-342-12: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.12 P346 L5 MyBallot # 1362

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/204-346-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.12 P346 L5 MyBallot # 1369

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ3/211-346-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 201 of 319

MvBallot # 1342

L12

C/ 43 SC 43.7.12

IFFF-SA Editor

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.13 P346 MyBallot # 1363 Cl 43 SC 43.7.13 P346 L17 L 28 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Type DVJ3/205-346-17: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ3/206-346-28: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900 Cl 43 SC 43.7.13 P346 L 18 MyBallot # 1368 C/ 43 SC 43.7.13 P346 L 37 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Type DVJ3/210-346-18: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ3/207-346-37: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W not. Do changes to make them all consistent. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 43 SC 43.7.13 P346 L 28 MyBallot # 1367 JAMES. DAVID V C/ 43 SC 43.7.13 P346 L 38 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor JAMES, DAVID V DVJ3/209-346-28: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. Comment Status A Comment Type ER SuggestedRemedy DVJ3/208-346-38: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. Center all "Item" column cells. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Center all "Item" column cells. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 202 of 319

MyBallot # 1364

MyBallot # 1365

MyBallot # 1366

IEEE-SA Editor

IEEE-SA Editor

IEEE-SA Editor

Cl 43 SC 43.7.16 P347 L4 MyBallot # 1370 Cl 43 SC 43.7.17 P347 MyBallot # 1374 L 17 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ3/212-347-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ3/216-347-17: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Proposed Response Response Status W not. Do changes to make them all consistent. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900. Cl 43 SC 43.7.18 P347 L 30 MyBallot # 1372 JAMES. DAVID V Cl 43 SC 43.7.16 P347 L4 MyBallot # 1375 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/214-347-30: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER of "Comment". DVJ3/217-347-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Center all "Item" column cells. not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 43 SC 43.7.17 P347 L17 MyBallot # 1371 C/ 43 SC 43.7.18 P347 L 30 MyBallot # 1373 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/213-347-17: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ3/215-347-30: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 203 of 319

C/ 43 SC 43.7.18

Cl 43 SC 43.7.19 P348 L4 MyBallot # 1379 Cl 43 SC 43.7.2.1 P343 MyBallot # 1347 L 20 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Status A DVJ3/221-348-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ3/189-343-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 43 SC 43.7.19 P348 L7 MyBallot # 1378 C/ 43 SC 43.7.2.1 P343 L4 MyBallot # 1345 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ3/220-348-7: Consusing table rows. DVJ3/187-343-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W not. Do changes to make them all consistent. REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #353. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 43 SC 43.7.2.1 P343 L 19 MyBallot # 1346 JAMES, DAVID V C/ 43 SC 43.7.2.1 P343 L4 MyBallot # 1348 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor JAMES, DAVID V DVJ3/188-343-19: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor of "Comment". DVJ3/190-343-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Center all "Item" column cells. not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Response Status W

Page 204 of 319

C/ 43 SC 43.7.2.1

Cl 43 SC 43.7.20 P348 MyBallot # 1377 L 49 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A DVJ3/219-348-49: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 43 SC 43.7.20 P348 L 49 MyBallot # 1376 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/218-348-49: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 43 SC 43.7.21 P349 14 MyBallot # 1383 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/225-349-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.21 P349 **L**5 MyBallot # 1382 JAMES, DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ3/224-349-5: Consusing table rows.

SuggestedRemedy

Put lines between rows.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 43 SC 43.7.22 P349 L 43 MyBallot # 1381 JAMES. DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ3/223-349-43: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.22 P349 L43 MyBallot # 1380

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/222-349-43: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.23 P350 L4 MyBallot # 1386 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ3/228-350-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 43 SC 43.7.24 P350 L 36 MyBallot # 1385

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/227-350-36: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.24 P350 L36 MyBallot # 1384

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/226-350-36: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.25

P351

MyBallot # 1388

L4

IEEE-SA Editor

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ3/230-351-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 43 SC 43.7.25 P351 L5 MyBallot # 1387

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/229-351-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 43 SC 43.7.5 P344 L4 MvBallot # 1349

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/191-344-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind

of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 43 SC 43.7.5 P344 L4 MyBallot # 1355 Cl 43 SC 43.7.6 P344 MyBallot # 1353 L 24 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Status A DVJ3/197-344-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ3/195-344-24: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 43 SC 43.7.5 P344 L9 MyBallot # 1354 C/ 43 SC 43.7.7 P344 L 33 MyBallot # 1351 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/196-344-9: Consusing table rows. DVJ3/193-344-33: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W not. Do changes to make them all consistent. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 43 SC 43.7.6 P344 L 22 MyBallot # 1350 JAMES, DAVID V P344 C/ 43 SC 43.7.7 L 34 MyBallot # 1352 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor JAMES, DAVID V DVJ3/192-344-22: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor of "Comment". DVJ3/194-344-34: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Center all "Item" column cells. not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Response Status W

Page 207 of 319 SC 43.7.7

C/ 43

Cl 43 SC 43.7.7 P345 MyBallot # 1356 L 18 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ3/198-345-18: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 43 SC 43.7.7 P345 L 18 MyBallot # 1360 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ3/202-345-18: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 43 SC 43.7.7 P345 L31 MyBallot # 1359 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/201-345-31: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.7 P345 **L**5 MyBallot # 1361 JAMES, DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ3/203-345-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 43 SC 43.7.7 P345 L 50 MyBallot # 1358 JAMES. DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ3/200-345-50: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43 SC 43.7.7 P345 L 50 MyBallot # 1357

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ3/199-345-50: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 208 of 319 C/ 43 SC 43.7.7

CI 43B SC 43B.5 P382 L1 MyBallot # 1391

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ3/233-382-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43B SC 43B.5 P382 L2 MyBallot # 1392

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ3/234-382-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 43B. ... ==> ... Clause 43B

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 43B SC 43B.6.1 P382 L8 MyBallot # 1390

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ3/232-382-8: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43B SC 43B.6.2.3 P383 L4 MyBallot # 1393

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ3/235-383-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

Cl 43B SC 43B.6.2.3 P383 L4 MyBallot # 1395

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DV.I3/237-383-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 43B SC 43B.6.2.4 P383 MyBallot # 1394 L 19 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ3/236-383-19: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 44 SC 44.1.4.1 P 2 L 52 MyBallot # 1396 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/1-2-52: Inconsistent with Clause 3 title. SuggestedRemedy Media Access Control ==> media access control Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #200. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.10 P 27 L 48 MyBallot # 1402 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/7-27-48: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the

column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

SuggestedRemedy

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12 P 28 MyBallot # 1404 L 21

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ4/9-28-21: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 P30 / 10 MyBallot # 1405

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ4/10-30-10: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14 P32 **L6** MyBallot # 1407

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/12-32-6: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.15 P32 MyBallot # 1406 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.19 P34 MyBallot # 1409 L 46 L 31 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/11-32-46: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/14-34-31: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.16 P33 / 40 MyBallot # 1408 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.21 P35 L3 MyBallot # 1412 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/13-33-40: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/17-35-3: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. P34 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.16 13 MyBallot # 1410 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.23 P35 L 46 MvBallot # 1411 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/15-34-3: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/16-35-46: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.24 P36 L11 MyBallot # 1414

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/19-36-11: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl **45** SC **45.2.1.27** P **36** L **49** MyBallot # [1413

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/18-36-49: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.29 P37 L27 MyBallot # 1415

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/20-37-27: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.3 P19 L6 MyBallot # 1398

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor
DVJ4/3-19-6: Using a zero value incorrectly uses part of the number space assigned to
Xerox.

SuggestedRemedy

Change zero to all ones.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The change as suggested could potentially make many device non-compatible. Instead text will be added that states an all zero value in this register means that the PMA/PMD device identifier is not supported.

The all zero value in PHY identification registers has been in use since at least 1995 and has not caused problems in operation networks.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.31 P38 L19 MyBallot # 1417

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/22-38-19: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.31 P38 L4 MyBallot # 1418

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/23-38-4: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 212 of 319

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.31

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.32 P38 MyBallot # 1416 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 P40 L7 MyBallot # 1422 L 41 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/21-38-41: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/27-40-7: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.33 P39 / 10 MyBallot # 1420 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.36 P40 / 26 MyBallot # 1421 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/25-39-10: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/26-40-26: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.34 P39 L 30 MyBallot # 1419 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.37 P42 **L6** MvBallot # 1423 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/24-39-30: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/28-42-6: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

See MyBallot comment #1427.

REJECT.

Response Status W

Page 213 of 319 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.37

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38 P43 MyBallot # 1424 L 25 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/29-43-25: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.39 P44 1 28 MyBallot # 1425

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/30-44-28: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4 P19 L18 MyBallot # 1397

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/2-19-18: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Change the title, perhaps to mode. 2) Change the entries to the column, to RW. The second is the preferred solution, since it is also more consistent with the other element values (such as RO).

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.40 P46

L 10

MyBallot # 1426

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ4/31-46-10: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.41 P47 / 41 MyBallot # 1427

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ4/32-47-41: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The use of the header 'R/W' for this column has not created implementation issues and is well understood by the implementation community. We believe changing this is more likely to cause confusion and error that leaving it the way it is.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.42 P48 L6 MyBallot # 1430

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/35-48-6: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 214 of 319

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.42

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43 P48 MyBallot # 1428 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.45 P52 MyBallot # 1432 L 21 L 35 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/33-48-21: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/37-52-35: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to ""Type"", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43 P48 1 28 MyBallot # 1429 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.47 P53 / 11 MyBallot # 1434 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/34-48-28: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/39-53-11: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.44 P50 16 MyBallot # 1431 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.49 P53 L 40 MyBallot # 1433 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/36-50-6: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/38-53-40: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Page 215 of 319

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.49

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.51 P 54 MyBallot # 1436 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.57 P56 MyBallot # 1438 L 15 L 23 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/41-54-15: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/43-56-23: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.53 P54 / 45 MyBallot # 1435 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.58 P57 16 MyBallot # 1439 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/40-54-45: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/44-57-6: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.55 P 55 L 26 MyBallot # 1437 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 20 L 43 MyBallot # 1399 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/42-55-26: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/4-20-43: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

See MyBallot comment #1427.

REJECT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

See MyBallot comment #1427.

REJECT.

Page 216 of 319

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Response Status W

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 22 *L* 9 MyBallot # 1400 Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.1.1 P61 **L3** MyBallot # 1440 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/5-22-9: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/45-61-3: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.8 P 25 / 10 MyBallot # 1401 Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.10 P66 / 34 MyBallot # 1447 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/6-25-10: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/52-66-34: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.9.3 P 27 14 MyBallot # 1403 Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.10 P66 L 54 MyBallot # 1446 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor DVJ4/51-66-54: Line is missing on bottom of table. This could lead a reader to believe the DVJ4/8-27-4: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. row is continued on the next page, which is not true. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy

Fix it.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The table is continued on the next page, the table heading on the next page needs fixed.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

See MyBallot comment #1427.

REJECT.

Page 217 of 319

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.10

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.11 P 69 MyBallot # 1451 Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.14 P71 MyBallot # 1453 L 18 L 28 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/58-71-28: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/56-69-18: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.12 P69 / 36 MyBallot # 1450 Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.15 P72 / 29 MyBallot # 1455 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/55-69-36: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/60-72-29: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. C/ 45 SC 45.2.2.13 P70 L 33 MyBallot # 1452 Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.15 P72 L3 MyBallot # 1456 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/57-70-33: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/61-72-3: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response

See MyBallot comment #1427.

REJECT.

Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

See MyBallot comment #1427.

REJECT.

Response Status W

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.17 P72 MyBallot # 1454 Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.2 P62 MyBallot # 1441 L 49 L 22 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/46-62-22: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/59-72-49: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.18 P74 14 MyBallot # 1457 Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.4 P63 1 24 MyBallot # 1442 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/62-74-4: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/47-63-24: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. C/ 45 SC 45.2.2.19 P75 13 MyBallot # 1458 Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.6.1 P 64 14 MvBallot # 1443 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/63-75-3: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/48-64-4: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.7 P 65 MyBallot # 1445 Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.8 P66 L4 MyBallot # 1448 L 13 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/50-65-13: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/53-66-4: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.7.3 P65 / 46 MyBallot # 1444 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3 P76 L 58 MyBallot # 1459 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/49-65-46: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/64-76-58: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to ""Type"", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to ""Type"", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. C/ 45 SC 45.2.2.8 P66 L17 MyBallot # 1449 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1 P77 L 10 MyBallot # 1460 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/54-66-17: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow. DVJ4/65-77-10: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy 1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

REJECT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

See MyBallot comment #1519.

REJECT.

Response Status W

Page 220 of 319 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.12 P86 L7 MyBallot # 1465 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.15 P88 MyBallot # 1468 L12 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/70-86-7: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/73-88-12: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.13 P87 19 MyBallot # 1467 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.16 P89 L 38 MyBallot # 1469 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/72-87-9: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/74-89-38: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.14 P87 L 39 MyBallot # 1466 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17 P90 14 MvBallot # 1471 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/71-87-39: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/76-90-4: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response

REJECT.

Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427.

Proposed Response

REJECT.

Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 221 of 319

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17.2 P90 MyBallot # 1470 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.21 P93 L7 MyBallot # 1476 L 36 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/75-90-36: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/81-93-7: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.20 P92 / 34 MyBallot # 1472 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.22 P93 1 27 MyBallot # 1475 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/77-92-34: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/80-93-27: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.20 P92 16 MyBallot # 1473 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.23 P93 1 44 MvBallot # 1474 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/78-92-6: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/79-93-44: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Page 222 of 319

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.23

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.24 P94 L7 MyBallot # 1479 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.27 P95 **L8** MyBallot # 1481 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/84-94-7: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/86-95-8: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.25 P94 1 25 MyBallot # 1478 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.28 P95 L 28 MyBallot # 1480 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/83-94-25: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/85-95-28: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.26 P 94 1 43 MyBallot # 1477 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.3 P79 L 40 MyBallot # 1461 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ4/66-79-40: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow. DVJ4/82-94-43: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1519.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.4.1 P80 L4 MyBallot # 1463 Cl 45 SC 45.2.4.1.2 P97 L4 MyBallot # 1482 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/68-80-4: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/87-97-4: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.6 P80 L 32 MyBallot # 1462 Cl 45 SC 45.2.4.1.4 P98 19 MyBallot # 1483 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/88-98-9: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/67-80-32: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.8 P82 L 25 MyBallot # 1464 Cl 45 SC 45.2.4.3 P98 L 51 MyBallot # 1484 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ4/69-82-25: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow. DVJ4/89-98-51: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI 1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials. mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1519.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1519.

Page 224 of 319

C/ 45 SC 45.2.4.3

Cl 45 SC 45.2.4.3 P99 MyBallot # 1486 L 10 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ4/91-99-10: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.4.5 P99 L 37 MyBallot # 1485

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/90-99-37: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.4.7 P100 L 27 MyBallot # 1487

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ4/92-100-27: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1519.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.4.8.2

P102

L 15

MyBallot # 1488

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ4/93-102-15: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.5.1.2 P104 L3 MyBallot # 1489

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ4/94-104-3: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.5.2 P105 / 14 MvBallot # 1490

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/95-105-14: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SugaestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 45 SC 45.2.5.3 P106 MyBallot # 1491 Cl 45 SC 45.2.5.6.3 P107 MyBallot # 1494 L 17 L 34 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ4/96-106-17: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/99-107-34: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1519. Cl 45 SC 45.2.5.3 P106 14 MyBallot # 1492 Cl 45 SC 45.2.5.8.1 P108 13 MyBallot # 1495 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/97-106-4: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow. DVJ4/100-108-3; This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1519. See MyBallot comment #1427. C/ 45 SC 45.2.5.6.2 P107 13 MyBallot # 1493 Cl 45 SC 45.2.5.9 P109 L 20 MvBallot # 1496 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/98-107-3: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/101-109-20: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response

See MyBallot comment #1427.

REJECT.

Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

See MyBallot comment #1427.

REJECT.

Response Status W

Page 226 of 319

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.1 P111 MyBallot # 1497 Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.12 P117 MyBallot # 1507 L 10 L 20 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/102-111-10: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for DVJ4/112-117-20: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.10 P116 / 39 MyBallot # 1505 Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.13 P117 L 36 MyBallot # 1506 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/110-116-39: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for DVJ4/111-117-36: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1427. See MyBallot comment #1427. C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.11 P117 13 MyBallot # 1508 Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.2 P112 L19 MvBallot # 1499 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ4/113-117-3: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the DVJ4/104-112-19: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow. column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already. mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

See MyBallot comment #1519.

REJECT.

1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 45 SC 45.2.6.2 P112 L32 MyBallot # 1498

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/103-112-32: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.5 P113 L31 MyBallot # 1500

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/105-113-31: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl **45** SC **45.2.6.5** P**113** L**5** MyBallot # 1501

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ4/106-113-5: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials.

Proposed Response Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1519.

CI 45 SC 45.2.6.7 P114 L25 MyBallot # 1502

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/107-114-25: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl **45** SC **45.2.6.8** P**115** L**19** MyBallot # [1504

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/109-115-19: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.9 P115 L43 MyBallot # 1503

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/108-115-43: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.1 P118 L45 MyBallot # 1509

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/114-118-45: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.3 P119 L13 MyBallot # 1512

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/117-119-13: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl **45** SC **45.2.7.4** P**119** L **36** MyBallot # 1511

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/116-119-36: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl **45** SC **45.2.7.5** P**119** L **52** MyBallot # 1510

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/115-119-52: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl **45** SC **45.2.7.6** P**120** L **14** MyBallot # [1513]

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/118-120-14: This column (and others throughout) are \_very\_ confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl **45** SC **45.2.8.1** P**120** L**51** MyBallot # 1514

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ4/119-120-51: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1519.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 45 SC 45.2.8.2 P121 MyBallot # 1515 L12 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/120-121-12: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.8.3 P121 / 34 MyBallot # 1517

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ4/122-121-34: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1519.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.9.1 P121 L 53 MyBallot # 1516

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ4/121-121-53: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1519.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.2

P122

L 36

MyBallot # 1518

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ4/123-122-36: This column (and others throughout) are very confusing. The title for the column (which represents a set of values) is the same as one of the elements of the set.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: Change the header to "Type", as was done some other places already.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1427.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.9.3 P123 15 MyBallot # 1519

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ4/124-123-5: The definition of OUI mapping is vague and hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Show the mapping in a figure. 2) Use hex digits in the illustration, and the typical OUI mapping used throughout IEEE/RAC tutorials.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

Reference is made to subclause 22.2.4.3.1 where both description and illustration of the mapping is provided, IEEE Std 802-2001 provides the mapping from the IEEE/RAC hex digits to bits. The committee believes it is better to have one figure referenced multiple times rather than a figure repeater multiple time.

Cl 45 SC 45.4.1 P127 / 1 MvBallot # 1521

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ4/126-127-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SugaestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 230 of 319

Cl 45 SC 45.4.1 Cl 45 SC 45.4.1, 45.4.2 P125 L11 MyBallot # 105

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The 'NOTE' permits the use of a weak resistive pull up on the MDIO electrical interface. No definition (or even guidance as to the meaning) of "weak" is offered in Clause 45, but Clause 22 does offer some, in the NOTE to Table 22-13 (page 43, section 2, lines 35 to 40). Here a "resistive pull-up" of 1.5 kohm +/- 5% is allowed on MDIO, exactly matched by the allowed IIL on line 23. A "weak resistive pull-up" is allowed on COL, and the current specification implies (on a similar basis) a resistance of over 27 kohm. In passing, I would note that the XENPAK R3.0 spec, which references the Clause 45 spec for the "Transceiver Monitoring" interface (10.5), calls for 10-22k resistive pull-ups on "Low Speed Signals" in section 10.5.2, Figure 13 and Table 9, though not mentioning any value in the specific data for MDIO and MDC in Table 11, where some other pins are so mentioned.

The risetime implied by the >27 kohm Clause 22 "weak" pull-up and the Clause 45 maximum 470 pF bus capacitance is at least 12.7 usecs, almost 80 times the minimum MDC high time specified in 802.3 subclause 22.2.2.11 (and 30 times the full MDC period). (The worst case XENPAK-based numbers give about 7 usecs, 44 times the MDC high time.) Starting from the maximum VOL (unlikely limit at such a low current!), MDC will take ~1.5 usec to reach the VIL limit, and over 10usec more to reach the VIH limit. During a READ operation, the STA must clock in the data on the rising edge of MDC (45.4.2 line 45), while the MMD should change to the next bit after MDC reaches VIH of the same edge (Fig 45-4). With such a slow rise time, this would require an extremely precise (essentially impractical) VIH threshold for the MMD, unless the STA clocks in the data BEFORE the MDC reaches VIL (possible since the STA controls the MDC signal). So even very slow MDC clock rates have serious practical problems with this slow rise time.

The fall time (controlled by IOL per line 29, with the worst case capacitance) is under about 120 nsecs, and only the STA takes any action on this edge, so it causes much less of a problem.

If we assume that the pull-up on MDC (and MDIO) uses the "resistive pull-up" value of the NOTE to Table 22-13 (1.5 kohm), the timing issues above are reduced by factors of 18. The 1.2V VDD and 4mA IOL values of the 45.4.1 subclause allow a resistive pull-up of about 330 ohms, which would improve the timing issues above by a factor of over 80. However, there is still a problem with the MDC-to-MDIO-sourced-from-MMD minimum delay specification.

As the specification currently stands, the MDIO sourced by MMD must not change until MDC reaches within ~0 nV of VIH, but must change within 300 nsec of MDC reaching ~0 nV above VIH. In practice, a fast-rising MDC will mask this problem, provided that the actual delay from MDC crossing the actual MMD threshold to the MDIO changing is greater than the delay before MDC crosses the VIH minimum value, but the basic problem remains, since the latter number depends on the rate of rise of MDC.

# SuggestedRemedy

1. In Line 11 in the Note to 45.4.1, change "open drain buffers and a weak resistive pull up" to "open drain buffers and a resistive pull-up" (NB this also fixes the [editorial] inconsistency between "pull-up" in Clause 22 and "pull up" in Clause 45).

2. In 45.4.2, line 45, insert "beginning of the " before "rising edge of MDC." (or change "with respect to" to "before the" in line 44, or other equivalent wording). Change Figure 45-4 on page 126 so that the "0 nsec MIN" delay is from MDC crossing VIL, while retaining the "300 nsec MAX" from MDC crossing VIH. (See attached drawing as a guide).

 Proposed Response
 Response Status
 W

 ACCEPT.
 Cl 45 SC 45.5
 P127 L2 MyBallot # 1522

 JAMES, DAVID V
 Incomparison of the property of the prope

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/127-127-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 45, ... ==> ... Clause 45

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.3 P127 L12 MyBallot # 1520

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ4/125-127-12: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 45 SC 45.5.4.3 P128 MyBallot # 1525 Cl 45 SC 45.5.5 P128 MyBallot # 1527 L12 L 42 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Status A DVJ4/130-128-12: These blank lines look like errors. DVJ4/132-128-42: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is Center all "Item" column cells. too difficult to generate. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1541. Cl 45 SC 45.5.5 P128 L 42 MyBallot # 1523 Cl 45 SC 45.5.4.3 P128 19 MyBallot # 1528 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/128-128-42: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ4/133-128-9: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 45 SC 45.5.4.3 P128 MyBallot # 1526 L9 Cl 45 SC 45.5.5 P128 L 43 MyBallot # 1524 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/131-128-9: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ4/129-128-43: These blank lines look like errors.

of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

too difficult to generate.

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is

Response Status W

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

REJECT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 232 of 319

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.10 P145 MyBallot # 1571 Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.11 P146 L4 MyBallot # 1574 L 41 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ4/176-145-41: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ4/179-146-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.10 P145 L 42 MyBallot # 1569 Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.11 P146 L4 MyBallot # 1572 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ4/174-145-42: These blank lines look like errors. DVJ4/177-146-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are too difficult to generate. not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1541. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.10 P145 MyBallot # 1568 L 42 Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.11 P146 **L6** MyBallot # 1573 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/173-145-42: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

REJECT.

DVJ4/178-146-6: These blank lines look like errors.

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is

Response Status W

Proposed Response

SuggestedRemedy

See MyBallot comment #1541.

too difficult to generate.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 233 of 319

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.11 P147 L 2 MyBallot # 1575 Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.12 P148 **L6** MyBallot # 1579 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/180-147-2: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ4/184-148-6: These blank lines look like errors. of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are too difficult to generate. not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1541. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.13 P148 L 30 MyBallot # 1580 Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.11 P147 L4 MyBallot # 1576 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/185-148-30: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ4/181-147-4: These blank lines look like errors. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is Proposed Response Response Status W too difficult to generate. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1541. CI 45 SC 45.5.5.13 P148 L 30 MyBallot # 1577 JAMES, DAVID V L5 C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.12 P148 MyBallot # 1581 Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor JAMES. DAVID V DVJ4/182-148-30: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor of "Comment". DVJ4/186-148-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Center all "Item" column cells. not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 234 of 319

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.13 P148 MyBallot # 1578 Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.13 P149 L7 MyBallot # 1583 L32 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Type Comment Status R ER Comment Status R DVJ4/183-148-32: These blank lines look like errors. DVJ4/188-149-7: These blank lines look like errors. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. too difficult to generate. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1541. See MyBallot comment #1541. Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.13 P149 14 MyBallot # 1582 Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15 P150 14 MyBallot # 1587 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/187-149-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ4/192-150-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Proposed Response Response Status W not. Do changes to make them all consistent. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 45 SC 45.5.5.15 P150 MyBallot # 1585 L4 JAMES, DAVID V 14 C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.13 P149 MvBallot # 1584 Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type JAMES. DAVID V DVJ4/190-150-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor of "Comment". DVJ4/189-149-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 235 of 319

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15 P150 L7 MyBallot # 1586 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/191-150-7: These blank lines look like errors. SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1541. Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15 P151 13 MyBallot # 1590 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/195-151-3: These blank lines look like errors. SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

P151

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.15

L31

MyBallot # 1591

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER

Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/196-151-31: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15

P151

L 31

MyBallot # 1588

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type

Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/193-151-31: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15 P151

L 34

MyBallot # 1589

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type ER

DVJ4/194-151-34: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

CI 45 SC 45.5.5.17 P152

L4 MvBallot # 1595

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/200-152-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SugaestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 236 of 319

Cl 45

SC 45.5.5.17

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.17 P152 **L6** MyBallot # 1593

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/198-152-6: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.18 P152 / 39 MyBallot # 1594

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/199-152-39: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 P152 MvBallot # 1592 SC 45.5.5.18 L 39

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/197-152-39: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind

of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.19 P153

L11

MyBallot # 1597

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/202-153-11: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.19 P153 L9 MyBallot # 1596

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/201-153-9: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.2 P129 14 MvBallot # 1529

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/134-129-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 237 of 319

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.2 P129 **L** 5 MyBallot # 1531 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A DVJ4/136-129-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.2 P129 **L8** MyBallot # 1530

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/135-129-8: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P130 MyBallot # 1532 L 10 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type ER

IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/137-130-10: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3

P130

L11

MyBallot # 1534

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/139-130-11: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P130 L 13 MyBallot # 1533

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/138-130-13: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Response Status W Proposed Response REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

CI 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P131 MyBallot # 1535 L4

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type ER DVJ4/140-131-4: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

SC 45.5.5.3

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P132 **L** 5 MyBallot # 1536

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/141-132-5: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P133 14 MyBallot # 1537

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/142-133-4: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P134 13 MyBallot # 1538

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/143-134-3: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P135

MyBallot # 1541

L4

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/146-135-4: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

JAMES, DAVID V

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

We assume you are referring to the empty cell in this table rather than a blank line.

