SC 55.1 C/ 28D SC 28D.6 P12 L 25 # 30 C/ 55 P 13 L 16 # 15 McClellan, Brett SolarFlare Marris, Arthur Cadence Comment Type T Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Grammar 'Fully' 802.3ae has no autonegotiation for Pause. This line is not needed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change 'Fully' to 'Full', also delete comma after 'electrical' Remove the line. Proposed Response Response Status C Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Delete "Fully" and delete comma. DONE DONE SC C/ 55 P16 L # 31 C/ 55 SC 55.1 P 13 L7 # 14 McClellan, Brett SolarFlare Marris, Arthur Cadence Comment Type T Comment Status A Comment Status A TX\_EN, occurs on pgs. 16, 26,41,47 & 86 has no definition. Comment Type Missing word '10' SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Provide a definition in 5.2 Change "is one of the Gigabit Ethernet" to "is one of the 10 Gigabit Ethernet" Proposed Response Response Status C Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Signal TX\_EN to be deleted. DONE DONE C/ 55 SC 55.1.1 P 13 L 25 # 16 CI 55 SC 5.1.3.1 P 17 L 11 # 32 Marris. Arthur Cadence McClellan, Brett SolarFlare Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type T Comment Status A The word 'connector' is confusing in this context Also pg 55.3.4 on pg 28 and figure 55-6 on pg. 29. SuggestedRemedy Descriptions and figure suggest that all bits are LDPC encoded. However, only a subset of Change "over four connector, twisted-pair copper cabling" to "over four twisted-pairs of the bits are LDPC encoded. copper cabling" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status C Include a description of uncoded vs. coded bits and 12dB partition mapping. These are ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. common to all of the proposals. Change to read "four connector structured four-pair, twisted-pair copper cabling" Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. DONE Commenter to provide appropriate text to Editor.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

DONE

Page 1 of 9

C/ 55 SC 55.1.1 P 13 L 27 # 1 C/ 55 SC 55.1.1 Alan Flatman LAN Technologies Alan Flatman Comment Status A Comment Type TR Comment Type TR ISO/IEC 11801 specifies balanced cabling channels with a maximum length of 100m. "At least 100m" is therefore incorrect. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete "At least" in objective a1. Proposed Response Response Status C Proposed Response ACCEPT. ACCEPT. DONE DONE SC 55.1.1 P 13 CI 55 L 27-28 # 2 Cl 55 SC 55.1.1 Alan Flatman LAN Technologies Alan Flatman Comment Type TR Comment Status A Deferred Comment Type TR Comment Status A Class F cabling requires additional specification of PSANEXT, which is not currently specified by ISO/IEC 11801. SuggestedRemedy 100m". Add at end of objective a1 "(with additional requirements specified in Clause 55.7)". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status C Delete objective a3. ACCEPT. Proposed Response DONE ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Cl 55 SC 55.1.1 P 13 L 29 # 3 Change objective a3 to read: Alan Flatman LAN Technologies 55.7. Comment Status A Comment Type TR TF ISO/IEC 11801 specifies balanced cabling channels with a maximum length of 100m. "At Y: 19 N: 6 A: 19 least 55m" is confusing. It is possible to support a minimum length of 55m of Cat 6 UTP and up to 100m screened Class E cabling. This objective should be re-worded to .3 accurately reflect this. Y: 11 N: 4 A: 4

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "At least 55m" with "55m to 100m" in objective a2.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to read "At least 55m to 100m".

DONE

P 13 L 29-30

LAN Technologies

Comment Status A

Class E cabling also requires additional specification of PSANEXT is addition to frequency extended parameters currently specified in ISO/IEC 11801. This is all covered in Clause 55.7, hence a simple pointer to it is quite adequate in this set of distance objectives.

Add at end of objective a2 "(with additional requirements specified in Clause 55.7)".

Response Status C

P13 L 31-32

LAN Technologies

It is not necessary to have a separate objective to cover 100m operation over enhanced Cat 6 UTP. This is more efficiently captured by amending objective a2 to state "55m to

Response Status C

100 m on four pair Augmented Class E balanced copper cabling as specified in Clause

Editor to add note that the above text will be added upon approval of the objective by the 802.3 WG.

