# IEEE 802.3ap Signaling Ad Hoc Report Out

IEEE 802.3ap Task Force Mar'05 Michael Altmann



Michael Altmann IEEE 802.3ap Task Force - 11-Mar-05

## Summary

- Signaling ad hoc work item review
  - Established August'04
  - To work toward a simulation and evaluation model for 10Gb serial BP signaling solutions
- Work I tems for ad hoc to address
  - Channel elements for simulation
    - Channel ad hoc defines link between TP1 and TP4
    - Define component edge to TP1, TP4 to component edge
    - Incorporation of channel loss, reflections, NEXT & FEXT
  - Solution Comparison Metrics
    - Power consumption
    - BER and Reach performance
    - Complexity & relative cost
    - Robustness
  - Treatment & modeling of aggressors
    - NEXT, FEXT
    - Noise

### **Conf Calls**

- The Signaling ad hoc held 1 conference call
  - Attendance 12
- Conf Call Overview
  - 4 Feb'05
    - Key discussions were on the TP4-5 link and package model inclusion for simulations
    - Joe (Abler) presented the effects of both the inductive and capacitive pkg models as presented by Rich Mellitz in *mellitz\_m1\_0105.pdf*.
    - General preference for the capacitive model as the cap was viewed as potentially containing part of the ESD and driver cap.
    - There was a general lack of enthusiasm on the use of a TP4-5 model. A straw poll on the topic decided against using a TP4-5 model for simulation.

### **Straw Polls**

 Some straw polls were held to clarify the preferences of the ad hoc group.

### 4 Feb'05 Conf Call

- #1: For our sim in March, should our sim use a package model? passed by acclamation: (12 people on the call)
- #2: From Joe's presentation, which package model should we use?

```
Cap-like: 11 Inductor-like: 0 Both:
0 Abstain: 1
```

#3: Should we use a model for TP4-Tp5 for the purpose of presenting results for the March Plenary? As noted by Joe Abler, this includes cascading with all the crosstalk channels (up to 8).
No: 7

Yes: 4 No: 7 Abstain: 1