This entry in the table is empty because there is no value or comment necessary. The committee believes this is obvious to the reader and that the addition of extra characters decreases readability.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.4 P135

L 46

MvBallot # 1542

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/147-135-46: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.4

MyBallot # 1539 L 46

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/144-135-46: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

P135

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 239 of 319

Cl 45

SC 45.5.5.4

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.4 P135 MyBallot # 1540 L 47 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/145-135-47: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.5 P136 14 MyBallot # 1543

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/148-136-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind

of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy

> Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.5 P136 14 MvBallot # 1545

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/150-136-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.5

P136

L6

MyBallot # 1544

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ4/149-136-6: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.5 P137 L 2 MyBallot # 1546

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor DVJ4/151-137-2: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 45 SC 45.5.5.5 P137 L4 MvBallot # 1547

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type DVJ4/152-137-4: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred), 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.5 P138 L 1 MyBallot # 1548 Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.6 P139 L7 MyBallot # 1552 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/153-138-1: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ4/157-139-7: These blank lines look like errors. of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are too difficult to generate. not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1541. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 45 SC 45.5.5.7 P139 L 30 MyBallot # 1553 Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.5 P138 L4 MyBallot # 1549 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status R Comment Type ER DVJ4/158-139-30: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ4/154-138-4: These blank lines look like errors. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is Proposed Response Response Status W too difficult to generate. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1541. CI 45 SC 45.5.5.7 P139 MyBallot # 1550 L 30 JAMES, DAVID V 14 C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.6 P139 MvBallot # 1554 Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type JAMES. DAVID V DVJ4/155-139-30: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor of "Comment". DVJ4/159-139-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Center all "Item" column cells. not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Response Status W

Page 241 of 319

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.7 P139 L 33 MyBallot # 1551

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/156-139-33: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.7 P140 L2 MyBallot # [1555

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/160-140-2: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **45** SC **45.5.5.7** P**140** L**7** MyBallot # 1556

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/161-140-7: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.7

P141

MyBallot # 1557

L 2

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ4/162-141-2: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.7 P141 L3 MyBallot # 1558

JAMES, DAVID V

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl **45** SC **45.5.5.7** P**142** L**2** MyBallot # 1559

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ4/164-142-2: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind

of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 242 of 319

CI 45 SC 45.5.5.7 P142 L5 MyBallot # 1560
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/165-142-5: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl **45** SC **45.5.5.7** P **143** L **4** MyBallot # 1563

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/168-143-4: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.8 P143 L36 MyBallot # 1564

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ4/169-143-36: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.8 P143

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

MyBallot # 1561

L 36

DVJ4/166-143-36: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.8 P143 L 38 MyBallot # 1562

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/167-143-38: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl **45** SC **45.5.5.8** P**144** L**5** MyBallot # [1567]

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ4/172-144-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.8 P144 L5 MyBallot # 1565

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/170-144-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **45** SC **45.5.5.8** P**144** L**7** MyBallot # 1566

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/171-144-7: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl **45** SC **45.5.5.8** P**145** L**4** MyBallot # 1570

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/175-145-4: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 45A SC 45A.3, 45A.4 P438 L0 MyBallot # 109

BRADSHAW, P D

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Both 45A.3 and 45A.4 describe a "protocol aware voltage translator" as being required to interface between Clause 22 and Clause 45 voltage and protocol devices. Both sya that "the device must have knowledge of any Clause 22 PHY addresses present ...". However, it is possible to use a voltage translator that is protocol-independant, and will work perfectly well between Clause 22 and Clause 45 levels, using nothing more than appropriately-biased NMOS FETs between the groups of lines involved, and the pull-up resistors shown in Figures 45A-3 and 45A-4. I have attached two pages from an I2C specification document (from Philips Semiconductor), showing a suitable arrangement, though in that case interfacing between (open drain) 3.3V and 5V levels. By appropriate changes in the connections of the pull-up resistors, and the gate voltage bias on the FETS. the circuit can be readily chazned to operate between 1.2V and 3.3V or 5V. Matched sets of such FETs are available from several suppliers, often including an additional FET that can be used to set the gate bias level to be corrected for the VGS threshold voltage level of the FETs. A succession of such protocol-independant circuits has been used successfully to interface MMD-protocol devices operating at 1.2V, 1.5V, 2.4V, and 3.3V, all the devices being able to talk to each other as needed. The only limitation involves the speed limits set by the v arious resistive pull-ups, which must (in some cases) be strong enough to pull the higher-voltage signals up high enough to function, while (in aggregate) not overloading the pulldown capability of the driver(s).

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the requirement (especially via the use of "must") of protocol-awareness in the descriptions of the level shifting circuits.

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

This is an informative Annex and implementers may produce conformant behavior with simplified logic.

Cl 46 SC 46.1 P155 L28 MyBallot # 1598

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/203-155-28: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.

SuggestedRemedy

JAMES, DAVID V

Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 46 SC 46.1 P155 L 42 MyBallot # 1599 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/204-155-42: Inconsistent with Clause 3 title. SuggestedRemedy Media Access Control ==> media access control Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #200. C/ 46 SC 464 P173 L1 MyBallot # 1601 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status A Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/206-173-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement" SuggestedRemedy Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with

Section 1, page 444, line 33. Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

C/ 46 SC 46.5 P173 L 2 MyBallot # 1602

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/207-173-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 46, ... ==> ... Clause 46

Response Status W Proposed Response

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

SC 46.5.1 Cl 46

P173

MyBallot # 1600 L12

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/205-173-12: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 46 SC 46.5.2.3 P174 L 21 MyBallot # 1606

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ4/211-174-21: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 46 SC 46.5.2.3 P174 L 22 MyBallot # 1604

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/209-174-22: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 46 SC 46.5.3 P174 MyBallot # 1603 Cl 46 SC 46.5.3.2 P175 **L**5 MyBallot # 1608 L38 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ4/208-174-38: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ4/213-175-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Proposed Response Response Status W not. Do changes to make them all consistent. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900. C/ 46 SC 46.5.3.3 P176 L 23 MvBallot # 1609 JAMES. DAVID V Cl 46 SC 46.5.3 P174 L 38 MyBallot # 1605 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/214-176-23: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER of "Comment". DVJ4/210-174-38; Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Center all "Item" column cells. not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 46 SC 46.5.3.2 P175 14 MyBallot # 1607 C/ 46 SC 46.5.3.3 P176 L 23 MvBallot # 1610 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/212-175-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ4/215-176-23: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Response Status W

Page 246 of 319

Cl 46 SC 46.5.3.3

Cl 46 SC 46.5.3.3 P176 **L** 5 MyBallot # 1611 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ4/216-176-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 46 SC 46.5.3.5 P177 L 20 MyBallot # 1614 JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ4/219-177-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. Cl 46

SC 46.5.3.6 P177 L 41 MyBallot # 1613

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/218-177-41: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 46 SC 46.5.3.6

P177

L 41

MyBallot # 1612

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/217-177-41: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

Cl 47 SC 47.1

P179

L 15

MyBallot # 1615

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/220-179-15: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.

SuggestedRemedy

Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 47 SC 47.5 P190

/ 1

MvBallot # 1617

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/222-190-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 247 of 319

CI 47 SC 47.5

CI 47 SC 47.5 P190 L 1 MyBallot # 1618 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/223-190-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided). SuggestedRemedy ... Clause 47, ... ==> ... Clause 47 Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #233. Cl 47 SC 47.6.1 P190 L12 MyBallot # 1616 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status A Comment Type ER IFFF-SA Editor DVJ4/221-190-12: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are

two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

Cl 47 SC 47.6.2.2 P191 MyBallot # 1619 L 17 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/224-191-17: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind

SuggestedRemedy

of "Comment".

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 47 SC 47.6.2.2 P191 L 18

JAMES, DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ4/229-191-18: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 47 SC 47.6.2.2 P191 L 20 MyBallot # 1621

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/226-191-20: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

CI 47 SC 47.6.2.2 P191 MvBallot # 1623 L 26

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type

DVJ4/228-191-26: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MvBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 248 of 319 CI 47 SC 47.6.2.2

MyBallot # 1624

Cl 47 SC 47.6.2.2 P191 L4 MyBallot # 1625
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/230-191-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 47 SC 47.6.2.2 P191 L 40 MyBallot # 1620

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/225-191-40: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #353.

Cl 47 SC 47.6.2.2 P191 L40 MyBallot # 1622

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ4/227-191-40: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 48 SC 48.6 P223 L1 MyBallot # [1627]
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/232-223-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 48 SC 48.7 P223 L2 MyBallot # 1628

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/233-223-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma for Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) and Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer, type 10GBASE-X5 ==> Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma for Clause 48

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MvBallot comment #233.

IEEE-SA Editor

Cl 48 SC 48.7.1 P 223 MyBallot # 1626 L14 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type ER

DVJ4/231-223-14: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 48 SC 48.7.2.2 P 224 L 20 MyBallot # 1633

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ4/238-224-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

C/ 48 SC 48.7.2.2 P 224 L 20 MyBallot # 1629

JAMES, DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ4/234-224-20: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 48 SC 48.7.2.2

P 224

L 29

MyBallot # 1631

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/236-224-29: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred), 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 48 SC 48.7.2.2 P 224 / 40 MyBallot # 1630

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ4/235-224-40: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

P 224 CI 48 SC 48.7.2.2 L 44 MvBallot # 1632

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type

DVJ4/237-224-44: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred), 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 250 of 319

Cl 48 SC 48.7.2.2

Cl 48 SC 48.7.4.2 P225 L4 MyBallot # [1634]
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ4/239-225-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind
of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MvBallot comment #1900.

CI 48 SC 48.7.4.3 P 226 L 20 MyBallot # 1636

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/241-226-20: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 48 SC 48.7.4.3 P 226 L 5 MyBallot # 1635

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ4/240-226-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind

 $\label{eq:DVJ4/240-226-5} DVJ4/240-226-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".$ 

Suggested Remedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 49 SC 49.1.2 P228 L10 MyBallot # 1637

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ4/242-228-10: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.

SuggestedRemedy

Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.

Proposed Response Status **W** 

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 49 SC 49.2.15 P251 L1 MyBallot # [1639

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/244-251-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status **W** 

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 49 SC 49.3 P251 L2 MyBallot # 1640

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/245-251-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 49, ... ==> ... Clause 49

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 251 of 319

Cl 49 SC 49.3

Cl 49 SC 49.3.1 P 251 MyBallot # 1638 L12 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER

DVJ4/243-251-12: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 49 SC 49.3.3 P 252 L14 MyBallot # 1643

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/248-252-14: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred), 2) Fill themm with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 49 SC 49.3.3 P 252 L 14 MyBallot # 1641

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/246-252-14: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 49, ... ==> ... Clause 49

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 49 SC 49.3.3 P 252 **L**5 MvBallot # 1644

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/249-252-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900

Cl 49 SC 49.3.4.1

L 29

MyBallot # 1642

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/247-252-29: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

P 252

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 49 SC 49.3.4.2 P 253

L18

MyBallot # 1645

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type ER

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/250-253-18: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 252 of 319

Cl 49 SC 49.3.4.2

IEEE P802.3REVam Draft 2.0 Comments Cl 49 SC 49.3.4.2 P 253 L4 MyBallot # 1646 Cl 49 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type DVJ4/251-253-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. Cl 49 SC 49.3.5.1 P 254 L 19 MyBallot # 1648 C/ 49 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type

DVJ4/253-254-19: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 49 SC 49.3.5.1 P 254 L30 MyBallot # 1647 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ4/252-254-30: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

SC 49.3.5.1 P 254 MvBallot # 1649 L4

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A DVJ4/254-254-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Response Status W

See MvBallot comment #1900

SC 49.3.5.1 P 255 L16 MyBallot # 1651

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/256-255-16: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900

C/ 49 SC 49.3.5.1 P 255 L 27 MyBallot # 1650 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ4/255-255-27: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 253 of 319

C/ 49 SC 49.3.5.1

Cl 49 SC 49.3.5.1 P 255 L4 MyBallot # 1652

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ4/257-255-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind

of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy

> Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

CI 4A SC 4A.1.4 P 575 L17 MyBallot # 101 BROWN, BENJAMIN J

Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type TR

Part 1: The matching subclause in 4 was removed during working group ballot.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove this subclause and associated figure. Also, the figure references are to annex 9A, not 4A. These should be fixed throughout the annex.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT.