DONE

Deferred

C/ 55 SC 55.1.1 P 13 L 37 # 6 C/ 55 SC 55.1.3.1 P 17 L 18 Alan Flatman LAN Technologies McClellan, Brett SolarFlare Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status X "TBD bit blocks" should be "TBD baud blocks" EMC requirements are a set of limits, not a defined operation. SuggestedRemedy PMA processes samples as baud, not bits. Change "operation" to "EMC limits". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status C Replace text as indicated. ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status Z EDITOR: I PUT IN TBD SYMBOL BLOCKS DONE CI 55 SC 55.1.1 P 13 L 38 # 10 Cl 55 SC 55.1.3.1 P 17 L 41 # 34 Powell. Scott Broadcom McClellan, Brett SolarFlare Comment Type T Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status A A more appropriate metric for a frame-based communications system is block error rate. typo: quasi-continuous SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace bit error rate requirement with LDPC block error rate requirement. Proposed Response Response Status C Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This would require a change to the 802.3an Objectives. It is changed in the text for the See response to comment #20. corresponding subclause. DONE P14 CI 55 SC 55.1.3 / 59 # 11 C/ 55 SC 55.1.3.1 P 17 L 8 # 17 Powell. Scott Broadcom Marris. Arthur Cadence Comment Type TR Comment Status A Deferred Comment Type Comment Status A E The task force has not seen contributions supporting loop timing or describing alternative approaches. Although this was the approach adopted in 1000BT, there can be Change "transfers of XGMII service interface" to "transfers on the XGMII service interface" implementation advantages to removing the requirement that the SLAVE transmit with its SuggestedRemedy recovered clock. Many places in the document make this assumption. Change "transfers of XGMII service interface" to "transfers on the XGMII service interface" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status C Rather than adopt the 1000BT loop timing approach without question, review contributions

ACCEPT.

DONE

Proposed Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

best approach for 10GBASE-T.

It is highly recommended that the commenter present an alternate proposal and an accompanying comment listing all the places in the draft that the text needs to be changed.

on alternative approaches and perform further study to make an informed decision on the

DONE based on detailed text provided by Scott Powell

C/ 55 SC 55.1.3.1 P 17 L 9 # 18 C/ 55 SC 55.2 P 19 L 11 # 21 Marris. Arthur Cadence Marris. Arthur Cadence Comment Type Т Comment Status A Comment Type Т Comment Status A Surely the 64-bit blocks can only align with the XGMII start of packet boundary? Is the management interface really specified in Clause 28? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Consider changing "the Management Function Interface is specified in Clause 28." to "the Change "64-bit block boundaries aligned with the XGMII packet boundaries as indicated by the XGMII transmit control signals (TXCn = 1)." to "64-bit block boundaries aligned with the Management Function Interface is specified in Clause 45." If the Clause 45 register interface is not going to be used then explain why not. XGMII start of packet boundary as indicated by the XGMII transmit control signals (TXCn = 1)." Response Status C Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. DONE DONE P 22 CI 55 SC 55.2.3.1 L 3 # 35 CI 55 SC 55.1.3.2 P17 L 38 # 19 McClellan, Brett SolarFlare Marris, Arthur Cadence Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type Sentence Fragment: "or idle mode is to take place" Punctuation, delete comma after BI DC. Also line 50. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove the fragment. as above Proposed Response Response Status C Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. DONE DONE C/ 55 P 23 CI 55 SC 55.1.3.2 P 17 L 41 # 20 SC 55.2.5.1 L 41 # 36 McClellan, Brett SolarFlare Marris. Arthur Cadence Comment Type E Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Status A "A vector of 4 symbols that is the receivers best estimate of the information bits that were Spelling 'continuos' sent by the remote transmitter." SuggestedRemedy would be more clear if restated as: "A vector of the four 1-D symbols that is the receivers best estimate of the information bits Change 'continuos' to 'continuous' that were sent by the remote transmitter across the four pairs." Proposed Response Response Status C SugaestedRemedy ACCEPT. Replace sentence as suggested DONE Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. DONE