CI 4A SC 4A.1.4 P 575 L 33 MvBallot # 677

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/518-575-33: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 4A SC 4A.2.1

P576

MyBallot # 678

L 26

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ1/519-576-26: If the normative text is defined in Pascal, the Pascal code must, be defined in a normative (not informative) reference.

SuggestedRemedy

JAMES, DAVID V

1) Pick on of the reference to be the normative one, the other informative. 2) Change code to use only the normative Pascal code.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The two references are required for Pascal and the extensions to Pascal used in specifying the MAC. The pointer to the references is consistent with Clause 4 and the ability of hundreds of implementers to independently build interoperable Clause 4 MACs with hundreds of millions of installed product demonstrates the references used are not a problem.

CI 4A SC 4A.2.2.2 P 577 L 24 MyBallot # 679

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ1/520-577-24: There is no technical reference for the definitions of "Concurrent Pascal"

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Provide a normative reference. 2) Change the code to use only the ANSI standard Pascal.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

Se MyBallot comment #678.

CI 4A P 578 SC 4A.2.2.3 L16 MvBallot # 680

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ1/521-578-16: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 254 of 319

Cl 4A SC 4A.2.2.3

Cl 4A SC 4A.2.2.3 P581 L49 MyBallot # 681

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ1/522-581-49: Confusing title

SuggestedRemedy

Merge the two quasi titles: "c) MAC sublayer" "Control flow" into one title. Do this in previous figures also.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The titles of Figures 4A-3a through 4A-3c will be corrected and that title that is part of the figure will be removed.

Cl 4A SC 4A.4.2 P 596 L 37 MyBallot # 682

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ1/523-596-37: These font sizes look wrong.

SuggestedRemedy

Fix them.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 50 SC 50.1.2 P258 L35 MyBallot # 1653

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ4/258-258-35: IEEE Style Manual avoids ALL CAPS and Some Caps in the same figure.

SuggestedRemedy

Don't use the ALL CAPS notation, in this and other figures.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

C/ 50 SC 50.5 P287 L1 MyBallot # 1655

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/260-287-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 50 SC 50.6 P287 L2 MyBallot # 1656

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/261-287-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 50. ... ==> ... Clause 50

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

C/ 50 SC 50.6.1 P 287 MvBallot # 1654 L12 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER

DVJ4/259-287-12: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 50 SC 50.6.2.2 P 289 L 20 MyBallot # 1658

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ4/263-289-20: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

C/ 50 SC 50.6.2.2 P 289 MvBallot # 1657 L 35

JAMES. DAVID V

IFFF-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ4/262-289-35: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

Cl 50 SC 50.6.2.2

P 289

MvBallot # 1659

L4

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/264-289-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900

Cl 50 SC 50.6.2.2 P 290

L 2 MyBallot # 1661

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/266-290-2: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

SC 50.6.4.3 C/ 50

P 290

L 36

MyBallot # 1660

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type ER

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/265-290-36: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 50 SC 50.6.4.4 P291 L44 MyBallot # 1662

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/267-291-44: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 50 SC 50.6.4.5 P 292 L 4 MyBallot # 1664

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/269-292-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 50 SC 50.6.4.6 P292 L36 MyBallot # 1663

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ4/268-292-36: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind
of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 50 SC 50.6.4.7 P293 L4 MyBallot # 1665
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/270-293-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind

of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900

Cl 51 SC 51.1 P310 L2 MyBallot # 1668

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/273-310-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 51, ... ==> ... Clause 51

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MvBallot comment #233.

Cl 51 SC 51.10.1 P310 L12 MyBallot # 1666

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ4/271-310-12: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 257 of 319

C/ 51 SC 51.10.1

IFFF-SA Editor

C/ 51 SC 51.10.3 P312 MyBallot # 1670 L 19 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/275-312-19: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 51 SC 51.10.3 P312 14 MyBallot # 1674 JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/279-312-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 51 SC 51.10.4.1 P312 L 30 MvBallot # 1673 JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/278-312-30: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 51 SC 51.10.4.1

P312

MyBallot # 1671

L32

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/276-312-32: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

JAMES, DAVID V

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred), 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

Cl 51 SC 51.10.4.2 P312 L 38 MyBallot # 1672

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ4/277-312-38: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 51 SC 51.10.4.2 P312 L 41 MvBallot # 1669

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type DVJ4/274-312-41: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred), 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

C/ 51 SC 51.10.4.3 P313 **L** 5 MyBallot # 1676 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER

DVJ4/281-313-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

C/ 51 SC 51.10.4.3 P313 L8 MyBallot # 1675 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type ER DVJ4/280-313-8: These blank cells look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

SC 51.9 L1 C/ 51 P310 MvBallot # 1667 JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type

Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ4/272-310-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.14.4 P350 MyBallot # 107 L 41 KOLESAR, PAUL R F

Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type TR

The referenced singlemode connector performance specification, IEC 61753-021-2, provides several classes of performance that differ by return loss. Of these classes. performance Class S matches the specifications of clause 52, by specifying a 26 dB return loss requirement.

The reference should be made more specific by including a designation of this performance class.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify the reference as follows:

b) IEC 61753-021-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class S.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT.

Cl **52** SC **52.14.4** P**350** L**43** MyBallot # 5

Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The resolution to comment #83 on the previous ballot called for modification of the reference to IEC 61753-022-2 to add a specific performance class that is aligned with the specifications for multimode connectors within standard 802.3. This modification was not made where the reference is called out in clause 52. Make the modification as previously agreed. The agreed resolution is reproduced here for reference:

Accept in principle.

Modify the clause 52 and 53 references as follows:

c) IEC 61753-022-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class M.

This is based on the following email from e-mail from Paul Kolesar: I've obtained the standard and checked its contents. I believe it meets the expectations of IEEE P802.3ae where referenced in clauses 52.14.4 and 53.14.3, with one possible exception that deserves clarification in the referencing clauses. The exception has to do with the fact that 61753-022-2 specified two performance levels delineated by class designations M and N. Class M meets the 0.75 dB attenuation and 20 dB return loss requirements of 802.3 for multimode connectors, while class N does not. Class N attenuation is 1.25 dB max and has no return loss requirements. Therefore, I believe comment #83 should spawn additional action to insert a designation of Class M into the places where it is called out in clauses 52 and 53 (and any other places).

#### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest modifying the clause 52 and 53 references as follows:

c) IEC 61753-022-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class M.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT.

CI 52 SC 52.14.4 P350 L43 MyBallot # 114 KOLESAR, PAUL R F

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The resolution to comment #83 on the D1.0 ballot called for modification of the reference to IEC 61753-022-2 to add a specific performance class that is aligned with the specifications for multimode connectors within standard 802.3. This modification was not made where the reference is called out in clause 52. Make the modification as previously agreed. The agreed resolution is reproduced here for reference: Accept in principle.

Modify the clause 52 references as follows:

c) IEĆ 61753-022-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class M.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Modify the clause 52 references as follows:

c) IEC 61753-022-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class M.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT.

CI 52 SC 52.14.4 P351 L1 MyBallot # 1678

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/283-351-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

#### SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15 P351 L4 MyBallot # 1679 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/284-351-4: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 52, ... ==> ... Clause 52

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

CI 52 SC 52.15.1 P351 L 15 MyBallot # 1677 JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type ER

IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ4/282-351-15: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.2.3 P352 L 21 MyBallot # 1680 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/285-352-21: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.2.3 P352

MyBallot # 1681

L 21

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/286-352-21: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 52 SC 52.15.3 P353 L 28 MyBallot # 1684

JAMES. DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ4/289-353-28: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3 P353 L 28 MyBallot # 1682

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/287-353-28: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 261 of 319

CI 52 SC 52.15.3

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3 P353 L7 MyBallot # 1683 Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.10 P356 MyBallot # 1698 L 36 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/288-353-7: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ4/303-356-36: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 52 SC 52.15.3 P353 L8 MyBallot # 1685 CI 52 SC 52.15.3.11 P357 L4 MyBallot # 1705 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ4/310-357-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ4/290-353-8: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 52 SC 52.15.3.10 P356 L 36 MyBallot # 1700 CI 52 SC 52.15.3.11 P357 L4 MyBallot # 1703 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/305-356-36: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ4/308-357-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 262 of 319

C/ 52 SC 52.15.3.11

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.12 P357 MyBallot # 1704 Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.3 P354 L 5 MyBallot # 1691 L 18 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Status A DVJ4/309-357-18: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ4/296-354-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 52 SC 52.15.3.12 P357 L 18 MyBallot # 1702 CI 52 SC 52.15.3.4 P354 L16 MyBallot # 1687 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status A ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/307-357-18: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ4/292-354-16: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 52 SC 52.15.3.3 P354 14 MyBallot # 1688 CI 52 SC 52.15.3.4 P354 L16 MyBallot # 1690 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/293-354-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ4/295-354-16: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 263 of 319

CI 52 SC 52.15.3.4

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.5 P354 MyBallot # 1686 Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.6 P355 L 5 MyBallot # 1697 L 27 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ4/291-354-27: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ4/302-355-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Proposed Response Response Status W not. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 52 SC 52.15.3.7 P355 L16 MyBallot # 1693 JAMES. DAVID V CI 52 SC 52.15.3.5 P354 L 28 MyBallot # 1689 Comment Status A JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/298-355-16: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER of "Comment". DVJ4/294-354-28: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 52 SC 52.15.3.6 P355 14 MyBallot # 1694 CI 52 SC 52.15.3.7 P355 L16 MyBallot # 1696 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/299-355-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ4/301-355-16: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Response Status W

Page 264 of 319

C/ 52 SC 52.15.3.7

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.8 P355 MyBallot # 1692 L 29 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ4/297-355-29: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind

of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

CI 52 SC 52.15.3.8 P355 L 29 MyBallot # 1695 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/300-355-29: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 52 SC 52.15.3.9 P356 14 MyBallot # 1699

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/304-356-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SugaestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 52 SC 52.15.3.9

P356 L4 MyBallot # 1701

IEEE-SA Editor

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A ER

DVJ4/306-356-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 52 SC 52.4.14 P350 L 40 MyBallot # 6

LAW. DAVID J

Comment Status A Comment Type TR

IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The referenced singlemode connector performance specification, IEC 61753-021-2. provides several classes of performance that differ by return loss. Of these classes, performance Class S matches the specifications of clause 52, by specifying a 26 dB return loss requirement.

The reference should be made more specific by including a designation of this performance class.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify the reference as follows:

b) IEC 61753-021-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class S.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT.

CI 53 SC 53.14.3 P389 L19 MyBallot # 8

Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The referenced singlemode connector performance specification, IEC 61753-021-2, provides several classes of performance that differ by return loss. Of these classes, performance Class S matches the specifications of clause 52, by specifying a 26 dB return loss requirement.

The reference should be made more specific by including a designation of this performance class.

# SuggestedRemedy

Modify the reference as follows:

b) IEC 61753-021-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class S.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT.

Cl 53 SC 53.14.3 P389 L20 MyBallot # 111

KOLESAR, PAUL R F

Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The referenced singlemode connector performance specification, IEC 61753-021-2, provides several classes of performance that differ by return loss. Of these classes, performance Class S matches the specifications of clause 53, by specifying a 26 dB return loss requirement.

The reference should be made more specific by including a designation of this performance class.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Modify the reference as follows:

b) IEĆ 61753-021-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C - - Controlled environment, performance Class S

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT.

C/ 53 SC 53.14.3 P389 L21 MyBallot # 7

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The resolution to comment #83 during the IEEE P802.3REVam D1.0 Working Group ballot called for modification of the reference to IEC 61753-022-2 to add a specific performance class that is aligned with the specifications for multimode connectors within standard 802.3. This modification was not made where the reference is called out in clause 52. Make the modification as previously agreed. The agreed resolution is reproduced here for reference:

#### Accept in principle.

Modify the clause 52 and 53 references as follows:

c) IEC 61753-022-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class M.

This is based on the following email from e-mail from Paul Kolesar: I've obtained the standard and checked its contents. I believe it meets the expectations of IEEE P802.3ae where referenced in clauses 52.14.4 and 53.14.3, with one possible exception that deserves clarification in the referencing clauses. The exception has to do with the fact that 61753-022-2 specified two performance levels delineated by class designations M and N. Class M meets the 0.75 dB attenuation and 20 dB return loss requirements of 802.3 for multimode connectors, while class N does not. Class N attenuation is 1.25 dB max and has no return loss requirements. Therefore, I believe comment #83 should spawn additional action to insert a designation of Class M into the places where it is called out in clauses 52 and 53 (and any other places).

#### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest modifying the clause 52 and 53 references as follows:

c) IEC 61753-022-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class M.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT.

Cl 53 SC 53.14.3 P389 MyBallot # 112 L 22 KOLESAR, PAUL R F

Comment Type TR IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Status A

The resolution to comment #83 during the IEEE P802.3REVam D1.0 Working Group ballot called for modification of the reference to IEC 61753-022-2 to add a specific performance class that is aligned with the specifications for multimode connectors within standard 802.3. This modification was not made where the reference is called out in clause 53. Make the modification as previously agreed. The agreed resolution is reproduced here for reference: Accept in principle. Modify the clause 52 and 53 references as follows:

c) IEC 61753-022-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class M.

SugaestedRemedy

Modify the clause 52 and 53 references as follows: c) IEC 61753-022-2 -- Fibre optic ... Category C -- Controlled environment, performance Class M.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT.

Cl 53 SC 53.14.3 P390 L 1 MyBallot # 1707

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor ER

DVJ4/312-390-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

Cl 53 SC 53.15 P390

**L3** 

MyBallot # 1708

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type

DVJ4/313-390-3: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 53, ... ==> ... Clause 53

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

C/ 53 SC 53.15.1 P390 L14 MyBallot # 1706

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type ER

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/311-390-14: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 53 SC 53.15.3 P391 L 21 MyBallot # 1709 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/314-391-21: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 53 SC 53.15.3 P391 MyBallot # 1710 Cl 53 SC 53.15.4.2 P393 L 5 MyBallot # 1716 L 21 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ4/315-391-21: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ4/321-393-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 53 SC 53.15.4 P392 L7 MyBallot # 1711 C/ 53 SC 53.15.4.2 P393 L5 MyBallot # 1714 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status A Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ4/316-392-7: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ4/319-393-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 53 SC 53.15.4 P392 L7 MyBallot # 1712 C/ 53 SC 53.15.4.3 P393 L18 MyBallot # 1715 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/317-392-7: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ4/320-393-18: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 268 of 319

C/ 53 SC 53.15.4.3

Cl 53 SC 53.15.4.3 P393 MyBallot # 1713 Cl 53 SC 53.15.4.5 P395 L4 MyBallot # 1719 L 20 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status R Comment Type DVJ4/318-393-20: These blank lines look like errors. DVJ4/324-395-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are too difficult to generate. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1541. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 53 SC 53.15.4.4 P394 14 MyBallot # 1717 CI 53 SC 53.15.4.5 P395 L4 MyBallot # 1720 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ4/322-394-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ4/325-395-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Center all "Item" column cells. not. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 53 SC 53.15.4.6 P398 L5 MyBallot # 1722 14 C/ 53 SC 53.15.4.4 P394 MyBallot # 1718 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/327-398-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ4/323-394-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 269 of 319

Cl 53 SC 53.15.4.6

Cl 53 SC 53.15.4.6 P398 **L** 5 MyBallot # 1721 Cl 54 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ4/326-398-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not. not Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. CI 54 SC 54.1 P421 L3 MyBallot # 1725

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type ER

DVJ4/330-421-3: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 54, ... ==> ... Clause 54

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MvBallot comment #233.

P421 CI 54 SC 54.10.1 **L8** MvBallot # 1723

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ4/328-421-8: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

SC 54.10.3

P422

L4 MvBallot # 1729

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/334-422-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900

CI 54 SC 54.10.3 P422

L5 MyBallot # 1731

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type ER

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/336-422-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 54 SC 54.10.3 P422

**L6** 

MvBallot # 1727

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ4/332-422-6: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

IFFF-SA Editor

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 270 of 319

Cl 54 SC 54.10.3

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4 P422 MyBallot # 1728 Cl 54 SC 54.10.4.1 P423 **L**5 MyBallot # 1732 L 13 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/333-422-13: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ4/337-423-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900. CI 54 SC 54.10.4 P422 L13 MyBallot # 1730 CI 54 SC 54.10.4.1 P423 L5 MyBallot # 1733 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ4/335-422-13; Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ4/338-423-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 54 SC 54.10.4 P422 L 17 MyBallot # 1726 CI 54 SC 54.10.4.1 P424 L2 MyBallot # 1735 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/331-422-17: These blank lines look like errors. DVJ4/340-424-2: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are too difficult to generate.

Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1900.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Proposed Response

Proposed Response

See MyBallot comment #1541.

REJECT.

Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 271 of 319

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4.1

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4.2 P424 MyBallot # 1736 L 20

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A DVJ4/341-424-20: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 54 SC 54.10.4.2 P424 L 21 MyBallot # 1734

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/339-424-21: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 54 SC 54.10.4.3 P425 14 MyBallot # 1738

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/343-425-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4.3 P425

L4

MyBallot # 1739

IEEE-SA Editor

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ4/344-425-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 54 SC 54.10.4.3 P425 **L6** MyBallot # 1737

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ4/342-425-6: These blank lines look like errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: 1) Fill them with useful content (preferred). 2) Fill them with an em dash, if content is too difficult to generate.

Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1541.

CI 54 SC 54.10.4.4 P426 MyBallot # 1741 L4

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ4/346-426-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4.4 P426 L5 MyBallot # 1743

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ4/348-426-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4.5 P426 L21 MyBallot # 1742

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/347-426-21: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 54 SC 54.10.4.5 P426 L21 MyBallot # 1740

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/345-426-21: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 54 SC 54.10.4.6

P**427** L**4** 

MyBallot # 1744

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/349-427-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **54** SC **54.10.4.6** P**427** L**4** MyBallot # 1745

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ4/350-427-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl **54** SC **54.5.4** P**404** L**0** MyBallot # 94
BRADSHAW, P D

Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The remedy to the problem posed by 54.5.4 in 802.3ak does not work. At an ad hoc meeting of the concerned people at the November 04 maintenance meeting, I agreed to submit the attached change to the SIGNAL DETECT function definition.

This comment should be a TR comment.

The attached file shows more detail related to this discussion.

# SuggestedRemedy

Replace paragraphs 2 & 3 of 54.5.4 with the following:-

SIGNAL\_DETECT is a global indicator of the presence of electrical signals on all four lanes. The PMD receiver is not required to verify whether a compliant 10GBASE-CX4 signal is being received; however, it shall assert SIGNAL\_DETECT = OK within 100 s after the absolute differential peak-to-peak input voltage on each of the four lanes at the MDI has exceeded 175 mV for at least 1 UI (unit interval).

After any such assertion of SIGNAL\_DETECT = OK, SIGNAL\_DETECT = FAIL shall not be asserted for at least 250 usecs. The PMD shall have asserted SIGNAL\_DETECT = FAIL when the absolute differential peak-to-peak input voltage on any of the four lanes at the MDI has dropped below 50 mV and has remained below 50 mV for longer than 500 s.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The suggested remedy will be implemented.

It should be noted however that there's a "100 s" in the first paragraph of the suggested remedy that should be a "100us" and a "500 s" in the second paragraph that should be a "500us" This seems to be a printout phenomena but it should be checked to make sure the final publication has these numbers as "100us" and "500us". In addition the text '250 usecs' will be changed to read '250us'.

Cl 54 SC 54.5.4 P 404 L 5 MyBallot # 95
BRADSHAW, P D

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The conditions for asserting SIGNAL\_DETECT = OK depend on the existence of an input signal in excess of a value for 1UI. The intent, as expressed in the 802.3ak Working Group, appeared to me to be as follows:-

If the (as defined) input level exceeds some threshold value, for a time that would occur at least during the time span of an IPG, then SIGNAL\_DETECT = OK is to be asserted.

The minimum IPG will always have at least 2 runs of 3 or more 1s or 0s, including at least one run of 4 or more 1s or 0s. Assuming that the input signal (at least when SIGNAL\_DETECT is "at risk") will have suffered high frequency attenuation, the first bit of such runs may be expected to fall below the threshold, but the later bits will be the "key" area for threshold detection. Thus there is a 'reasonable' expectation that an IPG will have 7 to 9 "full amplitude" bits in a 20 UI interval. I think it would be preferable to have the SIGNAL\_DETECT = OK depend on at least 7 of 20 UI as the time criteria for assertion.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Replace "at least 1 UI (unit interval) " by "at least 7 UI (unit interval) in any consecutive 20 UI block".

Proposed Response Response Status **W** 

REJECT.

This change will make it harder for SIGNAL\_DETECT=OK to be asserted. The whole intent is to get SIGNAL\_DETECT=OK as soon as possible. Stretching out the criteria from 1UI to 7UI does not solve any problem. In fact this comment does not state there is a problem with what is currently there. Since there is no problem stated there does not need to be a remedy and therefore this comment s/b rejected.

Cl 54 SC 54.9 P421 L1 MyBallot # 1724

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ4/329-421-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

# SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.1 P33 L34 MyBallot # 1748

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ5/3-33-34: Its unclear what "left" and "right" mean.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Any mapping should be defined in terms of MSB and LSB. For clarity, the MSB and LSB should always be on the left and right respectively.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The terms "left" and "right" are self explanatory. The use of bit 0 on the left and bit 7 on the right is consistent with the mac frame format shown in Figure 3.1.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.1 P33 L 37 MyBallot # 1747
JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ5/2-33-37: Any mappings of the OUI must be defined in terms of hex digits, not bit numbers. Otherwise, errors can occur in interpretation, particularly since this standard has multiple bit counting schemes.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Define this accurately in terms of hex digit example. The Clause 9 reference doesn't help, since this is nearly unintellegible text.

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

Hex digits are used as textual representations of bits and bytes. Transmission rules are defined in terms of bits/bytes. If the commenter feels Clause 9 of another standard (IEEE Std 802-2001) can be improved, address it there.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.1 P33 L43 MyBallot # 1746

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ5/1-33-43: Don't reference another document, which uses different notation than (many of the) notations within this specification.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Show the OUI layout in detail, or cross reference a part of this spec that does so. Should be defined in terms of hex-digit mappings, not bit mappings.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

Rather than recreate inconsistence descriptions of the OUI layout in multiple IEEE 802 MAC standards we think it is better to reference the one document, IEEE Std 802-2001 which all IEEE 802 documents are required to be consistent with per the IEEE 802 five criteria.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.6 P39 L31 MyBallot # 1749

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ5/4-39-31: If the remainder of the content is not specified, there is nothing to guarantee two things from the same company are misinterpreted, or each division will have to buy their own OUI.

SuggestedRemedy

Define to be a CDI, as specified by the IEEE/RAC.

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

This comment has been discussed multiple times throughout the development of IEEE Std 802.3ah-2004. How organizations manage their OUI space is left to the organization. It is just as easy for an organization to misuse a CDI as it is to misuse a OUI.

CI 57 SC 57.6.5 P52 L1 MyBallot # 1752

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/7-52-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 57, ... ==> ... Clause 57

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 57 SC 57.6.5 P52 L1 MyBallot # 1751

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ5/6-52-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 57 SC 57.7.1 P52 L7 MyBallot # 1750

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/5-52-7: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

Suggested Remedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.2.2 P53 L 1 MyBallot # 1754 Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.1 P53 MyBallot # 1755 L 32 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ5/9-53-1: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of DVJ5/10-53-32: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Proposed Response Response Status W not. Do changes to make them all consistent. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900. CI 57 SC 57.7.3.1 P 54 L1 MyBallot # 1758 JAMES. DAVID V CI 57 SC 57.7.2.2 P53 L 2 MyBallot # 1756 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ5/13-54-1: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER "Comment". DVJ5/11-53-2: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Center all "Item" column cells. not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. P**53** CI 57 SC 57.7.3.1 L31 MyBallot # 1753 CI 57 SC 57.7.3.2 P 54 L 31 MyBallot # 1759 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ5/8-53-31: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of DVJ5/14-54-31: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Response Status W

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.2 P 54 MyBallot # 1757 Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.4 P56 **L3** MyBallot # 1763 L 31 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type DVJ5/12-54-31: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of DVJ5/18-56-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900 CI 57 SC 57.7.3.3 P55 L3 MyBallot # 1761 CI 57 SC 57.7.3.4 P56 L4 MyBallot # 1765 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ5/16-55-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ5/20-56-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. P **56** CI 57 SC 57.7.3.3 P 55 L3 MyBallot # 1760 CI 57 SC 57.7.3.5 L 40 MvBallot # 1764 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ5/15-55-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of DVJ5/19-56-40: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W not. Do changes to make them all consistent. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1900.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 278 of 319

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.5

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.5 P56 MyBallot # 1762 Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.6 P57 **L3** MyBallot # 1769 L 40 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ5/17-56-40: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of DVJ5/24-57-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Proposed Response Response Status W not. Do changes to make them all consistent. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900. CI 57 SC 57.7.3.6 P 57 L3 MyBallot # 1767 JAMES. DAVID V CI 57 SC 57.7.3.6 P**57** L13 MyBallot # 1766 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ5/22-57-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER "Comment". DVJ5/21-57-13: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of SuggestedRemedy "Comment". Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are SuggestedRemedy not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Proposed Response Response Status W not. Do changes to make them all consistent. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 57 SC 57.7.5 P58 14 MvBallot # 1770 JAMES. DAVID V CI 57 SC 57.7.3.6 P 57 L 13 MyBallot # 1768 Comment Type ER Comment Status A JAMES, DAVID V DVJ5/25-58-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER "Comment".