C/ 55 SC 55.3.10 P 35 L 57 # 38 C/ 55 SC 55.3.12.2.3 P 39 L 46 # 41 McClellan, Brett SolarFlare McClellan, Brett SolarFlare Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type Е Comment Status A "10GBTreceive": also found in Fig 55-3 pg 16, Fig 55-5 on pg 26, and line 6 on pg. 37. "all possible bit positions" should be "all possible baud positions". 10GBTreceive is used several times, but not defined. Furthermore it appears to be used for SuggestedRemedy different purposes on pgs 35 and 37. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status C Provide a definition for 10GBTreceive, and resolve the conflict between pg 35 and 37. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "baud" to "symbol". Editor to work with commenter for appropriate changes. DONE TEXT OF SECTION 55.3.11.3 removed and replaced by TBD. This is because control is C/ 55 SC 55.3.12.2.4 P 40 L 25 now embedded in the data stream and gets decoded in the PCS rather than the PMA. McClellan, Brett SolarFlare Cl 55 SC 55.3.11.3 P 37 L 13 Comment Status X Comment Type Т SolarFlare McClellan, Brett sh cnt and sh invalid cnt are useless. There are no invalid sync header values. Comment Type E Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy "checks that the" should be "checks the" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status Z Proposed Response Response Status C C/ 55 SC 55.3.13.3 P 44 L 54 # 43 ACCEPT. McClellan, Brett SolarFlare DONE Comment Type T Comment Status A What is a continuous stream of 0x00FF data words? CI 55 SC 55.3.11.3 P 37 L 5 # 39 The value has no meaning. SolarFlare McClellan, Brett Perhaps this can be rewritten using PAM levels? Comment Type Ε Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy "encoding rule" is vague. Does it mean LDPC encoding or 64/65 encoding? Proposed Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Decision needs to be made on how loopback should be performed. Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REPLACED "0x00FF data words" with "TBD PAM symbols". The symbols to be sent during

loopback still need to be specified.

Change to be "framing rules".

DONE

C/ 55 SC 55.3.2.2 P 27 L 20 # 23 C/ 55 SC 55.3.8 P 35 L 18 Marris. Arthur Cadence McClellan, Brett SolarFlare Comment Type Т Comment Status A Comment Type Т Comment Status R Define 64B and 65B the first time they are used. I assume the 'B' refers to bits rather than This paragraph is confusing. Can it be rewritten more descriptively? bytes. Also line 22 refers to using the frame sync headers from the 65-bit blocks. The 65th bit is SuggestedRemedy no longer a sync header it is a data/control header. The framing is not recovered from the Change 'Alignment to 64B/65B block' to '64-bit to 65-bit (64B/65B) block alignment'. location of this bit. Proposed Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status C Add to definitions and abbreviations in Clause 1. REJECT. C/ 55 SC 55.3.2.2 P 27 L 21 # 22 Commenter should provide suggested text. Marris, Arthur Cadence Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Cl 55 P 51 L 32 SC 55.4.4.1 Grammar, change 'operate independent' to 'operates independently' McClellan, Brett SolarFlare SuggestedRemedy Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Grammar, change 'operate independent' to 'operates independently' Fig 55-18 is missing the XOR gate. This may just be a PDF issue. Proposed Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status C DONE ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Cl 55 SC 55.3.4.2 P30 L 40 # 12 Will determine why symbol is missing. Powell, Scott Broadcom Comment Status A Comment Type E Figure 55-7. Box marked "Binary to 4D-M-ary PAM" is mislabeled. SuggestedRemedy Change label to "4D-M-ary PAM to Binary" Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

DONE

# 37

# 44

CI 55 SC 55.5.2 P L # 47
Takatori, Hiroshi KeyEye

Comment Type T Comment Status R

55.5.2 Test modes

In order to make accurate tests with a simpler test procedure, one test mode is proposed instead of four independent test modes. Also, this method reduces test time and reduce additional PHY cost.

The following sequence is repeated continuously without breaks. (THP of off) [( +M and -M alternate pattern) for 1024 symbols followed by (all-symbol random pattern) for 4096 symbols]

The data acquisition module captures all signals across 100ohm (described in Figure 55-24). The software analyzes a) Waveform, b) Jitter, and c) Transmit distortion.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT.

Task Force requests that the commenter provide suggested text for adoption into the draft.

Cl 55 SC 55.5.2 P 57 L 1 # 45 McClellan, Brett SolarFlare

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The +/- M and M/2 levels in this test mode are not valid PAM levels for 8 or 12 PAM.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with the max valid PAM levels.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

Comment Type T Comment Status A

In table 55-6 LP 1000T HD, half duplex is not a valid mode for 10GBASE-T.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove this entry.