DVJ5/23-57-13: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are

Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1900.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 279 of 319 CI 57 SC 57.7.5

IEEE-SA Editor

IEEE-SA Editor

IEEE-SA Editor

Cl 57 SC 57.7.5 P58 L4 MyBallot # 1771 Cl 58 SC 58.10.2.3 P92 L3 MyBallot # 1780 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ5/26-58-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ5/35-92-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 58 SC 58.1 P91 L3 MyBallot # 1776 CI 58 SC 58.10.2.3 P92 L3 MyBallot # 1778 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ5/31-91-3: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic DVJ5/33-92-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason "Comment". one was not provided). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are ... Clause 58, ... ==> ... Clause 58 not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #233. P**92** CI 58 SC 58.10.3 L 31 MyBallot # 1779 Cl 58 SC 58.10.1 P91 L 10 MyBallot # 1774 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ5/34-92-31: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ5/29-91-10: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance SuggestedRemedy statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Center all "Item" column cells.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 280 of 319

Cl 58 SC 58.10.3

IEEE-SA Editor

IEEE-SA Editor

IEEE-SA Editor

Cl 58 SC 58.10.3 P92 MyBallot # 1777 Cl 58 SC 58.10.3.2 P93 MyBallot # 1784 L 31 L 33 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ5/32-92-31: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of DVJ5/39-93-33: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Proposed Response Response Status W not. Do changes to make them all consistent. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900. CI 58 SC 58.10.3.2 P93 L 33 MyBallot # 1781 JAMES. DAVID V CI 58 SC 58.10.3.2 P93 L 19 MyBallot # 1785 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ5/36-93-33: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER "Comment". DVJ5/40-93-19: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Center all "Item" column cells. not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. P93 C/ 58 SC 58.10.3.2 P93 L19 MyBallot # 1782 CI 58 SC 58.10.3.2 14 MyBallot # 1786 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ5/37-93-19: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of DVJ5/41-93-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Response Status W

Page 281 of 319

C/ 58 SC 58.10.3.2

Cl 58 SC 58.10.3.2 P93 **L** 5 MyBallot # 1783 Cl 58 SC 58.10.3.6 P94 MyBallot # 1788 L 27 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type DVJ5/43-94-27: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of DVJ5/38-93-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900 CI 58 SC 58.10.3.5 P94 L4 MyBallot # 1789 CI 58 SC 58.10.3.6 P94 L 27 MyBallot # 1791 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ5/44-94-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of DVJ5/46-94-27: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Proposed Response Response Status W not. Do changes to make them all consistent. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 58 SC 58.10.3.7 P94 L 43 MyBallot # 1790 JAMES, DAVID V CI 58 SC 58.10.3.5 P94 L4 MyBallot # 1792 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor JAMES, DAVID V DVJ5/45-94-43: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ5/47-94-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Center all "Item" column cells. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 282 of 319

C/ 58 SC 58.10.3.7

Cl 58 SC 58.10.3.7 P94 L43 MyBallot # 1787

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ5/42-94-43: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of
"Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 58 SC 58.7.1.1 P70 L7 MyBallot # 1773

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ5/28-70-7: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 58 SC 58.7.1.1 P70 L8 MyBallot # 1772

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ5/27-70-8: The trailing 'r' on Number is confusing.

SuggestedRemedy

Eliminate this break, in oneway oranother.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

In addition it will also be recommended to the IEEE-SA editor that the superscript 'b' on line 13 related to '1st 'codegroup' that is on a line of its own should also be fixed.

Cl 58 SC 58.9.4 P91 L1 MyBallot # 1775

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/30-91-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 59 SC 59.1 P118 L3 MyBallot # 1795

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/50-118-3: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 59. ... ==> ... Clause 59

Proposed Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.1 P118 *L* 9 MyBallot # 1793 Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.1 P120 L3 MyBallot # 1803 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ5/48-118-9: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are DVJ5/58-120-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance SuggestedRemedy statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement" Center all "Item" column cells. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Section 1, page 444, line 33. See MyBallot comment #1900. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.1 P120 14 MyBallot # 1800 JAMES. DAVID V See MyBallot comment #1900. Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor C/ 59 SC 59.10.3 P119 L3 MyBallot # 1796 DVJ5/55-120-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of JAMES. DAVID V "Comment". Comment Type ER Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor SuggestedRemedy DVJ5/51-119-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are "Comment". not. Do changes to make them all consistent. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. not. Do changes to make them all consistent. See MyBallot comment #1900. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 59 SC 59.10.3.2 P120 / 19 MvBallot # 1799 JAMES. DAVID V See MvBallot comment #1900. Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor MyBallot # 1797 C/ 59 SC 59.10.3 P119 L3 DVJ5/54-120-19: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind JAMES. DAVID V of "Comment". IFFF-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy DV.J5/52-119-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Center all "Item" column cells.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 284 of 319

Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.2 P120 L19 MyBallot # 1802

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ5/57-120-19: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ5/56-120-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

C/ 59 SC 59.10.3.2 P120 L35 MyBallot # 1798

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ5/53-120-35: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind

DVJ5/53-120-35: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 59 SC 59.10.3.4 P121 L17 MyBallot # 1806

JAMES, DAVID V

DVJ5/61-121-17: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 59 SC 59.10.3.4 P121 L17 MyBallot # 1804

JAMES, DAVID V

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/59-121-17: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.4 P121 L3 MyBallot # 1805

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/60-121-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of
"Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 285 of 319

C/ 59 SC 59.10.3.4

Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.4 P121 L3 MyBallot # 1807 Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.7 P122 MyBallot # 1812 L 19 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Status A DVJ5/62-121-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell DVJ5/67-122-19: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 59 SC 59.10.3.6 P122 L3 MyBallot # 1813 C/ 59 SC 59.10.3.7 P122 L19 MyBallot # 1809 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ5/68-122-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell DVJ5/64-122-19: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W not. Do changes to make them all consistent. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.6 P122 L3 MyBallot # 1810 JAMES, DAVID V C/ 59 SC 59.10.3.7 P122 L37 MyBallot # 1811 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor JAMES, DAVID V DVJ5/65-122-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor "Comment". DVJ5/66-122-37: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Center all "Item" column cells. not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Response Status W

Page 286 of 319

C/ 59 SC 59.10.3.7

Cl 59 SC 59.10.3.7 P122 L38 MyBallot # 1808

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/63-122-38: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 59 SC 59.9.5 P118 L1 MyBallot # 1794

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ5/49-118-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.1 P145 L2 MyBallot # 1816

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/71-145-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

Suggested Remedy

... Clause 60, ... ==> ... Clause 60

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

C/ 60 SC 60.10.1

P**145** L**9** MyBallot # 1814

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/69-145-9: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.3 P146 L26 MyBallot # 1819

JAMES, DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/74-146-26: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

Abbreviate the clause number, with subclause or clause number in title (in place of text) if necessary.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.3 P146 L4 MyBallot # 1818

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/73-146-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Status **W** 

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 287 of 319

C/ 60 SC 60.10.3

C/ 60 SC 60.10.3 P146 L4 MyBallot # 1821 C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.2 P147 MyBallot # 1826 L 22 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ5/76-146-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell DVJ5/81-147-22: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 60 SC 60.10.4 P146 L 32 MyBallot # 1817 C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.2 P147 L 23 MyBallot # 1823 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ5/72-146-32: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ5/78-147-23: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 60 SC 60.10.4 P146 L32 MyBallot # 1820 C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.2 P147 L4 MyBallot # 1827 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ5/75-146-32: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ5/82-147-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 288 of 319

C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.2

C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.2 P147 L4 MyBallot # 1824 C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.5 P148 L4 MyBallot # 1829 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type DVJ5/79-147-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of DVJ5/84-148-4; Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent. not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900 C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.2 P147 L 41 MyBallot # 1822 C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.5 P148 L5 MyBallot # 1831 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type DVJ5/77-147-41: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ5/86-148-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are Proposed Response Response Status W not. Do changes to make them all consistent. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.6 P148 L 23 MvBallot # 1828 JAMES. DAVID V C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.2 P147 L 42 MyBallot # 1825 Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor JAMES, DAVID V DVJ5/83-148-23: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER of "Comment". DVJ5/80-147-42: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Center all "Item" column cells.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.6

Page 289 of 319

CI 60 SC 60.10.4.6 P148 L23 MyBallot # 1830

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ5/85-148-23: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy
Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.7 P149 L4 MyBallot # 1833

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/88-149-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of
"Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.7 P149 L4 MyBallot # 1835

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ5/90-149-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.8 P149 L21 MyBallot # 1834

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ5/89-149-21: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.8 P149 L21 MyBallot # 1832

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/87-149-21: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.8 P151 L1 MyBallot # 1836

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/91-151-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

Shorten the title, expand it in the text that follows.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 290 of 319

C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.8

Cl 60 SC 60.9.4 P145 L1 MyBallot # 1815

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/70-145-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 61 SC 61.1 P199 L3 MyBallot # 1841

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/96-199-3: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 61. ... ==> ... Clause 61

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 61 SC 61.1.3 P152 L31 MyBallot # 1837

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/92-152-31: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 61 SC 61.1.4.1.2 P154

BECK, MICHAEL A

Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

The text is confusing as to whether deference (MAC-PHY rate matching) is based on the state of the CRS signal on the MII, or on the value of the carrierSense variable at the abstract interface between the physical layer and the MAC. This confusion was introduced by an incomplete resolution of comment #556/D3.1 (EFM Sponsor Ballot).

The abstract interface between the physical layer and the MAC is defined in subclause 4.3.3. It consists of the ReceiveBit function, the TransmitBit and Wait procedures, and the variables collisionDetect, carrierSense, receiveDataValid, and transmitting. Section 1, page 91, line 36 states that "The Physical Layer sets carrierSense to true immediately upon detection of activity on the physical medium."

The deference mechanism is further explained in Annex 4A. Section 1, page 582, line 45 states: "When either transmitting or carrierSense is true, the MAC delays any pending transmission."

The specifications in Clause 61, on the other hand, rely on the MII-signal CRS. They specify how the PHY must set the MII signals to achieve MAC-PHY rate matching. The only statement on what the MAC will do, is in section 5, page 154, line 22: "Prior to transmission, the MAC checks the carrierSense variable (mapped from the MII signal CRS), and will not transmit another frame as long as CRS is asserted."

SuggestedRemedy

Add a sentence after the first sentence of 61.1.4.1.1: "For implementations that do not include an MII interface, all references to MII signals in this clause apply to the equivalent elements of the interface between the physical layer and the MAC as defined in 4.3.3.

Replace the quoted sentence in section 5, page 154, line 22, with: "Prior to transmission, the MAC checks the carrierSense variable (mapped from the MII signal CRS), and will not transmit another frame as long as carrierSense is asserted."

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The first part of the remedy is unnecessary as Clause 22 already states that logic implementation of the MII is mandatory regardless if the physical implementation is present.

The second part of the remedy will be implemented as it makes the current informative text more accurate.

MvBallot # 106

L 22

C/ 61 SC 61.10.1 P199 *L* 9 MyBallot # 1839 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER

DVJ5/94-199-9: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 61 SC 61.10.3 P 200 L 27 MyBallot # 1845

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/100-200-27: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SugaestedRemedy

Abbreviate, using clause number (instead of text) will follow-on text as necessary.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MvBallot comment #233.

C/ 61 SC 61.10.3 P 200 L3 MyBallot # 1844 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/99-200-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 61 SC 61.10.3 P 200

MyBallot # 1842

L4

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/97-200-4: The dark separators should be thinner in the header.

SuggestedRemedy

Apply the right Frame line widths (very thin) to between rows.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 61 SC 61.10.3 P 200 14 MyBallot # 1847

JAMES. DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ5/102-200-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 61 SC 61.10.4 P 200 L33 MyBallot # 1843

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/98-200-33: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 292 of 319

C/ 61 SC 61.10.4

C/ 61 SC 61.10.4 P 200 MyBallot # 1846 L 33 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ5/101-200-33; Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 61 SC 61.10.4.2 P 201 L4 MyBallot # 1849

JAMES. DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ5/104-201-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

C/ 61 SC 61.10.4.2 P 201 L4 MyBallot # 1848

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/103-201-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 61 SC 61.10.4.3 P 202

L4

MyBallot # 1850

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor DVJ5/105-202-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind

of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

JAMES, DAVID V

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 61 SC 61.10.4.3 P 202 L4 MyBallot # 1851

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ5/106-202-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 61 SC 61.10.4.4 P 207 L 22 MyBallot # 1852

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/107-207-22: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 293 of 319

C/ 61 SC 61.10.4.4

C/ 61 SC 61.10.4.4 P 207 MyBallot # 1853 L 22 JAMES, DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ5/108-207-22: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 61 SC 61.2.2.2 P164 MyBallot # 3 L 37 BECK. MICHAEL A

Comment Type TR Comment Status A IEEE P802.3REVam Editor

Figure 61-9 suggests that the first byte following the preamble in a MAC frame that is to be fragmented, would end up being the first byte following the Fragmentation Header in the first fragment resulting from the PAF fragmentation, According to Figure 3-1, the first byte following the preamble is the SFD.