Proposed Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ **55** SC **55.7.1** 

P**75** 

L 14

L 28

# 25

# 26

Koeman, Henriecus ("Henri" or "Riekus Fluke Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The "W" in "100 W" is incorrect. This problem occurs throughout the entire clause and should be corrected in many places.

SuggestedRemedy

The "W" in "100 W" should be replaced by the Ohms symbol.

Proposed Response Status C

ACCEPT.

PDF problem

C/ 55 SC 55.7.1 P75

Koeman, Henriecus ("Henri" or "Riekus Fluke Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

"Characteristic impedance" is a property applicable to homogeneous media only. In order words, applicable to cable only and NOT assemblies with connectors (channel). The return loss requirements cover the true requirement. "Nominal characteristic impedance" is only a number and not a requirement.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "characteristic impedance" from the list of requirements.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "characteristic" to "nominal".

DONE

CI 55 SC 55.7.2 P75 L 27 # 7

Alan Flatman LAN Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

"At least 55 to 100m" is confusing and "at least 100m" is incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "At least" (twice).

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove the second "at least".

DONE

C/ 55

CI 55 SC 55.7.2.3 P76 L11 # 27

Koeman, Henriecus ("Henri" or "Riekus Fluke Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The symbol for "less or equal" in the equations is incorrect. This problem occurs throughout the entire clause and should be corrected in many places.

SuggestedRemedy

The symbol should be the correct one ("<=").

Proposed Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

PDF PROBLEM

Cl 55 SC 55.7.2.3 P76 L11 # |28

Koeman, Henriecus ("Henri" or "Riekus Fluke Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status R

The formulation of the requirements that involves the curly brackets is confusing. The same comment applies throughout the entire clause and this should be corrected in many places.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the brackets and include "Return\_Loss(f)" on every line.

Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT.

CI 55 SC 55.7.2.3 P76

Koeman, Henriecus ("Henri" or "Riekus Fluke Networks

Comment Type T Comment Status R

The cross-over point between the 6 dB and the formula is not exactly at 400 MHz and causes a discontinuity.

L 16

SuggestedRemedy

Specify the requirement on line 15 as: "Return\_Loss(f) <= 32-10log10(f), 6 dB min." Remove the entry on line 16.

Proposed Response Status C

REJECT.

There is a 0.021 dB difference between 398 and 400 MHz.

\_\_\_\_\_

P 80

L 49

# 13

Powell, Scott Broadcom

SC 55.7.3.2.1.2

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The text states "The PS ANEXT limits for both Class F and Class E are the minimum requirements for 100m operation ...". The task force agreed to these limit lines under the assumption of a 2.5dB "margin". The document lists the peak limit lines. Simulations should assume X1 to be increased by 2.5dB from what is listed in the document.

SuggestedRemedy

Differentiate between X1 values to be used for cable certification (currently in text) and the values to be used for simulation (increased by 2.5dB from what is in text).

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Insert a  $\,$  note about the 2.5 dB difference between cable certification and the simulation values.

DONE

Cl 55 SC 55.7.3.2.2 P81 L10-12 # 9

Alan Flatman LAN Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Need to insert PSAFEXT limit and possibly test method by Nov 2004 802.3 plenary meeting.

SuggestedRemedy

Request proposals from cabling system manufacturers and cabling standards groups.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Insert editor's note that PSAFEXT limits need to be added with reference to test methods.

DONE

CI 55 SC 55.7.5 P84 L20 # 8

Alan Flatman LAN Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Several presenters have stated/suggested that -150dBm/Hz is too low for BGN. - 140dBm/Hz has been proposed.

SuggestedRemedy

Adopt a more realistic BGN limit.

Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT.

# 29

Р # 48 CI 55 SC 55.8.3.1 Takatori, Hiroshi KeyEye Comment Type T Comment Status A 55.8.3.1 MDI return loss The formula: 16 - 20\*log10(f/40) at f=500 gives negative result. Should probably read 16 - 10\*log10(f/40). b) It would be preferred to have a ref. impedance for the test equal, 100 Ohm, but not +/- 15%. SuggestedRemedy Response Status C Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Accept a) as is. b) change - 15% to +/- TBD% on line 41. DONE P 90 CI 55 SC Table 55-10 L 19 # 24 Marris, Arthur Cadence Comment Type E Comment Status A Change 'GMII' to 'XGMII'

Proposed Response

Change 'GMII' to 'XGMII'

SuggestedRemedy

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

DONE