On the other hand, 61.1.4.1.2 clearly states that "[t]he transmitter MAC-PHY Rate Matching function strips the Preamble and SFD fields from the MAC frame, and forwards the resulting data frame to the PME Aggregation Function or to the TC sublayer."

#### SuggestedRemedy

The text in 61.1.4.1.2 shows the EFM Task Force's intent to strip preamble AND SFD from the MAC frame before handing it over to the PAF. Figure 61-9 should be modified

In the first line of Figure 61-9 ("From MAC"), insert an "SFD" field between the "preamble" field and the "Data frame" field. Align the tail of the down arrow with the boundary between the "SFD" field and the "Data frame" field.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

- 1. Preamble box needs to be split to include Preamble and SFD as suggested.
- 2. The middle arrow needs to point to the end of Fragment N.
- 3. Add a note that states 'This is one example of how a frame may be fragmented across multiple PMEs.'

C/ 61 SC 61.3.3.1 P179 MvBallot # 2 L 27 BECK, MICHAEL A

IEEE P802.3REVam Editor Comment Type TR Comment Status A

There is a conflict between the text and the state diagram. As the state diagram takes precedence, the text should be modified.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the last sentence of this paragraph with the following text: After the completed End of Frame or Idle codeword, only All Idle or All Idle Out-of-Sync codewords shall be transmitted until TC link state becomes TRUE again. After TC link state becomes true again, transmission of data can restart when a new fragment is available for transmission over the gamma-interface.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT.

C/ 61 SC 61.4.7.2 P196 L 54 MyBallot # 1838

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/93-196-54: The Style Manual allows ALL CAPS in callouts, and Mixed Caps, but not both simultaneously.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ALL CAPS callouts in this figure, and throughout other mixed-convention figures. Preferably, eliminate ALL CAPS callouts alltogether.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 61 P199 SC 61.9 **L1** MvBallot # 1840

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/95-199-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 294 of 319

C/ 61 SC 61.9

C/ 61B SC 61B.4.2.5 P379 L 1 MyBallot # 1931 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status R Comment Type ER

DVJ5/186-379-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 61B, ... ==> ... Clause 61B

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

C/ 61B SC 61B.4.2.5 P379 L1 MyBallot # 1930 JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type

Comment Status A ER IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ5/185-379-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 61B SC 61B.5.1

P379

L7 MvBallot # 1929

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/184-379-7: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

MyBallot # 1928 C/ 61B SC 61B.5.3 P379 L 48

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ5/183-379-48: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 61B SC 61B.5.3 P379 L 48 MyBallot # 1927

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/182-379-48: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 295 of 319

C/ 61B SC 61B.5.3

C/ 61B SC 61B.5.4 P380 MyBallot # 1933 L3 JAMES, DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ5/188-380-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 61B SC 61B.5.4 P380 L3 MyBallot # 1932

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/187-380-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 62 SC 62.3.5.4 P 226 / 1 MyBallot # 1855

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/110-226-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 62 SC 62.4 JAMES, DAVID V

P 226

**L3** 

MyBallot # 1856

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ5/111-226-3: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 62, ... ==> ... Clause 62

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

CI 62 SC 62.4.1 P 226 L9 MvBallot # 1854

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type ER

IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ5/109-226-9: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

SC 62.4.3 P 227 CI 62 L 20 MyBallot # 1857

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/112-227-20: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 296 of 319

CI 62 SC 62.4.3

C/ 62 SC 62.4.3 P 227 MyBallot # 1859 L 22 JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ5/114-227-22: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 62 SC 62.4.3 P 227 L4 MyBallot # 1860 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ5/115-227-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. Cl 62 SC 62.4.3 P 227 L4 MyBallot # 1858 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ5/113-227-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 62 SC 62.4.4.2 P 228 L4 MyBallot # 1862 JAMES, DAVID V

IFEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ5/117-228-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 62 SC 62.4.4.2 P 228 L5 MyBallot # 1861 JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor DVJ5/116-228-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 62A SC 62A.4 P389 / 1 MyBallot # 1936

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ5/191-389-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance

statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 297 of 319 C/ 62A SC 62A.4

C/ 62A SC 62A.5 P389 L 2 MyBallot # 1937 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/192-389-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 62A, ... ==> ... Clause 62A

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

C/ 62A SC 62A.5.1 P389 L7 MyBallot # 1935

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/190-389-7: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

SC 62A.5.3 P389 C/ 62A L 49 MyBallot # 1934

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ5/189-389-49: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 62A SC 62A.5.4 P390

MvBallot # 1938 L4

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/193-390-4: Do something to avoid breaking the word Subclause in the heading: making vertical if necessary.

SuggestedRemedy

Fix it.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 62A SC 62A.5.4 P390 15 MyBallot # 1939

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ5/194-390-5: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 62B SC 62B.4 P396 **L1** MvBallot # 1941

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ5/196-396-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 298 of 319

C/ 62B SC 62B.4

C/ 62B SC 62B.5 P396 L 2 MyBallot # 1942 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/197-396-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 62B, ... ==> ... Clause 62B

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

MyBallot # 1940 C/ 62B SC 62B.5.1 P396 L7

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type ER IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ5/195-396-7: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

C/ 62B SC 62B.5.3 P397 L3 MyBallot # 1944

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ5/199-397-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 62B SC 62B.5.3

P397

MvBallot # 1946

L4

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/201-397-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 62B SC 62B.5.4 L 13

/ 17

MyBallot # 1943

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/198-397-13: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

P397

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

P397

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 62B SC 62B.5.4

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

MyBallot # 1945

DVJ5/200-397-17: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 299 of 319

C/ 62B SC 62B.5.4

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/119-241-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1900.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Cl 63 SC 63.4 P241 L3 MyBallot # 1865

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/120-241-3: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 63, ... ==> ... Clause 63

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 63 SC 63.4.1 P241 L9 MyBallot # 1863

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/118-241-9: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 63 SC 63.4.3 P242 L17 MyBallot # 1868

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/123-242-17: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 63 SC 63.4.3 P242 L17 MyBallot # 1866

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/121-242-17: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 300 of 319

C/ 63 SC 63.4.3

Cl 63 SC 63.4.3 P 242 **L3** MyBallot # 1867 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ5/122-242-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

C/ 63 SC 63.4.3 P 242 L4 MyBallot # 1869 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ5/124-242-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 63 SC 63.4.4.2 P 243 14 MyBallot # 1870

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/125-243-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 63 SC 63.4.4.2 P 243 **L**5 MyBallot # 1871

JAMES, DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ5/126-243-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 63A SC 63A.4 P407 / 1 MyBallot # 1948

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type ER

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/203-407-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SugaestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 63A SC 63A.4 P407 L 2 MyBallot # 1949

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/204-407-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 63A, ... ==> ... Clause 63A

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

C/ 63A SC 63A.5.1 P407 MvBallot # 1947 C/ 63A SC 63A.5.4 P408 MvBallot # 1950 L8 L 15 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type DVJ5/202-407-8: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are DVJ5/205-408-15: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance of "Comment". statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and not. Do changes to make them all consistent. "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Proposed Response Response Status W Section 1, page 444, line 33. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900 C/ 63A SC 63A.5.4 P408 L 17 MyBallot # 1952 See MyBallot comment #1900. JAMES, DAVID V C/ 63A SC 63A.5.3 P408 L4 MyBallot # 1951 Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER JAMES. DAVID V DVJ5/207-408-17: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. Comment Type ER Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor SuggestedRemedy DVJ5/206-408-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind Center all "Item" column cells. of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. not. Do changes to make them all consistent. See MyBallot comment #1900. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 63B SC 63B.4 P412 / 1 MyBallot # 1955 JAMES. DAVID V See MvBallot comment #1900. Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor MyBallot # 1953 C/ 63A SC 63A.5.3 P408 L4 DVJ5/210-412-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are JAMES. DAVID V two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement" IFFF-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy DVJ5/208-408-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and SuggestedRemedy "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Center all "Item" column cells. Section 1, page 444, line 33. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 63B SC 63B.5 P412 L 2 MyBallot # 1956 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/211-412-2: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 63B, ... ==> ... Clause 63B

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

C/ 63B SC 63B.5.1 P412 L7 MyBallot # 1954 JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type ER

IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ5/209-412-7: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

SC 63B.5.3 P413 C/ 63B L3 MyBallot # 1959 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/214-413-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 63B SC 63B.5.3

P413

MvBallot # 1958

**L3** 

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/213-413-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900

C/ 63B SC 63B.5.4 P413 L 15 MyBallot # 1957

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/212-413-15: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 64 SC 64.3.3.6 P 273 L14 MyBallot # 1872

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ5/127-273-14: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

SEND DISCOVERY WINDOW ==> SEND DISCOVERY WINDOW and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1911.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.3.6 P 274 MyBallot # 1873 L 11 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ5/128-274-11: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT REGISTER REQUEST ==> ACCEPT REGISTER REQUEST and similar changes throughout.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #1911.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.5 P 297 / 1 MyBallot # 1875 JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/130-297-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 64 SC 64.3.6.5 P 297 L 1 MyBallot # 1876

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/131-297-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

... Clause 64, ... ==> ... Clause 64

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 64 SC 64.4.1 P 297

**L6** MyBallot # 1874

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/129-297-6: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 64 SC 64.4.3 P 298 L 17 MyBallot # 1878

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor

DVJ5/133-298-17: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MvBallot comment #1900.

CI 64 SC 64.4.3 P 298 L18 MyBallot # 1881

JAMES. DAVID V

IFFF-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ5/136-298-18: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 64 SC 64.4.3 P 298 MyBallot # 1880 Cl 64 SC 64.4.3 P 298 L4 MyBallot # 1879 L 34 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type DVJ5/135-298-34: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ5/134-298-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are Proposed Response Response Status W not. Do changes to make them all consistent. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 64 See MyBallot comment #1900. SC 64.4.3 P 298 L 34 MyBallot # 1877 JAMES. DAVID V CI 64 SC 64.4.3 P 299 L 1 MyBallot # 1884 Comment Type IEEE-SA Editor ER Comment Status A JAMES, DAVID V DVJ5/132-298-34: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor ER of "Comment". DVJ5/139-299-1: Confusing capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are State Machines ==> State machines not. Do changes to make them all consistent. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. SC 64.4.3 C/ 64 P 298 14 MyBallot # 1882 CI 64 SC 64.4.4.3 P 299 L4 MyBallot # 1885 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ5/137-298-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ5/140-299-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 305 of 319

C/ 64 SC 64.4.4.3

Cl 64 SC 64.4.4.3 P 299 L4 MyBallot # 1883 Cl 65 SC 65.2.2.2.2 P309 MvBallot # 103 L16 JAMES, DAVID V BROWN, BENJAMIN J IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A Comment Type TR Comment Status A E P802.3REVam EPON Editor Comment Type ER DVJ5/138-299-4: Confusing capitalization Part 5: The change implemented for IsIdle is not complete. This covers the case of /l/ but does not cover the case of /C/ - configuration ordered sets. These consist of /K/D/D/D/, a SuggestedRemedy /K28.5/ followed by 3 data code-groups. If the intent is to turn off the laser during the Value/Comment ==> Value/comment transmission of configuration ordered sets, this definition of IsIdle doesn't do it. Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace the definition with the following: "This function is used to determine whether tx code-group is a code-group in /l/, the IDLE ordered set, or /C/, the Configuration ordered set." See MyBallot comment #1900. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 64 SC 64.4.4.4 P300 L4 MyBallot # 1887 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. JAMES. DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Editor will provide detailed text merging the suggested remedy of this comment and Comment Type ER Comment Status A MyBallot comment #19. DVJ5/142-300-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. Cl 65 SC 65.2.3.3.4 P314 L 14 MyBallot # 1888 SuggestedRemedy JAMES, DAVID V Center all "Item" column cells. Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Proposed Response Response Status W DVJ5/143-314-14: Undefined proper noun. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy See MvBallot comment #1900. Alignment Machine ==> Alignment machine Cl 64 SC 64.4.4.4 P300 L4 MyBallot # 1886 Proposed Response Response Status W JAMES, DAVID V ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor See MyBallot comment #1900. DVJ5/141-300-4: Confusing capitalization C/ 65 SC 65.2.3.5.3 P321 L39 MyBallot # 104 SuggestedRemedy BROWN, BENJAMIN J Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Comment Type ER Comment Status A IFFF-SA Editor Proposed Response Response Status W Part 5: Figure 65-14 is out of order ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy See MyBallot comment #1900. Re-order the figures in Clause 65 appropriately Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 306 of 319

See MyBallot comment #1900 (possible anchor location or FrameMaker float problem).

C/ 65 SC 65.2.3.5.3

Cl 65 SC 65.3.3 P326 L 1 MyBallot # 1890 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A Comment Type ER

DVJ5/145-326-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 65 SC 65.4 P326 L4 MyBallot # 1891

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/146-326-4: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SugaestedRemedy

... Clause 65, ... ==> ... Clause 65

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.1 JAMES, DAVID V

P326

L 10

MvBallot # 1889

Comment Type

Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/144-326-10: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 65 SC 65.4.3 P327

L14

MyBallot # 1894

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/149-327-14: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

Abbreviate, using clause number (instead of text) will follow-on text as necessary.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

See MvBallot comment #233.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3 P327

L16 MyBallot # 1895

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type

Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/150-327-16: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 307 of 319

C/ 65 SC 65.4.3

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3 P327 MyBallot # 1896 Cl 65 SC 65.4.3 P327 L 5 MyBallot # 1897 L 23 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ5/151-327-23; Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ5/152-327-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 65 SC 65.4.3 P327 L 36 MyBallot # 1893 C/ 65 SC 65.4.4.3 P328 L4 MyBallot # 1903 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ5/148-327-36: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ5/158-328-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 65 SC 65.4.3 P327 L4 MyBallot # 1892 CI 65 SC 65.4.4.3 P328 L4 MyBallot # 1898 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ5/147-327-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ5/153-328-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Page 308 of 319

C/ 65 SC 65.4.4.3

Cl 65 SC 65.4.4.4 P328 MyBallot # 1899 L 29 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ5/154-328-29: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 65 SC 65.4.4.4 P328 / 29 MyBallot # 1902 JAMES. DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ5/157-328-29: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 65 SC 65.4.4.5 P328 MvBallot # 1900 JAMES, DAVID V Comment Status A Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor DVJ5/155-328-41: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy

The IEEE P802.3REVam Task Force believes that this comment is one on editorial style, and does not affect the technical integrity of the standard. Editing does not take place during the balloting period. As pointed out in the IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual subclause 5.4.3.2: "It should be borne in mind that documents are professionally edited prior to publication." This editorial comment will be supplied to the Standards Project Editor.

Response Status W

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.4.5 P328 MvBallot # 1901 L 41 JAMES, DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ5/156-328-41: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 65 SC 65.4.4.6 P329 L3 MyBallot # 1906

JAMES. DAVID V

IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ5/161-329-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 65 P329 MvBallot # 1909 SC 65.4.4.6 L3 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type DVJ5/164-329-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MvBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 309 of 319 C/ 65 SC 65.4.4.6

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #790.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.4.7 P329 MyBallot # 1908 Cl 65 SC 65.4.4.8 P329 L 25 MyBallot # 1907 L 17 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ5/163-329-17: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ5/162-329-25: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Center all "Item" column cells. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 65 SC 65.4.4.7 P329 L 17 MyBallot # 1905 CI 66 SC 66.1.2.2 P332 L 1 MyBallot # 1910 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status A Comment Status A Comment Type ER IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER DVJ5/160-329-17: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ5/165-332-1: Inconsistent punctuation. of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy figure ==> Figure Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. CI 66 SC 66.1.2.2 P332 L9 MyBallot # 1911 CI 65 SC 65.4.4.8 P329 MyBallot # 1904 L 24 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor ER DVJ5/166-332-9: Be accurate, compatible, and consistent. DVJ5/159-329-24: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind SuggestedRemedy of "Comment". START ERROR J ==> START\_ERROR\_J and similar changes throughout. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 310 of 319

Cl 66 SC 66.1.2.2

IEEE-SA Editor

IEEE-SA Editor

Cl 66 SC 66.3.2.3 P336 L 1 MyBallot # 1913 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER

DVJ5/168-336-1: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 66 SC 66.3.2.3 P336 L1 MyBallot # 1914

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/169-336-1: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SugaestedRemedy

... Clause 66, ... ==> ... Clause 66

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MyBallot comment #233.

CI 66 SC 66.4.1 JAMES, DAVID V

P336

*L* 9 MyBallot # 1912

Comment Status A Comment Type

IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/167-336-9: Inconsistent capitalization. This could lead the reader to believe there are two types of things, perhaps a nonbinding "Protocol implementation conformance statement" and a normative "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement"

SuggestedRemedy

Throughout, change to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement" in headings, and "Protocol implementation conformance statement" within a sentence. This is consistent with Section 1, page 444, line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI 66 SC 66.4.2.2 P337 L 20 MyBallot # 1921

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

DVJ5/176-337-20: The title of this clause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason one was not provided).

SuggestedRemedy

Abbreviate, using clause number (instead of text) will follow-on text as necessary.

Proposed Response Response Status W

REJECT.

See MvBallot comment #233.

CI 66 SC 66.4.2.2 P337 L3 MyBallot # 1918 JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type Comment Status A

IEEE-SA Editor DVJ5/173-337-3: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 66 SC 66.4.2.2 P337 L4 MyBallot # 1923

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ5/178-337-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 66 SC 66.4.4 P337 L25 MyBallot # 1917

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ5/172-337-25: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ5/177-337-25: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 66 SC 66.4.4 P337 L35 MyBallot # 1916

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/171-337-35: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl 66 SC 66.4.4 P337 L 35 MyBallot # 1920

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ5/175-337-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy

Center all "Item" column cells.

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ 66 SC 66.4.4 P337 L48 MyBallot # 1915

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor

DVJ5/170-337-48: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization; I don't believe this is a distinct kind of "Comment".

SuggestedRemedy

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way; some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 312 of 319

Cl 66 SC 66.4.4

Cl 66 SC 66.4.4 P337 MyBallot # 1919 Cl 67 SC 67.3 P341 L 48 L 34 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ5/174-337-48: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ5/181-341-34: Inconsistent capitalization. Is this a special meaning? I suspect not, but its unclear. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Hybrid Media Topologies ==> Hybrid media topologies Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ 66 SC 66.4.4 P338 L4 MyBallot # 1925 CIBSC B.1.3 P513 / 1 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ5/180-338-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1/500-513-1: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center all "Item" column cells. Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl 66 SC 66.4.4 P338 L4 MyBallot # 1924 CI B SC B.1.4 P513 L 35 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A Comment Type ER DVJ5/179-338-4: Confusing/inconsistent capitalization: I don't believe this is a distinct kind DVJ1/499-513-35: Confusing table rows. of "Comment". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows.

Value/Comment ==> Value/comment Some heading are already done this way: some are not. Do changes to make them all consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1900.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 313 of 319 CI B SC B.1.4

MyBallot # 1926

MyBallot # 659

MyBallot # 658

IEEE-SA Editor

IEEE-SA Editor

IEEE-SA Editor

C/ B SC B.1.4.2 P514 L 25 MyBallot # 660 C/ B SC B.1.5.3 P518 MyBallot # 663 L 26 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status A DVJ1/501-514-25: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. DVJ1/504-518-26: Misleading capitalization errors. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. End ==> end Components ==> components Segment ==> segment Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. CIBSC B.1.4.2 P514 L 45 MyBallot # 661 C/ B SC B.1.5.3 P518 L 31 MyBallot # 664 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type ER DVJ1/502-514-45: ALL CAPS or Some Caps, but not both is OK. DVJ1/505-518-31: Wrong font in figures. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Lower case, not all caps, here and throughout figures. Use 8-point Arial. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. C/ B CI B SC B.1.5.2 P516 L 25 MyBallot # 662 SC B.2.1 P522 L 17 MyBallot # 665 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1/503-516-25: Wrong font in figures. DVJ1/506-522-17: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use 8-point Arial. Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900.

C/ B SC B.2.2 P523 L 26 MyBallot # 666 C/ B SC B.2.3 P524 L 48 MyBallot # 667 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R DVJ1/507-523-26: Confusing table rows. DVJ1/508-524-48: Confusing table rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put lines between rows. Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. REJECT. The consensus of the committee is that these tables are clear to the reader. They have See MyBallot comment #666. been in existence for many years and their format has not been an issue. The risk of C/ B SC B.4.1 P527 L14 MyBallot # 670 introducing an error is far to high for any perceived benefit on such a stable portion of the standard. JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status R CIBSC B.2.3 P 524 L 13 MyBallot # 669 Comment Type ER DVJ1/511-527-14: Confusing table rows. JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status R SuggestedRemedy Comment Type ER Put lines between rows. DVJ1/510-524-13: Confusing table rows. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy REJECT. Put lines between rows. Proposed Response Response Status W See MyBallot comment #666. REJECT. CIDSC D.3 P535 L9 MyBallot # 672 See MyBallot comment #666. JAMES, DAVID V P**524** Comment Type ER Comment Status R CI B SC B.2.3 MyBallot # 668 L 29 DVJ1/513-535-9: Confusing table rows. JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status R SuggestedRemedy DVJ1/509-524-29: Confusing table rows. Put lines between rows and columns, making this a real table. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy REJECT. Put lines between rows.

See MyBallot comment #666.

Proposed Response

See MyBallot comment #666.

REJECT.

Response Status W

CIDSC D.4.1 P535 MyBallot # 671 C/ G SC G.1.1 P 545 L9 MyBallot # 675 L 29 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ1/512-535-29: Misleading capitalization errors. DVJ1/516-545-9: The title of this subclause is too long and would overflow the automatic formatting of the table of contents, if one were to be provided (which is, perhaps, the reason SuggestedRemedy one was not provided). Core ==> core SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Abbreviate, using clause number (instead of text) will follow-on text as necessary. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MyBallot comment #1900. CIDSC D.4.2.2 P 538 L7 MyBallot # 674 See MyBallot comment #233. JAMES. DAVID V C/ H SC H.4.2 P570 L 38 MyBallot # 676 IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A JAMES. DAVID V DVJ1/515-538-7: Colen is unnecessary Comment Type Comment Status R ER SuggestedRemedy DVJ1/517-570-38: Illegal punctuation Splitting: ==> Splitting and same for other cell entries. SugaestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W -- ==> em dash, throughout ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W REJECT. See MvBallot comment #1900. CIDSC D.4.2.2 P538 L9 MyBallot # 673 This text is GDMO code. Em dash is not supported in GDMO. As such accepting the comment would cause a syntax violation. JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor CI xSC x.x.x P178 L 23 MyBallot # 142 DVJ1/514-538-9: Inconsistent alignement JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy Comment Type DVJ1-77/1-178-23: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. Be consistent, either all left or right aligned. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. **NoRemedySupplied** Proposed Response Response Status W See MyBallot comment #1900. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 316 of 319

Cl x SC x.x.x

Cl x SC x.x.x P178 **L** 5 MyBallot # 141 Cl x SC x.x.x P180 L6 MyBallot # 144 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ1-76/1-178-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1-81/1-180-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy **NoRemedySupplied NoRemedySupplied** Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl xSC x.x.x P179 L 5 MyBallot # 143 CI xSC x.x.x P181 L4 MyBallot # 146 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type DVJ1-80/1-179-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1-83/1-181-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy **NoRemedySupplied NoRemedySupplied** Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900. SC x.x.x Cl x SC x.x.x P180 L 38 MyBallot # 145 Cl x P182 L4 MyBallot # 147 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1-82/1-180-38: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1-84/1-182-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy NoRemedySupplied NoRemedySupplied Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl x SC x.x.x P183 MyBallot # 149 Cl x SC x.x.x P184 L 5 MyBallot # 151 L 29 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ1-86/1-183-29: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1-89/1-184-5: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy **NoRemedySupplied NoRemedySupplied** Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900. Cl xSC x.x.x P183 L4 MyBallot # 148 CI xSC x.x.x P185 L18 MyBallot # 152 JAMES. DAVID V JAMES. DAVID V Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Type DVJ1-85/1-183-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1-90/1-185-18: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy **NoRemedySupplied NoRemedySupplied** Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MvBallot comment #1900. See MvBallot comment #1900. SC x.x.x Cl x SC x.x.x P184 L 35 MyBallot # 150 Cl x P185 L7 MyBallot # 153 JAMES, DAVID V JAMES, DAVID V Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor DVJ1-88/1-184-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. DVJ1-91/1-185-7: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy NoRemedySupplied NoRemedySupplied Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See MyBallot comment #1900. See MyBallot comment #1900.

CI x SC x.x.x P186 L3 MyBallot # 154

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ1-92/1-186-3: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy
NoRemedySupplied

Proposed Response Response Status W

See MyBallot comment #1900.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Cl x SC x.x.x P187 L35 MyBallot # 155

JAMES. DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ1-93/1-187-35: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy NoRemedySupplied

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl x SC x.x.x P187 L4 MyBallot # 156

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

DVJ1-94/1-187-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy NoRemedySupplied

Proposed Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl x SC x.x.x P188 L4 MyBallot # 157

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ1-95/1-188-4: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy
NoRemedySupplied

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

Cl x SC x.x.x P189 L6 MyBallot # 158

JAMES, DAVID V

Comment Type ER Comment Status A IEEE-SA Editor
DVJ1-99/1-189-6: Small items and numbers should be centered in the cell.

SuggestedRemedy NoRemedySupplied

Proposed Response Response Status W
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See MyBallot comment #1900.

IEEE-SA Editor